

Special Audits Office Report No. 2012-03 Government-wide Performance Audit

Priority Development Assistance Fund (PDAF) and Various Infrastructures including Local Projects (VILP)





Republic of the Philippines COMMISSION ON AUDIT SPECIAL SERVICES SECTOR SPECIAL AUDITS OFFICE Commonwealth Avenue, Quezon City Philippines Tel. No.: (+632) 931-7455 / Telefax No.: (+632) 931-9235

August 14, 2013

SECRETARY FLORENCIO B. ABAD

Department of Budget and Management Gen. Solano St., San Miguel Manila

Sir:

We are pleased to transmit the report on the government-wide performance audit of the allocation and utilization of Priority Development Assistance Fund (PDAF) and Various Infrastructure including Local Projects (VILP) under the appropriation of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH). The audit covered releases by that Office and utilization by the following implementing agencies during CYs 2007 to 2009:

- Department of Agriculture
- Department of Public Works and Highways
- Department of Social Welfare and Development
- Technology and Livelihood Resource Center/Technology Resource Center
- National Livelihood Development Corporation
- National Agribusiness Corporation
- Zamboanga del Norte Agricultural College (ZNAC) Rubber Estate Corporation
- City Governments of Mandaluyong, Manila including 12 barangays, Quezon including 94 barangays, Taguig including 3 barangays, Las Piñas, Tabaco, Iriga, Naga, and Panabo; and
- Provincial Governments of Tarlac, Bataan, Nueva Ecija, Compostela Valley and Davao Oriental.

The audit was conducted from June 15, 2010 to September 13, 2012 pursuant to COA Office Order No. 2010-309 dated May 13, 2010 and subsequent issuances. The audit focused on the allocation and utilization of funds as well as implementation of projects/programs by the covered agencies. The draft reports containing the results of the audit were forwarded to that Department and all concerned implementing agencies for comments. All comments received as of December 3, 2012 were evaluated and incorporated in the report, where appropriate.

We look forward to the implementation of the audit recommendations contained in the report and we would appreciate being informed of the actions taken thereon within one month from receipt hereof.

We acknowledge the cooperation and assistance extended to the team by the officials and employees of that Department.

Very truly yours,

By Authority of the Chairman:

SUSAN P. GARCIA Director IV

Table of Contents

	Title	Page
List of Ta	ables	
Part I	Executive Summary	1
	Introduction 2 Audit Objectives 2	
	Audit Objectives <i>3</i> Audit Scope and Methodology <i>3</i>	
	Audit Findings 5	
	Management's Comments 19	
	Team's Rejoinder 21 Recommendations 22	
Part II	The PDAF and VILP	25
	Introduction 26 Eligible Projects and Implementing Agencies (IAc) 26	
	Eligible Projects and Implementing Agencies (IAs) 26 Governing Laws and Regulations 27	
	Releases During CYs 2007 to 2009 to IAs	
	Covered in the Audit 28	
Part III	Audit Observations	31
	Chapter 1 Allocation of Fund and Monitoring of Releases	32
	Introduction 33	
	Observations 33 – 43 - On Releases Not Being Properly Assessed and Monitored 33-43	
	- On Releases Not being Hopeny Assessed and Monitored 55-45	
	Chapter 2 Implementation of Livelihood and Other Projects	44
	Introduction 45 Observations Management's Comments and Teams Deisinder	
	Observations, Management's Comments and Team;s Rejoinder - On Releases to NGOs Without Appropriation Law or	
	Ordinance 46	
	- On Selection of NGOs Without Compliance with Existing	
	Regulations 48 - On Questionable Transactions of NGOs 49	
	- On Attendance of the Same Beneficiaries on Same or Similar	
	- Trainings 61	
	 On Releases by TRC to Two LGUs 69 On Implementation of Livelihood Projects by DA-RFUs Not 	
	Being Compliant with R.A. No. 9184 71	
	- On Releases of Funds to Various Cooperatives 80	
	Chapter 3 Implementation of Infrastructure Project	88
	Introduction 89	
	Observations, Management's Comments and Team's Rejoinder 89 – 115	
	- On Projects with Deficiencies 89	
	- On Projects Constructed on Private Properties 92	

Table of Contents

	Title	Page
	 On Application of Excessive Rates 95 On Unutilized and Unmaintained Projects 110 On Allocation for Miscellaneous Items 112 On Provision for Special Items in Lump sum and Varying Amounts 114 	
	Chapter 4 Financial Assistance and Other Charges by LGUs	116
	Introduction 117 Observations 117-129 - On Disbursements Not Being Compliant with R.A. No. 9184 120 - On Disbursements Not Within the Eligibile Projects Under PDAF	
	and Not Fully Documented 126	
Part IV	Recommendations	<i>130</i>
Part V	Annexes A - List of NGOs to which PDAF were	136
	Transferred 137-148	
	 B - List of NGOs to which PDAF were Transferred with Unliquidated Balances 149 – 153 	
	C - Matrix of NGOs and the Amounts Released to Them by the IAs 154 – 277	
	 D - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Funds Transfers to NGOs 278 – 294 	
	 E - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Absence of Planning in the Implementation of Livelihood Projects 295 	
	F - Schedule of Projects with Deficiencies 296 – 309G - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Projects with	
	Deficiencies 310–315 H - Schedule of Projects Constructed on Private	
	 Properties 316 – 324 I - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Excessive Cost Due to Erroneous Rate Application 325 – 329 	
	 J - Schedule of Projects Either Unutilized or Not Fully Utilized, Or Not Properly Maintained and in the State of Deterioration 330 - 341 	
	 K - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Projects Either Unutilized/Not Fully Utilized or Not Properly Maintained 342 – 348 	
	 L - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Special Items Included in the Contract 349 – 352 	
	M - Analysis of Transactions/Expenses of LGUs 353 – 418	
	N - Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder: Fund Utilization by LGUs 419-452	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page					
1	PDAF and VILP Appropriations for CYs 2007 to 2009	2					
2	Allocation for Soft and Hard Projects	3					
3	Implementing Agencies Covered in the Audit	3					
4	Releases from PDAF, VILP and Other Sources from CYs 2007 to 2009 Per Legislator as Provided by the DBM and Gathered by the Team	6					
5	GAA Menu of Programs Eligible for Funding Under PDAF for CYs 2007-2009						
6	Laws and Regulations Governing Implementation of PDAF and VILP	27					
7	Releases Covered in the Audit	28					
8	Definition of Soft and Hard Projects	34					
9	Releases Out of VILP Gathered by the Team	35					
10	Legislators with Releases for Priority Projects in Excess of Their Allocation	36					
11	Releases Outside the Legislative District of Congressmen	38					
12	IAs Functions and Implemented PDAF Projects	40					
13	SAROs with Unutilized Balances	41					
14	IAs that Transferred Fund to NGOs Covered in the Audit	46					
15	NGOs to which PDAF were Transferred by the IAs Covered in the Audit	47					
16	Confirmation from Various Sectors	49					
17	Documents Purportedly Signed by Legislators/Authorized Representatives	50					
18	Status of Address of Selected NGOs	50					
19	Salaries and Administrative Expenses Charged by NGOs	52					
20	Persons Connected to Different NGOs	52					
21	List of Beneficiaries Taken from CYs 2007 and 2008 Published Board /Bar Passers in Various Profession	53					
22	Transactions Denied by Suppliers	54					
23	Suppliers That Cannot Be Located or Non-Existent with Some Submitting Deficient Documents	55					
24	Suppliers That Confirmed Transactions But Submitted Questionable Documents	56					
25	Suppliers That Did Not Reply But Submitted Questionable Receipts	57					
26	NGOs with Legislators and/or their relatives as Incorporators	58					
27	Legislators Who Denied Signatures on Documents Submitted by NGOs	59					
28	Legislators with Allocations Released to Various IAs but Requested their Allocations to be Transferred to the Same NGOs	60					
29	Beneficiaries Who Attended the Same or Similar Seminars/Trainings and	62					

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page				
	Received Multiple Number of Kits					
30	Projects Implemented in the Same Barangays by Different NGOs	63				
31	Nationwide Conduct of Same or Similar Trainings and Distribution of Kits	64				
32	Funds Transferred to Three Municipalities	69				
33	Transactions of IAs Not Compliant with R.A. No. 9184 and/or not Eligible for Funding under PDAF and with other Documentary Deficiencies	72				
34	Documents Submitted by DA-RFU III, V, XI Purportedly Signed by Legislators/Authorized Representatives					
35	Projects/Programs for Implementation of Covered IAs	80				
36	Releases to Cooperatives	80				
37	Cooperatives that Submitted Fund Utilization Report	83				
38	Legislators Requested to Confirm their Signatures	84				
39	SEA-K Members with Delayed Payments	86				
40	Reasons for Returned Confirmation Letters	87				
41	Projects with Deficiencies	90				
42	Projects Funded from the Allocation of Various Legislators	91				
43	Projects Constructed on Private Properties	93				
44	Deficiencies Due to Erroneous Application of Rates	95				
45	Projects with Erroneous Application of Item 302	89				
46a/46b	Updated Revised Unit Cost of Items 301, 302 and 310	98				
47	Allowable Indirect Cost	100				
48	Projects with Excessive Indirect Cost	100				
49	Direct Unit Cost for Excavation and Embankment	104				
50	Contractors Awarded with the Flood Control Project	105				
51	Cost Difference Considering Reasonable Cost at 23% and 27% OCMP	107				
52	DPWH-NCR Adopted Rental Rates	108				
53	Cost Difference Due to Adoption of Rental Rates Exceeding ACEL Rates	108				
54	Projects with Erroneous Indirect Cost	109				
55	Status of Projects Implemented by 12 IAs	110				
56	Special Items Included in the Contracts	112				
57	Vehicles Included in the Contract	114				
58	Projects with Special Items Included in the Contracts in Different Amounts	114				
59	Menu of Programs for Implementation by LGUs	117				
60	Releases to LGUs from CYs 2007 to 2009 for Soft Projects Covered in the	117				

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
	Audit	
61	Procurements of LGUs not compliant with RA 9184 Charged to Releases for Soft Projects	120
62	Suppliers of Mandaluyong City that Denied Transactions	122
63	LGUs with Questionable Transactions	124
64	LGUs with Suppliers Who are Not Fully Reporting their Transactions	125
65	Items Procured by LGUs that Cannot Be Presented or Accounted	126
66	Sectors Requested to Confirm their Transactions with the Covered LGUs	126
67	Disbursements for Financial Assistance and Operating Expenses of LGUs and Other Government Agencies (in M $\stackrel{P}{=}$)	127
68	Expenses for Congressional District Offices	128
69	LGUs with Unsubmitted DVs	128
70	Results of Confirmation from Concerned Legislators	128

Part I

Executive Summary

INTRODUCTION

The fundings for the priority development programs and projects identified by the legislators are included in the General Appropriations Act (GAA) as a lump sum appropriation under the Priority Development Assistance Fund (PDAF) for soft projects and under Locally-Funded Projects - Various Infrastructure including Local Projects (VILP) of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) for hard projects.

The practice of providing certain amount for the projects of the legislators started in 1989, upon the creation of the Mindanao Development Fund and Visayas Development Fund, with lump sum appropriations of **P480.0 Million** and **P240.0 Million**, respectively. These funds were intended to support development projects identified by Representatives from the Visayas and Mindanao areas. Subsequently, in 1990, the Countrywide Development Fund (CDF) was created with an initial funding of **P2.3 Billion** to cover the implementation of projects identified by the Senators and Representatives including those from the Luzon area. In 2000, the CDF was renamed as PDAF.

For CYs 2007 to 2009, the projects eligible for funding under PDAF are described as soft projects under the category of education, health, livelihood, comprehensive integrated delivery of social services, financial assistance to address specific propoor programs of the government, historical, arts and culture, peace and order; and small infrastructure projects such as irrigation, rural electrification, water supply, housing and forest management. Those under VILP are described as hard projects under the category of public works. The implementing agencies (IAs) for each category of project are also defined in the GAA for those years.

Table 1. PDAF and VILP Appropriations for CYs 2007 to 2009									
Year	In Billion								
real	PDAF (Soft)	VILP (Hard)	Total						
2007	₽ 11.446	₽ 12.040	₽ 23.486						
2008	7.893	15.630	23.523						
2009	9.665	23.204	32.869						
Total	P 29.004	P 50.874	P 79.878						

The total appropriations included in CYs 2007 to 2009 GAAs for PDAF and VILP amounted to **₽79.878 Billion**, as tabulated below:

These funds were allocated for the priority programs and projects of the legislators in the amount of **P70.0 Million** for each congressional district and partylist

Representative, and **P200.0 Million** for each Senator. These allocations are broken down into soft and hard projects in the following amounts:

Table 2. Allocation for Soft and Hard Projects							
Legislator Amount (in Million)							
	Soft	Hard	Total				
Congressional District and Partylist Representative	₽ 30.0	₽ 40.0	₽ 70.0				
Senator	100.0	100.0	200.0				

AUDIT OBJECTIVES

The Audit was conducted to determine:

- 1. the propriety of releases of PDAF and VILP by the Department of Budget and Management (DBM), and
- 2. the efficient utilization of funds and effective implementation of projects by the IAs,

taking into consideration the menu of programs defined in the GAA and pertinent laws, rules and regulations.

AUDIT SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

1. Scope

The Audit covered releases by the DBM Central Office (CO) and Regional Offices (ROs) Nos. III, V, XI and National Capital Region (NCR); and utilization of funds and implementation of projects during CYs 2007 to 2009 by the following IAs:

Table 3. Implementing Agencies Covered in the Audit							
National Government Agencies (NGAs)							
Department of Agriculture (DA) CO; Regional Field Units (RFUs) Nos. III, V and XI							
	CO; NCR; ROs Nos. V and XI						
Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)	District Engineering Offices (DEOs) – First Metro Manila DEO (FMMDEO), Second Metro Manila DEO (SMMDEO), Third Metro Manila DEO (TMMDEO); Tarlac 1 st ; Nueva Ecija 2 nd ; Albay 1 st ; Camarines Sur 1 st ; Davao City; and Davao del Norte						

Table 3. Implementing Agencies Covered in the Audit						
Department of Social Welfare and Development (DSWD) CO, NCR, Regional Field Offices (RFOs) Nos. III, V, XI						
Government-Owned and Control	olled Corporations (GOCCs)					
Technology and Livelihood Res	ource Center (TLRC) / Technology Resource Center (TRC)					
National Livelihood Development Corporation (NLDC)						
National Agribusiness Corporation (NABCOR)						
Zamboanga del Norte Agricultural College (ZNAC) Rubber Estate Corporation [ZREC]						
Local Government Units (LGUs)						
City Governments of Mandaluyong; Manila including 12 barangays; Quezon including 94 barangays; Taguig including 3 barangays; Las Piñas; Tabaco; Iriga; Naga; and Panabo						
Provincial Governments of Tarla	ac, Bataan, Nueva Ecija, Compostela Valley, and Davao Oriental					

The foregoing IAs were selected for the Audit based on materiality of amounts released to them using the National Expenditures Program (NEP) as the basis. The DA, DPWH and DSWD are the top three NGAs as to amounts released to them by the DBM. TLRC and NLDC are the top two GOCCs while NABCOR and ZREC are both wholly owned subsidiaries of DA. As for the LGUs, those covered in audit are the top five cities within Metro Manila and three LGUs located within the top three ROs of the NGA-IAs.

The Audit focused on the following audit areas:

- Allocation and Transfer of Funds and Monitoring of Releases
- Implementation of Livelihood and Other Projects
- Implementation of Infrastructure Projects
- Financial Assistance and Other Charges by the LGUs

2. Methodology

To achieve the Audit Objectives, the Team performed the following audit procedures, among others:

- Obtained and studied relevant laws, rules, regulations, policies and procedures pertinent to the allocation, releases, and utilization of funds and implementation of identified priority development programs and projects, including the roles and responsibilities of the DBM, and the IAs;
- Gathered from the DBM-CO and selected ROs, and DPWH-CO and ROs, schedule of releases to selected IAs and copy of Special Allotment Release Order (SAROs) issued for soft and hard projects and summarized releases by IAs;

- Obtained and reviewed selected transactions charged against PDAF, VILP and other sources, and assessed whether the funds were used for the purpose/s intended and disbursed in accordance with existing laws, rules and regulations;
- Interviewed concerned officials and staff of the auditees, administered questionnaires to selected beneficiaries, and confirmed authenticity of documents from concerned parties such as beneficiaries, suppliers, legislators and Regulatory Offices to determine the validity of reported transactions; and
- Inspected selected infrastructure projects and equipment, and conducted ocular inspection of selected Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) and suppliers to determine their existence, condition and status.

3. The Audit Team

The Audit was conducted by a Team composed of 18 members from the Special Audits Office, and one (1) Technical Audit Specialist from the Technical Services Office, both under the Special Services Sector, Commission on Audit (COA). The Audit was conducted pursuant to COA Office Order No. 2010-309 dated May 13, 2010 and subsequent issuances.

AUDIT FINDINGS

The Audit disclosed that PDAF and VILP were not properly released by the DBM and not appropriately, efficiently and effectively utilized by the IAs.

1. DBM

- a. The DBM could not provide the Team, despite repeated requests, with complete schedule of releases per legislator from PDAF for soft projects and VILP for hard projects. Only the schedule of releases from VILP for hard projects identified by the legislators named therein was provided, covering releases of **#32.347 Billion** (See Table 9) during CYs 2007 to 2009 which was found deficient.
- b. From data gathered by the Team from the different Offices of the DPWH, such schedule excluded around **P69.261 Billion** (See Table 9), released to different DPWH ROs and DEOs. The concerned legislators of such releases cannot, however, be identified. This manifests that total releases from VILP

for hard projects, amounted to at least **P101.608** Billion (See Table 9), thus, exceeding the DBM report by **P69.261** Billion and exceeded combined appropriation for the same period of **P50.874** Billion (See Table 1) by **P50.734** Billion.

- c. As gathered by the Team from the records of the audited agencies and the DBM-CO, ROs Nos. III, V, XI and NCR, total releases from PDAF to LGUs within the ROs covered in the audit, and to DA, DSWD, NLDC and TRC amounted to **P12.018 Billion** (See Table 4) while that of the VILP amounted to **P101.608 Billion**. In addition to releases out of PDAF and VILP, there were also releases of around **P2.362 Billion** (See Table 4) from "Other Sources" such as Financal Assistance (FAs) to LGUs and Budgetary Support to GOCCs, also for the implementation of projects identified by the legislators. These brought the total releases for the legislators' programs and projects to **P115.988 Billion** (See Table 4).
- d. As reflected in the summary of the releases from VILP provided to the team by the DBM, the **#32.347 Billion** were released out of the allocation of 356 legislators **and of a certain Luis Abalos who is not, however, a member of the 13th and 14th Congress**. On the other hand, releases from PDAF gathered by the Team were released out of the allocation from the same legislators and from 15 other legislators, tabulated below:

Table 4. Releases from PDAF, VILP and Other Sources from CYs 2007 to 2009 Per Legislator as Provided by the DBM and Gathered by the Team							
			Hard		Soft (in M P)	Total Hard	
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
REPRESENTATIVES							
Abad, Henedina R.	Batanes - Lone	Ш		-	2.000	2.000	2.000
Abalos, Benjamin, Jr. C.	Mandaluyong - Lone	NCR	2.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	27.000
Abalos, Luis	Not included in the List of 13th & 14th Congress	NCR	20.000		•	•	20.000
Abante, Bienvenido, Jr. M.	Manila - 6 th	NCR	110.000	75.580	4.000	79.580	189.580
Abaya, Joseph Emilio A.	Cavite - 1st	IV-A	100.000	-	-		100.000
Abayon, Daryl Grace J.	Aangat Tayo Partylist				20.000	20.000	20.000
Abayon, Harlin C.	Northern Samar - 1st	VIII	20.000	-		•	20.000
Ablan, Roque, Jr., R.	Ilocos Norte - 1st	1	120.000	10.000		10.000	130.000
Agarao, Benjamin, Jr. C.	Laguna - 4 th	IV-A	20.000	-			20.000
Agbayani, Rodolfo Q.	N. Vizcaya - Lone	II	30.000	0.500	1.300	1.800	31.800
Agbayani, Victor Aguedo E.	Pangasinan - 2nd	1	90.000				90.000
Aggabao, Giorgidi B.	Isabela - 4th	II	100.000	-	6.000	6.000	106.000
Agyao, Manuel S.	Kalinga - Lone	CAR	100.000	20.500		20.500	120.500
Akbar, Wahab M.	Basilan- Lone	ARMM	81.000				81.000
Albano, Rodolfo III T.	Isabela - 1st	Ш	40.000	-	-	-	40.000
Alcala, Proceso J.	Quezon - 2nd	IV-A	110.000	16.334	6.500	22.834	132.834
Alfelor, Felix Jr. R.	Camarines Sur - 4th	V	120.000	100.300	-	100.300	220.300
Almario, Joel Mayo Z.	Davao Oriental - 2nd	XI	20.000	22.900		22.900	42.900
Almario, Thelma Z.]		90.100	65.500	11.000	76.500	166.600

			Hard		Soft (in M P)			
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)	
Alvarado, Wilhelmino Sy	Bulacan - 1st		20.000	10.000	-	10.000	30.000	
Alvarez, Antonio C.	Palawan - 1st	IV-B	119.250	12.000	5.000	17.000	136.250	
Alvarez, Genaro Jr. M.	Negros Occ 6th	VI	90.000	0.250	-	0.250	90.250	
Alvarez, Genaro Rafael III K.		VI	30.000				30.000	
Amante, Edelmiro A.	Agusan del N 2 nd	CARAGA	100.000	0.200	-	0.200	100.200	
Amante, Ma. Angelica Rosedell			15.000	-	•	•	15.000	
Amatong, Prospero S.	Compo. Valley - 2 nd	XI	20.000	20.600	10.000	30.600	50.600	
Amatong, Rommel C.	1		95.000	61.300	6.000	67.300	162.300	
Amin, Hussin U.	Sulu - 1 st	ARMM	15.000	5.000	-	5.000	20.000	
Andaya, Rolando Jr. G.	Camarines Sur - 1st	V	20.000	-	-	-	20.000	
Angara, Juan Edgardo M.	Aurora - Lone		110.000	31.250		31.250	141.250	
Angping, Maria Zenaida B.	Manila - 3rd	NCR	80.000	56.040	4.400	60.440	140.440	
Antonino, Rodolfo W.	Nueva Ecija - 4th		120.000	90.000	10.000	100.000	220.000	
Antonino-Custodio, Darlene R.	So. Cotabato - 1st	XII	105.000	2.000	-	2.000	107.000	
Apostol, Trinidad G.	Leyte - 2 nd	VIII	120.000				120.000	
Aquino, Jesus Reynaldo B.	Pampanga - 3rd		20.000	23.200		23.200	43.200	
Aquino, Jose II S.	Agusan del N 1st	CARAGA	95.000	1.400	2.000	3.400	98.400	
Arago, Ma. Evita R.	Laguna - 3rd	IV-A	66.100	1.120	-	1.120	67.220	
Arbison, Munir M.	Sulu - 2 nd	ARMM	45.000	1.120	-	1.120	45.000	
	Pangasinan - 3rd		80.000	28.550		28.550	108.550	
Arenas, Ma. Rachel J. Arnaiz, George P.	Negros Oriental - 2 nd	VII	100.000	3.200	1.200	4.400	108.550	
	Camarines Sur - 1st	V		11.200	1.200	11.200		
Arroyo, Diosdado M.		VI	80.000		- 10.000		91.200	
Arroyo, Ignacio Jr. T.	Negros Occ 5th		119.700	49.620	10.000	59.620	179.320	
Arroyo, Juan Miguel M.	Pampanga - 2 nd		115.000	105.500	-	105.500	220.500	
Asilo, Benjamin D.	Manila - 1st	NCR	84.000	44.500	21.421	65.921	149.921	
Assistio, Luis A.	Caloocan – 2 nd	NCR	20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.000	
Bacani, Rodolfo C.	Manila - 4th	NCR	-	15.000	•	15.000	15.000	
Baculio, Augusto H.	Misamis Or 2 nd	X	15.000	0.100	-	0.100	15.100	
Badelles, Alipio Cirilo V.	Lanao del Norte - 1st	X	15.000	11.300	0.450	11.750	26.750	
Bagatsing, Amado S.	Manila - 5th	NCR	100.000	31.800	25.000	56.800	156.800	
Balindong, Pangalian M.	Lanao del Sur - 2nd	ARMM	60.000	-	-	-	60.000	
Banaag, Leovigildo B.	Agusan del N 1st	CARAGA	20.000	19.000	•	19.000	39.000	
Barbers, Robert Ace S.	Sur. del Norte - 2nd	CARAGA	20.000	25.000	•	25.000	45.000	
Barinaga, Roseller L.	Zambo. del Norte -2nd	IX	20.000	1.000	-	1.000	21.000	
Barzaga, Elpidio Jr., F.	Cavite - 2 nd	IV-A	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.000	
Baterina, Salacnib F.	Ilocos Sur - 1st	1	20.000	25.000	10.000	35.000	55.000	
Bautista, Claude P.	Davao del Sur - 2nd	XI	25.000	0.550	-	0.550	25.550	
Bautista, Franklin P.		XI	90.000	9.850	-	9.850	99.850	
Belmonte, Vicente Jr. F.	Lanao del Norte - 1st	Х	99.620	15.000	3.500	18.500	118.120	
Biazon, Rozzano Rufino B.	Muntinlupa City-Lone	NCR	115.000	60.150	27.000	87.150	202.150	
Bichara, Al Francis C.	Albay - 2 nd	V	85.000	32.806	-	32.806	117.806	
Binay, Mar-Len Abigail S.	Makati City - 2nd	NCR	115.000	42.000	-	42.000	157.000	
Biron, Ferjenel G.	Iloilo - 4 th	VI	120.000	1.950	-	1.950	121.950	
Bondoc, Anna York P.	Pampanga - 4th	III	106.600	28.800	-	28.800	135.400	
Bonoan-David, Ma. Theresa B.	Manila - 4th	NCR	90.000	52.940	-	52.940	142.940	
Bravo, Narciso Jr. R.	Masbate - 1st	V	120.000	99.100	11.500	110.600	230.600	
Briones, Nicanor M.	AGAP Partylist		71.000	-	-		71.000	
Bueser, Danton Q.	Laguna - 3rd	IV-A	20.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.000	

			Hard	Soft (in M P)		Total Hard	
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Bulut, Elias Jr. C.	Apayao - Lone	CAR	100.000	20.000	8.000	28.000	128.00
Cabilao, Belma A.	Zambo. Sibugay – Lone 13 th Congress, 1 st - 14 th Congress	IX	115.000	18.850	6.000	24.850	139.85
Cagas, Douglas R.A.	Davao del Sur - 1st	XI	30.000	16.000	· ·	16.00	46.00
Cagas, Marc Douglas IV C.			85.000	53.700	26.500	80.200	165.20
Cajayon, Mary Mitzi L.	Caloocan - 2 nd	NCR	100.000	53.820	3.500	57.320	157.32
Cajes, Roberto C.	Bohol - 2nd	VII	100.000	46.815	21.300	68.115	168.11
Cari, Carmen L.	Leyte - 5th	VIII	120.000	-	-	-	120.00
Carlos, Jose Emmanuel Bobbit	Valenzuela City - 1st	NCR	20.000	25.000	•	25.000	45.00
Carmona, Tranquilino B.	Negros Occ 1st	VI	20.000			0.000	20.00
Castelo-Daza, Nanette C.	Quezon City - 4th	NCR	97.400	34.950	23.100	58.050	155.45
Castro , Fredenil H.	Capiz - 2 nd	VI	120.000	-	· ·	-	120.00
Cayetano, Ma. Laarni L.	Taguig City - Lone	NCR	88.500	30.500	5.500	36.000	124.50
Celeste, Arthur F.	Pangasinan - 1st		120.000	3.000	-	3.000	123.00
Cerilles, Antonio H.	Zambo. del Sur - 2nd	IX	115.000	-	-	-	115.00
Chatto, Edgardo M.	Bohol - 1 st	VII	119.884	45.300	9.000	54.300	174.18
Chavez, Leonila V.	BUTIL Partylist		103.010	59.500	8.000	67.500	170.51
Chiongbian, Erwin L.	Sarangani - Lone	XII	115.000	77.050	30.950	108.000	223.00
Chipeco, Justin Marc S.	Laguna - 2 nd	IV-A	100.000	6.000	5.000	11.000	111.00
Chong, Glenn A.	Biliran – Lone	VIII	80.000	6.400	0.420	6.820	86.82
Chungalao, Solomon R.	Ifugao – Lone	CAR	130.000				130.00
Clarete, Ernie Dulalas	Misamis Occ 1st	X	20.000	13.000	2.100	15.100	35.10
Clarete, Marina P.	-	X	95.000	47.200	18.000	65.200	160.20
Climaco, Maria Isabele G.	Zambo. City - 1st	IX	89.000	17.350	11.100	28.450	117.45
Codilla, Eufrocino Sr. M	Leyte - 4 th	VIII	120.000	35.000	14.500	49.500	169.50
Cojuangco, Carlos O.	Negros Occ 4th	VI	20.000				20.00
Cojuangco, Mark O.	Pangasinan - 5th		101.273	6.000	-	6.000	107.27
Coquilla, Teodolo M.	E. Samar - Lone	VIII	100.000	5.400		5.400	105.40
Coscolluela, Ma. Carissa O.	BUHAY Partylist		78.690	41.450		41.450	120.14
Crisologo, Vincent P.	Quezon City - 1st	NCR	120.000	49.234	9.016	58.250	178.25
Cruz-Gonzales, Cinchona C.	CIBAC Partylist		47.500	12.550	1.850	14.400	61.90
Cua, Guillermo P.	Coop-NATCCO		65.650	42.325	9.000	51.325	116.97
Cua, Junie E.	Quirino – Lone		88.500	131.810	28.600	160.410	248.91
Cuenco, Antonio V.	Cebu City - 2 nd	VII	120.000	58.000	11.000	69.000	189.00
Dadivas, Rodriguez D.	Capiz - 1st	VI	20.000	50.000	11.000	07.000	20.00
ů – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	Benguet – Lone	CAR	119.750	47.000	·	47.000	166.75
Dangwa, Samuel M. Datumanong, Simeon A.	Maguindanao - 2 nd	ARMM	115.000	47.000	· ·	47.000	115.00
Davanghirang, Nelson L.	Davao Oriental - 1st	XI	140.000	48.640	6.000	54.640	194.64
Daza, Paul R.	Northern Samar - 1st	VIII	100.000	11.650	0.000	11.650	111.65
De Guzman, Del R.	Marikina City - 2 nd		100.000	48.900	12 200		162.20
De Venecia, Jose Jr. C.	Pangasinan - 4th	NCR	98.000	25.000	13.300	62.200	
		VI			7.000		130.00
Defensor, Arthur Sr. D.	Iloilo - 3rd		110.000	-	1.300	1.300	111.30
Defensor, Matias Jr. V.	Quezon City - 3rd	NCR	110.000	77.000	38.000	115.000	225.00
Del Mar, Raul V.	Cebu City - 1st	VII	120.000	72.500	10.000	82.500	202.50
Del Rosario, Antonio A.	Capiz - 1st	VI	100.000	-	•	-	100.00
Diasnes, Carlo Oliver D.	Batanes – Lone		120.000	28.600	•	28.600	148.60
Diaz, Antonio M. Dilangalen, Baisendig G.	Zambales - 2 nd Maguindanao - 1 st	ARMM	- 15.000	32.350	-	32.350	32.35

	Legislative District		Hard	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
Legislator		Region	VILP (in M P)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Dilangalen, Didagen P.	1	l l l	80.000	42.500	-	42.500	. 122.50
Dimaporo, Abdullah D.	Lanao del Norte - 2nd	Х	95.000	-			95.00
Dominguez, Victor S.	Mt. Province - Lone	CAR	120.000	-		-	120.00
Domogan, Mauricio G.	Mt. Province - Lone	CAR	117.000	5.000		5.000	122.00
Duavit, Michael John R.	Rizal - 1st	IV-A	120.000	-	5.000	5.000	125.00
Dueñas, Henry Jr. M.	Taguig City - Lone	NCR	90.000	54.800	11.500	66.300	156.30
Dumarpa, Faysah M.	Lanao del Sur - 1st	ARMM	70.000		6.000	6.000	76.00
Dumpit, Thomas Jr. L.	La Union - 2nd	1	120.000	45.500	21.000	66.500	186.50
Durano, Ramon VI H.	Cebu - 5th	VII	120.000	8.000	-	8.000	128.00
Dy, Consuelo A.	Pasay City – Lone	NCR	20.000	15.000	9.800	24.800	44.80
Dy, Faustino III G.	Isabela – 3rd		120.000				120.00
Ecleo, Glenda B.	Surigao del N 1st	CARAGA	115.000	0.200		0.200	115.20
Emano, Yevgeny Vicente B.	Misamis Or 2 nd	X	85.485	17.195	6.000	23.195	108.68
Enrile, Juan Ponce Jr.	Cagayan - 1st		20.000	-			20.00
Enrile, Salvacion S.]		100.000	0.100		0.100	100.10
Enverga, Wilfrido Mark C.	Quezon - 1st	IV-A	99.450	11.815	6.000	17.815	117.26
Ermita-Buhain, Eileen	Batangas - 1st	IV-A	120.000	6.400	1.000	7.400	127.40
Escudero, Salvador III H.	Sorsogon - 1st	V	70.000	12.707	1.000	12.707	82.70
Espina, Gerardo Jr. S.	Biliran - Lone	VIII	20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.00
	Pangasinan - 2 nd		20.000	- 15.000	10.000	23.000	20.00
Espino, Amado Jr. T.	Guimaras - Lone	VI			15 000		
Espinosa, Edgar T.			20.000	15.000	15.000	30.000	50.00
Espinosa, Emilio Jr. R.	Masbate - 2 nd	V	20.000	10.000	-	10.000	30.00
Estrella, Conrado III M.	Pangasinan - 6th	<u> </u>	120.000	62.000	20.000	82.000	202.00
Estrella, Robert Raymund M. Fabian, Erico Basilio A.	ABONO Partylist Zambo. City –Lone 13 th Congress, 2 nd 14 th Congress	IX	92.000	58.500 17.150	- 15.000	<u>58.500</u> 32.150	150.50 147.15
Falcon, Peter Paul Jed	Sur.del Sur-2 nd	CARAGA	20.000				20.00
Fernandez, Danilo Ramon S.	Laguna-1st	IV-A	100.000	3.000		3.000	103.00
Ferrer, Jeffrey P.	Negros Occ4th	VI	100.000	3.000			100.00
· · · · · ·	Western Samar-2 nd	VIII	20.000		-	•	20.00
Figueroa, Catalino V.							
Firmalo, Eduardo C.	Romblon-Lone	IV-B	20.000	0.300		0.300	20.30
Floirendo, Antonio Jr. B.	Davao del Norte-2nd	XI	20.000	9.500	-	9.500	29.50
Fua, Orlando Jr. A.	Siquijor - Lone	VII	120.000	7.500	6.000	13.500	133.50
Fuentebella, Arnulfo P.	Camarines Sur - 3rd	V	120.000	76.800	1.000	77.800	197.80
Garay, Florencio C.	Sur.del Sur - 2 nd	CARAGA	95.000	0.950	•	0.950	95.95
Garcia, Albert Raymond S.	Bataan - 2nd		113.000	55.650	-	55.650	168.65
Garcia, Pablo John F.	Cebu - 3rd	VII	82.500	-	•	•	82.50
Garcia, Pablo P.	Cebu - 2 nd	VII	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.00
Garcia, Vincent J.	Davao City - 2nd	XI	115.000	13.449	0.219	13.668	128.66
Garin, Janette L.	Iloilo - 1st	VI	129.000		-		129.00
Gatchalian, Rex T.	Valenzuela City - 1st	NCR	100.000	26.059	10.950	37.009	137.00
Gatlabayan, Angelito C. Go, Arnulfo F.	Antipolo City - 2 nd Sultan Kudarat - 2 nd 14 th	IV-A XII	100.000	- 5.000	- 6.000	- 11.000	100.00
Coloz Poilo S	Congress		100.000	11 000	2 700	10 700	113.70
Golez, Roilo S.	Paranaque City - 2 nd	NCR	100.000	21 700	2.700	13.700	
Gonzales, Aurelio Jr. D.	Pampanga - 3rd		100.000	21.700	-	21.700	121.70
Gonzales, Neptali II M.	Mandaluyong - Lone	NCR	80.000	130.000	185.000	315.000	395.00
Gonzales, Raul Jr. T.	Iloilo City - Lone	VI	100.000	-	-	-	100.00

		Region	Hard	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
Legislator	Legislative District		VILP (in M P)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Gozos, Oscar L.	Batangas - 4th	IV-A	20.000	-	-		20.000
Guingona, Teofisto III L.	Bukidnon - 2nd	Х	55.000	11.633		11.633	66.63
Gunigundo, Magtanggol I T.	Valenzuela City - 2nd	NCR	100.000	46.780	-	46.780	146.780
Gullas, Eduardo R.	Cebu - 1st	VII	120.000	20.000		20.000	140.00
Hataman, Mujiv S.	AMIN Partylist		104.000	10.000		10.000	114.00
Hizon, Joey D.	Manila - 5th	NCR	20.000	18.500	10.000	28.500	48.50
Hofer, Dulce Anne K.	Zambo. Sibugay - 2nd	IX	100.000	0.150	6.000	6.150	106.15
Imperial, Carlos R.	Albay - 2nd	V	20.000	23.000	-	23.000	43.00
Ipong, Gregorio T.	N. Cotabato - 2nd	XII	15.000	15.000	9.400	24.400	39.40
Jaafar, Nur G.	Tawi-tawi - Lone	ARMM	105.000	10.000	25.000	35.000	140.00
Jala, Adam Relson L.	Bohol - 3rd	VII	100.000	28.200	6.000	34.200	134.20
Jala, Eladio M.	1		20.000	23.000	0.100	23.100	43.10
Jalosjos, Cesar G.	Zambo. del Norte -3rd	IX	114.650	-	-	-	114.65
Jalosjos-Carreon, Cecilia G.	Zambo. del Norte -1st	IX	115.000				115.00
Jaraula, Constantino G.	Cag.deOroCity -Lone 13th Congress	X	20.000	30.000	-	30.000	50.00
Javier, Exequiel B.	Antique - Lone	VI	115.000	0.600	-	0.600	115.60
Jaworski, Robert Vincent Jude B.	Pasig City - Lone	NCR	20.000	20.200	2.700	22.900	42.90
Jikiri, Yusop H.	Sulu - 1 st	ARMM	15.000	4.300	6.000	10.300	25.30
Joaquin, Uliran T.	Laguna - 1 st	IV-A	20.000	18.610		18.610	38.61
Joson, Eduardo Nonato N.	Nueva Ecija - 1st		90.000	68.050		68.050	158.05
Joson, Josefina M.]		20.000	25.000		25.000	45.00
Kho, Antonio T.	Masbate - 2 nd	V	100.000	65.000		65.000	165.00
Kintanar, Simeon L.	Cebu - 2 nd	VII	20.000				20.00
Labadlabad, Rosendo S.	Zambo.del Norte -2nd		95.000	3.000		3.000	98.00
Lacson, Jose Carlos V.	Negros Occ 3rd	VI	120.000	32.000	2.000	34.000	154.00
Lagbas, Danilo P.		X	120.000	37.300	10.000	47.300	
	Misamis Or 1st						167.30
Lagdameo, Antonio Jr. F	Davao del Norte - 2 nd	XI V	95.000	34.500	9.000	43.500	138.50
Lagman, Edcel C.			120.000	136.461	90.500	226.961	346.96
Lapus, Jeci A.	Tarlac - 3rd		90.000	29.688	-	29.688	119.68
Lapus, Jesli A.	Demonstrat		10.000	11.100	0.800	11.900	21.90
Lazatin, Carmelo F.	Pampanga - 1 st		91.400	57.400	-	57.400	148.80
Ledesma, Julio IV A.	Negros Occ 1st	VI	70.000	53.000	6.000	59.000	129.00
Libanan, Marcelino C.	E. Samar - Lone	VIII	40.000	14.000	10.000	24.000	64.00
Lim, Reno G.	Albay - 3rd	V	90.000	82.035	-	82.035	172.03
Locsin, Teodoro Jr. L.	Makati City - 1st	NCR	120.000	14.000	5.000	19.000	139.00
Lopez, Carol Jayne B.	YACAP Partylist		70.000	49.000	•	49.000	119.00
Lopez, Jaime C.	Manila - 2 nd	NCR	120.000	39.500	19.500	59.000	179.00
Macarambon, Benasing Jr. O	Lanao del Sur - 2nd	ARMM	-	-	-	-	0.00
Macias, Emilio II C.	Negros Or 2 nd	VII	20.000	4.100	7.000	11.100	31.10
Madamba, Sunny Rose A.	APEC Partylist		20.000	-	-	-	20.00
Madrona, Eleandro Jesus F.	Romblon - Lone	IV-B	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.00
Magsaysay, Eulogio R.	AVE Partylist		20.000	7.073	0.100	7.173	27.17
Magsaysay, Ma. Milagros H.	Zambales - 1st	III	120.000	147.751	-	147.751	267.75
Malanyaon, Corazon N.	Davao Oriental - 1st	XI	5.000	33.000	-	33.000	38.00
Malapitan, Oscar G.	Caloocan City - 1st	NCR	110.000	59.138	8.500	67.638	177.63
Mamba, Manuel N.	Cagayan - 3rd	II	80.000	-	-		80.00
Mandanas, Hermilando I.	Batangas - 2nd	IV-A	110.000	6.100	1.000	7.100	117.10
Mangudadatu, Datu Pax S.	Sultan Kudarat - 1st	XII	75.000	-	-	-	75.00

	Legislative District		Hard	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
Legislator		Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Mangudadatu, Suharto T.	Sultan Kudarat –Lone 13 th Congress	XII	20.000	-	-	-	20.000
Marañon, Alfredo III D.	Negros Occ 2 nd	VI	120.000	-			120.000
Marcoleta, Rodante D.	ALAGAD Partylist		30.000	23.500	3.750	27.250	57.250
Marcos, Ferdinand Jr. R.	Ilocos Norte - 2nd	1	80.000	-	-	-	80.000
Mariano, Rafael V.	Anakpawis Partylist		-	2.000	-	2.000	2.000
Martinez, Clavel A.	Cebu - 4th	VII	20.000	35.000		35.000	55.000
Matugas, Francisco T.	Sur. del Norte - 1st	CARAGA	79.900	8.510	13.500	22.010	101.910
Mendoza, Mark Llandro L.	Batangas - 4th	IV-A	92.000	6.500	1.200	7.700	99.700
Mercado, Roger G.	So. Leyte - Lone	VIII	120.000	1.100		1.100	121.100
Miraflores, Florencio T.	Aklan - Lone	VI	120.000	11.500		11.500	131.500
Miranda, Anthony C.	Isabela - 4th		20.000	21.000	-	21.000	41.000
Mitra, Abraham Kahlil B.	Palawan - 2nd	IV-B	90.000	5.800	3.000	8.800	98.800
Nantes, Rafael P.	Quezon - 1st	IV-A	20.000				20.000
Nava, Joaquin Carlos Rahman A.	Guimaras - Lone	VI	100.000	1.450	-	1.450	101.450
Nepomuceno, Francis L.	Pampanga - 1st		20.000	15.000		15.000	35.000
Nicolas, Reylina G.	Bulacan - 4th		120.000	110.440	0.350	110.790	230.790
Nieva, Ernesto A.	Manila - 1st	NCR	20.000	17.000	7.800	24.800	44.800
Noel, Florencio G.	An WARAY Partylist	NON	87.500	2.900	25.000	27.900	115.400
Nograles, Prospero C.	Davao City - 1st	XI	115.000	333.500	156.000	489.500	604.500
Olaño, Arrel R.	Davao del Norte - 1st	XI	115.000	57.100	6.000	63.100	178.100
Ong, Emil L.	N. Samar - 2 nd	VIII	90.000	71.500	0.000	71.500	161.500
			20.000		- 10.000		
Ortega, Manuel C.	La Union - 1 st	1		20.000	10.000	30.000	50.000
Ortega, Victor Francisco C.			100.000	13.000	5.000	18.000	118.000
Pablo, Ernesto C.	APEC Partylist		96.500	22.200	•	22.200	118.700
Padilla, Carlos M.	N. Vizcaya - Lone	<u> </u>	100.000	7.000	-	7.000	107.000
Pancho, Pedro M.	Bulacan - 2 nd		140.000	78.150	12.914	91.064	231.064
Pancrudo, Candido Jr. P.	Bukidnon - 1st	X	115.000	50.750	6.000	56.750	171.75
Paras, Jacinto V.	Negros Or 1st	VII	20.000	-	-	•	20.000
Petilla, Remedios L.	Leyte - 1 st	VIII	20.000	-	-	•	20.000
Piamonte, Mariano U.	A TEACHER Partylist		68.500	42.350	16.000	58.350	126.850
Pichay, Philip A.	Sur.del Sur - 1st	CARAGA	100.000	-	200.000	200.000	300.000
Pichay, Prospero Jr. A.			15.000	17.000	55.000	72.000	87.000
Ping-Ay, Jose R.	Coop-NATCCO Partylist		39.500	20.000	10.000	30.000	69.500
Pingoy, Arthur Jr. Y.	So. Cotabato - 2nd	XII	115.000	32.000	7.800	39.800	154.800
Piñol, Bernardo Jr. F.	N. Cotabato - 2 nd	XII	100.000	15.000	-	15.000	115.000
Plaza, Rodolfo G.	Agus. del Sur - Lone	CARAGA	115.000	27.300	6.000	33.300	148.300
Prieto-Teodoro, Monica Louise	Tarlac - 1st	III	100.000	54.000	-	54.000	154.000
Puentevella, Monico O.	Bacolod City - Lone	VII	120.000	15.650	-	15.650	135.650
Puno, Roberto V.	Antipolo City - 1st	IV-A	100.000	-	6.000	6.000	106.000
Ramiro, Herminia M.	Misamis Occ 2nd	Х	115.000	-	8.000	8.000	123.000
Real, Isidoro Jr. E.	Zambo. del Sur - 1st	IX	20.000	8.950	-	8.950	28.950
Remulla, Gilbert C.	Cavite - 2 nd	IV-A	20.000	-	-		20.000
Remulla, Jesus Crispin C.	Cavite - 3rd	IV-A	100.000	-	-		100.000
Reyes, Carmencita O.	Marinduque - Lone	IV-B	95.000	26.570	-	26.570	121.57
Reyes, Edmundo Jr. O.]		20.000	0.100		0.100	20.100
Reyes, Victoria H.	Batangas - 3rd	IV-A	120.000	3.000		3.000	123.000
Robes, Arturo B.	San Jose del Monte City - Lone	III	100.000	60.400	-	60.400	160.400
Roces, Miles M.	Manila - 3rd	NCR	20.000	24.000	-	24.000	44.00

	Legislative District		Hard VILP (in M ₽)	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
Legislator		Region		PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M P)
Rodriguez, Isidro Jr. S.	Rizal - 2 nd	IV-A	20.000				20.000
Rodriguez, Rufus B.	Cag. de Oro City -2 nd 14 th Congress	X	100.000	15.200	-	15.200	115.200
Rodriguez-Zaldarriaga, Adelina S.	Rizal - 2 nd	IV-A	85.000	2.000	-	2.000	87.000
Roman, Antonino P.	Bataan - 1 st		20.000		· ·		20.00
Roman, Herminia B.	1		100.000	38.395	-	38.395	138.39
Romarate, Guillermo Jr. A.	Sur.del Norte - 2nd	CARAGA	95.000		· · ·		95.00
Romualdez, Ferdinand Martin G.	Leyte - 1st	VIII	100.000	5.250	· ·	5.250	105.25
Romualdo, Jurdin Jesus M.	Camiguin - Lone	X	20.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.00
Romualdo, Pedro P.]		95.000				95.00
Romulo, Roman T.	Pasig City - Lone	NCR	100.000	39.960	18.460	58.420	158.42
Roquero, Eduardo V.	San Jose Del Monte City -Lone		20.000	15.000	20.000	35.000	55.00
Roxas, Jose Antonio F.	Pasay City - Lone	NCR	100.000	42.000	24.000	66.000	166.00
Salapuddin, Gerry A.	Basilan - Lone	ARMM	20.000				20.00
Salceda, Jose Clemente S.	Albay - 3rd	V	35.000	15.000	15.000	30.000	65.00
Salimbangon, Benhur L.	Cebu - 4 th	VII	85.000	11.800	-	11.800	96.80
Salvacion, Andreas Jr. D.	Leyte - 3rd	VIII	100.000	0.250	-	0.250	100.25
San Luis, Edgar S.	Laguna - 4th	IV-A	100.000	7.150		7.150	107.15
Sandoval, Alvin S.	Malabon/Navotas -	NCR	100.000	85.950	45.000	130.950	230.95
Sandoval, Federico II S.	Lone	NON -	20.000	22.000	20.000	42.000	62.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Catanduanes - Lone	V	120.000	79.000	15.000	94.000	214.00
Santiago, Joseph A. Santiago, Narciso III D.	ARC Partylist		95.000	79.000	- 15.000		95.00
Santos, Estrella DL.	VFP Partylist		18.000	9.000	· ·	9.000	27.00
Seachon-Lanete, Rizalina L.	Masbate - 3rd	V	120.000	113.550		113.550	233.55
		CAR		113.330		113.330	
Seares-Luna, Cecilia S.	Abra - Lone	CAR	100.000	15.000	•	15.000	100.00
Señeres, Hans Christian	BUHAY Partylist	NCR	20.000		7.750		35.00
Serapio, Antonio M.	Valenzuela City - 2 nd		19.500	15.000		22.750	42.25
Silverio, Lorna C.	Bulacan - 3rd		120.000	82.120	•	82.120	202.12
Singson, Eric D.	Ilocos Sur - 2 nd		82.500	•	•	-	82.50
Singson, Ronald V.	Ilocos Sur - 1st		90.000	-	-	-	90.00
Solis, Jose G.	Sorsogon - 2 nd	V	105.000	84.000	10.000	94.000	199.00
Soon-Ruiz, Nerissa Corazon	Cebu - 6 th	VII	120.000	33.400	11.250	44.650	164.65
Suarez, Danilo E.	Quezon - 3rd	IV-A	120.000	3.000	-	3.000	123.00
Sumulong, Victor R.	Antipolo City - 2 nd	IV-A	40.000	10.000	-	10.000	50.00
Suplico, Rolex T.	Iloilo - 5 th	VI	-	15.000	10.000	25.000	25.00
Susano, Mary Ann L.	Quezon City - 2 nd	NCR	120.000	5.600	3.500	9.100	129.10
Sy-Alvarado, Ma. Victoria R.	Bulacan - 1st		100.000	73.000	•	73.000	173.00
Syjuco, Judy J.	Iloilo - 2 nd	VI	100.000	1.000	•	1.000	101.00
Sy-Limkaichong, Jocelyn	Negros Or 1st	VII	91.000	0.500	-	0.500	91.50
Taliño-Mendoza, Emmylou J.	N. Cotabato - 1st	XII	115.000	7.400	-	7.400	122.40
Tan, Sharee Ann T.	Western Samar - 2nd	VIII	100.000	5.460	-	5.460	105.46
Tañada, Lorenzo III R.	Quezon - 4th	IV-A	100.000	3.900	0.500	4.400	104.40
Teodoro, Gilberto Jr. C.	Tarlac - 1st		40.000	25.000	-	25.000	65.00
Teodoro, Marcelino R.	Marikina City- 1st	NCR	100.000	47.424	5.800	53.224	153.22
Teves, Herminio G.	Negros Or 3rd	VII	20.000	10.000	-	10.000	30.00
Teves, Pryde Henry A.]		100.000	-		-	100.00
Tieng, William Irwin	BUHAY Partylist		79.500	7.150	-	7.150	86.65
Tomawis, Acmad M.	ALIF Partylist		5.000	-	-	-	5.00
Tulagan, Generoso D.	Pangasinan - 3rd	1	20.000	10.000		10.000	30.00

	Legislative District	Region	Hard	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
Legislator			VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Tupas, Niel Jr. C.	lloilo - 5 th	VI	160.000	12.000	6.000	18.000	. 178.000
Umali, Alfonso Jr. V.	Or. Mindoro – 2 nd	IV-B	100.000	8.925	1.000	9.925	109.925
Umali, Aurelio M.	Nueva Ecija - 3rd		20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.000
Umali, Czarina D.	Nueva Ecija - 3rd		120.000	61.200		61.200	181.200
Ungab, Isidro T.	Davao City - 3rd	XI	115.000	76.500	6.000	82.500	197.50
Unico, Renato Jr. J.	Cam. Norte - Lone	V	20.000	28.000		28.000	48.00
Uy, Edwin C.	Isabela - 2 nd		100.000			-	100.000
Uy, Reynaldo S.	Western Samar - 1st	VIII	120.000				120.000
Uy, Rolando A.	Cag. de Oro City -2 nd 14 th Congress	X	75.000	18.700	16.000	34.700	109.700
Valdez, Edgar L.	APEC Partylist		123.850	92.100	-	92.100	215.950
Valencia, Rodolfo G.	Or. Mindoro - 1st	IV-B	120.000	11.150	-	11.150	131.150
Vargas, Florencio L.	Cagayan - 2nd		107.500				107.50
Velarde, Rene M.	BUHAY Partylist		110.450	27.650	5.000	32.650	143.10
Veloso, Eduardo K.	Leyte - 3rd	VIII	20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.00
Villafuerte, Luis R.	Camarines Sur - 2 nd	V	120.000	69.870	2.000	71.870	191.870
Villanueva, Emmanuel Joel J.	CIBAC Partylist		102.300	51.950	2.000	51.950	154.25
Villar, Cynthia A.	Las Pinas City - Lone	NCR	1102.000	60.000	30.000	90.000	200.00
Villarosa, Ma. Amelita C.	Occ. Mindoro - Lone	IV-B	120.000	0.200	- 30.000	0.200	120.20
Vinzons-Chato, Liwayway	Cam. Norte - Lone	V	100.000	40.600		40.600	140.60
Violago, Eleuterio R.	Nueva Ecija - 2 nd		20.000	25.000		25.000	45.00
Violago, Joseph Gilbert F.			100.000	38.143		38.143	138.14
· · ·	Kalinga Long	CAR			2.914		
Wacnang, Laurence B.	Kalinga - Lone		20.000	15.000		17.914	37.91
Yap, Jose V.	Tarlac - 2nd		100.000	75.500	10.000	85.500	185.50
Yapha, Antonio Jr. P.	Cebu - 3rd	VII	20.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.00
Yu, Victor J.	Zambo. del Sur - 1st	IX	95.000	0.350	6.000	6.350	101.35
Zamora, Manuel E.	Compo. Valley - 1st	XI	3,114.500	88.100	6.500	94.600	3,209.100
Zamora, Ronaldo B.	San Juan - Lone	NCR	100.000	56.250	12.800	69.050	169.050
Zialcita, Eduardo C.	Paranaque City - 1st	NCR	120.000	43.750	11.250	55.000	175.000
Zubiri, Jose Maria III F.	Bukidnon - 3rd	X	100.000	-	-	•	100.000
Sub-Total SENATORS			28,783.012	7,945.294	2,050.113	9,994.407	38,777.419
Angara, Edgardo J.	1		288.375	553.770	20.500	574.270	862.64
Aquino, Benigno III S.	1		40.000	333.110	- 20.300	374.270	40.00
Biazon, Rodolfo G.	1		192.000	53.000		53.000	245.00
Cayetano, Allan Peter S.	1		267.000	49.500	34.500	84.000	351.000
Cayetano, Pia S.	1			15.150	34.300	15.150	
			108.100	480.650	50.000		123.25
Ejercito-Estarada, Jinggoy Ejercito-Estrada, Luisa			294.500	400.000	- 50.000	530.650	825.15
Enrile, Juan Ponce			110.800 252.000		41.000		
			252.000	611.500		652.500	904.50
Flavier, Juan M.			-	1.000	•	1.000	1.00
Gordon, Richard J.			142.200	104.100	-	104.100	246.30
Honasan, Gregorio B.			240.000	93.000	15.000	108.000	348.00
Lapid, Manuel M.			260.000	86.700	20.000	106.700	366.70
Legarda, Loren B.			93.500	29.700	0.000	29.700	123.20
Magsaysay, Ramon Jr. B.			10.000	12.500	-	12.500	22.50
Pangilinan, Francisco N.			130.000	61.050	•	61.050	191.05
Pimentel, Aquilino Jr. Q.			55.560	5.000	1.000	6.000	61.56
Revilla, Ramon Jr. B.			300.000	473.000	80.000	553.000	853.00

Table 4. Releases from PDAF, VILP and Other Sources from CYs 2007 to 2009 Per Legislator as Provided by the DBM and Gathered by the Team							
			Hard	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
Legislator	Legislative District	Region VILP (in M P)		PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Roxas, Manuel II A.			-	-	5.000	5.000	5.000
Santiago, Miriam D.			400.000	151.850	-	151.850	551.850
Trillanes, Antonio F.			-	3.000	-	3.000	3.000
Recto, Ralph G.			99.000	30.000	-	30.000	129.000
Villar, Manuel Jr. B.			20.500	77.100	37.900	115.000	135.500
Zubiri, Juan Miguel F.			260.000	56.400	-	56.400	316.400
Sub-Total			3,563.535	2,942.979	304.900	3,252.870	6,816.405
Total			32,346.547	10,892.264	2,355.513	13,247.277	45,593.824
Unidentified Solons			69.261.256	1,126.045	6.500	1,132.545	70,393.802
Grand Total			101,607.803	12,018.309	2,361.513	14,379.822	115,987.626

- e. Out of the total releases gathered by the Team, **₽8.374 Billion** and **₽32.664 Billion** (See Table 7) out of PDAF and VILP, respectively, were covered in the Audit. These represent 58 percent and 32 percent of the total PDAF and VILP, respectively, accounted for in Table 4.
- f. As tabulated by the Team from available SAROs, releases for projects identified by 74 legislators exceeded their respective allocations. (See Table 10)
- g. Funds were released by the DBM even for projects outside the legislative districts of the sponsoring congressmen. (See Table 11)
- h. Funds were released by the DBM to IAs without administrative and technical capabilities to implement the project or for no specific purpose at all, or for projects outside the IAs' mandated functions. Thus, around **P35.865 Million** (See Table 13) released to eight IAs from October 2006 to October 2009 remained unused as of audit date.

2. IAs

The IAs, in turn, used the funds without due regard to existing rules and regulations. Substantial amounts were transferred to NGOs, without any appropriation law or ordinance authorizing such transfer and were used for projects not eligible under the program. Worse, the reported projects were supported with questionable and/or spurious documents. Infrastructure projects were not effectively implemented as a number were found deficient or implemented in private lots, among others, which is prohibited under the law. Specifically:

a. Livelihood and Other Projects

- The IAs continuously released funds amounting to **P6.156 Billion** (See Table 15) to NGOs for the implementation of various projects despite the absence of an appropriation law or ordinance earmarking certain amounts to be contracted out to NGOs, in violation of Government Procurement Policy Board (GPPB) Resolution No. 12-2007, adopted and approved as Section 53(j) of the Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR)-A of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 9184 and incorporated in the Revised IRR as Section 53.11. This Section provides that the procuring entity may enter into Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with an NGO when an appropriation law or ordinance earmarked an amount to be specifically contracted out to NGOs. In the absence of any appropriation, then, such transfers or releases are not legally authorized.
- The NGOs were selected on the basis alone of the purported endorsement by the sponsoring legislators and not through public bidding, as also required under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007.
- The various MOA entered into with the NGOs by a number of IAs did not comply with the requirements of COA Circular No. 2007-001 dated October 25, 2007. They did not indicate, among others, the time schedules for the periodic inspection/evaluation, reporting and monitoring requirements, visitorial audit by the officials and personnel of COA, equity requirement equivalent to 20 percent of the total contract cost, brief project description, and intended beneficiaries.
- The implementation of 772 projects by the selected 82 NGOs out of funds transferred by 10 IAs amounting to **P6.156 Billion** (See Table 15) was not proper and highly irregular. There were no bases for the selection of suppliers as they did not conduct biddings while substantial transactions and distributions of items purportedly procured were not documented. The implementation is particularly questionable for the following reasons:
 - A number of NGOs, along with their suppliers turned out to be unknown or unlocated at their given addresses, or have given nonexistent addresses, or addresses traced in a mere shanty or high-end residential units without any NGO signages and of which, some turned out to be the residences of their owner/officer (See Tables 18 and 23);
 - A number of NGOs and suppliers, which cannot be located at their given addresses, were found to have no permits to operate business

or not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC);

- A number of NGOs were charging their operating expenses such as salaries and administrative expenses against PDAF (See Table 19);
- A number of these NGOs were incorporated and/or managed or have been managed by the same persons with a number of them connected or have been connected to as many as six NGOs (See Table 20);
- Around P1.531 Billion transferred to 55 NGOs remained completely unliquidated as of audit date (See Annex B). On the other hand, almost all of those for which liquidation documents were submitted were found in audit to be deficient or otherwise irregular. These included expenses of P28.605 Million for the conduct of three studies which were not at all used (See Annex C pages 266 and 267);
- An NGO submitted the same list of beneficiaries to two different agencies, and/or list of beneficiaries taken from the published list of board/bar examination passers for various professions (See Table 21);
- A number of suppliers and recipients including the sponsoring legislators denied their participation in the implementation of the purported projects (See Tables 22 and 27);
- A number of establishments which confirmed their transactions with the selected NGOs issued questionable receipts (See Table 24). They were either using different Authority to Print (ATP) receipts/invoices purportedly issued by the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) but covering the same series of receipt numbers, or using ATPs and Tax Identification Numbers (TINs) being used by other suppliers. They did not also report such transactions to the concerned LGUs; they declared in their application for renewal of business permits relatively insignificant amounts of gross sales in relation to their transactions with the covered IAs alone;
- Six NGOs were incorporated by the legislators themselves or their relatives (See Table 26);
- The same or similar trainings have been repeatedly attended by almost the same beneficiaries and/or conducted in the same

barangays. This is neither effective nor realistic (See Tables 29, 30 and 31);

- Reported but unlocated beneficiaries were oftentimes confirmed by the LGU officials or the Commission on Election (COMELEC) Registrars as non-residents or non-registered voters within their purported residences/districts;
- The 82 NGOs with releases from 10 IAs ranging from **₽0.300** Million to **₽585.359** Million in the total amount of **₽6.156** Billion are presented in *Annex C*.
- The details of these Findings are discussed in Part III, Chapter 2 of this Report.
- The implementation of various livelihood projects by the IAs themselves, specifically the three DA-RFUs, in the total amount of **₽152.408 Million** (See Table 33) was not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184. These were not properly advertised and, in several instances, awarded to suppliers identified by the legislator and/or of questionable legal and physical existence. Moreover, a number of items procured are no longer eligible under PDAF and were mostly not supported with distribution list. In many cases, a number of recipients denied receipt of the items or cannot be located at their given addresses. The furnitures and equipment worth **₽2.025 Million** procured by DSWD-RFO III for Day Care Center were also not eligible for funding under PDAF and not a priority need of the recipients.
- Funds amounting to **P107.024 Million** (See Table 36) were released by six IAs to 33 cooperatives and associations to finance the latter's respective micro financing activities and/or procure various equipment and supplies for their own operations, while DPWH-Tarlac 1st DEO constructed project worth **P1.0 Million** for the Cooperative Bank of Tarlac. The cooperatives are private institutions composed of private individuals. Hence, such use of fund is questionable as it does not come within the purview of public purpose. Moreover, the implementation of some of these projects is questionable as a number of recipients denied receiving the items purportedly distributed.

b. Infrastructure Projects

• Forty-one projects costing **₽1.393 Billion**, implemented by selected DPWH ROs and DEOs, and LGUs were found deficient by **₽46.262** Million. These were not constructed strictly in accordance with plans

and specifications or included excessive quantities of reflective pavement studs (RPS) and other construction materials (See *Annex F*).

- Fifty-four other projects costing **P161.498 Million** were constructed in private lots without any document to support the turn over of such properties to the government (See Table 43). The prohibition on the use of government funds for the development of private properties was already decided by the Supreme Court in various cases, among which is "Pascual vs. Secretary of Public Works," G.R. No. L-10405 dated December 29, 1960.
- Contract costs of a number of projects were excessive by **P100.989 Million** due to erroneous application of rates on various items and splitting of contracts (See Tables 45, 48, 51 and 53).
- Around 90 projects were either not utilized/fully utilized indicating that the funds could have been used for more urgently needed projects, or not properly maintained and in a state of deterioration, or construction not properly planned and thereupon replaced, or already in the process of replacement (See Table 55).
- The estimates for safety, health and other miscellaneous items were not supported with detailed computations as required under DPWH Department Order (DO) No. 56, series of 2005. These were quoted in lump sum amounts in percentages ranging from 0.013 percent to 11.405 percent of the total contract cost for each item and billed as such by the contractors (See Table 56). The estimates even included vehicles which are required under existing regulations to be approved by the President (See Table 57).

c. Financial Assistance and Other Charges by the LGUs

- Releases to LGUs for soft projects were not properly utilized. Disbursements amounting to **P1.289 Billion** were not compliant with existing rules and regulations particularly R.A. No. 9184 (See Table 61). These included substantial procurements which were no longer within the menu of projects eligible to be funded under PDAF and/or supported with questionable documents. Specifically:
 - Procurements were not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184 with a number of contracts awarded to suppliers not legally existing;

- Twenty-eight suppliers of the City Government of Mandaluyong denied 167 transactions amounting to ₽28.744 Million (See Table 62);
- Twelve IAs transacted with 208 suppliers of questionable existence. These suppliers are either unknown at their given addresses, or issued receipts of questionable validity, or have no permit to operate (See Tables 63 and 64);
- A number of items reportedly procured were even unaccounted for and cannot be presented despite demand (See Table 65);
- The funds were used for the regular operations of the LGUs and other government offices including the Offices of the Congressional Districts and/or to grant financial assistance to various organizations, associations and individuals without establishing the need and for purposes not covered by the GAA menu (See Tables 67 and 68);
- Disbursement Vouchers (DVs) and supporting documents amounting to **₽250.377 Million** were not submitted to the Team for evaluation and audit (See Table 69).

MANAGEMENTS' COMMENTS

The audit highlights summarizing the deficiencies noted during the Audit were forwarded to the concerned IAs from July 9 to September 13, 2012. Initially, the comments were requested to be submitted from July 30 to September 24, 2012. In view of a number of requests for extension of time within which to submit comments, the Team extended the submission of managements' comments to October 10, 2012. Still, not all IAs submitted comments. All comments received by the Team as of December 3, 2012 were considered in the report.

In general, the IAs claimed that:

a. On livelihood projects

• Intervention in the implementation of livelihood projects tended to be more recommendatory in nature or was merely to facilitate the transfer of funds, as the projects and NGOs were endorsed by the sponsoring legislators.

- NLDC has fully relied on the Office of the Legislators to supervise and ascertain project implementation.
- TRC has few staff assigned to the task of validation and had been requiring NGOs to present original receipts to account utilization of funds.
- NLDC has been looking into interlocking personalities, establishing the legal and physical existence of NGOs endorsed by the legislators, blacklisted a number of NGOs, and has initially expressed hesitance in being part of the PDAF implementation as early as November 2008 since it runs counter to its mandate to provide credit to micro-enterpreneurs which remains to be its foremost priority.
- The incumbent President of NABCOR assumed office only on October 9, 2011 and, therefore, cannot comment on the observations. The former NABCOR and ZREC Presidents were also furnished copy of the audit highlights but did not submit any comment.
- The project implementation is directly participated by the proponent legislators.

b. On infrastructure projects

- The missing RPS are either stolen, or dislodged, or replaced by another District Office and the projects as reported were completed and all items were installed as planned. The installation of RPS, in short interval of only 3 m. to 4 m., was intended to ensure visibility during night time.
- DPWH-NCR is using the updated costing in the Detailed Unit Price Analysis (DUPA) prescribing the application ratio of 0.75 to 1.5 liters/sq.m. for Item 302, Bituminous Tack Coat, and that for Metro Manila, the prescribed Standard Specification of 0.20 to 0.70/sq. m. had to be revised as the same is no longer applicable.
- Barangay Officials relied on the DPWH and City Engineering Office for the preparation of plans, Program of Works (POW) and even in determining the extent of project completion.
- DPWH-DEO posited that it is the responsibility of the end-users to use and maintain the structures upon turned-over.

c. On financial assistance and other projects

- Fund transfers or financial assistance were granted upon the request or direction of the sponsoring legislators. The LGUs merely acted as initial depository and conduit of the funds.
- Expenses were intended to address pro-poor programs and as financial assistance to various individuals and associations.
- The IAs may use PDAF to complement or expand their regular programs designed to ease the difficulty of its most needy citizens.
- Procurements were not posted in the PhilGEPs as the LGUs IT Section was operationalized only towards the end of 2009.
- Most LGU officials claimed they were not aware of the menu of programs in the GAA.
- Barangay Officials claimed they have no direct control and participation in the utilization of such funds.

TEAM'S REJOINDER

Under Section 2 of P.D. No. 1445, the responsibility to ensure that government funds are utilized in accordance with laws and regulations and safeguarded against loss or wastage through illegal or improper disposition rests on the head of the concerned government agency. Such fiscal responsibility pertains to all funds received by the agency including those sourced from PDAF and VILP. The IAs, being government agencies, cannot relinquish this responsibility and claim minimal participation and responsibilities as mere conduits of funds or documents, for that matter. They cannot also plead to be unaware of the menu prescribed in the GAA. The GAA is the most vital legislation on the national budget and prescribes when and how the people's money should be spent. It behooves the IAs to know and comply with the GAA.

It is also incumbent upon the IAs to assess their mandate and technical and administrative capability to implement, manage, and monitor projects for implementation under PDAF before accepting any fund transfers. More than simply receiving any and all documents submitted by NGOs, a large part of the IA's responsibility is to look into the feasibility of the project proposals and the qualifications of the NGOs proposed to be involved in the projects. This is proper exercise of due diligence and care to ensure that legal and regulatory requirements

for the release and utilization of funds are duly complied with, and that the people's money is not wasted or misused. As noted during the Audit, a number of NGOs are either non-existent or could not be located or lack legal personality to transact business. Worse, a number of them were found to have submitted questionable documents.

In respect of infrastructure projects, there is a need for DPWH to revisit certain policies and practices, e.g., the applicability of a number of existing standards to determine if the same are actually suitable or appropriate given present conditions. For instance, in the case of the missing RPS, considering that the RPS installed on asphalt paved road can easily be stolen or dislodged as claimed, then, the matter of propriety of installing this item, under such condition, must be re-studied. Likewise, there is a need to examine the practice of including a number of miscellaneous items in lump sum amounts in the project costs. Similarly, before approving a project for implementation, DPWH should consider the capability of end-users to maintain multi-purpose buildings, and secure their commitment to use and maintain the same accordingly.

The financial assistance granted to various beneficiaries and items procured by the LGUs either for donation or, otherwise, for its own operations cannot be ascertained or validated to have been for any pro-poor program of the government as these were released and/or procured without any supporting documents. These were not supported with project profile, evaluation reports on the necessity of providing assistance and eligibility under PDAF, or any document to manifest the need to release assistance. In most cases, these were not even supported with distribution list and/or proof of receipt by the beneficiaries. There were also no selection criteria of beneficiaries.

PDAF is not intended to complement and expand the regular programs of the LGUs; it is intended to address specific menu of projects and programs defined in the GAA.

The absence of IT Section of the LGUs cannot also be used as an excuse in its failure to post procurement requirements in the PhilGEPS as the LGUs can post their procurement requirements at the DBM website.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In view of the noted deficiencies in the implementation of the priority projects and programs of the legislators, the Team recommended courses of actions for the DBM, Legislators and IAs, which are presented under Part IV of the report.

In general, it is recommended that:

1. DBM

- Maintain an accurate record and accounts of each legislator and ensure that releases for the projects of each legislator does not exceed their respective appropriation;
- Determine if the request for allocation from a legislator is properly within the GAA menu; if not, to advise the legislator of ineligibility and hold the issuance of SARO;
- Expressly state in the SARO that the amounts covered thereby shall not be transferred by the IAs to an NGO when there is no appropriation or ordinance authorizing the same;
- Consider issuing more specific guidelines on the utilization of PDAF indicating, among others, the specific projects earmarked for the implementation by the NGOs, should there be any, and the specific propoor programs of the government that should be addressed under PDAF;
- Secure the commitment of the IAs to implement the project before releasing any amount to them and closely monitor project implementation; and
- Blacklist all NGOs found submitting questionable documents, not liquidating fund transfers on time and not capable of implementing the projects, and take other actions appropriate under the circumstances.

2. IAs

- Accept funds only for projects within their mandate, administrative and technical capabilities to manage, implement and monitor;
- Ensure that only projects eligible for funding under PDAF are implemented;
- Release funds to the NGOs only for projects earmarked under the appropriation law or specific ordinance for their implementation;
- Select NGOs in the manner required under existing rules and regulations;
- Require NGOs to comply with R.A. No. 9184 and its IRR in all their procurements as funds transferred to them retained their character as public funds;
- Support all transactions with valid and complete documents;

- Blacklist NGOs and their officers for submitting questionable documents, failure to effectively implement the project, or fully document their disbursements, or liquidate funds transferred to them within the prescribed period, and take other actions appropriate under the circumstances;
- Submit status report on the implementation of the PDAF project to the concerned legislator.
- Comply with existing laws, rules and regulations at all times;
- Return expired SAROs/unused funds;
- Require the NGOs to submit to the auditorial authority of the COA; and
- Immediately investigate and determine accountable officers and employees responsible in the inefficient management and implementation of PDAF projects and file appropriate charges for gross dereliction of duty, among others.
- Require compliance by the NGOs with the Procurement Act and other applicable laws and regulations in the disbursement of funds.

3. For Legislators

- Limit participation in the implementation of PDAF project to identification of projects and IAs;
- Ensure that the identified projects are eligible to be funded under PDAF and that IAs have the mandate, technical and administrative capabilities and willingness to implement the projects;
- Require the identified IAs to submit progress and financial reports on the implementation of project;
- Ensure that projects identified are the projects most beneficial to the constituents;
- For Congressmen, to limit the project coverage within their congressional districts; and
- For Partylist Representatives, to limit the project coverage within their respective Sectors.

Part II

The PDAF and VILP

INTRODUCTION

Congressional allocations under the PDAF are directly released by the DBM to the IAs identified by the legislators. Generally, the proponent legislator submits to the Appropriations Committee the project proposal for funding out of PDAF. The Appropriations Committee, in turn, submits the project proposal to the DBM, through the Speaker of the House or Senate President, for evaluation and release of SARO and Notice of Cash Allocation (NCA) to the selected IAs.

ELIGIBLE PROJECTS AND IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

Under the pertinent provisions of the GAAs for the years covered in the Audit, the congressional allocation shall be used to finance 12 major projects to be implemented by the following agencies:

Table 5. GAA Menu of Programs Eligible for Funding under PDAF for CYs 2007-2009					
Particulars	Program/Project	IA			
Education	Purchase of IT Equipment	DepEd / TESDA / CHED / SUCs / LGUs			
	Scholarship	TESDA/CHED/SUCs/LGUs			
Health	Assistance to indigent patients either confined or out- patients, in hospitals under DOH including specialty hospitals	DOH / Specialty Hospitals / UPS-PGH, WVSU Hospital			
	Purchase of medical equipment				
	Assistance to indigent patients at the hospitals devolved to LGUs and RHUs	LGUs			
	Insurance premium	Philhealth			
Livelihood/ CIDSS	Small and Medium Enterprise/Livelihood	DTI / DA / CDA / OMA / TLRC (2007) / LIVECOR (2007 & 2008) / TRC (2008) / NLDC (2009)			
	Comprehensive Integrated Delivery of Social Services	DSWD			
Rural Electrification	Barangay Rural Electrification	DOE/NEA/LGUs			
Water Supply	Construction of Water System	DPWH			
	Installation of pipes/pumps/tanks	LGUs			
	Water Supply Dev't for Local Water Districts	LWUA			
Financial Assistance	Specific programs and projects to address the pro-poor programs of the Government	LGUs			
Public Works	Construction / Repair / Rehabilitation of Roads and Bridges / Flood Control / School Buildings / Hospitals / Health Facilities / Public Markets / Multi-Purpose Buildings	DPWH			

Table	5. GAA Menu of Programs Eligible for Funding under	er PDAF for CYs 2007-2009
Particulars	Program/Project	IA
	/ Multi-Purpose Pavements	
	Construction / Repair / Rehabilitation of Academic Buildings	SUCs
Irrigation	Construction/Repair/Rehab of Irrigation Facilities	DA/NIA
Peace and Order	Purchase of firetrucks and firefighting equipment, Patrol Vehicles, Prisoners' Vans and Multicabs	DILG-PNP
	Const'n / Repair of Police, Jail and Fire Stations	DILG (2007 & 2008) BFP, BJMP
Housing	Construction of housing units for eligible beneficiaries	NHA/LGUs
Forest Management	Establishment / Rehabilitation / Maintenance and Protection of Forest, Mangroves and Watersheds	DENR
	Upland Agro-Forestry Development Program specially for the Planting of Jetropha Curcas L	NRDC – PFC
Historical/Art/ Culture	Historical Sites/Heritage, Documentary Files of Culture and Arts, Promotion of Filipino Music	NHI and FDCP (2007) and NCCA

GOVERNING LAWS, RULES AND REGULATIONS

The implementation of PDAF and VILP from CYs 2007 to 2009 is primarily governed by the following laws and regulations:

Table 6. Laws and Regula	Table 6. Laws and Regulations Governing Implementation of PDAF and VILP				
Laws, Rules & Regulations	Subject				
Special Provision No.1 under XLVII-CY 2007, the XLVI-CY 2008 and XLIX-CY 2009 of GAA	Use and release of funds allocated under PDAF				
R.A. No. 9184	Government Procurement Reform Act				
Section 4 of P.D. No. 1445	Providing the fundamental principles in the disbursement and disposition of government funds or properties				
R.A. No. 6938	An Act to Ordain a Cooperative Code of the Philippines				
COA Circular No. 2007-001 dated October 25, 2007	Revised guidelines in the granting, utilization, accounting and auditing of the funds released to NGOs/POs				
COA Circular No. 97-002 dated February 10, 1997	Restatement with amendments of the rules and regulations in the granting, utilization and liquidation of cash advances provided for under COA Circular No. 90-331 dated May 3, 1990				
COA Circular No. 2009-002 dated May 18, 2009	Reinstituting Selective Pre-Audit on Government Transactions				
National Budget Circular (NBC) No. 476 dated September 20, 2001	Guidelines on the release of funds chargeable against the Priority Development Assistance Fund for the Second Semester of FY 2001 and thereafter				
GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007	Amendment of Section 53 of the IRR, Part A of R.A. No. 9184 and prescribing guidelines on Participation of Non-Governmental Organizations in Public Procurement				

Table 6. Laws and Regula	tions Governing Implementation of PDAF and VILP
Laws, Rules & Regulations	Subject
DSWD Circular No. 33, series of 2005, and DSWD Memorandum Circular No. 15 series of 2009	Guidelines on the Management and Utilization of PDAF/Congressional Initiative (CI)
Treasury Order No. 01-95 dated December 5, 1995 and Treasury Order No. 01-99 dated January 1, 1999	Regulations promulgated for effective bonding of accountable public officers pursuant to the provisions of Public Bonding Law
Treasury Order No. 02-2009 dated October 1, 2009	Revised Omnibus Regulations Governing the Fidelity Bonding of Accountable Officers Pursuant to the Public Bonding Law
DPWH D.O. No. 57 series of 2002 dated February 13, 2002	Preparation of Approved Budget for the Contract
DPWH D.O. No. 56 series of 2005	Guidelines for the Implementation of Department of Labor and Employments (DOLE) D.O. No. 13 series of 1998 on Occupational Safety and Health in the Construction Industry
DPWH Standard Specifications	Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways, Volume II
Association of Carriers and Equipment Lessors (ACEL) Guidebook (Adopted by the DPWH)	System of equipment leasing which is accepted as the best possible alternative to acquiring heavy equipment for immediate use, where outright purchase may not be possible.

RELEASES DURING CYs 2007 TO 2009 TO IAS COVERED IN THE AUDIT

The total funds released for PDAF cannot be fully established by the Team because despite repeated requests from the DBM, the Team was not provided with total releases per legislator and per IA. The Team was provided only with lists of releases out of VILP which were not even complete. Based, however, on available SAROs and data gathered from the concerned IAs, DBM CO, NCR, ROs Nos. III, V and XI, around **#101.608 Billion** was released from VILP for hard projects of which **#32.664 Billion** was released to the DPWH ROs and DEOs covered in the Audit. On the other hand, PDAF covered in the Audit for soft projects released to selected NGAs, GOCCs and LGUs amounted to **#8.374 Billion**:

Table 7. Releases Covered in the Audit					
IA	Amount Audited (in Billion P)	Remarks			
	2007 2008 2009 Total				
I. VILP - DPWH					
NCR					
Regional Office	0.327 0.117 2.063 2.507	Covered in the evaluation but inspected only			
FMMDEO	0.110 0.913 1.222 2.245	selected projects.			
SMMDEO	0.430 0.052 0.399 0.881				

	Am	ount Audite	ed (in Billion	₽)		
A	2007	2008	2009	Total	Remarks	
TMMDEO	0.311	0.435	1.219	1.965		
Region III	· ·	1			Covered in the evaluation but inspected only	
Nueva Ecija DEO	0.059	0.078	0.129	0.266	selected projects.	
Tarlac City DEO	0.060	0.081	0.084	0.225		
Region V						
Regional Office		0.846	10.273	11.119		
Albay 1st DEO	2.189	2.599	2.351	7.139		
Cam Sur 1st DEO	0.111	0.225	0.515	0.851		
Region XI	0.111	0.220	0.010	0.001		
Regional Office	0.884	0.043	1.210	2.137		
Davao City DEO	0.499	0.544	0.656	1.699		
Davao del Norte DEO	0.426	0.186	1.018	1.630		
Sub-Total	5.406	6.119	21.139	32.664		
. PDAF	J.400	0.117	21.137	JZ.004		
NGAs						
DA	0.306	0.991	0.396	1.693	Projects transferred to NABCOR and ZRE amounting to ₽1.509 Billion and sub-allotte to DA-RFUs III, V and XI.	
DSWD	0.434	0.267	0.245	0.946	Funds transferred by CO, NCR and RFOs I to covered NGOs and sub-alloted to RFOs II V and XI.	
GOCCs	· ·	1				
NLDC		0.213	1.046	1.259	Funds transferred to NGOs.	
TLRC/TRC	1.686	0.731	0.023	2.440	Funds transferred to NGOs amounting t P2.432 Billion and P0.008 Billion to th Municipal Governments of M'lang an Magpet, North Cotabato.	
LGUs						
NCR						
Manila	0.012	0.085	0.039	0.136	Selected projects implemented by the Ci Government including releases to 1 barangays	
Quezon City	0.077	0.123	0.072	0.272	Selected projects implemented by the Ci Government including releases to 9 barangays	
Taguig City	0.022	0.074	0.092	0.188	Selected projects implemented by the C Government including releases to barangays	
Las Piñas City	0.011	0.138	0.020	0.169	Total accounted releases.	
Mandaluyong City	0.071	0.112	0.330	0.513		
Region III	·	1			2	
Nueva Ecija	0.002	0.025	0.020	0.047	Selected transactions.	
Bataan	0.007	0.022	0.031	0.060		
Tarlac	0.005	0.063	0.074	0.142		

	Table 7. Releases Covered in the Audit										
IA	Amo	ount Audit	e <mark>d (in Billio</mark> r	ו P)	Remarks						
IA	2007	2008	2009	Total	Reliidiks						
Region V											
Iriga City	0.035	0.038	0.030	0.103	Selected transactions.						
Naga City	0.006	0.003	0.021	0.030							
Tabaco City	0.008	0.134	0.045	0.187							
Region XI											
Panabo		0.006	0.016	0.022	Financial Assistance and procurement of						
Compostela Valley		0.001	0.040	0.041	various items.						
Davao Oriental	0.003	0.019	0.104	0.126							
Sub-Total	2.685	3.045	2.644	8.374							
Total	8.091	9.164	23.783	41.038							

Part III

Audit Observations

Chapter 1

Allocation and Transfer of Funds and Monitoring of Releases

INTRODUCTION

To ensure effective utilization of the PDAF for the purpose intended by law, the DBM issued guidelines providing, among others, that the funds shall be released to IAs with administrative and technical capabilities to implement eligible projects and that the release to the IAs of the relevant SARO and corresponding NCA be subject to the submission of a project proposal and endorsement from the IA. On the other hand, the GPPB issued Resolution imposing the need for appropriation law or ordinance earmarking certain amount for the implementation of NGOs before the IAs can enter into MOA with them.

Funds were, however, released without strict observance of the above guidelines. Releases were not properly monitored such that funds released for projects endorsed by a number of legislators were not tracked, thus, significantly exceeding their respective allocations. Total releases from VILP in CYs 2007 to 2009 exceeded the approved appropriations of **₽50.874 Billion** for the same period.

Moreover, funds were released to IAs without administrative and technical capabilities to implement the projects. These IAs hardly monitored project implementation, if at all, and merely transferred funds to NGOs identified by the sponsoring legislators, thereby effectively relinquishing the function and concomitant responsibility in favor of the NGOs named by the sponsoring legislators. This is despite the absence of amounts earmarked for the implementation by the NGOs.

OBSERVATIONS

1. Releases out of PDAF were not efficiently monitored and tracked, if at all. Despite repeated requests, the DBM failed to provide the summary of releases per legislator and IA out of PDAF.

As discussed earlier, the annual congressional allocation of legislators for both hard and soft projects should not exceed the amount of **P70.0** Million for each Congressional District and Partylist Representative, and **P200.0** Million for each Senator. The "soft" and "hard" projects are generally defined as follows:

	Table 8. Definition of Soft and Hard Projects							
Types of Project								
"Soft" Projects	These cover both non-infrastructure and small infrastructure projects defined in the GAA to be implemented out of PDAF appropriated in the GAA. The non- infrastructure projects are scholarship, purchase of IT equipment, medical equipment and medical assistance to indigent patients in government hospitals, livelihood support, purchase of firetruck, firefighter equipment and patrol vehicle, specific pro-poor program and those categorized under forest management and historical, arts and culture. On the other hand, small infrastructure projects are the likes of water system, irrigation facilities, barangay rural electrification, and construction/repair of police, jail and fire stations.							
"Hard" Projects	These cover small infrastructure public work projects, such as, roads, bridges, flood control, school buildings, hospitals, health facilities, public market, multi- purpose building and pavement. These projects are reflected in the GAA under the DPWH locally-funded nationwide lump sum appropriation with allocation for each district.							

As presented in Table 1, the appropriation for PDAF under the GAAs for CYs 2007 to 2009 amounted to **P29.004 Billion**. Despite repeated request, the DBM did not provide the Team with the schedule of releases from PDAF per legislator. Thus, total releases for each legislator out of PDAF cannot be established.

To reiterate, the Team gathered data on releases from the DBM RO Nos. III, V, XI, and NCR, and the audited IAs. Based on the data gathered by the Team, the total releases for soft projects from PDAF and other sources to IAs covered in the Audit and LGUs within the covered Regions (NCR, III, V, and XI) amounted to **P12.018 Billion** (see Table 4). Given, however, the failure of the DBM to properly record and track the releases, it is possible that the SAROs gathered by the Team may not even be complete. The amounts posted in the DBM Website covering releases from PDAF for CY 2009 cannot also be used by the Team as the covering SARO was not indicated therein.

 While DBM provided summary of releases out of VILP in the amount of ₱32.347 Billion, around ₱69.261 Billion were found in Audit to have been also released to different DPWH ROs and DEOs during CYs 2007 to 2009. This brings total releases out of the VILP to ₱101.608 Billion which already exceeded the combined appropriation of ₱50.874 Billion for the 3-year period by ₱50.734 Billion.

Results of tabulation of the SAROs gathered by the Team disclosed that releases out of VILP were also not propertly monitored. While the DBM submitted summary of releases per legislators out of VILP, the submitted summary of **P32.347 Billion** excluded releases of around **P69.261 Billion** to the different DPWH ROs and DEOs nationwide as gathered by the Team. The releases excluded in the summary of DBM follow:

	Table 9. Releases Out of VILP Gathered by the Team										
	DBM	Rel	eases Exclude	d in DBM Sche	dule	Total					
DPWH ROs/DEOs	Schedule	2007	2008	2009	Total	Releases					
ROSIDEOS		(in Billion P)									
ARMM	0.621	-	-	-	-	0.621					
CAR	0.807	0.572	-	-	0.572	1.379					
NCR	3.181	1.351	1.310	2.454	5.115	8.296					
I	1.282	0.909	0.180	1.527	2.616	3.898					
II	1.026	0.849	-	2.811	3.660	4.686					
III	2.366	2.733	0.526	0.863	4.122	6.488					
IV-A	2.122	6.236	-	11.640	17.876	19.999					
IV-B	0.784	0.301	-	2.337	2.638	3.422					
V	1.585	4.095	-	2.602	6.697	8.282					
VI	2.024	0.605	-	3.903	4.508	6.532					
VII	1.858	0.385	-	2.317	2.702	4.56					
VIII	1.410	1.702	-	4.818	6.520	7.93					
IX	0.994	0.909	-	-	0.909	1.903					
Х	1.240	1.025	-	-	1.025	2.265					
XI	4.290	1.696	0.515	2.095	4.306	8.596					
XII	0.760	1.043	-	1.348	2.391	3.151					
XIII	0.885	0.593	-	3.011	3.604	4.489					
Partylists	1.548	-	-	-	-	1.548					
Senate	3.563	-	-	-	-	3.563					
Total	32.347	25.004	2.531	41.726	69.261	101.608					

In effect, total SAROs gathered by the Team out of VILP amounted to **₽101.608 Billion** which far exceeded the approved appropriations for VILP of only **₽50.874 Billion** for the 3-year period by **₽50.734 Billion**.

3. Amounts released for projects identified by 74 legislators significantly exceeded their respective allocations.

As discussed earlier, the allocation for each legislative district and partylist representative is **P70.0 Million**, while for each Senator is **P200.0 Million**. Releases to the IAs covered in the Audit alone from PDAF and VILP for projects endorsed by a number of legislators, however, exceeded their respective allocation. This is true in the case of 74 legislators that endorsed

projects with corresponding releases ranging from **₽71.000 Million** to **₽3.068 Billion**. In addition to releases out of PDAF and VILP, other priority projects of a number of these legislators were also funded from the allocation in the GAA for FA to LGUs and Subsidy to GOCCs. Releases in excess of allocations are presented below:

			Hard		Soft		Hard + Soft	Excess
Legislator	Legislative District	Yr	VILP	PDAF	Others	Тс	otal	LACESS
					(in Mil	lion ₽)		
REPRESENTATIVE								
Abante, Bienvenido, Jr. M.	Manila - 6 th	2007	40.000	37.880	4.000	41.880	81.880	(11.880
Alfelor, Felix Jr. R.	Cam. Sur - 4th	2007	40.000	33.600	-	33.600	73.600	(3.600
		2008	40.000	37.700	-	37.700	77.700	(7.700
Almario, Thelma Z.	Davao Or 2nd	2009	55.100	31.600	5.000	36.600	91.700	(21.700
Amatong, Rommel C.	Compo. Valley - 2 nd	2008	45.000	32.950	6.000	38.950	83.950	(13.950
Antonino, Rodolfo W.	N. Ecija - 4 th	2009	60.000	30.000	-	30.000	90.000	(20.000
Arenas, Ma. Rachel J.	Pangasinan - 3rd	2008	50.000	22.400	-	22.400	72.400	(2.400
Arroyo, Juan Miguel M.	Pampanga - 2 nd	2007	40.000	45.000	-	45.000	85.000	(15.000
		2009	55.000	40.000	-	40.000	95.000	(25.000
Asilo, Benjamin D.	Manila - 1 st	2008	49.000	24.500	13.000	37.500	86.500	(16.500
Bagatsing, Amado S.	Manila - 5 th	2008	50.000	11.300	15.000	26.300	76.300	(6.300
Biazon, Rozzano Rufino B.	Muntinlupa City-Lone	2007	40.000	18.900	15.000	33.900	73.900	(3.900
Binay, Mar-Len Abigail S.	Makati - 2 nd	2008	80.000	37.000	-	37.000	117.000	(47.000
Bonoan-David, Ma. Theresa B.	Manila - 4th	2008	50.000	30.000	-	30.000	80.000	(10.000
Bravo, Narciso Jr. R.	Masbate - 1st	2007	40.000	30.400	11.500	41.900	81.900	(11.900
		2009	40.000	44.200	-	44.200	84.200	(14.200
Cajayon, Mary Mitzi L.	Caloocan - 2 nd	2008	40.000	38.000	-	38.000	78.000	(8.000
Cajes, Roberto C.	Bohol - 2nd	2008	40.000	21.725	11.300	33.025	73.025	(3.025
Cayetano, Ma. Laarni L.	Taguig - Lone	2008	60.000	13.000	5.500	18.500	78.500	(8.500
Chiongbian, Erwin L.	Sarangani-Lone	2008	60.000	39.250	6.000	45.250	105.250	(35.250
Clarete, Marina P.	Misamis Occ-1st	2008	40.000	25.100	18.000	43.100	83.100	(13.100
Climaco, Maria Isabele G.	Zambo. City - 1 st	2008	50.000	17.250	11.100	28.350	78.350	(8.350
Cua, Junie E.	Quirino – Lone	2007	32.500	12.900	28.600	41.500	74.000	(4.000
		2009	16.000	92.210	-	92.210	108.210	(38.210
Cuenco, Antonio V.	Cebu City - 2nd	2008	40.000	29.500	6.000	35.500	75.500	(5.500
Dayanghirang, Nelson L.	Davao Or 1st	2008	60.000	28.240	6.000	34.240	94.240	(24.240
Daza, Nanette C.	Quezon City-4th	2008	50.000	21.200	-	21.200	71.200	(1.200
De Guzman, Del R.	Marikina City-2 nd	2008	50.000	21.700	5.000	26.700	76.700	(6.700
De Venecia, Jose Jr. C.	Pangasinan- 4th	2007	58.000	25.000	7.000	32.000	90.000	(20.000
Defensor, Matias Jr. V.	Quezon City - 3rd	2008	40.000	35.000	-	35.000	75.000	(5.000
		2009	40.000	12.000	28.000	40.000	80.000	(10.000
Dilangalen, Didagen P.	Maguindanao- 1st	2008	50.000	20.500	-	20.500	70.500	(0.500
Dueñas, Henry Jr. M.	Taguig City - Lone	2008	40.000	34.800	1.500	36.300	76.300	(6.300
Dumpit, Thomas Jr. L.	La Union - 2nd	2008	40.000	15.500	21.000	36.500	76.500	(6.500
Estrella, Robert Raymund M.	ABONO Partylist	2009	40.000	31.000	-	31.000	71.000	(1.000
Gonzales, Neptali II M.	Mandaluyong - Lone	2008	20.000	65.000	20.000	85.000	105.000	(35.000

			Hard		Soft		Hard + Soft	F
Legislator	Legislative District	Yr	VILP	PDAF	Others	To	tal	Excess
Ĵ	3				(in Mi	llion ₽)		
		2009	40.000	50.000	150.000	200.000	240.000	(170.00
Joson, Eduardo Nonato N.	N. Ecija - 1 st	2007	50.000	38.050	130.000	38.050	88.050	(170.00
Lagman, Edcel C.	Albay - 1st	2008	40.000	66.955	60.500	127.455	167.455	(97.45
Eagman, Eucer C.	Albdy - 13t	2000	40.000	60.006	30.000	90.006	130.006	(60.00
Lazatin, Carmelo F.	Pampanga - 1 st	2009	40.000	35.500	30.000	35.500	75.500	(5.50
Ledesma, Julio IV A.	Negros Oc - 1 st	2008	70.000	23.000	6.000	29.000	99.000	(29.00
Lim, Reno G.						39.835		•
	Albay - 3rd	2008	45.000	39.835	-		84.835	(14.83
Magsaysay, Ma. Milagros H.	Zambales - 1st	2007	30.000	49.251	-	49.251	79.251	(9.25
		2008	50.000	48.500	-	48.500	98.500	(28.50
	D. L	2009	40.000	50.000	-	50.000	90.000	(20.00
Nicolas, Reylina G.	Bulacan - 4th	2008	40.000	43.440	-	43.440	83.440	(13.44
		2009	40.000	39.500	-	39.500	79.500	(9.50
Nograles, Prospero C.	Davao City - 1st	2008	40.000	105.600	61.000	166.000	206.000	(136.60
		2009	40.000	219.700	75.000	294.700	334.700	(264.70
Olaño, Arrel R.	Davao del Norte-1st	2008	40.000	27.850	6.000	33.850	73.850	(3.85
Ong, Emil L.	N. Samar - 2 nd	2009	30.000	53.000	-	53.000	83.000	(13.00
Pancho, Pedro M.	Bulacan - 2 nd	2007	60.000	20.200	12.914	33.114	93.114	(23.11
		2009	40.000	34.500	-	34.500	74.500	(4.50
Pancrudo, Candido Jr. P.	Bukidnon - 1st	2008	55.000	25.350	6.000	31.350	86.350	(16.35
Pichay, Philip A.	Surigao del Sur - 1st	2008	40.000	-	150.000	150.000	190.000	(120.00
Pichay, Prospero Jr. A.		2007	15.000	17.000	55.000	72.000	87.000	(17.00
Pingoy, Arthur Jr. Y.	S. Cotabato-2 nd	2009	60.000	11.000	-	11.000	71.000	(1.00
Prieto-Teodoro, Monica Louise	Tarlac - 1st	2008	40.000	40.000	-	40.000	80.000	(10.00
Reyes, Carmencita O.	Marinduque - Lone	2009	60.000	17.070	-	17.070	77.070	(7.07
Robes, Arturo B.	SJ del Monte City-Lone	2008	50.000	35.000	-	35.000	85.000	(15.00
Roman, Herminia B.	Bataan - 1st	2008	40.000	37.545	-	37.545	77.545	(7.54
Roxas, Jose Antonio F.	Pasay City - Lone	2008	40.000	35.000	-	35.000	75.000	(5.00
Sandoval, Alvin S.	Malabon/Navotas-Lone	2008	40.000	33.450	45.000	78.450	118.450	(48.45
Santiago, Joseph A.	Catanduanes - Lone	2007	40.000	27.400	10.000	37.400	77.400	(7.40
•		2009	40.000	31.700	-	31.700	71.700	(1.70
Seachon-Lanete, Rizalina L.	Masbate - 3rd	2007	40.000	35.000	-	35.000	75.000	(5.00
		2008	40.000	38.550	-	38.550	78.550	(8.55
		2009	40.000	40.000	-	40.000	80.000	(10.00
Silverio, Lorna C.	Bulacan - 3rd	2008	50.000	33.765	-	33.765	83.765	(13.76
Solis, Jose G.	Sorsogon - 2 nd	2008	40.000	40.000	-	40.000	80.000	(10.00
Sy-Alvarado, Ma. Victoria R.	Bulacan - 1st	2008	40.000	38.000		38.000	78.000	(8.00
Teodoro, Marcelino R.	Marikina City - 1st	2008	40.500	33.540	1.500	35.040	75.540	(5.54
Tupas, Niel Jr. C.	Iloilo - 5th	2008	95.000	5.000	6.000	11.000	106.000	(36.00
Umali, Czarina D.	N. Ecija - 3 rd	2008	40.000	36.200	0.000	36.200	76.200	
	Davao City - 3rd				6 000			(6.20)
Ungab, Isidro T.		2008	55.000	38.000	6.000	44.000	99.000	
Valdez, Edgar L.	APEC Partylist	2007	43.850	30.000	-	30.000	73.850	(3.85
Afflatuate Luis D	Carry Cure Ord	2008	40.000	32.100	-	32.100	72.100	(2.10
Villafuerte, Luis R. Villanueva, Emmanuel Joel J.	Cam. Sur - 2 nd CIBAC Partylist	2007	40.000	28.500	2.000	30.500 27.350	70.500	(0.50)

Table 10. Legis	slators with Relea	ses fo	s for Priority Projects in Excess of Their Allocation						
			Hard		Soft		Hard + Soft	Excess	
Legislator	Legislative District	Yr	VILP	PDAF	Others	То	ital	EXCESS	
					(in Mil	lion ₽)			
Villar, Cynthia A.	Las Pinas City - Lone	2008	50.000	60.000	-	60.000	110.000	(40.000)	
Yap, Jose V.	Tarlac - 2 nd	2008	40.000	41.000	10.000	51.000	91.000	(21.000)	
Zamora, Manuel E.	Compo. Valley - 1st	2007	3,034.500	33.000	0.500	33.500	3,068.000	(2,998.000)	
		2008	40.000	35.600	6.000	41.600	81.600	(11.600)	
Zamora, Ronaldo B.	San Juan - Lone	2008	40.000	32.700	-	32.700	72.700	(2.700)	
SENATORS									
Angara, Edgardo J.		2009	141.375	443.000	-	443.000	584.375	(384.375)	
Ejercito-Estrada, Jinggoy		2008	144.500	192.350	-	192.350	336.850	(136.850)	
		2009	150.000	265.300	50.000	315.300	465.300	(265.300)	
Enrile, Juan Ponce		2008	50.000	155.000	-	155.000	205.000	(5.000)	
		2009	100.000	401.500	41.000	442.500	542.500	(342.500)	
Lapid, Manuel M.		2008	160.000	33.600	20.000	53.600	213.600	(13.600)	
Revilla, Ramon Jr. B.		2008	100.000	85.000	80.000	165.000	265.000	(65.000)	
		2009	100.000	304.000	-	304.000	404.000	(204.000)	
Defensor-Santiago, Miriam		2008	200.000	26.510	-	26.510	226.510	(26.510)	

As disclosed in the summary of releases provided to the Team by the DBM, releases of **\mathbf{P3.000} Billion** under SARO No. A-07-095396 to DPWH-CO was intended for the implementation of projects nationwide identified by Representative Manuel E. Zamora of the 1st District of Davao del Norte.

4. Funds were released by the DBM even for projects outside the legislative districts of the sponsoring Congressmen.

In a number of cases, funds were released by the DBM for projects identified by Congressmen even if the projects were outside their legislative districts. This, in a way, deprived the legislators' constituents from receiving the benefits to be derived from such projects. These cases are illustrated below:

	Table 11. Releases	Outside the Le	egislative District of Cong	jressmen	
Legislator	Legislative District	Project Location	IA	SARO (ROCS)	Amount (in M P)
Manuel E. Zamora	Davao del Norte - 1 st	Nationwide	DPWH	A-07-09539	3,000.000
Antonio V. Cuenco	Cebu CITY - 2 nd	2nd, Cebu PROVINCE	NABCOR	08-00440	3.880
Faysah M. Dumarpa	Lanao del Sur-Lone	Marawi City	TRC	D-08-01441	6.000
Marina P. Clarete	Misamis Occ 1 st	Misamis Occ. Iligan City	TRC	D-08-01438	6.000
Edcel C. Lagman	Albay - 1 st	Quezon City	28 Barangays	08-01930	3.500

	Table 11. Releases	Outside the L	egislative District of Con	gressmen				
Legislator	Legislative District	Project Location	IA	SARO (ROCS)	Amount (in M P)			
				08-05269	20.000			
				08-06036	37.000			
		Reg. III	San Leonardo, NE	G-09-07961	20.500			
			San Luis, Pampanga		5.000			
Prospero C.	Davao City - 1st	NCR	Mandaluyong and Taguig	09-05612	50.000			
Nograles				09-02966	24.000			
		Reg. III	Bataan Province	G-09-07958	20.000			
			Various LGUs	08-07074	280.000			
				08-09720	400.000			
		Reg. V	Sorsogon and Placer, Masbate	09-05613 08-07078	55.000			
		Reg. XI	Davao del Norte, Davao Oriental Compo. Valley, Davao del Sur	G-09-07957 09-04145 08-09726	125.000			
Monica Louise Prieto-Teodoro	Tarlac - 1 st	Manila	Manila	08-04072	1.000			
Cynthia A. Villar	Las Piñas – Lone	Nationwide	Las Piñas	07-02034 08-08942	1.322			
	Total							

5. Significant amounts of funds were released by the DBM to IAs without the IAs' respective endorsements and considering their mandated functions, and administrative and technical capabilities to implement projects. Thus, the funds were either merely transferred to NGOs with implementation of projects hardly monitored or funds remained unused as of audit date. NGOs are not included among the IAs of PDAF as identified in the GAA, hence, such transfers are without legal basis.

The DBM issued NBC No. 476 on September 20, 2001 to provide guidance in the release and utilization of PDAF. The NBC prescribed the nature of programs and projects to be funded from PDAF, the IAs for each program and the nature of expenses prohibited to be charged against the funds. It further provides that NGAs and GOCCs shall implement only programs and projects which fall within their mandated functions, while LGUs to be identified as IAs should have administrative and technical capabilities to implement the program/projects. Nowhere does it provide that the IAs can transfer funds to the NGOs.

It is, likewise, specifically stated therein that the release of SARO and corresponding NCA to the IAs is subject to submission of the following requirements:

- Project profile; and
- Endorsement from the IAs, except those programs/projects to be undertaken by the LGUs.

The endorsement from the IAs is critical to the successful implementation of PDAF-funded projects, because the implementation of the project would be over and above the mandated program of activities of the IAs and their absorptive capacity, and may affect the performance of their regular activities. As discussed earlier, the projects eligible to be funded under PDAF and the respective IAs vary depending on the provisions of the GAA for the year. During the period covered in the Audit, there were 21 eligible projects for funding under PDAF for the implementation of selected NGAs, GOCCs, State Universities and Colleges (SUCs), and LGUs. (See Table 5)

The above regulations were, however, not at all observed by the DBM. Funds were released even without endorsement from the concerned IAs and without considering their mandated functions. Despite repeated request by the Team, the DBM failed to provide copy of endorsement by the IAs. The IAs covered in the Audit, during interviews, also declared that they never endorsed any of the projects forwarded to them for implementation but merely received SAROs and corresponding NCAs from the DBM. Releases of funds to the covered IAs in substantial amounts without consultation or their concurrence cannot but burden or are otherwise be beyond their mandated functions.

In the case of DA, significant amounts of PDAF received were merely transferred to NABCOR and ZREC even if the purpose of releases is no longer within the functions of the subject GOCCs. This is also true in the case of NLDC with releases no longer within its mandated functions as illustrated below:

	Table 12. IAs Functions and Im	plemented PDAF Projects	
GOCC	Function	Livelihood Projects for Implementation	Amt Released (in B)
NABCOR	Promote agribusiness focusing on Small Farmers and Fisherfolks through the development, modeling and/or replication of viable and innovative agribusiness enterprises; and establish and develop Agribusiness Enterprise Center through Market Development and Promotion to improve SFF income.	farm implements / seeds / seedlings; livelihood technology kits for cosmetology, food and meat processing, dress making, handicrafts making and the like; animals/vitamins and vaccines; agricultural livelihood kits,	₽ 1.265
ZREC	Marketing Rubbber Products purchased	gardening package, training and	0.291

	Table 12. IAs Functions and Implemented PDAF Projects									
GOCC	Function	Livelihood Projects for Implementation	Amt Released (in B)							
NLDC	from rubber farm owners. Promote, generate and develop sustainable livelihood community-based enterprises primarily in agri-business, including those in agrarian reform communities that will cater to the low-income bracket.	distribution of financial assistance.	1.299							
	Total		₽ 2.855							

These GOCCs are, therefore, not mandated to actually implement livelihood projects but merely promote agribusiness, or conduct livelihood training, or engage in micro lending for livelihood projects. In view of the magnitude of releases and due to the inability of these GOCCs to implement the projects themselves, the funds were merely transferred to NGOs.

As the Team noted, NGOs were not among those identified under the GAA as implementing arm of the different PDAF projects. Moreover, the IAs merely relied on the NGOs endorsed by the sponsoring legislators, and their submitted documents without evaluating the project proposal and the qualification of the NGOs. While the State recognizes the contributions of NGOs to society, their participation in the implementation of government projects is subject to existing rules and regulations.

Similarly, significant amounts of PDAF were also released to the different barangays for the implementation of various infrastructure projects and procurement of vehicle and IT equipment, which are generally beyond their capacity to implement. As the barangays were not capable to implement infrastructure projects, they either merely depended on the concerned City Engineering Office or DPWH DEO for the preparation of plans and validation of accomplishments or merely accepted presented project accomplishments without technical evaluation. A number of these projects were found deficient as these were practically not evaluated as to compliance with plans and specifications.

In a number of instances, the funds released to the IAs were not at all used or not fully used signifying either the lack of technical capability to implement the project or the absence of need for the same. This is true in the following funds amounting to **P35.865 Million** released to various IAs from October 2006 to October 2009 as financial assistance which remained unused as of audit date:

	SARO			Ba	alance
IA/Legislator	No.	Date	Amount	As of	Amount
Manila					
Amado S. Bagatsing	ROCS-08-05270	06/20/08	₽ 15,000,000	08/31/10	₽ 3,984,95
Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr.	ROCS-07-02995	02/09/07	2,780,000	08/31/10	2,780,00
	ROCS-07-07652	10/10/07	100,000	08/31/10	100,00
	ROCS-08-00261	01/09/08	100,000	08/31/10	100,00
Cinchona Cruz-Gonzales	ROCS-08-07683	10/07/08	200,000	08/31/10	200,00
	ROCS-09-04729	07/01/09	200,000	08/31/10	200,00
	ROCS-09-06441	08/02/09	300,000	08/31/10	300,00
Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	ROCS-09-06317	08/19/09	300,000	08/31/10	300,00
	ROCS-08-04693	05/20/08	400,000	08/31/10	400,00
Francisco N. Pangilinan	ROCS-06-06128	10/13/06	500,000	08/31/10	500,00
	ROCS-06-07493	11/16/06	1,000,000	08/31/10	1,000,00
Jaime C. Lopez	ROCS-07-07929	10/10/07	1,000,000	08/31/10	600,00
	ROCS-08-08408	10/10/08	10,900,000	08/31/10	400,00
Jaime C. Lopez	ROCS-09-02498	04/14/09	14,500,000	08/31/10	500,00
Monica Louise Prieto-Teodoro	ROCS-08-04072	06/19/08	1,000,000	08/31/10	919,36
Maria Zenaida B. Angping	ROCS-08-04650	05/19/08	11,170,000	08/31/10	4,170,00
	ROCS-08-08398	10/10/08	4,170,000	08/31/10	4,170,00
	Sub-Tota	al			₽ 20,124,31
Las Pinas City					
Cynthia A. Villar	ROCS-09-04560	6/29/09	13,000,000	12/30/10	1,103,11
Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	ROCS-08-09021	11/10/08	28,000,000	12/30/10	1,204,49
	Sub-Tota	al			₽ 2,307,60
Taguig City					
Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	ROCS-09-06247	08/18/09	7,000,000	04/07/10	853,75
Miriam Defensor Santiago	ROCS-06-08605	12/13/06	17,700,000	4/07/10	344,09
Not specified	ROCS-08-06178	08/14/08	35,000,000	04/07/10	209,89
	ROCS-09-06487	09/15/09	50,000,000	04/07/10	2,089,41
	Sub-Tota	al			₽ 3,497,15
Quezon City					
Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	ROCS-07-08690	11/09/07	100,000	07/06/10	100,00
Cinchona Cruz-Gonzales	ROCS-08-04507	05/16/08	100,000	07/06/10	100,00
Jeci A. Lapus	ROCS-09-03272	05/21/09	100,000	07/06/10	100,00
Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	ROCS-08-06615	09/09/08	3,000,000	07/06/10	500,00
	ROCS-09-06807	09/14/09	3,500,000	07/06/10	1,500,00
Nanette Castelo-Daza	ROCS-08-06177	08/14/08	1,000,000	07/06/10	1,000,00
Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	ROCS-08-09021	11/10/08	100,000	07/06/10	100,00
Vincent P. Crisologo	ROCS-08-04600	05/16/08	200,000	07/06/10	200,00
	ROCS-09-06764	09/14/09	150,000	07/06/10	150,00
	G-09-08010	10/26/09	150,000	07/06/10	150,00
	Sub-Tota	al			₽ 3,900,00

Table 13. SAROs with Unutilized Balances							
SARO Balance							
IA/Legislator	No.	Date	Amount	As of	Amount		
Brgy. Siena, District I, Quezor	n City						
Vincent P. Crisologo	G-09-08009	10/26/09	18,000	09/27/10	18,000		
	Brgy. South Triangle, District IV, Quezon City (claimed by the IA to have not been received or reflected in the submitted bank statements)						
Edcel C. Lagman	ROCS-08-06036	08/08/08	2,000,000	09/27/10	2,000,000		
Not specified	ROCS-08-06309	08/21/08	1,500,000	09/27/10	1,500,000		
Brgy. Laging Handa, District I	V, Quezon City						
Edcel C. Lagman	ROCS-08-06036	08/08/08	2,000,000	09/27/10	2,000,000		
Brgy. Paang Bundok, District	IV, Quezon City						
Vincent P. Crisologo	G-09-08009	10/26/09	18,000	09/27/10	18,000		
	₽ 5,536,000						
	₽ 35,865,079						

It is clear that the validity of a number of unused SAROs have already expired, thus, the same should be returned to the National Government.

Chapter 2

Implementation of Livelihood and Other Projects

INTRODUCTION

As mandated by Sec. 2 of P.D. No. 1445, all resources of the government shall be managed, expended or utilized in accordance with law and regulations, and safeguarded against loss or wastage through illegal or improper disposition, with a view to ensuring efficiency, economy and effectiveness in the operations of the government. In line with this policy, a number of rules and regulations have been issued to ensure proper utilization and disposition of government funds.

Among the programs eligible for funding under PDAF are livelihood/CIDSS programs with the DA, TLRC/TRC, NLDC, and DSWD as among the designated IAs during CYs 2007-2009. These IAs implemented the projects either by transferring funds to various NGOs mostly endorsed by the sponsoring legislators, or by procuring livelihood materials themselves for distribution to selected beneficiaries.

The implementation of projects by the NGOs is governed by the provisions of the IRR-A of R.A. No. 9184 and issuances of the GPPB and COA. COA issued Circular No. 2007-001 on October 25, 2007 defining the guidelines on the transfer, utilization, management and recording of funds transferred to NGOs. Procurement of goods and services is governed by the provisions of R.A. No. 9184 and its IRR. Under the revised IRR of R.A. No. 9184, as a general rule, all procurement shall be done through public bidding. To enhance the transparency of the procurement process, provide wide dissemination of bids and extend equal opportunity to eligible and qualified contracting parties, the Invitation to Bid/Request for Quotation is required to be advertised in the following manner:

- Newspaper of general nationwide circulation for procurement of above \$\mathbb{P}5.0\$ Million for infrastructure projects and \$\mathbb{P}2.0\$ Million for goods; and
- Posting in PhilGEPS, agency website and in conspicuous places.

The IRR also provides that where justified by the conditions provided in the Act and subject to the prior approval of the Head of the Agency, the procuring entity may, in order to promote economy and efficiency, resort to any of the alternative methods of procurement, among which are direct contracting and shopping.

Under direct contracting, suppliers are merely asked to submit price quotations with the conditions of sale. For procurement through exclusive distributor, the supplier is required to submit certificate of exclusive distributorship while the agency authorized official is required to issue certification that there are no sub-dealers selling at lower prices and no suitable substitute can be obtained at more advantageous terms to the government. In all cases, however, the Invitation to Bid is required to be posted in the PhilGEPS, agency website and in conspicuous places while the Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed and Contract are, likewise, required to be posted in the PhilGEPS.

It was found in Audit, however, that the IAs did not comply with the existing laws, rules and other legal requirements in the disbursement of funds and that significant amounts of disbursements are highly irregular.

OBSERVATIONS

1. The implementation of most of the livelihood projects was not undertaken by the IAs themselves, but by the NGOs endorsed by the legislators, inspite of the absence of any appropriation law or ordinance as required under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007.

Of the IAs covered in the Audit, seven IAs with total releases of **₽8.446 Billion** during CYs 2007 to 2009 transferred a total of **₽6.156 Billion** to NGOs for the implementation of livelihood projects, among others:

Table 14. IAs that Transferred Fund to NGOs Covered in the Audit						
14	Releases		Audited		FT to NGOs	
IA			(in Billio	on P)		
NABCOR	₽	1.265	₽	1.227	P	1.227
ZREC		0.291		0.282		0.282
DA-RFUs III, V and XI		0.212		0.184		0.031
DSWD		2.470		0.946		0.748
TRC		2.613		2.440		2.432
NLDC		1.299		1.259		1.259
City Government of Quezon (Q.C.)including Barangays		0.296		0.272		0.177
Total	₽	8.446	₽	6.610	₽	6.156

The amounts reflected under NABCOR and ZREC represent funds transferred by DA to these corporations. Funds transferred to NABCOR were not liquidated on time with unliquidated fund transfers by DA to NABCOR of **#509.529 Million** as of December 31, 2011.

The participation of the NGOs in the implementation of government programs is governed by the provisions of the IRR-A of R.A. No. 9184, and issuances of the GPPB and COA, among others. To reiterate, under GPPB Resolution No.

12-2007, adopted and approved as Section 53(j) of the IRR-A of R.A. No. 9184, funds may be transferred to NGOs for implementation when there is an appropriation law or ordinance earmarking an amount to be specifically contracted out to NGOs.

The 82 NGOs where funds were transferred despite the absence of law appropriating or specifically earmarking such funds to be contracted out to NGOs follow:

Table 15. NGOs to which PDAF were Transferred by the IAs Covered in the Audit					
NGO	IA	Total Projects (in M P)			
		No.	Amt		
Social Dev't Program for Farmers Foundations, Inc. (SDPFFI)	ZREC/NABCOR/ NLDC/TRC	40	585.359		
Kabuhayan at Kalusugan Alay sa Masa Foundation, Inc. (KKAMFI)	NABCOR/TRC /NLDC	74	526.679		
Aaron Foundation Phils., Inc. (AFPI)	NABCOR/TRC	38	524.910		
Masaganang Ani Para sa Magsasaka Foundation, Inc. (MAMFI)	NABCOR/TRC/ NLDC	34	477.033		
Pangkabuhayan Foundation, Inc. (Pang-FI)	ZREC/NABCOR/ TRC	23	396.128		
Farmerbusiness Dev't Corp (FDC)	TRC	46	248.400		
Countrywide Agri and Rural Economic Development (CARED) Foundation, Inc.	NLDC/TRC	20	246.740		
Dr. Rodolfo A. Ignacio, Sr. Foundation, Inc. (DRAISFI)	NABCOR/TRC	25	164.622		
Masaganang mga Bukirin Foundation, Inc. (MBFI)	NLDC/TRC	17	163.958		
Agri & Economic Program for Farmers Foundation, Inc. (AEPFFI)	NLDC/TRC	5	145.250		
Kaloocan Assistance Council, Inc. (KACI)	DSWD-Main/NCR	21	133.600		
ITO NA Movement Foundation, Inc. (ITO NA MI)	NABCOR/NLDC/ TRC	17	124.860		
Philippine Social Dev't Foundation, Inc. (PSDFI)	TRC	16	121.610		
Kagandahan ng Kapaligiran Foundation, Inc. (KKFI)	NABCOR	9	109.062		
Gabay at Pag-asa ng Masa Foundations, Inc. (GPMFI)	NABCOR/TRC	16	108.015		
Gabay sa Magandang Bukas Foundation, Inc. (GMBFI)					
Ikaw at Ako Foundation, Inc. (IAFI)					
Kapuso't Kapamilya Foundation, Inc. (KapKFI)	NABCOR	12	107.541		
Agricultura Para sa Magbubukid Foundation, Inc. (APMFI)	NLDC/TRC	4	104.950		
Sixty-three (63) other NGOs		355	1,867.034		
Total (82)		772	6,155.751		

To reiterate, the IAs to where funds were released by the DBM should have implemented the projects themselves as they are the IAs defined in the GAA. To illustrate, funds released to DA for procurement of farm implements, seeds, seedling, fertilizers and the like should not have been transferred by DA to NABCOR and ZREC as these fall within the DA's mandated functions. This is also true for funds released to TRC for the conduct of various livelihood trainings and to DSWD for the implementation of social services programs.

The matrix of NGOs and the amounts released to them by the IAs are presented as Annex A.

2. Selection of NGOs were not compliant with the provision of COA Circular No. 2007-001 and GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007 and their implementation of projects was merely covered by MOA not compliant with existing regulations.

Aggravating the clear violation of law in the release of funds to NGOs, these NGOs were not even selected in accordance with the Guidelines on Participation of NGOs in Public Procurement prescribed under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007, for transfers authorized by law. As provided in the GPPB Resolution, the selection of NGOs shall either be through competitive bidding, prescribed under Section 21.2.1 or negotiated procurement, prescribed under Section 21.2.4 of the IRR-A of R.A. No. 9184. However, the IAs accepted the NGOs and released funds to them based merely on the purported endorsement of the sponsoring legislators.

In addition to the GPPB Resolution is COA Circular No. 2007-001, providing control and guidance on the transfer, utilization and management of funds released to NGOs/POs. Under this Circular, NGOs shall be selected through public bidding and that government funds granted to the NGOs/POs shall retain their character as public funds. It also requires that the MOA to be entered into with the NGOs should include the following provisions, among others:

- Time schedules for the periodic inspection/evaluation, reporting, monitoring requirements and date of completion;
- Visitorial Audit by the officials and personnel of COA;
- Project description, beneficiaries, benefits and site/location; and
- Twenty percent equity of the project cost by the NGOs.

These provisions were, however, not included in the MOA entered into particularly by NABCOR, ZREC, TLRC/TRC and NLDC. While the project details were included in the project proposal, the same were not made integral part of the MOA. Absence of these requirements would not provide sufficient basis and guide in monitoring project accomplishments.

3. Even worse, all the 82 NGOs, entrusted with the implementation of 772 projects amounting to P6.156 Billion, along with their suppliers and reported beneficiaries, are either unknown or cannot be located at their given addresses, or have given non-existent addresses, or addresses traced in a mere shanty or in high-end residential units without any NGO signage, or submitted questionable documents, or failed to liquidate or fully document utilization of funds. This was aggravated by the denial of a number of suppliers and recipients/beneficiaries, and even sponsoring legislators on their participation on the reported projects.

To assess the performance of the selected NGOs and the benefits derived by the reported beneficiaries from the implementation of a number of programs/ projects, the Team conducted the following procedures, among others:

• Inspected/validated the physical existence of selected NGOs and business establishments, and evaluated their legal existence and capability to undertake the projects and supply the projects' requirements;

Та	ble 16. Confirmation from Various Sectors
Sector	Issues for Confirmation
Suppliers	Delivery of goods and services, issuance of official receipts and invoices, and receipt of payments.
Legislators	Authenticity of their signatures on the documents submitted by the IAs and NGOs, and participation in the implementation of the projects.
Beneficiaries	Receipt of the financial assistance/items distributed, attendance in trainings/seminars and information on the benefits derived from the projects implemented by the NGOs.
Government Agencies and Regulatory Offices	Issuance of permits/licenses to and/or accreditation of the selected NGOs, and their suppliers and printers.
LGUs and Election Officers (EOs)	Residency and identity of the reported beneficiaries.

• Confirmed from the following sectors their participation in the implementation of the livelihood programs:

• Reviewed, evaluated and analyzed the submitted liquidation documents along with all other documents gathered from various sources.

Based on the liquidation documents submitted to the Team, the sponsoring legislators and/or their authorized representatives were signatories to the following documents:

Table 1	Table 17. Documents Purportedly Signed by Legislators/Authorized Representatives				
IAs	Documents				
DSWD	MOA, Project Proposal (PP), Request for release of fund to NGO, Letter endorsing the NGO; and in isolated cases, List of Beneficiaries.				
TRC	MOA, Work and Financial Plan (WFP), PP, endorsement letter for NGO to implement the project, Request for release of fund to NGO and PDAF Monitoring Report; and in some other cases, Certificate of Project Completion (CPC), Report of Disbursement (RD), Project Final Report (PFR) and Project Beneficiaries.				
NLDC	MOA, PP, endorsement letter for NGO to implement the project, Accomplishment Report (AR), Acknowledgment Receipt/Certificate of Acceptance (CA), WFP and Request for release of fund to NGO; and in some other cases, Inspection and Acceptance Report (IAR), RD, Attendance Sheet/List of Beneficiaries/Distribution List, and Letter of Quotations.				
NABCOR	MOA, Project Beneficiaries/Registration Form, endorsement letter for NGO to implement the project, CA, Request for release of fund to NGO, PP, AR; and in other cases, WFP/Detailed Budget, Liquidation and RD, and Delivery Receipt (DR).				
ZREC	AR, endorsement letter for NGO to implement the project, CA, PP, WFP, Liquidation and RD, Distribution List/Attendance Sheet; and in some other cases, Time Table of Activities, and Terminal Report.				
DA-RFU III	MOA, PP, AR, endorsement letter for NGO to implement the project, RD, CA.				
DA-RFU V	MOA, endorsement letter for NGO to implement the project, List of Beneficiaries, and Purchase Request				
Quezon City	MOA and Transmittal Letter of SARO to the City Mayor and in other cases, DVs and Report of Obligation of Fund.				

Review and evaluation of documents and confirmation replies gathered from various sources disclosed that the implementation of these projects is questionable for the following reasons:

As to status of NGOs:

• A number of these NGOs are either unknown, or cannot be located at their given addresses, or have no traces of office existence, or addresses traced in a mere shanty or high-end residential areas without any NGO signage, or have given non-existent addresses, or while existing, have no apparent capability to implement projects in such magnitude, or were not issued business permits to operate during CYs 2007 to 2009, or submitted questionable documents, as illustrated below:

Table 18. Status of Address of Selected NGOs			
NGO Amt Released (M P)		Remarks	
SDPFFI	581.359	Located in a garage of a residential unit in Laguna but certified by the Secretary of the Home Association to be unknown within the subdivision area.	
KKAMFI	526.679	Given three addresses: one is non-existent; the 2^{nd} is a residential unit with the NGO unknown within the area; and the 3^{rd} is a unit at Reliance Center Building in Pasig City.	

	Table 18. Status of Address of Selected NGOs				
NGO	Amt Released (M P)	Remarks			
AFPI	524.910	The given address is a vacant lot storing various equipment. It was not issued business permit since 2004 to present but registered with SEC.			
MAMFI	477.033	Given address is a residential unit reportedly owned by the NGO President.			
Pang-FI	396.128	Given two addresses: one is a dilapidated apartment reportedly used to be occupied by the NGO President and the other, a residential unit with the present occupant not aware of the existence of the NGO.			
FDC	248.400	Holding Office at DA Compound, Elliptical Road, QC.			
CARED FI	246.740	Given address is a shanty occupied by the mother of one of the incorporators.			
DRAISFI	164.622	Unknown in the 1 st address which is a residential unit in one subdivision within Quezon City: received the confirmation letter sent by the Team in another residential unit in Pasig City and confirmed transactions with the IA.			
MBFI	163.958	Given address is a high-end residential unit, of which the NGO is unknown to the caretaker.			
AEPFFI	145.250	Given address is residential unit in Taguig City.			
ITONAMI	124.860	The NGO is unknown in a given condominium unit address.			
PSPFI	126.610	The NGO is unlocated by the Team at the given address. It was also not issued business permits during CYs 2007 to 2009 and was not reflected in the list of NGOs published in the SEC website.			
KKFI	109.062	Given address is a condominium unit reportedly owned by the NGO's Corporate Secretary.			
GPMFI/GMBFI/IAFI	108.015	Given address is a residential unit reportedly owned by the GPMFI President.			
KapKFI	107.541	Given address is a residential unit in Mandaluyong City.			
GDFI	96.882	Given address is a residential unit. There was nobody to receive the letter at the time of inspection, thus, confirmation letter was sent through LBC. It is not registered with SEC and has no business permit to operate during CYs 2007 to 2009.			
KMBFI	56.551	Given address is a residential apartment with the occupant no aware of the existence of the NGO.			
POPDFI	50.350	The given address is a residential unit which is for rent at the time of inspection. The NGO is not included in the list of registered NGOs published in the SEC website.			
KKMFI	36.860	The given address is a high-end residential building of which the NGO is unknown within the vicinity.			

- Around **P1.531 Billion** of funds transferred to 55 NGOs remained unliquidated as of audit date (See *Annex B*) while liquidation reports for other fund transfers were not fully documented.
- Around **P123.005** Million was used by the NGOs to pay salaries and other administrative expenses, contrary to rules and regulations.

IA	NGO	Nature	Amount (in Million P)
DSWD	KACI	Administrative expense	1.78
	TMCI	Administrative cost	0.38
	D2MKFI		1.24
NABCOR	GDFI	Fuel/office supplies	0.73
NLDC	APMFI	Administrative cost	1.20
	AEPFFI		1.65
	CARED		1.50
	KKAMFI		9.53
	MAMFI		3.55
	ITONAMI		2.04
	SDPFFI		2.26
TRC	AFPI		7.70
	FDC		61.56
	MMBFI		0.38
	PSDFI		1.59
	ITONAMI	Salaries and wages	0.67
	DRAISFI	Miscellaneous expense	7.90
	SDPFFI	Administrative support	2.16
Quezon City	MDSF	Operating expense	2.92
	SPFI	Furnitures, meals, and other miscellaneous expenses	12.26
		Total	123.00

• A number of NGOs were incorporated or managed by the same persons with a number connected to as many as six NGOs as tabulated below:

	Table 20. Persons Connected to Different NGOs					
Name	NGO	Position Held	IA			
Benhur K. Luy	SDPFFI	Incorporator/COB/President	TRC/NLDC/ZREC/ NABCOR			
	POPDFI	Incorporator/Board of Director (BOD) / Stockholder	TRC/NABCOR			
France	IAFI	Incorporator	NABCOR			
Mercado	KMFI	Project Coordinator				
Genely O.	BTLFI	Incorporator/BOD/Stockholder/Sec.	DA-RFU III/NABCOR			
Belleza	MBFI	Incorporator/BOD/Stockholder	NLDC/TRC			
Godofredo G.	KMBFI	Incorporator	NABCOR			
Roque	GPMFI	Treasurer]			
	KKAMFI	Incorporator/BOD/CEO	TRC/NLDC/NABCOR			
	IAFI	Treasurer	NABCOR			
	KMFI	Incorporator/BOD/Stockholder/Treas.	TRC/NABCOR			
Joel L.	KMBFI	President	NABCOR			

Table 20. Persons Connected to Different NGOs					
Name	NGO	Position Held	IA		
Soriano	BMMKFI	Incorporator			
Marilou C.	KKAMFI	Incorporator/BOD/Secretary	TRC/NLDC/NABCOR		
Ferrer	GPMFI	Incorporator/BOD/Stockholder	NABCOR		
	KapkFl	Project Coordinator	NABCOR		
	KMFI	Incorporator	TRC/NABCOR		
Marilou	BMMKFI	President	NABCOR		
Antonio	GPMFI				
	GMBFI	President/Authorized Rep.			
KKAMFI KMBFI		Treasurer]		
		Project Coordinator]		
	IAFI]			
Myra	KMBFI	Project Coordinator	NABCOR		
Villanueva	KKAMFI	Incorporator/BOD	TRC/NLDC/NABCOR		
	BMMKFI	Secretary	NABCOR		
	GMBFI	Owner of the residential unit where the NGO held office			
Mary Ann A.	GSLFI	Incorporator	NLDC		
Exito	HMLFI		TRC/NLDC/DSWD		

- There were no proof that these NGOs exercised due diligence in ensuring that the lowest price for their procurements were obtained. The provisions of R.A. No. 9184 were totally disregarded.
- There were even cases where exactly the same list of beneficiaries were submitted by the same NGO to two different agencies and/or list of beneficiaries taken from the published list of board passers in various professions. This is particularly true in the case of list of beneficiaries submitted by ITONAMI to TRC to support the utilization of SARO No. ROCS-07-077-55:

Table 21. List of Beneficiaries Taken from CYs 2007 and 2008 Published Board/Bar Passers in Various Profession				
Liaonauro	Voor	No. of Passers		
Licensure Examination	Year Released	Published	Listed as Beneficiaries	
CPA Board Exam	Oct. 2007	2,299	1,090	
Bar Exams	Sept. 2007	1,289	620	
Nursing Board Exams	Feb. 2008	28,924	905	

As to Status of Suppliers:

• Forty-one suppliers with purported transactions amounting to **P352.136 Million** with 17 NGOs out of funds transferred by 5 IAs denied their involvement and participation in the projects:

	Table 22. Transactions Denied by Suppliers					
Supplier	IA	NGO	Amount (in M P)	Legislator		
B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery (ORs bearing ATPs being used by other suppliers)	ZREC NABCOR	Pang-FI KMBFI KKAMFI KapKFI GPMFI KKFI UPFI	108.903	Juan Ponce Enrile, Danilo P. Lagbas, Franklin P. Bautista, Ma. Isabelle G. Climaco, Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr., Al Francis C. Bichara, Niel C. Tupaz, Jr., Roberto C. Cajes, Ignacio T. Arroyo, Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz, Antonio V. Cuenco, Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr., Joseph A. Santiago		
P.I. Farm Products	NABCOR	KMBFI KKAMFI KapKFI GPMFI	88.991	Marina P. Clarete, Edgardo M. Chatto, Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr., Ignacio T. Arroyo, Danilo P. Lagbas, Rolando A. Uy, Roberto C. Cajes		
JR and JP Enterprises	ZREC NABCOR	Pang-FI UPFI	65.300	Juan Ponce Enrile, Belma A. Cabilao		
Screenmark Printing & Advertising	NABCOR NLDC	UPFI SBPSF	29.068	Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr., Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada		
J. Sangalang Garden and Plant Nursery	ZREC	Pang-FI	18.064	Juan Ponce Enrile, Rene M. Velarde		
Thirty-six other suppliers	NLDC TRC NABCOR DSWD	KKAMFI ITO NA MI GMDFI ECOSOC ASAP MBFI GPFI PEEDA HMLFI CFI	41.81	Joseph A. Santiago, Rolando A. Uy, Danilo P. Lagbas, Eufrocino M. Codilla Sr., Sharee Ann T. Tan, Manuel S. Agyao, Wilfrido Mark M. Enverga, Edgar S. San Luis,Teodolo M. Coquilla, Emil L. Ong, Reno G. Lim, Prospero J. Alcala, Jaime C. Lopez, Maria Zenalda B. Angping, Marcelito R. Teodoro, Del R. de Guzman, Erico Basilio A. Fabian, Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr., Alvin S. Sandoval, Francisco T. Matugas, Oscar G. Malapitan, Magtanggol T. Gunigundo I. Adelina R. Zaldarriaga		
Total	5	17	352.136			

• Two hundred thirty other suppliers with transactions amounting to **P689.818 Million** could not be located at their given addresses, or have given insufficient or non-existent addresses. A number of these suppliers do not have permits to operate business, or have issued questionable receipts:

Establish- ment	NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt (M P)	Remarks
Nutrigrowth Philippines, Inc. (Moved out)	SDPFFI/ MAMFI	TRC NABCOR	Ramon Revilla, Jr., Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada, Juan Ponce Enrile, Conrado M. Estrella III, Edgardo L. Valdez, Erwin L. Chiongbian, Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV, Robert Raymund M. Estrella, Rodolfo G. Plaza, Samuel M. Dangwa, Victor Francisco C. Ortega, Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete, Rodolfo G. Valencia, Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	198.972	No business permit to operate.
Calpito Agrifarm & Mach. Ent. (Unknown)	JCBFI/ PFI	TRC/ NABCOR ZREC	Alvin S. Sandoval, Jinggoy Estrada, Juan Ponce Enrile	135.626	Using ATPs being used by other suppliers and has no business permit to operate.
MJ Rickson Trading Corp. (unlocated)	AFPI	NABCOR	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva, Jose Carlos V. Lacson, Prospero C. Nograles	48.500	No business permit to operate.
J-Chaper Enterprises (Moved out)	MBFI/ BTLFI	NLDC DA-RFU III	Erico Basilio A. Fabian, Mariano U. Piamonte, Elias C. Bulut, Aurelio D. Gonzales, Jr.	29.136	
Lucky L.M.L. Marketing (unclaimed)	MAMFI	TRC NABCOR	Amado S. Bagatsing, Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	25.123	With Permit only for CY 2001
J.L Soriano Enterprises (Unknown)	KKAMFI	NLDC	Niel C. Tupas, Jr., Isidro T. Ungab, Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr., Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr.	16.253	With Permit only for CYs 2000- 2001
Villa Verde Agri Farm (no such address)	Pang-Fi	ZREC	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	15.673	Using the same TIN being used by another establishment.
LR Services (Unknown)	Pang-Fi	ZREC	Juan Ponce Enrile	7.266	No business permit to
Livelihood Research Corporation (unlocated)	Pang-FI	TRC NABCOR ZREC	Liwayway Vinzons-Chato, Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada, Juan Ponce Enrile	6.025	operate and ATP used by other suppliers
Technitool Marketing Co. (Unknown)	ASAP	TRC	Del R. De Guzman, Marcelino R. Teodoro, Ronaldo B. Zamora	5.635	No business permit
Jeffrey Hans Trading (unknown)	ITONAMI	NLDC NABCOR	Glenn A. Chong, Emil L. Ong, Wilfrido Mark M. Enverga, Samuel M. Dangwa	6.428	
219 Others (unknown/no such number/ moved out)				195.182	Of which, 188 have either no business permi to operate or using questionable ATPs.
Total (230)				689.818	AIF 5.

• Thirteen suppliers that confirmed their transactions amounting to **P1.054 Billion** with the selected NGOs either have no permits to operate, or using multiple TINs, or issued questionable receipts. They were also either using different ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR but covering the same series of numbers, or using ATPs and TINs being used by other suppliers.

Table 2	24. Suppliers	That Confirm	ned Transactions But Submitted Que	stionable D	ocuments
Establish- ment	NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt (M ₽)	Remarks
C.C. Barredo Publishing House	KapKFI GMBFI KMBFI KMFI BMMKFI BMMKFI KKFI GPMFI	NABCOR TRC NLDC	Prospero C. Nograles, Antonio T. Kho, Danilo P. Lagbas, Antonio P. Yapha, Jr; Marina P. Clarete, Renato J. Unico, Jr., Eduardo V. Roquero, Emilio C. Macias II, Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz, Rolando A. Uy, Roberto C. Cajes, Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr., Joseph A. Santiago; Julio A. Ledesma IV; Al Francis C. Bichara; Arturo B. Robes, Adam Relson A. Jala, Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva, Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr., Isidro T. Ungab, Antonio V. Cuenco, Ignacio T. Arroyo; Reno G. Lim, Rodolfo W. Antonino, Juan Ponce Enrile, Edgardo J. Angara, Carol Jayne B. Lopez, Antonio F. Lagdameo, Jr., Daryl Grace J. Abayon, Edgardo M. Chatto, Eufrocino M. Codilla, Sr., Franklin P. Bautista, Mariano U. Piamonte, Niel C. Tupas, Jr., Rodante D. Marcoleta,	541.742	Using various ATPs with overlapping series and being used by other suppliers.
TNU Trading	SDPFFI MAMFI POPDFI	NABCOR	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada, Juan Ponce Enrile, Erwin L. Chiongbian, Edgar L. Valdez, Rodolfo G. Plaza, Robert Raymund M. Estrella, Samuel M. Dangwa, Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV, . Conrado M. Estrella III, Ramon B. Revilla, Jr., Victor F. Ortega, Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	323.897	Using various ATPs covering the same series of numbers.
Montrude Trading	MAMFI SPFFI	TRC NABCOR	Rizalina Seachon-Lanete, Conrado M. Estrella III, Edgar L. Valdez, Erwin L. Chiongbian, Rodolfo G. Plaza, Samuel M. Dangwa, Victor Francisco C. Ortega, Rodolfo G. Valencia, Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV, Robert Raymund M. Estrella and Ramon Revilla Jr.	61.886	No business permit to operate.
M.A. Guerrero Ent.	KKAMFI	NLDC	Antonio V. Cuenco, Danilo P. Lagbas, Eufrocino M. Codilla Sr., Francisco T. Matugas, Joseph A. Santiago, Maria Isabelle G. Climaco, Mariano U. Piamonte, Marina P. Clarete, Roberto C. Cajes, Rolando A. Uy	59.241	Using ATP being used by several suppliers.
Hub Trading	MBFI BTLFI MBFI	NLDC NABCOR TRC	Erico Basilio A. Fabian, Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr., Elias C. Bulut, Jr. Samuel M. Dangwa	33.675	No business permit to operate.
S & A Plant Nursery	KKMFI KKFI KMFI	NABCOR	Julio A. Ledesma IV, Alfonso V. Umali, Jr., Edgardo J. Angara	19.193	
7 Other Estab.	CFI KKAMFI HMLFI RFI KACI	NLDC DSWD		14.444	Either have no business permit to operate or

Table 24. Suppliers That Confirmed Transactions But Submitted Questionable Documents						
Establish- ment	NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt (M ₽)	Remarks	
					using questionable ATPs.	
Total (13)				1,054.078		

- The items procured from C.C. Barredo Publishing House amounting to **₽541.742** Million consists of four to five volumes of manuals consisting of 100 to 104 pages costing ₽2,000 to ₽ 3,500 per kit written in English or in Filipino. These pertain to various types of livelihood programs such as raising livestock, farming, food processing, and the like which are already of common knowledge to an ordinary farmer. Validation conducted in Region V disclosed that a number of these manuals were even distributed to high school students who may not even be the right recipients of the kits.
- Forty-six other suppliers with transactions amounting to ₽787.161 Million that did not reply to the Team have also submitted questionable documents:

Table 25. S	Table 25. Suppliers That Did Not Reply But Submitted Questionable Receipts							
Establishment	NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt (M P)	Remarks			
Sin-Gum Trading	AMFI AEPFFI	NLDC	Ramon Revilla, Jr.	82.348	Using ATPs being used by other suppliers.			
MMRC Trading			Juan Ponce Enrile, Ramon Revilla, Jr.	111.522	No business permit to operate and using ATPs			
Chino & Iris Catering Services	KKAMFI	NLDC	Rolando A. Uy, Danilo P. Lagbas	1.611	used by other suppliers.			
TNU Trading	SDPFFI APMFI MAMFI POPDFI CARED	TRC NLDC	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada, Juan Ponce Enrile, Erwin L. Chiongbian, Edgar L. Valdez, Rodolfo G. Plaza, Robert Raymund M. Estrella, Samuel M. Dangwa, Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV, . Conrado M. Estrella III, Ramon Revilla, Jr., Victor F. Ortega, Rizalina L. Seachon Lanete	578.336	Using various ATPs covering the same series of numbers.			
Zynmil Agrisciences, Inc.	BTLFI	DA-RFU III	Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	5.000	No business permit to operate.			
41 Other Establishments				8.344	No business permit to operate and using ATPs used by other suppliers and/or issued ORs with no details.			

Table 25. Suppliers That Did Not Reply But Submitted Questionable Receipts						
Establishment NGO IA Legislator Amt (M P) Remarks						
Total (46)				787.161		

- They did not also declare such transactions to the concerned LGUs in their application for the renewal of business permits as they reported relatively insignificant amount of gross sales in relation to their millions of transactions with the covered IAs alone.
- Reported training costs as well as hotel/accommodations, transportations, and meals/catering are unreasonably, if not unconscionably high.

As to Status of Beneficiaries:

• A number of beneficiaries/recipients of these projects also either denied receipt of the distributed items, or participation in the training, or could not be located at their given addresses, or have given insufficient addresses. The unlocated beneficiaries were oftentimes confirmed by the LGU officials or the COMELEC Registrar as non-resident or non-registered voters within their purported residences/districts. (See *Annex C* for detailed discussion per NGO).

As to Legislators:

• Six legislators and/or their relatives are incorporators of recipient-NGOs of fund transfers from their respective PDAF allocations.

Tab	Table 26. NGOs with Legislators and/or their relatives as Incorporators						
IA	NGO	Legislator/ Relative	Position	Total Releases (in M P)			
DSWD-NCR NABCOR	KABAKA Foundation, Inc.	Amado S. Bagatsing	Incorporator/BOD/ Stockholder	19.800			
Quezon City	Matias Defensor, Sr. Foundation, Inc.	Matias V. Defensor, Jr.	Incorporator/BOT/ Stockholder	99.500			
DSWD-RFO III	Jose Sy Alvarado Foundation, Inc.	Ma. Victoria R. Sy- Alvarado	Incorporator/COB/ President	12.900			
DSWD-CO	Kalusugan ng Bata, Karunungan ng Bayan, Inc.	Edgardo J. Angara	Incorporator/BOD/ Stockholder	14.400			
DSWD-NCR	Pamamalakaya Foundation, Inc.	Jeannie Sandoval, sister-in-law of Federico S. Sandoval II	Incorporator/BOD/ Stockholder	20.000			
TRC	Aksyon Makamasa Foundation, Inc.	Anthony C. Miranda	Incorporator/COB/ Stockholder/President	20.060			

• A number of legislators, likewise, denied the authenticity of their signatures on a number of documents submitted by the NGOs and/or authorizing any representative to sign on their behalf;

Legislator	Documents Denied/Remarks
Julio A. Ledesma IV	Denied signatures in documents submitted by KKMFI in one project bu did not comment in two other projects and on the documents submitted by FDC and KKAMFI.
Edgardo M. Chatto	Denied signatures in all documents except in the letter interposing no objections for the NGO to implement project. Did not submit reply or documents submitted by KKMFI to NABCOR.
Roberto C. Cajes	Noted unfamiliar strokes in the certificate of acceptance and list of beneficiaries. Acknowledged to have identified project beneficiaries of one project but did not comment on other documents. Also confirmed 3 out of 39 documents in other projects.
Niel C. Tupas, Jr.	Denied signatures in the list of beneficiaries and other documents such as Certificate of Work Completed, Inspection Report, Certificate of Acceptance, Certificate of Distribution and Certificate of Work Rendered among others, but confirmed signatures in the endorsement letter, MOA PP, WFP, Proposed Distribution List.
Al Francis C. Bichara	Denied signatures in the list of beneficiaries and claimed that there was no PDAF allocation for such project except for funds transferred to KKMFI where he neither confirmed nor denied his signatures.
Isidro T. Ungab	Confirmed signatures in MOA, WFP, proposed distribution list, bu denied signatures in PP, letter request to release payments, Inspection Report and Project Beneficiaries. He also cannot remember having authorized a certain Mr. Jessie Enurable to sign on his behalf and therefore cannot confirm the authenticity of the signatures of the said person. He did not comment on 33 other documents but confirmed signature in an endorsement letter.
Douglas R.A. Cagas	Denied signatures in all documents except for endorsement letter and MOA for PSDFI.
Danton Q. Bueser	Denied signatures in all documets.
Constantino Jaraula	Confirmed signature in MOA and letter to NLDC but denied signature in all other documents.
Jurdin Jesus Romualdo	Claimed that his signatures were forged and affirmed no implementation of the projects.
Prospero C. Nograles	Denied having authorized any representative to sign in his behalf and noted that only the letter to DA Secretary requesting transfer of fund to NABCOR conform to the document processing procedures in his forme Office.
Juan Ponce Enrile	Confirmed to have authorized his Chief of Staff to sign in his behalf. The Chief of Staff confirmed signatures in the MOA but denied signatures in the Certificate of Acceptance and list of beneficiaries for project implemented by KKFI. Confirmed authenticity of signature on document pertaining to other projects.
Rodolfo W. Antonino	Denied signatures in list of beneficiaries and certificate of acceptance.
Victor Francisco C. Ortega	Sought assistance from the NBI to check the authenticity of hi signatures.

Table 27. Legislato	Table 27. Legislators Who Denied Signatures on Documents Submitted by NGOs					
Legislator	Documents Denied/Remarks					
Jose Carlos V. Lacson	Denied signatures in acceptance report and list of beneficiaries but confirmed signatures in the request for release of fund to NABCOR. He also claimed that he has no staff by the name of Marianne Ancheta.					
Nelson L. Dayanghirang	Denied signatures in liquidation report, MOA, Project Proposal and Work and Financial Report but confirmed signatures in the endorsement letter to TRC.					
Ernesto C. Pablo	Denied signatures in 17 out of 23 documents and claimed that he has no representative by the name of Nestor Alcantara.					
Carlos M. Padilla	Denied his and his authorized representative's signatures in all documents. He also noted that the Barangay beneficiaries listed do not exist in his district.					
Arrel C. Olaño	Denied signatures in all documents except endorsement letter. He also denied authorizing a certain Mr. Rodolfo Limchaco and Jason Magbanua as his representatives.					
Teodoro L. Locsin, Jr.	Denied signatures in Project Proposal and MOA.					
Eduardo C. Zialcita	Denied signatures in all documents except for one MOA and PP, which he did comment on.					
Arturo B. Robes	Did not reply to the Team's confirmation letter but sought the assistance of the PNP Crime Lab to verify his signatures.					

• While a number of legislators requested their PDAF allocation to be released to different IAs, the funds were nonetheless purportedly requested to be transferred to the same NGO:

Table 28. Legislators with Allocations Released to Various IAs But Requested their Allocations to be Transferred to the Same NGOs							
Legislators	Amt. (M P)	IAs	NGOs				
Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	204.600	ZREC, NABCOR	Pang-FI				
Rene M. Velarde	7.760						
Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	21.963	NABCOR,NLDC, TRC	KKAMFI				
Marina P. Clarete	45.590	NABCOR,NLDC					
Vicente F. Belmonte Jr.	8.245						
Rolando A. Uy	17.460						
Danilo P. Lagbas	13.386						
Niel C. Tupas, Jr.	17.460						
Roberto C. Cajes	32.495						
Maria Isabele G. Climaco	23.377						
Thomas L. Dumpit Jr.	44.100	TRC,NLDC					
Isidro T. Ungab	38.300	TRU,NEDU					
Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	20.255		KapKFI				
Joseph A. Santiago	19.350	NABCOR,TRC	IAFI				
Manuel S. Agyao	11.447	NABCOR, NLDC	ITO NA MI				
Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	90.400	NABCOR, NLDC, TRC	SDPFFI				

Table 28. Legislators with Allocations Released to Various IAs But Requested their Allocations to be Transferred to the Same NGOs							
Legislators	Amt. (M P)	IAs	NGOs				
Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	130.200	ZREC, NABCOR, NLDC, TRC					
Edgar L. Valdez	35.890	ZREC, NABCOR					
Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	31.650	NABCOR, TRC	MAMFI				
Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	118.340						
Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	172.175	NABCOR,NLDC					
Juan Ponce Enrile	77.600						
Conrado M. Estrella III	36.730	NLDC, TRC	CARED				
Juan Ponce Enrile	96.800		Found., Inc.				
Adam Relson L. Jala	13.300	NABCOR, TRC	DRAISFI				
Prospero C. Nograles	60.100		AFPI				
Edgar T. Espinosa	24.100		UPFI				
Marcelino R. Teodoro	14.258	NLDC, TRC	ASAP				
Del R. De Guzman	9.350						
Jaime C. Lopez	5.540						
Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr.	14.960		MBFI				
Elias C. Bulut, Jr.	12.260						
Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	8.000	DSWD-CO, NCR	UPO				
Vincent P. Crisologo	6.500						
Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	106.450	NLDC, TRC	AEPFFI				
Arturo B. Robes	25.431		AWSDI				
Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	16.000	DSWD-CO/NCR	DFCCO				
Ma. Teresa B. Bonoan-David	35.000						
Vincent P. Crisologo	8.500						
Eduardo C. Zialcita	17.500						
Luis A. Asistio	25.000						
Vincent P. Crisologo	18.000		KACI				
Oscar G. Malapitan	25.300						

The detailed analysis of transactions including results of confirmations and comments from the legislators are integrally attached as Annex C while the Comments of the concerned IAs and the Team's Rejoinder are integrally attached as Annex D.

4. Irregularity in the implementation of livelihood projects was also manifested in the purported multiple attendance of the same beneficiaries to the same or similar trainings in as many as 15 times and multiple receipt of the same or similar kits and/or conduct of the same or similar trainings for several times in the same barangays. Moreover, some beneficiaries, who claimed to have attended the livelihood trainings, did not, in any way, use such training in establishing a business.

Review of documents submitted by the NGOs, particularly the purported list of beneficiaries, further disclosed that:

- A number of beneficiaries have purportedly attended the same or similar trainings conducted by different NGOs for as many as 15 times and received multiple number of the same kits.
- A number of the same or similar trainings were purportedly conducted in the same barangay by the same or different NGOs.

This is not effective and realistic.

The purported attendance of around 4 to 15 times by 4,097 individuals in the same or similar seminars/trainings, is tabulated below:

٦	Table 29. Beneficiaries Who Attended the Same or Similar Seminars/Trainings and Received Multiple Number of Kits					
No. of times Reported as Beneficiary	NGOs	No. of Beneficiaries w/ multiple trainings/kits	IAs			
4-6	AEPFFI, AFPI, APMFI, APSMFI, ASAP, AWSDFI, BTLFI, CARED, Inc., CFI, CPEF, CRB, DFCCOI, DRAISFI, FDC, GDFI, GMPFI, GSLFI, GPFI, GPMFI, HMLFI, ITONAMI, JCBFI, KABAKA, KACI, KBKF, KKAMFI, KMBFI, KMFI, MAMFI, MBFI, MMBFI, NATCCO, MPC, PDDCI, PFI, POPDFI, PSDFI, RFI, SBPSFI, SDPFFI, SDC, TMCF, UPFI	3,731	NABCOR, NLDC, DSWD, TRC, DA			
7-9	AEPFFI, AFPI, APFMI, APSMFI, ASAP, AWSDFI, BTLFI, CARED, Inc., CFI, CPEF, CRB, DFCCOI, DRAISFI, FDC, GDFI, GSLFI, GPFI, GPMFI, HMLFI, ITONAMI, JCBFI, KABAKA, KACI, KBKF, KBMFI, KMBFI, KKAMFI, MAMFI, MBFI, MMBFI, NATCCO-DBMPC, NATCCO, PDDCI, PFI, POPDFI, PSDFI, RB, RFI, SDPFFI, TMCF, UPFI	305	DA, DSWD, NLDC TRC, NABCOR			
10-12	AEPFFI, AFPI, APSMFI, ASAP, AWSDFI, CARED, Inc., CFI, CPEF, DFCCOI, DRAISFI, FDC, GDFI, GSLFI, GPFI, GPMFI, HMLFI, ITONAMI, KACI, KKAMFI, KMBFI, MAMFI, MBFI, MMBFI, NATCCO-ICDC, PDDCI, POPDFI, RFI, SDPFFI, TMCF, UPFI	46	NABCOR, NLDC, DSWD, TRC, DA			
13-15	AEPFFI, AWSDFI, CPEF, DFCCOI, DRAISFI,GPFI, HMLFI, JCBFI, KKAMFI, MAMFI, SDPFFI, UPFI	15	NABCOR, NLDC, DSWD, TRC, DA			
Total		4,097				

The conduct of the same or similar trainings with almost the same beneficiaries is an indication of either lack of proper planning and/or irregularity in the implementation of the projects and a waste of valuable resources.

As discussed earlier, results of confirmation disclosed that significant amounts and quantities of items intended to be distributed did not at all reach the intended beneficiaries. On the other hand, the intended benefits of increasing the income of few beneficiaries who confirmed to have received kits or attended trainings did not at all materialize. Such kits received or trainings acquired were not used as an alternative source of income as these were merely used for personal/home use and not for establishing business. For those who initially tried to establish the business, the business established was short-lived. This means, then, that these projects, costing billions of pesos to implement, and continuously being implemented did not at all improve the living conditions of the intended project beneficiaries.

The projects implemented in the same barangays by different NGOs are illustrated as follow:

Table 30. Projects Implemented in the Same Barangays by Different NGOs							
IA	NGO	Province	City/ Mun.	Barangay	Procurements Undertaken and/or Trainings Conducted	Qty	
NABCOR	PFI/GPMFI	Cebu	Cebu	Guadalupe	Hand Tractors (in units)	3	
	PFI		City		Thresher (units)	2	
					Fertilizer (bottles)	114	
					Palay (sacks)	15	
	GPMFI				Water Pumps (in units)	2	
					Fruit bearing trees (in pcs.)	729	
NABCOR/ NLDC/TRC	gpmfi/ Kkamfi				Livelihood Technology Kits (LTKs) (Vol. I-V, in sets)	201	
NABCOR	PFI/GPMFI			Kalunasan	Hand Tractors (in units)	5	
	PFI				Thresher (units)	3	
					Palay (sacks)	20	
					Fertilizer (bottles)	172	
	GPMFI				Water Pumps (in units)	2	
					Fruit bearing trees (in pcs.)	729	
NABCOR/ NLDC/TRC	gpmfi/ Kkamfi				LTKs (Vol I-V, in sets)	201	
NABCOR	PFI/GPMFI			Pamutan	Hand Tractors (in units)	3	
	PFI				Thresher (units)	8	
					Palay (sacks)	51	
					Fertilizer (bottles)	266	
	GPMFI				Hand Tractors (in units)	2	
					Fruit bearing trees (in pcs.)	726	
NABCOR/ NLDC/TRC	gpmfi/ Kkamfi				LTKs (Vol I-V, in sets)	199	
TRC	POPDFI	La Union	Bacnotan	Baroro	Agricultural Production Livelihood Packages (APLPs)	1	
NLDC	CARED				Dressmaking & Livelihood Kits	10	

	Table 30	. Projects Im	plemente	d in the Same	e Barangays by Different NGOs	
IA	NGO	Province	City/ Mun.	Barangay	Procurements Undertaken and/or Trainings Conducted	Qty
					Jewelry Making & Kits	10
					Manicure & Pedicure & Kits	10
					Pickled Veg. & Fruit Training & Kits	10
					Wellness Massage & Kits	42
					Training Supplies and Materials (TSM)	124
TRC	POPDFI			Bulala	APLPs	
NABCOR	SDPFFI		Gardening Package, Knapsack Sprayer, Liq. Fertilizer (in pkgs)		18	
NLDC	CARED				Dressmaking & Livelihood Kits	10
					Jewelry Making & Kits	13
					Manicure & Pedicure & Kits	13
					Pickled Veg. & Fruit Training & Kits	13
					Wellness Massage & Kits	49
					TSM	98
TRC	POPDFI			Narra	APLPs	1
NLDC	CARED				Dressmaking & Livelihood Kits	17
					Jewelry Making & Kits	49
					Manicure & Pedicure & Kits	50
					Pickled Veg. & Fruit Training & Kits	50
					Wellness Massage & Kits	46
					TSM	212
				Poblacion	Dressmaking & Livelihood Kits	38
					Jewelry Making & Kits	71
					Manicure & Pedicure & Kits	73
					Pickled Veg. & Fruit Training & Kits	73
					Wellness Massage & Kits	50
					TSM	305

The conduct of the same or similar trainings and distribution of kits are almost nationwide, as tabulated below:

Table 31. Nationwide Conduct of Same or Similar Trainings and Distribution of Kits									
Region	IA	Items Distributed/Trainings Conducted	Units	Participants/ Qty. Dist.					
ARMM	NLDC	Livelihood Technology Kits (LTK) - 588 with trainings	sets	6,421					
	TRC/NABCOR/ NLDC	Agricultural Kits (AKs)	pkgs.	1,093					
	ZREC	Hand Tractors	units	175					
		Mechanical Dryers		14					
		Power Tiller		28					
		Rice Thresher		22					
		Water Pumps		163					

Region	IA	Items Distributed/Trainings Conducted	Units	Participants Qty. Dist.
		Seedlings - Fruit bearing Trees & Calamansi	pcs	226,300
		Vegetable Seeds	packs	80,000
	ZREC/TRC/ NLDC/ZREC	Trainings- Food Processing and Soap and Candle Making some with Financial Assistance (FA)		759
CAR	NABCOR	Agricultural Production Packages (APPs)	pkgs.	1,197
		Duck Raising/ Swine Dispersal/Goat Dispersal	pcs	9,811
	TRC/NLDC	Agricultural Farming and Livelihood Project (AFLP) – Loam and Basket Weaving, food processing, fruit propagation, etc.		821
		APLPs		1619
	NLDC	Farm Initiative Production Packages (FIPPS)	pkgs.	121
		Livelihood Kits (LKs) - Training Supplies	sets	720
		LKs- Vermiculture Starter Kits		1,750
	NLDC/TRC/ NABCOR	Trainings – Cosmetology, Soap and Candle Making/Goat & Swine/Duck Raising,and etc ; 4841 with Livelihood Manuals (LMs)		5,420
NCR	NLDC	Conversion kits, Tools, & Gears for Transport Vehicle	sets	128
		LKs – VCDs-5 & LMs-5		100
	NLDC/TRC	Trainings (Computer Education, Massage Therapy, Food Processing) some with distribution of FAs, VCDs, LKs		116,823
	TRC	Agricultural and Livelihood Kits (ALKs)		1,200
TRC	TRC	Livelihood Manuals (LMs)	sets	58
		LKs – Hair and Fashion Accessories		45
I	NABCOR	Hand Tractors	units	142
		Water Pumps		79
		Seedlings – Fruit bearing trees	pcs	128,168
	NLDC	LKs		50
		LKs – Barber Sets, Dressmaking Kits, Manicure Sets	sets	888
		LKs – Sewing Machine	units	472
		SSAPs – not specified		434
		Training Supplies and Materials (TSMs)	sets	22,308
	NLDC/TRC	Trainings – Barber/Dressmaking, Manicure & Pedicure / Fish Processing, etc (some with FA, LMs or LKs)		25,465
	NLDC/TRC	LTKs (Vol. I-V)	sets	4,159
	TRC/NLDC/ NABCOR	ALKs (with 50 LMs)		4,223
11	NLDC	Livelihood Kits – Computer Set	sets	1
	TRC	Trainings - Reflexology,Food Proc., Soap Making,etc with FAs or LKs		9,249
	TRC/NLDC/ NABCOR	Agricultural Kits (AKs)		1,209
	NLDC	LKs		48
		LKs and Modules		425
		TSMs		2,500
	NLDC/ NABCOR	LTKs (Vol. I-V)		13,216
	TRC/NLDC/	AKs – CIBAC Agri	pkgs.	112

Region	IA	Items Distributed/Trainings Conducted	Units	Participants/ Qty. Dist.	
	NABCOR	AKs	sets	2,365	
	TRC/NLDC	Livelihood trainings - Furniture Prod, Food Production, Poultry/ Swine, etc with FAs or LKs		29,436	
	ZREC	Mechanical Dryer Power Tiller	units	180	
IV-A	NABCOR	APPs	pkgs.	1,459	
1 0 7 (N/DOON	LTKs (Vol. I-V)		1,385	
	NLDC	ALPs – not itemized		475	
	NLD 0	Livelihood Items for Food Making		60	
	TRC	Trainings - Paper Products, Food Processing, Massage Technique, Backyard Farming, Beauty/Hair Cutlture, etc. with FA or LKs		5,301	
IV-B	NABCOR	FA		60	
		Mini Hand Tractors			
	Seedlings – fruit bearing trees		84,480		
	NLDC/NABCOR	LTKs (Vol. I-V, in sets)		5,14	
	TRC/NLDC/ NABCOR	LMs – Poultry/Livestock; Vegetables , Fishery, Fruit Trees, etc.		7,55	
TRC	Training e.g. Computer System (A-open Exida) some with FA		1,81		
		Agricultural kits	pkgs.	42	
/ NABCOR/TRC	Hand Tractors	units	3		
		Thresher		3	
		Water Pumps		3	
		LTMs		75	
		Seedlings – Fruit Bearing trees/hybrid yellow corn		168,60	
		Vegetable Seeds		62,43	
	NABCOR/NLDC	LTKs (Vol. I-V)		45,88	
	NABCOR/TRC	Fertilizers		16,00	
	TRC	LKs – Not Specified		1,29	
	TRC/NABCOR/ NLDC	Agricultural kits		2,29	
	TRC/NLDC	Trainings - Food Processing, Wellness Massage, etc. with kits/FA		2,51	
/I	NABCOR/	APPs	pkgs.	36	
	ZREC	Backpack Sprayer	pcs	5	
		Electrification Project			
		Hand Tractors	units	39	
		Corn Sheller]	2	
		Gardening Tools]	5	
		Hydro Tillers]		
		Mechanical Dryer			
		Thresher		3	
		Water Pumps		23	
		Fruit bearing seedlings and rubber trees		138,71	
	NLDC/ZREC/	LTKs - LTKs (Vol I-V, in sets)	sets	20,95	

Region	IA	Items Distributed/Trainings Conducted	Units	Participants/ Qty. Dist.
	NABCOR			
	ZREC	Corn Sheller	units	20
		Gardening Tools		50
		Hand Tractors	i -	30
		Mechanical Dryer	1	8
		Thresher	ī.	30
		Water Pumps	ī.	43
		Seedlings - Fruit Bearing Trees/Rubber Trees		27,500
	ZREC/TRC/ NLDC	Trainings - e.g. Detergent Soap Making, piggery, goat & poultry raisingsome, Dress Making Training & Sewing Machine (man'l) and Manicure & Pedicure Training (some with LKs)		1,287
VII	NABCOR/	Fertilizer	bottles	2,656
	ZREC		packs	5,000
		Water Pumps	units	218
		Thresher		90
		Hand Tractors	1	348
		Mechanical Dryer	1	18
		Other Seeds – Palay Seeds	J	508
		Seedlings – fruit bearing trees/hybrid yellow corn		424,762
		Vegetable seeds		17,986
NABCOR/ NLDC/TRC	LTKs (Vol. I-V)		44,089	
	NLDC	VCDs with 15 Computer sets	sets	1,461
	TRC/NABCOR/ NLDC	Trainings - e.g.Soap & Candle Making and Silk Screen Printing, Paper Making (some with LKs)		3,557
	ZREC	Corn Sheller	units	20
		Gardening Tools		50
VIII	NABCOR	Instructional Materials (IMs)	sets	33,984
		LKs – Computer Sets		16
		Seedlings – Fruit bearing trees, Veg. Seeds		40,598
	NABCOR	Agricultural kits	pkgs.	268
	NLDC	LTKs (Vol. I-V, in sets)		2,646
		VCDs (in sets)		2,382
	TRC/NLDC/ NABCOR	Trainings –Reflexology, Food Proc., Hair /Massage / Vermiculture Training with FA or LKs/LSKs		14,700
IX	NABCOR	Hand Tractors	units	111
		Water Pumps		112
		Seedling – Fruit bearing trees		25,663
	TRC/NABCOR/ NLDC/ZREC	Livelihood trainings - Auto Repair, Food Processing, Soap / Dress Making, etc. with FA/LKs/LMs		14,082
	NABCOR/TRC	APPs	pkgs.	890
	NLDC	Bush Cutter	pcs	78
		LKs - Business Guide Manuals	sets	1,061
		LKs - Dressmaking Starter Kits	1	70

Region	IA	Items Distributed/Trainings Conducted	Units	Participants Qty. Dist.
		LKs - Electric Mixer	units	57
		LKs - Sewing Machine		81
		LMs – Poultry/Livestock, Veg. and Root Crops; Fishery, Fruit Trees,etc.	sets	5,360
		LTKs (Vol. I-V, in sets)		793
Х	NABCOR	Water Pumps	units	328
		Hand Tractors	i i	344
		Seedlings – fruit bearing trees		112,879
	NLDC	LKs – Computer Sets	sets	30
	NLDC/ NABCOR	LTKs - (Vol I-V, in sets)	1	17,907
	TRC/NABCOR/ NLDC	Agricultural kits	pkgs.	1,042
	ZREC/NLDC/ NABCOR/TRC	Trainings - Auto Repair, Food Processing, Soap / Dress Making, etc. with VCD sets/LKs/FA		7,856
XI	NABCOR	Water Pump	units	100
		Fertilizer		6,000
		Hand Tractors	units	173
		Thresher		25
		Hybrid yellow corn		1,750
		Seedlings – fruit bearing trees & Veg. Seeds		127, 423
	NABCOR/TRC/ NLDC	Agricultural kits	pkgs.	2,548
	NLDC	LKs – Barber Sets/Manicure Sets	sets	597
		LKs – Sewing Machine (elec) (in units)		84
		LKs – Vermiculture Manuals/Starter Sets	sets	2,608
	TRC	LKs - Not Itemized (in pkgs)	pkgs.	8,064
	TRC/NLDC/ NABCOR	LTKs (Vol. I-V, in sets)		16,805
	TRC/NLDC	Trainings - e.g. Soap/Candle Making, Food Processing, Manicure/Pedicure, etc. with VCDs, LKs, Manuals		8,133
XII	NABCOR	Farm Inputs - Sprayers	pcs	351
	NABCOR	Gardening Tools		351
		Liquid Fertilizer	bottles	2,808
		LTKs (Vol. I-V, in sets)		4,156
		Vegetable seeds		351
NLDC/TRC	NLDC/TRC	Trainings –Candle/Silk Screen/Detergent Soap Making, etc. with kits		3,035
	NLDC/ZREC/ NABCOR/TRC	Agricultural kits		5,847
	TRC	Livelihood Trainings with LKs/LPMs		1,871
XIII	NLDC	LKs – Manicure/Pedicure, Soap/Jewelry/Candle Making, etc.		1,898
		LKs - VCDs		800
	NLDC	TSMs		1,850
	TRC/NABCOR/ NLDC	Agricultural kits		5,949

	Table 31. Nationwide Conduct of Same or Similar Trainings and Distribution of Kits							
Region	IA	Items Distributed/Trainings Conducted	Units	Participants/ Qty. Dist.				
	TRC/NLDC	Food Processing, Hair, Manicure/Pedicure, /Novelty Giveaways, Wellness Massage, Soap Making, Sewing, etc. with FA/Kits/Sewing Machines		12,594				

Various types of agricultural kits/AFLPs/APLPs/FIPPs reportedly composed of a combination of gardening packages/knapsack/power sprayer, liquid fertilizer, planting material and soil implement, among others. The Comments of Management and the Team's Rejoinder on the above findings are integrally attached as *Annex E*.

5. Releases by TRC to two LGUs to conduct training for the establishment and management of rubber plantation and distribution of rubber seedlings amounting to P10.0 Million remained unliquidated. The documents submitted to the Team upon request were also found deficient. In addition, the submitted documents by DA-RFU XI for the transfer of funds to another LGU for the implementation of livelihood project was also not supported with liquidation documents.

The TRC also transferred funds to two Municipal Governments of North Cotabato in the total amount of **P10.0 Million** for the establishment of rubber plantation and distribution of rubber seedlings. On the other hand, DA-RFU XI also transferred funds amounting to **P6.000 Million** to the Municipality of Tipo-Tipo, Basilan for the implementation of livelihood projects.

The transfer of funds by the TRC remained unliquidated in its books during the Audit with the liquidation reports for both projects recently submitted to the Team. The submitted documents were, however, found deficient. Likewise, the disbursement voucher submitted by the DA-RFU XI was also not supported with liquidation documents. Deficiencies on the submitted documents follows:

	Table 32. Funds Transferred to Three Municipalities						
Projec		ojects	No. of	Demodra			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lator	Remarks			
Municipal Government of M'lang, North Cotabato							
TRC ROCS 08-003	1	5.000	1	The project, with funds released in 2008, was intended to conduct training for the establishment and management of rubber plantation and distribution of rubber seedlings. The funds transferred remained unliquidated in the books of the TRC. The project was funded out of PDAF allocation of Cong. Bernardo F. Piñol, Jr. Based on the documents forwarded by the Auditor thereat upon the request of the Team, the funds were used for the procurement of			

		Table 3	32. Fund	s Transferred to Three Municipalities		
	Pr	ojects	No. of			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lator	Remarks		
				141,150 pieces of rubber seedlings from Nykka Plant Nursery. The supplier, however, was not yet confirming its transactions with this Municipality.		
				Evaluation of the documents disclosed that the procurement did not comply with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184. There was no proof of posting in the PhilGEPS or advertisement in the newspaper of general circulation or posting in three conspicuous places. There were even no quotations from the purported suppliers. Only the abstract of quotations was attached to the voucher. The procurement was also apparently, completed in one day. The Abstract of Quotation, Purchase Order, Delivery, Acceptance and Official Receipt were all dated May 29, 2008.		
				Moreover, there were no documents to prove the conduct of training and distribution of seedling. There was no duly signed list of participants, reports indicating the dates of training, venue, activities undertaken, expenses incurred during training, selection criteria of participants, list of participants and duly acknowledged distribution list of seedling.		
				The concerned legislator did not also reply on the Team's request to confirm the authenticity of his signatures in the documents submitted by the TRC.		
		Mu	nicipal G	overnment of Magpet, North Cotabato		
TRC	1	5.000	1			
ROCS 08-003	355	5.000		The project, with funds released in CY 2008, was intended to conduct training for the establishment and management of rubber plantation and distribution of rubber seedlings. The fund transferred remained unliquidated in the books of the TRC. The project was funded out of PDAF allocation of Cong. Bernardo F. Piñol, Jr.		
				Upon request by the Team, the Municipality recently submitted documents on the utilization of the fund and implementation of the project.		
				Review of the submitted documents disclosed that only the procurement of rubber seedlings in the amount of P3.750 Million was covered by DV, while only copy of ORs for all other expenses were submitted.		
				In addition, the team noted that the procurement of rubber seedlings was not supported with the following documents, among others:		
				 Sales Invoice and Delivery Receipt Inspection and Acceptance Report Bids/Quotations by the purported participating bidders Publication of Invitation to Bid in newspaper of general circulation Print-out copy of publication of Invitation to Bid, Award, Contract to PhilGEPS Print out copy of publication of Invitation to Rid, Award 		
				 Print-out copy of publication of Invitation to Bid, Award, Contract to the Agency Website, if any Certification of the Chairman of BAC that the Invitation to Bid 		

		Table 3	32. Fund	s Transferred to Three Municipalities
	Pr	ojects	No. of	
IA	IA Amt (M P) Legis- lator			Remarks
				 was posted in three conspicuous places Contract On the other hand, the Team is yet to confirm from the beneficiaries receipt of the items purportedly distributed. The concerned legislator did not also reply on the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the LGU.
Sub-Total	2	10.000	1	
Municipal Government of Tipo-Tipo, Ba			Government of Tipo-Tipo, Basilan	
DA-RFU XI	1	6.000	1	The fund, out of PDAF allocation of Cong. Mujiv S. Hataman, was released on Oct. 6, 2009 intended to the Municipality of Tipo-Tipo, Basilan for the implementation of Ginintuang Masaganang Ani High Value Commercial Crops Program (GMA-HVCCP). It was covered by undated MOA entered into by DA-RFU XI, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, ARMM and the Municipality of Tipo-Tipo. The MOA was supported with Official Receipts issued by the Municipality of Tipo-Tipo, project proposal and Sanguniang Bayan Resolution No. 09-36, S-2009, authorizing the Municipal Mayor of Tipo-Tipo to enter into a MOA for the implementation of the said project. Under the MOA, the LGU is required to submit Report of Disbursement, list of recipients with complete address and return any unutilized balance, among others. These documents were, however, not among those submitted to the Team, hence, implementation of the project cannot be evaluated.
Sub-Total	1	6.000	1	
Total	3	16.000	2	

6. Livelihood items procured by three DA-RFUs in the total amount of ₱152.408 Million were not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184. These were not properly advertised and, in several instances, awarded to suppliers identified by the legislator and/or of questionable legal and physical existence. In some other cases, a number of items procured are no longer eligible under PDAF and were not supported with distribution list. The submitted distribution list for one project is even questionable as a number of recipients denied receipt of the items purportedly distributed or otherwise cannot be located at their given addresses. The items procured by DSWD-RFO III for Day Care Center were also not eligible for funding under PDAF and not the priority of the recipients. Among the activities undertaken by the three DA-RFUs covered in the Audit was procurement of various items which amounted to **P152.408 Million**. The items procured included fertilizer, seeds, veterinary supplies, and office furniture and equipment, among others. Documents revealed that the procurement of these items was not compliant with R.A. No. 9184. These procurements were not supported with proof of publication in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold; print out copies of posting in the PhilGEPS of advertisement, notice of award, notice to proceed, and contract; printout copy of posting of advertisement in the agency website, and certificate of the Head of BAC Secretariat as to posting of advertisement at conspicuous places.

The contracts, in a number of instances, were also awarded to suppliers identified by the legislator and/or of questionable legal and physical existence. In addition, a number of items procured were no longer eligible to be charged against PDAF and mostly not supported with distribution list. In one case, the supporting distribution list is even questionable as a number of recipients denied receipt of the items purportedly distributed or cannot be located at their given addresses.

The transactions charged against PDAF by the three DA-RFUs, which were not advertised in accordance with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184, in addition to a number other deficiencies, are discussed below:

Table 33. Tra	Table 33. Transactions of IAs Not Compliant with R.A. 9184 and/or not eligible for funding under PDAF and with other documentary deficiencies							
Supplier	Legislator	Items Procured	Amt (M P)	Remarks				
I. RFU III								
Zynmil Agri Science, Inc.	Rodolfo G. Biazon	Granular fertilizers	5.000	 The contract was awarded through exclusive distributor without the following certification: exclusive distributorship issued by the principal under oath; certification that there was no sub-dealer offering lower prices and that no suitable substitute can be obtained at more advantageous price to the government. 				
	Sub-Total		5.000					
II. RFU V								
MM Castillo Gen. Mdse.	Renato J. Unico, Jr./ Liwayway Vinzons-Chatto	Organic Fertilizer	1.882	These were not published in the PhilGEPS and in any other means required under existing regulations. There was also no proof of distribution of the items procured. This supplier and the printer of its receipt did not also confirm these transactions. The printer of the receipts has also no business permit to operate. The project was implemented upon the request of Cong. Chatto.				

Supplier	Legislator	Items Procured	Amt (M P)	Remarks
Bestrais Corp.	Luis R. Villafuerte	Chicken Feeds	0.679	These transactions were not advertised as required and not confirmed by the suppliers. Procurement amounting to £479,861.33 was not documented. There was also no proof of distribution of the items procured.
Gaite Agrivet & Gen. Mdse.		Veterinary Supplies	0.005	These were not advertised as required and no supported with proof of distribution of the items
Fortuna Dep't. Store		Fish Net	0.094	procured.
APA Enterprise	Jose G. Solis	Chicken Feeds	0.031	
RNM Feeds Vet. Poultry Supply	 Arnulfo P. Fuentebella Gregorio B. Honasan II 	Hybrid yellow corn seeds and complete fertilizers	4.210	This supplier's license as dealer of fertilizers and pesticides expired on July 27, 2005 and was issuing receipts/invoices bearing numbers no longer within the authorized series to be printed Although this supplier has business permit, it did not confirm these transactions.
AIMS Agri Venture	Liwayway Vinzons-Chato	Palay Tresher, 4WD Tractor, Power sprayer/bag and brush cutter	2.617	This procurement was not advertised as required and supplier has no confirmed business permit. It was issuing receipts not in accordance with BIR requirements. It did not also confirm this transaction.
Bicol JL Agri Corp.	Arnulfo P. Fuentebella	Complete fertilizers	0.282	This procurement was not advertised as required and its license as handler of fertilizer expired or September 19, 2007 or before this transaction transpired on November 1, 2009. There was also no proof of distribution of the items procured.
Partido Dev't Administration		Palay seeds	0.411	This transaction was also not advertised as required and there was no proof of distribution of the items procured.
Goldstar Agri- Vet. Corp	Luis R. Villafuerte	Veterinary Supplies	0.010	This procurement was not advertised as required and not supported with receipt and proof o distribution of items procured.
GN Electrical Service Shop	Jose C. Solis	Hydrovac Assembly for SCX 955	0.006	These procurements did not comply with existing regulations and not documented as there were no DVs submitted. These transactions may also
BBR Enterprise	Gregorio B. Honasan II	Hardaware and painting materials	0.026	be considered part of the operating expenses o the RFU.
Bitstop Bicol Sales Center	 Jose C. Solis Arnulfo P. Fuentebella 	Photocopying Machine and computer sets	0.324	
A.R. Petron Station	Al Francis C. Bichara	Diesoline deposit	0.138	This is considered part of the operating expenses of the RFU and utilization no
Geronimo Petron Station	Arnulfo P. Fuentebella		0.076	documented.
3GX Computers & IT Solution	Al Francis C. Bichara	One unit Desktop	0.278	These items were reportedly distributed to the winners of "Modelong Gulayan sa Eskwelahan"
Boning' Trading		Sport equipment	0.046	These also may not be considered eligible unde PDAF and not advertised as required unde
S.F. Castro Arts		Supplies and	0.022	and not advoltised as required under

Supplier	Legislator	Items Procured	Amt (M P)	Remarks
& Frames		plaque		existing rules and regulations.
Bodega Galssware		Prizes for winners	0.180	
Zynmil Agri Science, Inc.	Narciso R. Bravo, Jr.	Granular Fertilizers	14.850	Out of P14.850 Million , P4.950.00 was no documented and have no proof of distribution. O the confirmation letters sent, 84 beneficiaries confirmed to have received the items, 6 denied receipt, while 30 others cannot be located a their given adresses. This procurement was no also advertised as required.
WB Ink Colors	Joseph A. Santiago	Office Supplies for Acctg Section	0.024	This is part of the operating expenses of the RFU.
NFA	Arnulfo P. Fuentebella	4,000 bags of Rice	16.369	There was no proof of distribution of P11.36 9 Million worth of rice and procurement no supported with project proposal.
ARIK Const.	 Al Francis C. Bichara Liwayway Vinzons-Chato 	Installation of 10 units rainshelters	0.717	Both supplier and printer of the receipts did no confirm these transactions. These items were not advertised as required and cannot be considered within the menu of program.
CTC Builders & Supplies	Al Francis C. Bichara	Materials for livelihood program	0.188	The supplier and printer of the receipts did no confirm this transaction which was not advertised as required. The beneficiaries were identified by the Office of the Congressional District and the items appeared to have been distributed by the Congressional District Staff.
	Sub-Total		43.465	
III. RFU XI				
Basic Agri Industrial Sales	Douglas R.A. Cagas	12 units RPM Hand Tractor	1.908	The supplier confirmed this transaction however, there was no proof of distribution of the items procured. The items were recieved by the staff of Cong. Douglas R.A. Cagas, Mr. Gi Gubat. The procurement was not published in the PhilGEPS, but was claimed to have beer published in the Mindanao Mercury Times which cannot be considered a newspaper of general nationwide circulation. This supplie issued receipt no longer within the series authorized by the BIR to be printed but has permit to operate.
Harbest Agri- business Corp.	Thelma Z. Almario	Vegetable seed and tools	0.021	These procurements were not supported with print out copies of publication in the PhilGEPS
			0.154	and any canvass documents. The supplied appeared to have been identified by Vice Gov Joel Mayo Z. Almario. The items procured were delivered directly to the Office of the Provincia Vice Governor. It was received by Ms. Emma Montejo, Project-In-charge. The supplier can no be located at its given address and there was no
				distribution list.

Supplier	Legislator	Items Procured	Amt (M P)	Remarks
				procurement also was not published in the PhilGEPS but was supported with purported quotations from three suppliers. There was also no distribution list.
JMKYLE Trading	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	Vegetable Seeds - 15,680 sets	3.916	The supplier is unknown at its given address. The procurement was not published in the PhilGEPS although it was claimed to have been published in Mindanao Mercury Times which cannot be considered of general circulation. The items declared were received by a certain Mr. Arsenio R. Obedencia. There was no distribution list submitted. The printer of receipts issued by this supplier is also unknown at its given address.
Sulhen & TJJ Corporation	Douglas R.A. Cagas	Various vegetable seeds & 20 sets of gardening tools	0.444	Items were purportedly delivered to Congressman Cagas site bodega for distribution and were recieved by his staff, Mr. Gil Gubat. However, there was no attached distribution list
	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	5 sets of 2 in 1 cornmill combined ricemill with feed mixer	3.500	to support such activity. The supplier cannot be located at the given address and was not selected in accordance with existing regulations. The procurements were not published in the PhilGEPS, but were claimed to have been published in Mindanao Mercury Times, a newspaper that cannot be considered of nationwide general circulation. Apparently, however, the supplier was already pre-selected as among the documents attached to the DV is a purported letter dated February 19, 2008 of Congressman Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV asking Dir. Roger C. Chio to immediately implement the project with Sulhen & TJJ Corporation as the supplier.
	Douglas R.A. Cagas	20 sets of Personal Computer with printer and 150 pcs. Sweat shirts with print & design per set.	2.820	The Notice of Award to the supplier was issued on March 10, 2007 or before its purported publication in Mindanao Mercury Times, which cannot also be considered a newspaper of general nationwide circulation. This is another project with pre-selected supplier. In a purported letter dated February 28, 2007 of Congressman Douglas R.A. Cagas to Dir. Roger C. Chio, Sulhen & TJJ Corporation was identified as the supplier. There was also no list of recipients of the items procured.
Sulhen & TJJ Corporation	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	7 units mini rice mill	2.797	These procurements were purportedly published in Mindanao Mercury Times. There
		5 units mini rice mill	2.000	was no attached list of actual recipients. The 7 units mini rice mill were purportedly received by Mr. Gil Gubat, Congessional Staff, He also inspected the other 5 units which was received by a certain, Mr. Eden Joseph S. Kintanar.
Cotabato Producers Multi- Purpose	Edgar L. Valdez	Large Planting Materials - Fruit bearing trees	4.909	In a letter dated February 20, 2007 to Director Roger C. Chio, the implementation of this project by this Cooperative was endorsed by

Table 33. Tra	Table 33. Transactions of IAs Not Compliant with R.A. 9184 and/or not eligible for funding under PDAF and with other documentary deficiencies						
Supplier	Legislator	Items Procured	Amt (M P)	Remarks			
Cooperative			0.130	Congressman Edgar L. Valdez. The procurement of these items are among those also published in the Mindanao Mercury Times on March 18, 2007. There were no proof of quotations submitted by any participating suppliers including this supplier. All items were purportedly received by a certain Mr. Roel Miyashiro, Project Coordinator/Staff of Congressman Valdez. The attached list of recipients is yet to be confirmed.			
Davao Surplus Motors, Inc.	Joel Mayo Z. Almario	Hauling Trucks	5.831				
Farmer Business Dev't Corp.	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	Vegetable Seeds	19.760	There was no proof of distribution of the items procured. Only the list of farmers was attached to the contract. This procurement was no published in the PhilGEPS and newspaper o nationwide circulation. The items were received by Mr. Ernesto Miro, Provincial Agriculturist o Digos.			
MMR Marketing	Not indicated	Laptop/Camera/ Copier	0.195	5 These expenses are not eligible under PDAF these are part of the regular operating expens of RFU XI. Moreover, the supplier is unlocat as it reportedly moved out from its address, wh the printer of its receipts is unknown at its giv address. Sealed canvass was purporter conducted but procurement was not published the PhilGEPS.			
New East Davao Traders		Fertilizers and Chemicals	0.513	The procurements were not published in the PhilGEPS. The supplier is not a licensed fertilizer handler and did not confirm these transactions. The printer of its receipts was, likewise, unlocated at its given address. These items were received by Ms. Emma M. Montejo, Project In-Charge but there was no distribution list.			
PZA Trading	Thelma Z. Almario	115 units of multipurpose tent	4.966	The supplier can not be located at its giver address as it reportedly moved out. While procurements were undertaken from CYs 2007			
	Joel Mayo Z. Almario	24 units multipurpose tent	0.900	2009. All items procured were not published in the PhilGEPS and in newspaper of nationwide			
		109 sets of Digital Sattelite Receiver	9.000	general circulation but claimed to have been published in Mindanao Mercury Times, Bandera and Global Times. There were also not distribution lists of all items procurred. All these items were received either by Congwoman			
	Thelma Z. Almario	100 units multi-	4.348				

Supplier	Legislator	Items Procured	Amt (M P)	Remarks
		purpose tent		Ginalyn C. Ibanez, Staff of Congw. Almario, by a
	Joel Mayo Z. Almario	22 units of multi- purpose tent	0.825	certain Mr. Roger A. Pabro, Political Office Assistant for Vice Gov. Joel Mayo Z. Almario.
		84 sets Public Address System	6.676	
	Thelma Z. Almario	one unit hauling truck steel	0.873	
		80 units of multipurpose tent	3.454	
		2 units multipurpose tent	0.087	
	5 units 4.363 Hauling Truck			
PZA Trading	Antonio F Lagdameo, Jr.	115 units Multipurpose tent	1.983	As discussed earlier, this supplier can not be located at its given address. This procurement was not also published in the PhilGEPS but supported only with quotations from three suppliers. The items were received by Mr. Edwin Paradillo, Congressional Staff, and there was no submitted distribution list.
		132 units Multi- purpose units	5.713	This procurement was published in Mindanao Mercury Times which can not be considered of nationwide general circulation. It was not also published in the PhilGEPS. These items were also received by a certain Mr. Restituto T. Jaylon, purportedly on behalf of Congressman Lagdameo and was not supported with actual list of recipients.
Ramgo International Corporation	Rene M. Velarde	31 sets of vegetable seeds	4.960	There was no list of actual recipients of the items procured, only list of proposed barangay beneficiaries of the different municipalities under the Provice of North Cotabato supported the claim. While the supplier confirmed its transaction, the printer of its receipts could not be located at its given address. This is another procurement published in Mindanao Mercury Times.
JR and JP Enterprises	Antonio, Lagdameo, Jr.	Vegetable Seeds	1.250 0.250	This procurement was not published in the PhilGEPS and there were no proof of any publication undertaken. It was reportedly procured under repeat order mode based on previous contract awarded to the lone bidder which come from the Province of Rizal. These items were received by a certain Mr. Emmanuel Pelaeza, Political Affairs Asst. of

Table 33. Transactions of IAs Not Compliant with R.A. 9184 and/or not eligible for funding under PDAF and with other documentary deficiencies					
Supplier Legislator Items Procured		Amt (M P)	Remarks		
				the 2nd District of Davao del Norte. The purported distribution list is yet to be confirmed.	
Sub-Total			103.943		
Total			152.408		

The items procured by DSWD-RFO III for Day Care Center (DCC) are also no longer within the menu of programs eligible to be funded under PDAF and not the priorities of the beneficiaries as confirmed to the Team. The RFO procured tables, chairs and audio visual equipment for distribution to 18 DCC in the total amount of **P2.025 Million**.

As confirmed by the Team from the 18 recipients, the items procured and delivered are not their priorities. Of the 18 packages, only 15 video CDs, 14 colored TVs, and 11 DVD players are still operational. Others were claimed to be defective or have been operational only for about six months to one year or operated only upon repair.

Based on the documents submitted to the team by the DA-RFUs III, V and XI, the concerned legislators are signatories to the following documents:

Tabl	Table 34. Documents submitted by DA-RFUs III, V and XI Purportedly Signed by Legislators/Authorized Representatives					
IAs	Legislator	Documents				
DA- RFU III	Rodolfo G. Biazon	Letter request to the DA Secretary Arthur Yap for the implementation of his priority projects and to Mr. Redentor S. Gatus for the implementation of the project in the Province of Pampanga.				
DA- RFU V	Arnulfo P. Fuentebella	Letter request to the DA Secretary for the issuance of the Advice of Sub- Allotment (ASA), Letter request to the DA RFU V for the implementation of projects and letter submitting liquidation reports and MOA.				
	Al Francis C. Bichara	Purchase Request, Letter request to the DA Secretary for the early release of the ASA for the immediate implementation of the project.				
	Joseph A. Santiago	Letter request to DA-RFU V Regional Executive Director for the release of his PDA allocation to the Provincial Government of Catanduanes.				
	Jose C. Solis	Letter request for procurement thru Alternative Modes of Procurement under RA 9184, Obligation Request, Letter request to the DA Secretary for the immediate release of the Notice of Allocation (NTA) to DA-RFU V and for transfer to Sunshine Development Cooperative, List of Beneficiaries, Certificate of Inspection and Final Acceptance, Report of Disbursement, MOA.				
	Liwayway Vinzons-Chato	Letter requests to the DA Secretary for the release of her PDAF allocation to DA-RFU V, Invoice Receipts for Equipment, Follow-up letter and request to the DA RFU V Regional Executive Director for the release of her PDAF allocation and implementation of project.				

Tabl	Table 34. Documents submitted by DA-RFUs III, V and XI Purportedly Signed by Legislators/Authorized Representatives					
IAs	Legislator	Documents				
	Narciso R. Bravo, Jr.	Letter to DA-RFU V Regional Executive Director requesting for the implementation of the projects, Purchase Requests, Letter request to DA Undersecretary for the immediate processing of the Summary Advice of Allotment (SAA) allocation to be coursed thru the DA RFU- V.				
	Luis R. Villafuerte	Letter to DA RFU V Regional Executive Director informing the intended use of his PDAF allocation, Purchase Requests, Project Proposal.				
	Renato J. Unico, Jr.	Purchase Requests.				
	Gregorio B. Honasan II	Letter Request to DA RFU V Regional Executive Director for the provision of his PDAF allocation to the project of Congressman Solis, Letter to the DA Secretary to allocate his PDAF to the 2nd District of Sorsogon and for the immediate processing of NTA.				
DA- RFU XI	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	Purchase Request, Letter request to DA RFU XI Regional Executive Director for the use of PDAF, designation of Mr. Jefferson Chong as conduit to his Office for fast implementation of the project, Letter-request on the use of his PDAF for procurement and implementation of project, Project Proposal.				
	Douglas R.A. Purchase Request, Letter to DA RFU XI Regional Executive Director requ Cagas procurement of 3,000 pieces printed Sweat Shirts from Sulhen and TJJ Letter requesting for the implementation of projects, Project Proposal, En Project Proposal.					
	Joel Mayo Z. Almario Purchase Request, Letter to DA RFU XI Regional Executive Director requesting the implementation of projects, Letter requesting that Harbest Agribusiness Corp. It partner in the establishment of the Gulay ug Prutas para sa Mayong Panglawas Pro-					
	Thelma Z. Almario	Letter to DA RFU XI Regional Executive Director requesting for the implementation of projects, Project Proposal, Letter to Secretary Yap for the release of PDAF fund.				
	Antonio F. Purchase Request, Project Proposal, Letter to DA RFU XI Regional Executive D the prompt release of PDAF funds, Letter endorsing Ms. Renita F. (coordinating/facilitating the implementation of the project.					
Edgar L. Valdez University of the project. Edgar L. Valdez Edgar L. Edgar Edgar Edga						
	Rene M. Velarde	Purchase Request, Letter to DA RFU XI Regional Executive Director requesting for the implementation of identified project.				

MANAGEMENTS' COMMENTS AND TEAM'S REJOINDER

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided by DA-RFU III	
The Mayors (business) permit for 2007, 2008 and 2009 of San Fernando City (Pampanga) issued to Zynmil Agriscience, Inc. are hereto attached for your refererence. The business permit showed that the supplier is a "Distributor of Fertilizer". Direct contracting can be made in certain cases and the specification as indicated in the Purchase Request (PR) can only be supplied by the supplier, at that time. However, despite the alternative mode of procurement, all documents required were submitted by the supplier. Zynmil Agriscience, Inc., certified that their business, with the address at Dolores Homesite, City of San Fernando, Pampanga, is the exclusive manufacturer and distributor nationwide of Growplus Fertilizer, a product fully registered with the Fertilizer and Pesticide Authority (FPA).	The Team is not questioning the permits and accreditation of the supplier as distributor of fertilizer but the manner of procurement. As required under R.A. No. 9184, all procurement requirements shall be posted in the PhilGEPs, irrespective of the mode of procurement. Thus, even if the fertilizer was intended to be procured thru direct contracting, the same shall still be advertised as required under R.A. No. 9184 to ensure the reasonableness of cost. As discussed in the report, this procurement was not also supported with required certifications.
Response provided by DSWD-RFO III	
DSWD-RFO III BAC facilitated the procurement of Day Care materials for the municipalities under the 3 rd District of Tarlac through the competitive bidding process. The procurement was based on the project proposal prepared by Miss Editha R. Aspiras, President, TODO Foundation, Inc. The items/packages were delivered to the beneficiaries by the winning bidder. The Inspection and Acceptance Committee of DSWD-RFO III conducted inspection of the delivered items/packages to the beneficiaries.	The Team is not questioning the existence of the items but the procurement of items which were not based on the priorities of the beneficiaries and the quality of the items delivered, as manifested in the comments of the beneficiaries.

7. Funds amounting to ₽107.024 Million were released by six IAs to various cooperatives and associations to finance their respective micro financing activities and/or procure various equipment and supplies for their own operations. DPWH-Tarlac 1st DEO also constructed project for the use of Cooperative Bank of Tarlac. The cooperatives are private institutions composed of private individuals. Hence, such use of funds is questionable as it does not come within the purview of public

purpose. Moreover, the implementation of some of these projects is questionable as a number of recipients denied receiving the items.

As discussed earlier, among the IAs implementing soft projects during CYs 2007 to 2009 are DSWD-RFO III, RFO V and NCR, TRC, NLDC, DA-RFU XI, and DPWH-Tarlac 1st DEO. These agencies were mandated under the GAA for the year to implement the following projects:

Table 35. Projects/Programs for Implementation of Covered IAs					
IAs Program/Project					
DSWD-RFO III, RFO V and NCR	CIDSS				
TRC/NLDC/DA-RFU XI Small and medium enterprise/livelihood					
DPWH-Tarlac 1st DEO Small infrastructure projects					

Records, however, disclosed that out of the total releases by the DBM to these IAs for the implementation of various projects, **P107.024** Million was transferred to various cooperatives and associations. These funds were transferred purportedly to support the respective micro-financing projects of the Cooperatives and/or procure supplies and equipment for the cooperatives' operations:

	Table 36. Releases to Cooperatives					
IA	Association	Legislator	SARO		Purpose	Project
	ASSOCIATION	Legislator	ROCS No	Amt (P)	Fulpose	Implemented
DSWD-	Coop. Bank of Bataan	Leonila V. Chavez	08-08383	500,000	Implementation	Micro-financing
RFO III	Coop. Bank of Nueva Ecija		08-03028	2,000,000	of CIDSS program	assistance to cooperatives.
			08-08383	2,000,000	CIDOS program	cooperatives.
		Czarina D. Umali	08-03939	1,000,000		
	Coop. Bank of Tarlac	Leonila V. Chavez	08-03028	500,000		
			08-08383	1,000,000		
			09-04047	2,000,000		
	Coop. Bank of Zambales]	08-8383/ 08-04116	500,000		
	Coop. Bank of Bulacan		09-04047	3,000,000		
			08-03028	2,000,000		
			08-08383 08-04116	2,500,000		
		Reylina G. Nicolas	07-03252	1,500		
			08-07415	700,000		
			09-04166	1,800,000		
		Leonila V. Chavez	07-04563	1,000,000		
		Ma. Victoria R. Sy- Alvarado	08-00364	1,000,000		
	Coop. Bank of Pampanga	Leonila V. Chavez	07-00379	2,000,000		
			08-03028	2,000,000		

IA	Association	Logislator	SA	RO	Durnoco	Project
IA	ASSOCIATION	Legislator	ROCS No	Amt (P)	Purpose	Implemented
			08-08383	2,000,000		
			09-04047	3,000,000		
	Coop. Banks Federation of		07-00379	2,000,000		
	the Philippines		07-04563	1,000,000		
	Small Farmer's Dev. Center	1	08-03028	1,000,000		
			09-04047	3,000,000		
	Sub-Total			37,501,500		
DSWD- RFO V	Cam Sur Teachers & Employees Multi-Purpose Coop. (CASTEMPCO)	Diosdado M. Arroyo	07-09799	1,000,000		
	Coop. Bank of Cam Norte	Leonila V. Chavez	08-08383	500,000		
	Coop. Bank of Cam Sur]	08-08383	500,000		
	Coop. Bank of Sorsogon		09-04047	300,000		
	Sub-Total			2,300,000		
DSWD-	Small Farmers Dev Center	Leonila V. Chavez	08-04116	1,000,000		
NCR			07-00379	1,000,000		
	National Confederation of	Guillermo P. Cua	08-07949	4,750,000		Capital
	Cooperatives (NATCCO)		07-00609	3,000,000		assistance to support
			08-04061	5,000,000		cooperatives'
			07-03312	5,000,000		micro and small-sized
			08-07426	5,000,000		entrepreneurs
	Birhen ng Lourdes Parish Dev't Coop (BLPDC)	Oscar G. Malapitan	07-00546	250,000		
	Caloocan Teacher's Multi- Purpose Coop. (CTMPC)		07-00546	1,000,000		
	Caloocan TODA Multi- Purpose Coop.		07-00546	100,000		
	Coop. Banks Federation of the Phils.	Leonila V. Chavez	07-00379	1,000,000		
	Moving Towards Dev't Multi- Purpose Coop	Matias Jr. V. Defensor	08-04622	1,000,000		
	PBB Environmental Multi- Purpose	Vincent P. Crisologo	07-03113	50,000		
	Simbayanan ni Maria Dev't Coop	Ma. Laarni L. Cayetano	07-07634	200,000		
	Sub-Total			28,350,000		
TRC	Sulong Bayan Foundation,	Guillermo P. Cua	07-03591		Livelihood	Procurement of
	Inc.		07-00612	5,472,000	Program in NCR and Region X	Livelihood Equipment an
			07-03311	4,800,000		supplies for
			07-06503	4,800,000		various members of NATCCO. Releases by TRC remained unliquidated.
	Sub-Total			19,872,000		

	Table 36. Releases to Cooperatives					
IA	Association	Legislator	SARO		Durnoco	Project
IA	ASSOCIATION		ROCS No	Amt (P)	Purpose	Implemented
NLDC	Sulong Bayan Foundation, Inc.	Jose R. Ping-ay	08-09700	10,000,000		Procurement o IT packages fo distribution to NATCCO members
	Sub-Total			10,000,000		
DA-	PHUSPHINCO	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	08-04180	5,000,000	of livelihood Mill with I programs of the 1st District of Davao del Sur	Portable Rice
RFU XI	ZOPHIMCO					Mill with Mixer
	MAL-FLMA-COOP					
	BAFAMCO					
	LOMAIRA					
	LOMBANG LA		08-00637	4,000,000		Rice and Corn
	Tinongtongan Farmers Coop					Mill
	Blocon Farmers Coop.					
	Sibulan Multi-Purpose Cooperative					
	Anonang Farmers Coop.					
	Sub-Total			9,000,000		
	Grand Total			107,023,500		

The Team also noted that the DPWH-Tarlac 1^{st} DEO constructed multi-purpose building at Macabulos, San Roque Drive, Tarlac for the use of the Cooperative Bank of Tarlac in the total amount of $\neq 1.0$ Million covered by SARO No. ROCS-08-08241.

The release of financial assistance to cooperatives for micro financing activities and/or construction of projects for their own use may not be considered part of the livelihood project, or within the CIDSS programs of the DSWD, or within the menu of programs allowed to be implemented by the IAs. The Team could also hardly validate from the member beneficiaries the actual loans availed of, if at all, as the list of beneficiaries submitted did not indicate their complete addresses.

The release of funds to cooperatives which are private institutions, composed of private individuals, is questionable as it does not come within the purview of public purpose. As discussed in COA Decision No. 2008-127 dated December 24, 2008, while there are privileges granted to cooperatives under various Articles of R.A. No. 6938 and in other legislative enactments, there is no specific provisions either under R.A. No. 6938 or other laws, authorizing the allocation of public funds to private cooperatives for their direct use or benefit. It is further explained in the said COA Decision that the financial assistance, which the government may extend to cooperatives, as contemplated under Article 2 of R.A. No. 6938, shall be considered limited to those which are specifically provided for by law or related rules and regulations, such as those stated in Articles 62 and 63 of R.A. No. 6938.

Clearly, the purpose of releasing funds for micro-financing assistance to cooperatives, procurement of equipment, rice and corn mill and construction of multi-purpose building, are all for the direct use and benefits of these cooperatives. The Team also noted that the use of PDAF released to DSWD for micro-financing activities was also not among the types of projects that may be funded from PDAF under DSWD Memorandum Circular No. 33, series of 2005.

Nonetheless, in order to determine the effectiveness of the implementation of these programs, the Team requested for a list of beneficiaries of loans and/or equipment purchased. Of the cooperatives requested to submit documents, only the following cooperatives submitted Fund Utilization Report indicating the amount granted per customer:

Table 37. Cooperatives That Submitted Fund Utilization Report					
Cooperative	Range of Loans Granted				
Cooperative Bank of Tarlac	₽ 5,000 to ₽ 100,000				
Cooperative Bank of Zambales	8,000 to 320,000				
Cooperative Bank of Bulacan	5,000 to 60,000				
PBB Environment Multi-Purpose	1,000 to 4,000				

The Team was no longer able to validate receipt of loans by the listed beneficiaries. On the other hand, based on the liquidation documents of NATCCO, the funds were released as financial assistance in the amount of **P1.0 Million** each to member cooperatives. Confirmation from the recipient member cooperatives even disclosed that the reported fund utilization is questionable for the following reasons:

- Out of the 10 cooperatives which reportedly availed loans from NATCCO, two cooperatives are no longer operational. The Team's confirmation letter can no longer be served as the Cooperative is already non-operational. The status of the eight others cannot also be established as they did not reply to the Team's request for confirmation.
- Fifteen computer packages amounting to **P1.254 Million** purportedly distributed to NATCCO members by Sulong Bayan Foundation, Inc., out of NLDC releases were denied by the recipients.

Of the 12 legislators, the Team confirmed signatures of 6 legislators:

Table 38. Legislators Requested to Confirm their Signatures					
Legislator Reply					
Jose R. Pingay	Confirmed signature in all documents sent for confirmation.				

Table 38. Legislators Requested to Confirm their Signatures		
Legislator	Reply	
Ma. Victoria R. Alvarado-Sy	Did not comment on the document submitted.	
Reylina G. Nicolas		
Diosdado M. Arroyo	No reply.	
Ma. Laarni L. Cayetano		
Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV		

MANAGEMENT'S COMMENTS AND TEAM'S REJOINDER

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided by NLDC	
 The objective of the project is to increase the productivity and boost the income of the members of the cooperative, who are the direct beneficiaries of the Partylist Representive of COOP-NATCCO. Free use of computers and printers for their business letters, flyers, advertisement thru internet, etc. Free use of projectors and office of the cooperative for the coop member business presentation. Free use of laptop for outside business presentation of coop member. In concluding, following are the reasons for the release of funds: More cooperative members will be benefited by this project. Coop-NATCCO is a party list at the same time a federation of cooperatives The constituents of Coop-NATCCO are cooperatives. The rational behind the party list system is for marginalized members of society to have representation in Congress. Just like the district congressmen, party list representatives are given PDAF allocations which are supposed to fund projects for the benefit of their districts/constituents. The cooperatives being the constituents of Coop-NATCCO are therefore the rightful beneficiaries of the PDAF of their congressmen/nominees, not the farmers or teachers. 	The project should be one that is intended to directly uplift the living condition of the Cooperative members and not to support the operations of Cooperative itself. It is very unlikely that the cooperative members will go to the Cooperative just to make use of computers, printers and projectors. Releases by TRC to this NGO even remained unliquidated as of audit date. The use of PDAF is guided by the provisions of the GAA for the year and limited to projects enumerated therein. Besides, the constituents of the party list representatives are the members of the cooperatives and not the cooperative itself.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 The project was envisioned to provide the cooperatives with tools and equipment for their livelihood projects and to improve their efficiency. 	
Response provided by DSWD-RFO III (per letter dated J	uly 31, 2012)
 The Cooperative Bank beneficiaries were already specified in the Sub-ARO. Based on the Memorandum issued by DSWD Assistant Secretary and DLLO, cooperative organizations are classified as People's Organization. 	The release of funds is being questioned not because it is released to the cooperative but because of the purpose for the release. As discussed earlier, releases for micro-financing assistance is not eligible for funding under PDAF. This is tantamount to financing the very operations of private organizations which is not allowed under existing laws and regulations.

8. Loans granted by DSWD-RFO XI to a number of SEA Kaunlaran Associations (SKAs) members were not strictly monitored. A number of borrowers were either not paying on time or not paying at all as of September 9, 2010. The existence of a number others cannot even be established as they are either unknown or have moved out from their given addresses or the addresses given were insufficient.

Records of DSWD-RFO XI disclosed that certain amounts were used to assist the SEA Kaunlaran Program, a community-based credit assistance program utilizing people's organizations known as SEA Kaunlaran Associations (SKAs) as credit conduits. The general objective of the program is to build the capability of the economically active poor to access credit resources and sustain and maximize its use for their empowerment and development.

One of the provisions of Department Order No. 45, series of 1996, "Policies for SEA Kaunlaran Integrated Program-Level I " is that each SKAs can avail a SEA-K loan at a maximum amount of **P150,000** payable within one to two years from date of release of completed loan. Repayments shall be in the form of monthly amortizations to the DSWD SEA Revolving and Settlement Fund through inter-branch deposit following a pre-approved amortization schedule. After payment of the first loan, each SKA can avail a second seed fund loan that should not exceed twice the amount of the previous loan. The amount of the loan would depend on the SKA's track record and their capacity to manage increased financial resources.

Evaluation of records disclosed that a number of SEA-K members were delayed in payment of loan with the following 17 SKAs, with total loans amounting to **P2,550,000**, not paying at all:

Table 39. SEA-K Members with Delayed Payments				
SEA/Kabayan/Beneficiary Name (SEA-K Level I)		Date Funded	Capital Assistance Granted	Should be Start of Repayment
Catalunan Pequeño Women's SEA-K	30405	3/14/2007	₽ 150,000	4/15/2007
Bliss Women's SEA-K Association/Eva P. Ignosa	30608	3/27/2007	150,000	5/15/2007
PSL SEA-K Association/Julito Dublin	44629	8/19/2008	150,000	9/2008
San Juan Village Women's SEA-K Compound/Ann Rubio	40899	4/14/2008	150,000	6/15/2008
Del Carmen SEA-K Association/Paz Pindang	53160	6/29/2009	150,000	8/2009
Purok 10 Upper Libby SEA-K Association/Alona Valencia	52847	6/18/2009	150,000	7/2009
San Antonio Village Women's SEA-K Association/ Matilda Argillo	30607	3/27/2007	150,000	5/15/2007
BHW-BNS SEA-K Association/Joana S. Kudera	34049	2/22/2007	150,000	4/15/2007
Blue Sapphire SEA-K Assoc'n/Lourdes Fatima Cabillo	54790	9/3/2009	150,000	10/2009
Cristina Village Business Women's SEA-K Association/ Jackie Mae Granada	55463	10/2/2009	150,000	11/2009
Maharlika Women's SEA-K Association/Editha Gil	55462	10/2/2009	150,000	11/2009
DAKESECA SEA-K Association/Erwin Saraum	55461	10/6/2009	150,000	11/2009
Seaside Fish Vendors SEA-K Assoc'n/Juanita Pacquin	57483	11/6/2009	150,000	12/2009
Wisdom Women's SEA-K Association/Precy Bandal	57482	11/6/2009	150,000	12/2009
MIRA Group SEA-K Association/Editha Aballe	58009	11/19/2009	150,000	1/2010
Kabos SEA-K Association/Hernando Dicap	59558	12/10/2009	150,000	1/2010
Our Lady of Fatima SEA-K Association/Dolores Rabanillo	59559	12/10/2009	150,000	1/2010
Total P 2,550,000				

The receipt of loans granted to 90 other members cannot even be established as the confirmation letters sent by the Team to these beneficiaries were returned for reasons such as unknown or have moved out from their given addresses or the addresses given were insufficient with 2 even denying receipt of the loan proceed, as summarized below:

	Table 40. Reasons for Returned Confirmation Letters			
No. of Beneficiaries	Amount		Remarks	
3	₽	15,000	Deceased	
7		35,000	Insufficient addresses	
5		35,000	Moved out from their given addresses	
73		532,000	Unknown at their given addresses	
1		Not indicated	Did not receive the amount	
1		5,000	Unfamiliar of the program	
90	Total			

Chapter 3

Implementation of Infrastructure Projects

INTRODUCTION

The implementation of infrastructure projects is governed by specific laws, rules and regulations and subject to certain standards appropriate under the circumstances. These standards are considered in the preparation of plans, specifications and program of works for each project.

Among the projects eligible for funding under the congressional allocation of legislators are small infrastructure projects like roads, bridges, multi-purpose buildings, school buildings, potable water systems, flood control, irrigation facilities and electrification projects. For CYs 2007-2009, funds intended for the implementation of these projects are included in the DPWH budget under VILP and PDAF. These were then released by the DBM to DPWH and various IAs including the LGUs. For the period covered in the Audit, around **P50.874 Billion** (See Table 1) was included in the DPWH budget under VILP. However, releases out of VILP amounted to **P101.608 Billion** (See Table 9) of which **P69.261 Billion** was not included in the the DBM schedules provided to the team. Of the total releases from VILP, **P32.644 Billion** (See Table 7) released to the selected DPWH ROs and DEOs were audited. On the other hand, out of the total funds released for soft projects to the IAs covered in the audit and LGUs within the covered regions of **P14.380 Billion** (See Table 4), **P83.57** Billion (See Table 7) was audited.

Evaluation of records, however, disclosed that the implementation of a number of projects by selected DPWH ROs and DEOs, and LGUs were not effectively undertaken. A number of projects were either not constructed strictly in accordance with plans and specifications, or included excessive quantities of construction materials, or constructed in private properties, or not utilized or not fully utilized, or included miscellaneous items in lump sum amounts.

OBSERVATIONS

1. Forty-one projects costing ₽1.393 Billion implemented by DPWH ROs and DEOs, and selected LGUs were found deficient by ₽46.262 Million. These were either not strictly constructed in accordance with plans and specifications or otherwise included excessive quantities of RPS and other construction materials.

Inspection of the 41 infrastructure projects costing **\mathbb{P}1.393 Billion** implemented by 17 IAs disclosed deficiencies amounting to **\mathbb{P}46.262 Million**, summarized as follows (See Annex F):

Table 41. Projects with Deficiencies				
IA	Project	Contractor	Nature of Deficiency	Amount (M P)
DPWH-NCR	Rehab/Asphalt Overlay for Araneta Ave, Gen T. de Leon St. and Const. of Maysan Rd., Valenzuela City (P 1 to 6)	Rend Ent. & General Contractor; Northern Builders	Unaccounted/missing and/or excessive raised pavement studs (RPS) of 2,567 units and warning/directional signs	10.370
	C-5 Extension Project	E. Gardiola Const. & Readycon Trdg & Const. Corp. (Joint Venture)	Unaccounted guardrails of 112.2 meters and 1 street light	0.867
	Widening of C-5 Phase II & III	Northern Builders	Unaccounted/missing RPS of 565 units	1.507
SMMDEO	Improv. of Ninoy Aquino Ave. -South Bound	Solid Rock Construction	Short accomplishment equivalent to 722 sq. m.	1.095
	-North Bound		Short accomplishment equivalent to 113 sq. m.	0.217
Tarlac 1 st DEO	Const. of 2-storey Library Bldg. at Tarlac State University	Chaina Construction	Uninstalled electrical works and plumbing fixture	0.469
DPWH-RO V	Asphalt Overlay of Andaya Highway (6 projects)	NFH Const. & Supply; Persan /GCI Const. (Joint Venture)	san (Item 303) as sealant	
Albay 1 st DEO	Asphalt Overlay of Washington Drive Road	Hi-Tone Const. & Dev. Corp.	Short accomplishment equivalent to 1,654.37 sq. m.	4.111
	Asphalt Overlay from Lapu- Lapu St. to Elizondo St.	Sunwest Const & Dev. Corp.	Short accomplishment equivalent to 2,482.17 sq. m.	6.194
City Government of Las Piñas	Const. of 2-storey Livelihood Center	Exel Concepts Corp.	Non-installation of solar lights and replacement of windows; and excessive estimates for concreting works	0.662
	Improvement of Road leading to S. Marquez	E. M. Angeles Ent.	Non-installation and fabrication of guardhouse barrier and application of excessive indirect costs	0.710
	Supply and Installation of Traffic Signages	Traffic Supplies and Const. Corp.	Unlocated 12 signages	0.171
	Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office	Jadeant Construction and Trading	Excessive quantity estimates	0.137
City Government of Taguig	Completion of Signal Multi- Purpose Bldg (MPB)	ABN Construction	Uninstalled swing door, decorative steel doors and two units of community loudspeakers	0.290
	Electrical and other works Signal Village MPB	Grandline Engg Services	Uninstalled works and unpresented items	0.305
	Concreting of Levi Mariano Avenue	Carjen Construction and Trading	Short accomplishment equivalent to 2,289 sq. m. and uninstalled road markings	7.620
	Construction of C.P. Tinga		Deviation from specifications of	2.105

	Table 41. Pr	ojects with Defi	ciencies	
IA	Project	Contractor	Nature of Deficiency	Amount (M P)
	Gym		viewing glass, windows and bleachers flooring	
	Concreting of Tinio and Ledesma Streets	Jaylot Trading and Construction	Uncorrected miscalculations and errors in cost computation	0.651
City Gov't of Manila	Water Pipe Laying	Trilex Builders	Work items included in the estimates but not included in the scope of works	0.578
	Construction of New Vertical Wall Niches	E. P. Cornejo Construction	Excessive estimates	0.589
Brgy. 310, Zone 31, Manila	Construction of Multi- Purpose Hall	Jayzelline Enterprises	Excessive quantity estimates	0.521
Brgy. UP Village, Q.C.	Repair of Barangay Hall	CB Tampengco Const & Supply	Uncorrected errors in computation	0.103
Brgy. Bagong Lipunan ng Crame, QC	Improvement of Fourth Avenue	SPNR Builders	Short accomplishment equivalent to 2.40 meters	0.031
Brgy. Kalusugan, QC	Repair of buildings and other projects	2H2L Construction	Not in accordance with plans and specifications	0.650
Brgy. South Triangle, Q.C.	Const. of ground floor interior finishing of brgy hall	Cresta Mgt & Construction	Not in accordance with plans and specifications	1.243
Brgy. Kristong Hari, QC	Renovation of Multi-purpose hall	SPNR Builders	Not in accordance with the bid estimate	0.439
Brgy. Paligsahan, QC	Construction of extension office of the barangay hall	JYBL Const. & Trading	Not constructed as planned	0.238
Brgy. Teachers' Village East, Q.C.	Renovation of barangay hall	2H2L Construction	Inclusion of items not required in plan and spec'n and deficiencies in ramps and steel gates	0.703
Brgy. Immaculate Conception	Construction of roofing of half-covered court	2H2L Construction	Not in accordance with plans and specifications	0.137
	Тс	otal		46.262

These projects were funded from the allocation of the following legislators:

Table 42. Projects Funded from the Allocation of Various Legislators			
IA Legislator		Project Cost (M P)	
DPWH-NCR	Not indicated	350.385	
SMMDEO	Eduardo C. Zialcita	28.626	
Tarlac 1st DEO	Miriam Defensor-Santiago	14.753	
DPWH-RO V	Not indicated	815.100	
Albay 1st DEO	Not indicated	38.416	
City Government of Las Piñas	Manny A. Villar, Jr.	4.192	
	Cynthia A. Villar	4.709	
City Government of Taguig	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	19.950	
	Not indicated	74.955	

Table 42. Projects Funded from the Allocation of Various Legislators			
IA	Legislator	Project Cost (M P)	
	Juan Ponce Enrile	4.995	
City Government of Manila	Benjamin D. Asilo	9.172	
Brgy. 310, Zone 31, Manila	Maria Zenaida B. Angping	1.500	
Brgy. UP Village, Q.C.	Edcel C. Lagman	1.989	
Brgy. Bagong Lipunan ng Crame, QC		2.000	
Brgy. Kalusugan, QC		2.000	
Brgy. South Triangle, Q.C.		12.000	
Brgy. Kristong Hari, QC		2.000	
Brgy. Paligsahan, QC		2.000	
Brgy. Teachers' Village East, Q.C.		2.000	
Brgy. Immaculate Conception		2.000	
		1,392.742	

The detailed discussions on the foregoing findings are integrally attached as Annex F while the comments of the management to these findings and the Team's rejoinder are integrally attached as Annex G.

2. Fifty-four projects costing ₱161.498 Million were constructed on private properties without documents to support the turn over of such properties to the government, if at all. The prohibition on the use of public funds for the development of private properties was already settled by the Supreme Court under "Pascual vs. Secretary of the Public Works" – G.R. No. L-10405 dated December 29, 1960.

In addition to the deficiencies in the implementation of the projects, the Team also noted that 54 projects costing **P161.498 Million** were constructed on private properties without any document to support the turn over of such properties to the government, if at all. Despite request by the Team to the concerned LGUs for information and documents on the turn over of these properties to the government, there were no information and documents submitted except for the comments provided by the City Government of Las Piñas on some of the covered projects.

The Supreme Court, in a number of cases, particularly under "Pascual vs. Secretary of the Public Works" – G.R. No. L-10405 dated December 29, 1960, held that the use of government funds to develop private properties is not proper unless the lots where the properties are to be constructed were already turned over to the government. The affected projects follow:

IA	Project Description/Location	Proj. Cost (M P)	Legislator	
SMMDEO	Construction of Multi-Purpose Buildings (MPBs) at Paranaque City			
	San Antonio Valley 14, Brgy. San Isidro	4.291	Not indicated	
	San Antonio Valley 12, Brgy. San Isidro	1.428		
	San Antonio Valley 15, Brgy. San Isidro	1.428		
	Aratiles, Brgy. BF Homes	3.815	Not indicated	
	Cul de Sac, Brgy. Sun Valley	3.816	Roilo S. Golez	
	Clinic Site, Brgy. BF Homes	3.816	Not indicated	
	Villanueva Vill., Brgy. San Dionisio (Ph. 1 & 2)	4.766	Eduardo C. Zialcita	
	Lim Compound, Brgy. San Dionisio	4.291	Not indicated	
	Reyes Compd, Brgy. San Antonio (Ph. 1 & 2)	3.815		
	United Pque Sub 5, Area 3, Brgy. San Isidro	1.430	Eduardo C. Zialcita	
	Landscape, Brgy. Marcelo Green	3.816	Not indicated	
	Brgy. Sto. Niño (Ph. 1 & 2)	3.811		
	Camella Homes, Brgy. San Antonio	3.817	Roilo S. Golez	
	Riverside, Brgy. Sun Valley	1.906	Not indicated	
	Parkview, Brgy, Sun Valley	3.817		
	Countryside, Brgy. Sun Valley	3.815		
	Sampaguita Hills, Brgy. Marcelo Green	3.837		
	Armela, Brgy. Marcelo Green	3.815		
	Classic Homes, Brgy. BF Homes	3.815		
	Seacom, Brgy. San Antonio	3.815	Roilo S. Golez	
	Goodwill, Brgy. BF Homes	3.816	Not indicated	
	Target Site, Brgy. BF Homes	1.907		
	Levitown, Brgy. Don Bosco	3.817		
	Camachile, Brgy. Sun Valley	3.814		
	Garcia Heights, Brgy. San Antonio	3.816		
	Jackielou Ville, Brgy. BF Homes	3.814		
	Ipil Site, Brgy. BF Homes	3.816		
	Sampaloc II-B, Brgy. BF Homes	1.907	Roilo S. Golez	
	United Pque Subd 5, Area 1 (Ph 3), San Isidro	0.666		
	United Pque Subd 5, Area 7, San Isidro	1.429		
	San Antonio Valley 8, San Antonio (Ph 1 & 2)		Roilo S. Golez	
FMMDEO	Repair/Rehab. of MPBs/ Roads at Marikina City	4.407	Ruliu 3. Gulez	
	Cinco Hermanos (Ph I-V), Industrial Valley Comp.	6.500	Marcelino R. Teodoro	
		2.500	Del R. De Guzman	
	Hacienda Hts., Brgy. Concepcion Dos Rancho Estate Phase III, Concepcion Dos, Mkna (Rancho Estate II, report of FMMDEO)	4.428	Dei R. De Guzillan	
	Aguinaldo St., Industrial Valley Complex	3.000	Marcelino R. Teodoro	
	Parkland Subd. II, Brgy. Malanday	3.000	Marcelino R. Teodoro and Del R. De Guzma	
	St. Benedict, Brgy. Nangka	5.200	Del R. De Guzman	
	Rodeo St., Rancho II, Concepcion Dos	3.000		

Table 43. Projects Constructed on Private Properties			
IA	Project Description/Location	Proj. Cost (M P)	Legislator
	Monte Subd., Brgy. Industrial Valley Complex	4.500	Marcelino R. Teodoro
TMMDEO	Sta. Lucia, Phase 6, Brgy. Punturin, Val. City	3.000	Rex Gatchalian
City Gov't of Las	Steel Gate along Ligaya Pascual St.	0.050	Cynthia A. Villar
Piñas	Const'n of MPB at BF Resort Village	0.440	
	Const'n of MPB (Gazeebo 2)	0.200	
Brgy. Sacred Heart QC	Rehab. drainage systems - South J Street	2.000	Edcel C. Lagman
Brgy. Horseshoe, QC	Rehab. drainage systems - Big Horseshoe Drive	1.915	
Brgy. Old Capitol Site QC	Rehab. drainage systems - Rosal St & basketball court	2.000	
Brgy. Santol,Q.C.	Rehab. drainage systems-Brixton Hill St.	2.000	
Brgy.Don Manuel QC	Rehab. drainage systems-Brixton Hill StN. Ramirez St.	1.649	
Brgy. Sto. Niño, Q.C.	T.Pinpin St. (fr Sanciangco to Batanes St.) & Bagong 1.914 Buhay St. (fr T. Pinpin to Mindanao Ave)		
Brgy. Teachers' Vill West, Q.C.	Installation of gates & desilting of drainage system 2.000		
Brgy. Blue Ridge A, QC	Construction of Multi-purpose Complex	4.000	
Brgy. Pasong Tamo, QC	Repair of clubhouse at Mapayapa Village III 0.999		
Brgy. Mariana, QC	Asphalt overlay 11th St. (Broadway - Gilmore Ave)	2.000	
DPWH-RO XI	Conc. Rd, Alpha Homes, Matina Aplaya, Davao	2.834	Not indicated
	Total	161.498	

The projects implemented by the City Government and the different Barangays of Quezon City were even found deficient. The detailed discussions on the foregoing findings are integrally attached as *Annex H*.

MANAGEMENT'S COMMENTS AND TEAM'S REJOINDER

Only the City Government of Las Piñas commented to some of the above findings as follows:

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder			
Materials for fabrication of steel gate/Ligaya Pascual St., BFRV, Talon II				
Actual estimate coincide with the actual measurement of the project. Unfortunately, prepared drawing does not comply with the final program of works.	As computed by the Team, the actual materials used are less than the programmed/estimated quantities, hence, the difference. Moreover, the project was constructed within a private subdivision, hence, the total amount is considered questionable.			

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Materials for the construction of multi-purpos	e pavements, BFRV, Talon II
The project is generally for the concreting of a multi-purpose pavement. During the implementation, we also concreted the other side of the road. The subject area was the former location of informal settlers that were relocated. However, portion of it was considered as pathwalk. The usual thickness that we used for pathwalk and even concrete pavement that does not carry much load is 3.0 in. to 4.0 in. Considering the data mentioned, the designed 5.0 in. thickness was used for the pavement. Actual measurements must be made in different locations for proper verifications.	Any change in the original plan should be covered with approved change order and revised program of work. Such changes should also be reflected in the as-built plan. In this case, there was none submitted to the Team. Hence, this explanation cannot be considered. Moreover, as discussed earlier, no project can be constructed in an area privately owned unless the same is donated to the government.

3. Contract costs of a number of projects were excessive by ₽100.989 Million due to erroneous application of rate for Item 302, double application of indirect costs, splitting of contracts, use of excessive rental rates, and inappropriate unit cost for excavation and embankment.

Further evaluation of the POW of selected projects disclosed that the project estimates may be considered excessive in view of erroneous application of rate for asphalt, double or erroneous application of indirect costs, use of excessive rental rates, inappropriate unit costs for embankment and excavation, and splitting of contracts. As the POW is the basis for computing the Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) which is used as the limit for the contract amount, the contract amounts then are, likewise, considered excessive.

These deficiencies resulted in combined excess costs of around ₽100.989 Million as summarized below:

Table 44. Deficiencies Due to Erroneous Application of Rates								
Nature	No. of Projs.	Excess Amt. (M P)	IA					
a. Erroneous application of rate for asphalt	23*	4.953	NCR, V, XI, FMMDEO, SMMDEO, Albay 1st DEO					
b. Double application of indirect cost	131	85.522	FMMDEO, SMMDEO, TMMDEO					
c. Splitting of contract, and use of high rental rates and unit costs for excavation and embankment.	323	10.261	NCR					
d. Erroneous application of indirect costs	2	0.253	City Government of Las Piñas					
Total		100.989						
* Excluded FMMDEO & SMMDEO projects as these are the same projects considered under Item b.								

The detailed discussions for each deficiency follow:

a. The rate applied in computing the quantity of Item 302 in 37 projects was erroneous resulting in excessive quantities costing **P4.953** million.

As provided under Volume II, Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways, the rate application of either Rapid Curing Cut-back or the Emulsified Asphalt shall be within the range of 0.20 to 0.70 liter/sq.m. It is further provided that care shall be taken to ensure that the application of bituminous material should not exceed the specified amount and that any excess shall be blotted by sand or removed as directed by the Engineer.

Evaluation of selected POWs and Contracts of projects implemented by various DPWH ROs and DEOs, however, disclosed that these provisions were not strictly observed. The Team noted that the rate applied for computing the quantity of Item 302 - Bituminous Tack Coat in the 37 projects ranged from 0.74 to 1.50 liters/sq.m., exceeding the range of 0.20 to 0.70 liter/sq.m. This resulted in total quantity difference of 59.119 metric tons costing **P4.953** Million. The Team considered the maximum allowable rate of 0.70 liter/sq.m. in the computation of excess quantities.

The affected projects follow:

Table 45. Projects with Erroneous Application of Item 302										
	Contractor/	POW	Qu	antity (in r	n.t.)	Unit Cost	Total Diff.			
Project/Location	Contract Amount	Rate Applied	POW/ Contract	COA (0.70)	Diff.	(₽)	(₽)			
DPWH - NCR										
Rehab. (Valenzuela City) - Maysan Road	Northern Builders ₽19,289,886.22	0.80	5.65	4.945	0.705	75,319.91	53,100.54			
- Maysan Road & Exit	19,287,128.34	0.80	5.72	5.001	0.719	75,294.43	54,136.70			
Asphalt Overlay (QC) - C3 Rd (Ph 1)	Persan Const. 19,786,315.88	0.80	8.09	7.080	1.010	62,257.43	62,880.00			
- C3 Rd (Ph II)	19,785,986.88	0.80	8.06	7.050	1.010	62,358.88	62,982.46			
Rehab. - McArthur Highway (Gen. T. de Leon to Poblacion)	Rend Ent. 19,287,243.53	0.80	7.34	6.420	0.920	75,316.15	69,290.86			
- Araneta Ave. QC (S. Bound)	19,317,083.80	0.80	6.66	5.828	0.832	75,300.89	62,650.34			
- Araneta Ave. QC (N. Bound)	19,316,915.73	0.80	6.54	5.726	0.818	75,322.07	61,613.45			
- Gen T deLeon St. Val (Ph I)	19,310,103.13	0.80	6.14	5.370	0.770	75,300.45	57,981.35			
- Gen T deLeon St. Val (Ph II)	19,310,235.71	0.80	6.41	5.610	0.800	75,357.67	60,286.14			
Asphalt Overlay - C3 Rd	Solid Rock Const. 25,730,797.99	0.80	10.63	9.304	1.326	62,314.04	82,628.42			
- Gov. Forbes	19,541,508.56	0.80	7.80	6.827	0.973	62,348.88	60,665.46			
- Sgt. Rivera, QC	1,924,636.98	0.80	0.95	0.827	0.123	77,110.53	9,484.60			
Total Cost of Variance			79.99	69.988	10.006		697,700.32			

Contractor/ POW Quantity (in m.t.) Unit Cost Total Diff.									
Project/Location	Contract Amount	Rate	POW/ Contract	COA (0.70) Diff.		Unit Cost (P)	Total Diff. (P)		
FMMDEO									
Rehab. - Mangga St., Malanday & A.Luna Street, Sto. Niño, Marikina (Mkna.)	Aylan Const. 4,046,962.90	1.50	2.14	1.00	1.14	70,600.00	80,484.00		
 Marikina - San Mateo Road. Marikina 	B. Bernardo 4,821,924.00	1.00	3.19	2.24	0.95	70,580.00	67,051.00		
Asphalt Overlay - Capitol drive, Pasig City	Broston Const. 9,598,996.03	0.75	5.31	4.95	0.36	69,730.74	25,103.07		
 A. Bonifacio - A. Luna St., Mandaluyong City (Mand.) 	9,598,696.32	1.00	6.83	4.78	2.05	69,730.74	142,948.02		
- Bayan-Bayanan Ave., Mkna.	10,000,000.00	1.00	5.59	3.91	1.68	69,690.00	117,079.20		
- Lopez Jaena St., Mkna.	9,599,628.56	1.00	5.34	3.74	1.60	69,690.00	111,504.00		
Rehab - Ortigas Ave., Mand. City	C.S Garcia Const 942,555.02	1.00	0.46	0.32	0.14	75,187.20	10,526.21		
- Katipunan St. Kalumpang, Mkna.	IDR Const Supply 4,798,564.98	1.50	2.26	1.05	1.21	75,465.02	91,312.67		
Total Cost of Variance			31.12	21.99	9.13		646,008.17		
SMMDEO									
Concreting-Roxas Blvd, Parañaque City	AKN Const Corp 7,157,260.00	1.50	0.55	0.257	0.29	62,700.00	18,342.66		
Rehab Sucat Road, Parañaque City (Pque.)	EFC Ent. 9,615,613.51	1.00	4.85	3.398	1.45	64,610.00	93,812.15		
Asphalting - Tamaraw Court,Tambo, Paranaque City	RNN Const'n. 953,419.40	1.00	0.60	0.418	0.18	66,975.00	12,210.81		
Rehab. - Sucat Rd, (E. Bound), Pque	Solid Rock Const 4,795,350.00	1.00	1.79	1.253	0.54	69,634.00	37,390.44		
- Sucat Rd, (W. Bound), Pque	4,796,250.00	1.00	1.80	1.257	0.54	69,634.00	37,516.43		
- Roxas Blvd Service Road	4,771,500.00	1.00	2.28	1.593	0.69	64,370.50	44,209.98		
Total Cost of Variance			11.87	8.176	3.69		243,482.47		
DPWH-RO V									
Asphalt Overlay - Andaya Hwy., Del Gallego- Ragay Sec, Cam. Sur	NFH Cons. & Supply 171,400,307.63	0.74	49.54	47.08	2.46	63,719.00	157,067.34		
 Andaya Hwy., Ragay-Sipocot Section, Cam. Sur 	161,800,292.51	0.74	60.34	56.854	3.49	63,886.20	222,724.43		
 Andaya Hwy., Del Gallego- Ragay Section, Cam. Sur 	96,499,587.97	0.74	45.46	43.202	2.26	52,586.60	118,737.63		
 Andaya Hwy., Del Gallego- Ragay Section, Cam. Sur 	143,550,393.65	0.74	30.57	29.047	1.52	53,043.80	80,767.36		
 Quirino-Andaya Highway, Lupi- Sipocot Sect, Camarines Sur 	Persian Const/GCI 143,500,162.68	0.74	63.32	60.172	3.15	54,581.93	171,820.56		
 Andaya Hwy., Ragay-Sipocot Section, Cam. Sur 	96,500,499.26	0.74	40.52	40.245	0.27	54,040.83	14,836.3		
Total Cost of Variance			289.75	276.600	13.15		765,953.69		
Albay 1 st DEO									
Asphalt Overlay - Washington Drive Road., Legaspi	Hi-Tone Const. 19,210,056.75	1.50	11.43	5.330	6.100	103,935.80	634,008.5		
 Bahi-Cagbulacao Road., Bacacay, Albay 	48,075,000.00	1.50	17.40	7.720	9.680	121,727.50	1,178,323.0		
- Dap-Dap Rizal St., Legazpi	3,811,015.69	1.50	1.96	0.910	1.050	109.398.53	114,868.4		
- Lapu-lapu -Elizondo St., Legaspi	Sunwest Const. 19,206,000.00	1.50	11.48	5.340	6.140	107,282.30	658,713.8		

Table 45. Projects with Erroneous Application of Item 302									
Project/Location	Contractor/ Contract Amount	POW Rate Applied	Quantity (in m.t.)POW/COAContract(0.70)		Unit Cost (P)	Total Diff. (P)			
Total Cost of Variance			42.27	19.30	22.97		2,585,913.84		
DPWH-RO XI									
Rehab Davao-Agusan Rd.	STX Ent. 7,176,753.58	0.76	2.04	1.872	0.168	81,101.62	13,634.65		
Total Cost of Variance			2.04	1.872	0.168		13,634.65		
Grand Total							4,952,693.14		

b. While the unit cost for asphalt used in 131 projects was already inclusive of indirect cost, the unit cost was again subjected to indirect cost resulting in cost difference of ₽90.340 Million.

The Team also noted that the DPWH, under several occasions, prescribed the unit costs for asphalt, items 301, 302 and 310, to ensure consistency of applications. The unit cost prescribed was inclusive of indirect cost, as illustrated below:

Table 46a. Updated Revised Unit Cost of Items 301 and 302								
			Upd	ated Direct	Unit Cost as	of		
Particulars	30	1 - Bitumino	us Prime Co	at	30	2 - Bitumino	us Tack Co	at
	08/11/05	COA	12/13/07	COA	08/11/05	COA	12/13/07	COA Comp.
Furnishing								
Basic Cost	4,145.20	4,145.20	7,431.50	7,431.50	4,280.40	4,280.40	7,396.10	7,396.10
OCM 3%	124.36	-	222.95	-	128.41	-	221.88	-
Profit 10%	414.52	-	743.15	-	428.04	-	739.61	-
VAT 10%								
Unit Cost	4,684.08	4,145.20	8,397.60	7,431.50	4,836.85	4,280.40	8,357.59	7,396.10
Delivery Cost								
Basic Cost	181.60	181.60	181.60	181.60	181.60	181.60	181.60	181.60
OCM 3%	5.45	-	5.45	-	5.45	-	5.45	-
Profit 10%	18.16	-	18.16	-	18.16	-	18.16	-
VAT 10%								
Unit Cost	205.21	181.60	205.21	181.60	205.21	181.60	205.21	181.60
Spraying Cost (2mt	x 5drums/m	it)						
Basic	440.00	440.00	440.00	440.00		not included	440.00	440.00
OCM 3%	13.20	-	13.20	-			13.20	-
Profit 10%	44.00	-	44.00	-		-	44.00	-
Unit Cost	497.20	440.00	497.20	440.00	-		497.20	440.00
Total UC per drum	5,386.48	4,766.80	9,100.00	8,053.10	5,042.06	4,462.00	9,060.00	8,017.70
Total UC per m.t.	26,932.42	23,834.00	45,500.02	40,265.50	25,210.30	22,310.00	45,300.00	40,088.50

Particulars		Updated Direct L	Jnit Cost	
1	310	- Bituminous Concre	te Surface Course	
	08/11/05	COA	8/14/09	COA
Furnishing				
Basic Cost	1,880.29	1,880.29	3,205.02	3,205.02
OCM 3%	56.41	-	96.15	-
Profit 10%	188.03	-	320.50	-
VAT 10%	212.47	-	362.17	-
Unit Cost	2,337.20	1,880.29	3,983.84	3,205.02
Delivery Cost				
Basic Cost	171.97	171.97	190.62	190.62
OCM 3%	5.16	-	5.72	-
Profit 10%	17.20	-	19.06	-
VAT 10%	19.43	-	21.54	-
Unit Cost	213.76	171.97	236.94	190.62
Laying Basic Cost				
Equipment Rental	66,141.60	66,141.60	66,141.60	66,141.60
Labor	1,539.93	1,539.93	2,079.73	2,079.73
Mobilization 15%	9,921.24	-	9,921.24	-
Total Cost	77,602.77	67,681.53	78,142.57	68,221.33
Laying 1 m.t.				
Basic Cost	80.84	70.50	81.40	71.06
OCM 3%	2.43	-	2.44	-
Profit 10%	8.08	-	8.14	-
VAT 10%	9.13	-	9.20	-
Unit Cost	100.48	70.50	101.18	71.06
Rolling Basic Cost				
Equipment Rental	48,900.00	48,900.00	50,082.00	50,082.00
Mobilization 15%	7,335.00	-	7,512.30	-
Unit Cost	56,235.00	48,900.00	57,594.30	50,082.00
Rolling 1 m.t.				
Basic Cost	117.16	101.88	119.99	104.34
OCM 3%	3.51	-	3.60	-
Profit 10%	11.72	-	12.00	-
VAT 10%	13.24	-	13.56	-
Unit Cost	145.63	101.88	149.15	104.34
Correcting Basic Cost				
Labor	9,853.32	9,853.32	8,454.12	8,454.12
Vehicle Rental	9,600.00	9,600.00	9,600.00	9,600.00
Minor Tools - 10%	985.33		845.41	
Total Cost	20,438.65	19,453.32	18,899.53	18,054.12
Correcting 1 m.t.				
Basic Cost of	42.58	40.53	39.37	37.61
OCM 3%	1.28	_	1.18	

Table 1/h Undated Davised Unit Cast of Item 210									
Table 46b. Updated Revised Unit Cost of Item 310									
Particulars	Updated Direct Unit Cost								
	310 – Bituminous Concrete Surface Course								
	08/11/05	COA	8/14/09	COA					
Profit 10%	4.26	-	3.94						
VAT 10%	4.81	-	4.45						
Unit Cost	52.93	40.53	48.94	37.61					
Total UC (FDLRC) per m.t.	2,850.00	2,265.17	4,520.05	3,608.65					

The Team noted, however, that while the unit cost adopted in the POW is already inclusive of indirect cost, this was again subjected to indirect costs prescribed under DPWH DO No. 57, series of 2002, dated February 13, 2002 as shown below:

Table 47. Allowable Indirect Cost									
Estimated Direct Cost		6 for OCM and Profit num ranges)	% Cost for Mob./Demob.	Total % of Maximum					
(EDC)	OCM	Profit	(Maximum)	Indirect Cost					
Up to P 1 Million	13	15	1	29					
Above ₽1 M to ₽5 M	12	14	1	27					
Above P5 M to P10 M	12	13	1	26					
Above P 10M to P20 M	11	12	1	24					
Above P20 M to P50 M	11	11	1	23					
Above 🗕 50M	10	10	1	21					

This practice increased the contract costs of 131 projects by **P85.522** Million, as tabulated below:

Table 48. Projects with Excessive Indirect Cost										
PROJECT / LOCATION	Contractor /Contract Amt (in M)	Qty	Prescribed Unit Cost	Total Cost	lı Emb'd	ndirect Cos Imposed	t Total Applied	Direct Cost	Allowable Indirect Cost	Excessive Indirect Cost
						In Thousa	nd Pesos			
IMPLEMENTED BY NCR										
Maysan Rd & Exit Val. City	Northern Builders 19.287	5.72	70.188	401.48	33.53	110.05	143.57	257.91	97.39	46.19
	19.287	1,267.89	7.708	9,772.48	1,455.68	2,678.64	4,134.31	5,638.17	2,128.97	2,005.34
	19.290	5.65	75.320	425.56	54.16	116.65	170.81	254.75	96.19	74.62
	19.290	1,253.73	7.708	9,663.34	1,439.42	2,648.72	4,088.14	5,575.20	2,105.20	1,982.94
C3, Metro Manila (M.M.)	Persan Const. Inc. 19.786	8.06	62.405	502.99	42.00	137.87	179.87	323.11	122.01	57.87
	19.786	1,787.48	5.635	10,071.35	1,500.20	2,760.56	4,260.75	5,810.60	2,194.08	2,066.67
C2 Road, M.M.	19.786	8.09	62.405	504.86	42.16	138.38	180.54	324.32	122.46	58.08
Gen. T de Leon Rd and Exit, Val.	Rend Ent. 19.310	6.41	75.320	482.80	61.45	132.34	193.79	289.02	109.13	84.65
	19.310	1,422.87	7.708	10,967.02	1,633.61	3,006.06	4,639.67	6,327.35	2,389.21	2,250.46
	19.310	6.14	70.189	430.96	35.99	118.13	154.12	276.84	104.54	49.58

			Projects							-	
PROJECT /	Contractor /Contract	Qty	Prescribed Unit Cost	Total Cost	Emb'd	ndirect Cos Imposed	Total	Direct Cost	Allowable Indirect Cost	Excessive Indirect Cost	
LOCATION	Amt (in M)		In Thousand Pesos								
						III III0usa	nu Pesus				
	19.310	1,362.06	7.708	10,498.31	1,563.79	2,877.59	4,441.38	6,056.93	2,287.10	2,154.28	
Araneta Ave, QC	Solid Rock 19.317	6.54	75.320	492.59	62.70	135.02	197.72	294.88	111.35	86.37	
	19.317	1,451.65	7.708	11,188.84	1,621.23	3,066.86	4,688.09	6,500.75	2,454.68	2,233.41	
	19.317	1,477.54	7.708	11,388.39	1,650.15	3,121.56	4,771.70	6,616.69	2,498.46	2,273.24	
	19.317	6.66	75.320	501.63	63.85	137.50	201.34	300.29	113.39	87.95	
Flyover Crossing Commonwealth	LR Tiqui/Persan 444.648	0.30	68,476.80	20.54	1.76	5.26	7.02	13.53	4.65	2.3	
	444.648	0.60	73,483.20	44.09	3.77	11.28	15.06	29.03	9.99	5.07	
Gov Forbes	Solid Rock 19.542	7.80	62.405	486.76	40.65	133.42	174.07	312.69	118.07	56.00	
	19.542	1,730.78	5.634	9,751.88	1,452.61	2,672.99	4,125.60	5,626.28	2,124.48	2,001.11	
Sgt. Rivera St.,	1.925	0.95	77.157	73.30	9.11	21.36	30.47	42.83	17.61	12.85	
QC	1.925	209.75	6.379	1,337.93	189.25	389.85	579.10	758.83	312.03	267.07	
Mac Arthur Highway	Rend Ent. 19.287	7.34	75.320	552.85	70.36	151.54	221.90	330.95	124.97	96.93	
	19.287	1,627.77	7.708	12,546.32	1,817.93	3,438.94	5,256.87	7,289.45	2,752.50	2,504.37	
C3 Rd, M.M.	Solid Rock 25.731	10.63	62.405	663.37	55.40	181.83	237.23	426.14	160.91	76.32	
	25.731	2,358.71	5.634	13,289.88	1,979.62	3,642.75	5,622.37	7,667.51	2,895.25	2,727.12	
	Persan 19.786	1,794.88	5.634	10,113.04	1,506.41	2,771.98	4,278.39	5,834.65	2,203.16	2,075.23	
IMPLEMENTED B	Y FMMDEO										
A. Luna St., Mand.	Aylan Const. 4.047	167.16	6.265	1,047.22	150.82	291.65	442.47	604.75	233.43	209.04	
A. Luna St, Sto. Niño Mkna	Aylan Const 4.047	2.14	70.617	151.12	12.54	42.09	54.63	96.49	37.24	17.39	
Marikina San Mateo Rd	B. Bernardo Const 4.822	559.20	6.265	3,503.27	509.65	975.66	1,485.31	2,017.96	778.93	706.38	
	4.822	3.19	70.617	225.27	18.70	62.74	81.43	143.83	55.52	25.92	
A Bonifacio-A Luna, St. Mand.	Brostan Const 9.599	1,196.97	6.215	7,439.25	1,079.98	2,028.89	3,108.86	4,330.39	1,623.89	1,484.97	
	9.599	6.83	70.056	478.48	40.03	130.50	170.53	307.95	115.48	55.05	
Bayan-Bayanan	9.599	5.59	70.056	391.61	32.77	106.80	139.57	252.04	94.52	45.05	
Ave., Mkna.	9.599	978.38	6.215	6,080.70	882.75	1,658.37	2,541.13	3,539.57	1,327.34	1,213.79	
Lopez Jaena St.,	9.600	5.34	70.056	374.10	31.30	102.03	133.33	240.77	90.29	43.04	
Mkna City	9.600	936.08	6.215	5,817.80	853.14	1,586.67	2,439.82	3,377.99	1,266.74	1,173.07	
West Capitol Dr.	9.599	1,239.33	6.215	7,702.52	1,118.20	2,100.69	3,218.89	4,483.64	1,681.36	1,537.52	
Pasig City	9.599	5.31	70.056	372.00	31.12	101.45	132.58	239.42	89.78	42.80	
Ortigas Ave., Mand.	C.S. Garcia 0.943	0.46	71.738	33.00	2.70	9.56	12.26	20.74	8.46	3.80	
	0.943	80.64	7.878	635.26	90.06	184.08	274.14	361.12	147.34	126.80	
Katipunan, Kalumpang,	IDR Const & Supply 4.799	2.26	75.780	171.26	21.67	47.70	69.36	101.90	39.33	30.03	
Marikina	4.799	263.47	6.265	1,650.58	237.72	459.69	697.40	953.18	367.93	329.48	
Malanday, Marikina	Tuchar Const 3.855	116.19	6.265	727.91	104.83	202.72	307.55	420.35	162.26	145.30	
San Roque, Marikina	3.855	219.22	6.265	1,373.37	197.79	382.48	580.28	793.09	306.13	274.14	
IMPLEMENTED B											
SSH Makati City	A.U. Khong Hun Gen.										
	4.770	367.32	5.818	2,136.93	308.28	634.59	942.87	1,194.05	504.37	438.50	

			Projects			ndirect Cos			Allourshi	Fueres
PROJECT /	Contractor		Prescribed	Total			Total	Direct	Allowable Indirect	Excessive Indirect
LOCATION	/Contract Amt (in M)	Qty	Unit Cost	Cost	Emb'd	Imposed	Applied	Cost	Cost	Cost
						In Thousa	nd Pesos			
Pque										
SSH, Makati	4.77	2.10	64.434	135.31	10.94	40.18	51.13	84.19	35.56	15.5
Quirino Ave,	9.540	4,180.00	1.358	5,675.90	838.68	1,588.99	2,427.67	3,248.24	1,262.91	1,164.7
Paraňaque	9.540	4.17	70.759	295.07	24.44	82.61	107.05	188.02	73.10	33.9
Ninoy Aquino Ave Pque	AKN Const Corp 7.157	65.27	5.772	376.73	54.78	109.77	164.55	212.17	87.25	77.3
	7.157	0.55	63.927	35.16	2.87	10.25	13.11	22.05	9.07	4.0
	19.093	9,500.00	1.407	13,369.21	1,944.84	3,742.76	5,687.60	7,681.61	2,986.61	2,700.9
Roxas Blvd, Pque	19.094	9,446.00	1.407	13,293.21	1,933.79	3,721.49	5,655.27	7,637.94	2,969.63	2,685.6
Gil Puyat Ave, Mkti	EFC Ent. 9.591	3,572.00	1.457	5,203.52	799.02	1,516.22	2,315.24	2,888.28	1,187.66	1,127.5
	9.593	4,575.00	1.430	6,542.17	936.59	1,906.28	2,842.87	3,699.30	1,521.15	1,321.7
	9.591	3,572.00	1.457	5,203.52	799.02	1,516.22	2,315.24	2,888.28	1,187.66	1,127.5
Sucat Road,	4.795	850.16	5.772	4,906.96	713.52	1,429.81	2,143.33	2,763.63	1,136.41	1006.9
Paraňaque	4.795	4.85	63.927	310.05	25.28	90.34	115.62	194.43	79.95	35.6
Dr. A. Santos	9.546	4.62	63.927	295.34	24.08	86.06	110.14	185.21	76.16	33.9
Ave., North Bound,	9.546	810.00	5.772	4,675.16	679.82	1,362.26	2,042.08	2,633.08	1,082.72	959.3
Parañaque	9.545	4.54	63.927	290.23	23.66	84.57	108.23	182.00	74.84	33.3
	9.545	795.00	5.772	4,588.59	667.23	1,337.04	2,004.26	2,584.32	1,062.67	941.5
	19.183	1,555.00	1.432	2,227.20	318.34	623.51	941.85	1,257.36	488.86	452.9
A. Arnaiz Ave., P.Tamo, Mkti	9.589	4,392.00	1.430	6,280.49	899.13	1,830.03	2,729.16	3,551.33	1,460.31	1,268.8
Bicutan IC, Paraňaque	0.990	10.00	1.464	14.64	2.05	4.51	6.55	8.09	3.60	2.9
Buendia Ave,	19.191	1,663.00	6.461	10,744.55	1,600.47	2,945.08	4,545.55	6,199.00	2,340.74	2,204.8
Makati	19.191	9.50	66.469	631.46	52.73	173.08	225.82	405.64	153.17	72.6
EDSA (South	9.594	3,851.00	1.430	5,506.86	788.38	1,604.61	2,392.98	3,113.88	1,280.43	1,112.5
Bound), Mkti	9.593	3,819.00	1.430	5,461.11	781.83	1,591.27	2,373.10	3,088.01	1,269.79	1,103.3
Merville Access Rd Moonwalk	GNGC Ent 2.638	0.10	64.719	6.47	4.22	1.92	6.15	0.33	0.14	6.0
Paraňaque	2.638	2.00	5.818	11.64	1.68	3.46	5.13	6.50	2.75	2.3
Dr. A. Santos Ave., Pque	GPJ Const'n 19.191	8,600.00	1.407	12,102.65	1,760.59	3,388.18	5,148.78	6,953.87	2,703.67	2,445.1
Roxas Blvd. Paraňaque	IDR Const'n Supply 9.897	3,467.00	1.430	4,957.75	709.76	1,444.61	2,154.37	20,803.38	1,152.75	1,001.6
A. Arnaiz Avenue, Makati	PG Chua Builders 9.594	4,380.00	1.430	6,263.33	896.67	1,825.03	2,721.70	3,541.62	1,456.32	1,265.3
France St. Don	6.232	0.53	77.771	41.22	5.08	12.24	17.32	23.9	10.09	7.2
Bosco Pque	6.232	60.00	.927	55.63	8.03	16.52	24.55	31.08	13.13	11.4
	6.232	0.05	77.770	3.89	0.48	1.15	1.63		0.95	0.6
	6.232	762.00	.927	706.49	101.93	209.80	311.73	394.76	166.75	144.9
JP Rizal Ave., Mkti	Rain Const. 9.546	3,324.00	1.430	4,753.26	680.49	1,385.02	2,065.51	2,687.75	1,105.20	960.3
Kalayaan Ave., Mkti	9.546	2,728.00	1.430	3,900.99	558.48	1,136.68	1,695.16		907.04	788.1
P. Garcia Ave., Mkti	9.545	3,146.00	1.430	4,498.73	644.05	1,310.85	1,954.90		1,046.02	908.8
Fisherman's Wharf, La Huerta,	RM Nuňez Consťn 2.851	0.63	72.471	45.66	3.69	13.56	17.25	28.41	12.00	5.2
Paraňaque City,	2.859	900.00	.899	808.93	6.69	240.22	246.91	562.02	237.40	9.5
Asphalting of Rd - Tamaraw Court,	RNN Const 0.953	104.50	6.776	708.10	100.57	218.00	318.57	389.53	173.26	145.3
Tambo, Pque	0.953	0.60	68.628	41.18	2.88	12.68	15.56	25.62	11.40	4.1

	la	DIE 40.	Projects	with Exe	-					
	Contractor		Prescribed	Total		ndirect Cos	_	Direct	Allowable Indirect	Excessive Indirect
PROJECT / LOCATION	/Contract Amt (in M)	Qty	Unit Cost	Cost	Emb'd	Imposed	Total Applied	Cost	Cost	Cost
	. ,					In Thousa	nd Pesos			
Our Lady of	1.908	188.38	6.671	1,256.69	8,245.84	373.19	8,619.04	702.21	296.61	8,322.42
Peace Rd, Tambo, Pque	1.908	1.08	67.564	72.97	5.18	21.67	26.85	46.12	19.48	7.38
Roxas Blvd	Solid Rock Constn	1.00	07.304	12.71	3.10	21.07	20.03	40.12	17.40	7.50
Parañaque City	4.770	1,667.00	1.468	2,447.68	372.89	726.87	1,099.76	1,347.92	569.36	530.40
	4.772	2.28	64.435	146.91	11.88	43.63	55.51	91.40	38.61	16.90
	4.800	1,702.00	1.468	2,499.07	380.72	742.13	1,122.85	1,376.22	581.32	541.54
	3.338	1,120.00	1.468	1,644.51	250.53	488.36	738.89	905.62	382.53	356.36
MSR Quirino Ave, Pque	8.112	0.18	63.928	11.51	0.94	3.35	4.29	7.22	2.97	1.32
	5.112	21.25	5.771	122.65	17.83	35.74	53.57	69.08	28.40	25.17
N. Aquino Ave Pque	14.313	5,680.00	1.407	7,993.38	1,162.81	2,237.78	3,400.59	4,592.79	1,785.68	1,614.91
	14.313	5,677.00	1.407	7,989.16	1,162.20	2,236.60	3,398.79	4,590.37	1,784.73	1,614.06
Roxas Blvd. Parañaque City	4.771	2545.00	.072	184.04	14.89	54.65	69.54	114.50	48.36	21.18
r aranaque ony	4.771	2545.00	1.124	2859.37	405.34	849.13	1,254.47	1604.90	677.91	576.56
	4.772	398.60	5.818	2,318.90	334.54	688.63	1,023.16	1,295.74	547.32	475.85
	4.772	2.28	64.434	146.91	11.88	43.63	55.51	91.40	38.61	16.90
Sucat Rd	4.795	1.79	70.053	125.40	11.33	37.24	48.57	76.83	32.45	16.12
Parañaque City	4.795	313.50	7.382	2,314.34	333.88	687.27	1,021.15	1,293.19	546.24	474.91
	4.796	1.80	70.053	125.82	11.37	37.36	48.73	77.08	32.56	16.17
	4.796	314.55	7.382	2,322.09	335.00	689.57	1,024.57	1,297.52	548.07	476.50
IMPLEMENTED B	Y TMMDEO									
Rizal Ave. Ext.,	722 Const.									
Cal. City	9.494	3.20	70.056	224.18	18.76	61.14	79.90	144.28	54.11	25.79
	9.494	1,117.00	7.693	8,593.22	1,247.49	2,343.61	3,591.10	5,002.13	1,875.80	1,715.30
Rehab - C3 Rd, Caloocan	ALE Builder's Const'r 9.498	531.41	7.693	4,088.20	593.49	1,114.96	1,708.45	2,379.75	892.41	816.04
	9.498	1.51	70.056	105.78	8.85	28.85	37.70	68.08	25.53	12.17
Esteban	FEL-GENE Const.									
St./Valdez Comp.	1.750	33.00	7.755	255.90	36.86	71.27	108.13	147.78	57.04	51.09
Paso de Blas, Val.	1.750	0.14	70.617	9.89	0.82	2.75	3.57	6.31	2.44	1.13
Zone 16, Caloocan City	IM Const Corp 0.950	0.35	76.982	26.94	3.35	7.81	11.16	15.78	6.44	4.72
ouloodan ong	0.950	81.84	7.878	644.72	91.40	186.82	278.22	366.49	149.53	128.69
Sto Tomas St.	8.634	1.28	75,178.13	96.23	8.05	26.24	34.29	61.93	23.22	11.07
Brgy. 167 Zone 15 Cal. City	8.634	98,34	7,693.13	757.31	109.94	206.54	316.48	440.83	165.31	151.17
Sampaguita St.	8.634	1.56	75,178.13	117.28	9.81	31.98	41.79	75.48	28.31	13.48
Brgy. 171 Zone 15	8.634				135.64		390.46	543.87	203.95	
Cal. City Zenia St., Brgy.		121.45	7,693.13	934.33		254.82				186.51
175 Zone 15 Cal.	8.634	0.27		18.92	1.58	5.16	6.74	12.17	4.57	2.17
City	8.634	63.61	7,693.13	489.36	71.04	133.46	204.50	284.86	106.82	97.68
Deparo-Camarin Rd, Cal.	10.147	659.90	7.509	4,954.85	736.99	1,262.71	1,999.70	2,955.15	1,010.66	989.04
	10.147	1.88	68.375	128.54	11.02	32.76	43.78	84.77	28.99	14.79
MacArthur HW, Dist. II, Val.	9.504	2.85	68.375	194.87	16.71	49.66	66.37	128.50	43.95	22.42
	9.504	971.26	7.509	7,292.70		1,858.50	2,943.22	4,349.48	1,487.52	1,455.70
	4.747	1.31	7.,617	92.51	7.68	25.76	33.44	59.07	22.80	10.64
	4.747	437.93	7.755	3,396.00	489.09	945.78	1,434.87	1,961.13	757.00	677.87
Zone 15, Cal.	6.034	115.96	7.693	892.09	129.51	243.30	372.81	519.29	194.73	178.08
Roads/ Pathwalk, Caloocan City	6.034	0.49	70.056	34.33	4.70	9.36	14.06	22.09	8.29	5.77
Brgy. 171 Zone 15, Cal. City	Jagon Bldg 0.903	94.97	7.878	748.15	106.06	216.79	322.85	425.29	173.52	149.33
-	0.903	0.40	76.983	30.79	2.52	8.92	11.44	19.35	7.90	3.54

Table 48. Projects with Excessive Indirect Cost												
PROJECT / LOCATION	Contractor /Contract Amt (in M)	Qty	Prescribed Total Direct Indirect		mb/d Imposed Total		Allowable Indirect Cost	Excessive Indirect Cost				
						In Thousa	nd Pesos					
Samson Rd, Cal.	4.242	480.00	7.755	3,722.24	536.07	1,036.64	1,572.71	2,149.53	829.72	742.99		
City	4.242	1.37	70.617	96.74	8.03	26.94	34.97	61.77	23.84	11.13		
10th Ave, Cal. City	LR Tiqui Bldr Inc. 28.512	2.73	68.375	186.66	16.00	47.57	63.57	123.09	42.10	21.47		
	28.512	956.00	7.508	7,178.12	1,067.68	1,829.30	2,896.98	4,281.14	1,464.15	1,432.83		
Total (131 Projects) 8												

c. The contract for the restoration of damaged revetment/dredging of flood control of Meycauayan River was split into eight contracts contrary to law, thus, allowing the allocation of higher indirect cost. Moreover, the unit costs used for excavation and embankment were also inappropriate for the types of materials excavated and used as embankment, while equipment rental rates exceeded ACEL rates. The rental rates applied in 151 other projects also exceeded the ACEL rates. All these deficiencies resulted in combined excess costs of **P**10.257 Million.

In order to standardize the process of preparing cost estimates and guide estimators, the DPWH issues Department Orders (DOs) which are updated as deemed necessary, indicating the unit costs for each item of work. In the case of excavation and embankment, the DPWH categorized these items based on the types of materials being excavated and or materials being used for embankment. For the period under Audit, the duly approved updated direct unit cost for excavation and embankment of national road and bridge projects to be implemented by DPWH-CO and its DEOs follow:

Table 49. Direct Unit Cost for Excavation and Embankment										
Item of Works	Item No.	Category	Prescribed Unit Cost/m ³							
Excavation	103-1	Unsuitable Materials	₽ 305.06							
	103-2	Ordinary Soil	190.66							
	103-3	Adobe	469.33							
Embankment	104-1	Ordinary Soil	427.15							
	104-2	Selected Borrow	738.54							

In addition to DPWH issuances, the implementation of projects is also governed by R.A. No. 9184 and its IRR. Under Item 54.1 of the Revised IRR of R.A. No. 9184, splitting of government contract is not allowed. Splitting of contract is defined as division or breaking up of a contract into smaller quantity or amount, or dividing contract implementation into artificial phases or sub-contracts for the purpose of evading or circumventing the requirements of law. Review of documents submitted by the DPWH-NCR disclosed that the above guidelines were not strictly observed in the preparation of estimates for the restoration of damaged revetment/dredging of flood control of Meycauayan River (Valenzuela side) which was implemented in CY 2009. This project, with estimated project cost of **P38.501 Million**, was split into eight contracts with each contract costing less than **P5.00 Million**. These contracts were bidded on the same date and awarded to four contractors with each contractor awarded two projects each, as tabulated below:

	Table 50. Contractors Award	led with the Flood Control	Projects
Phase	Contractor	Contract Amount	POW
FlidSe	CONTRACTOR	(in Mi	llion)
1	DNN Construction	₽ 4.817	<u>₽</u> 4.819
2	RNN Construction	4.826	4.830
3	DM Nuñez Const. Com	4.803	4.801
4	RM Nuñez Const. Corp.	4.722	4.723
5	Rain Construction	4.839	4.840
6		4.871	4.873
7	AI(A) Construction Com	4.772	4.774
8	AKN Construction Corp.	4.830	4.841
	TOTAL	₽ 38.480	₽ 38.501

Considering that the costs for each project is below **₽5.00** Million, these projects were not advertised in newspaper of general nationwide circulation which will be required had these projects been bidded as one. As borne by records, there was only one lone bidder for each phase.

While these projects were awarded to four contractors, three of them, RNN Construction, Rain Construction and AKN Construction Corporation are using the same address, and therefore, may be interrelated. Considering further that each contract did not exceed **P5.00 Million**, the DPWH-NCR applied overhead, contingency, miscellaneous and profit (OCMP) of 27 percent as provided under DPWH DO No. 57, series of 2002. This is considerably higher than the allowable OCMP of 23 percent for projects with estimated direct cost of above **P20.00 Million**. As discussed earlier, this project has a total cost of **P38.501 Million**; hence, by splitting the contract for the same kind of work into eight contracts which effectively is four, as these were awarded to only four contractors, the higher OCMP was used resulting in higher indirect cost.

The Team further noted that while equipment rental rates prescribed by Association of Carriers & Equipment Lessors (ACEL) were adopted by

DPWH, the DPWH-NCR used equipment rental rates higher than the rates prescribed therein in the preparation of estimates for the splitted projects. It also used unit costs for excavation and embankment which were not appropriate for the type of materials excavated and filled.

The DPWH-NCR considered the unit cost for Item 103-3, adobe, of P469.33 per cu. m. for excavation and Item 104-2, selected borrow, of P738.54 for embankment without any document to prove that the items to be excavated and used for embankment are indeed adobe and selected borrow. Despite repeated request, the DPWH-NCR failed to provide field validation results to support the use of such unit costs.

As discussed earlier, there are three types of materials with different unit cost with adobe and selected borrow given the highest costs. If indeed the materials to be excavated are adobe, the same could have been used to partly meet the embankment requirements. Moreover, in all eight contracts, the DPWH-NCR did not specifically indicate in the POW that the unit costs provided are for adobe and selected borrow.

Inspection of the projects disclosed that considering the project area, which is beside the river and fish pond, the items excavated could be classified as unsuitable materials, and not adobe unless there are proof that the item excavated are adobe. The unit cost of unsuitable material as prescribed is relatively lower at P305.06 per cu. m. compared to P469.33 per cu. m. of adobe. Likewise, the materials used for embankment could only be ordinary soil with unit cost of P427.15 per cu. m. and not necessarily selected borrow which is costed at P738.54 per cu. m., again, unless it can be proven otherwise.

The project, as inspected, with total measured length of 422 meters from Phases 1 to VIII, is continuous without demarcation line as to the start and end of each phase. This only manifests that **the eight contracts may be considered as one project**. The project, however, displayed longitudinal and transverse cracks on roads, ripraps and revetment as shown on these pictures.





The splitting of project into eight contracts, use of high rental rates for equipment, and unit costs for excavation and embankment unnecessarily increased the project costs without any corresponding benefits to the government. The increase in costs as recomputed for a project bidded as one, and considering reasonable excavation, embankment and rental rates, and indirect cost of 23 percent amounted to **P5.760 Million**, tabulated as follows:

Tabl	e 51. Cost Dif	ference consider	ing reasonable co	ost at 23% and 27%	% OCMP
			Amount (in M P)	
Project	POW	Project Cost	as Recomputed	Differ	ence
	FOW	at 27%	at 23%	at 27%	at 23%
1	4.819	4.199	4.067	0.620	0.753
2	4.830	4.106	3.977	0.724	0.853
3	4.805	4.303	4.168	0.502	0.637
4	4.723	4.613	4.468	0.110	0.256
5	4.840	4.249	4.116	0.591	0.720
6	4.873	4.151	4.020	0.721	0.852
7	4.774	4.073	3.944	0.701	0.830
8	4.841	4.111	3.982	0.729	0.859
Total	38.505	33.805	32.74	4.698	5.760

As illustrated above, even if the 27 percent indirect cost is adopted, the difference due to adoption of inappropriate unit costs for excavation, embankment and equipment rental rates would still amount to **P4.698** Million.

The practice of the DPWH-NCR of disregarding the prescribed ACEL rates is also manifested in the POW of 315 other projects with the following equipment rental rates adopted:

Table 52. DPWH-NCR Adopted Rental Rates										
Equipment Tupe	DPWH-NCR A	doped Rates	ACEL Rates							
Equipment Type	2005-2009	2010	ACEL Rales							
Payloader 98 HP (1.53 cu. m.,918 F)	₽ 1,328.80	₽ 1,329	₽ 1,208							
Dump Truck 385 HP	1,828.20	1,716	1,430							
Trenches 60 HP (Cleveland)	618.20	618	562							
Road Grader GD705A-4 200 HO	3,494.70	3,495	3,177							
Road Roller (2m. tons, 152 HP)	1,914.00	1,914	1,740							
Water Truck (Cap. 500-1,000 gallons)	1,083.50	1,065	968							

The use of higher rental rates by the DPWH-NCR unnecessarily increased the corresponding labor and indirect costs. This practice then resulted in increased costs of around **P4.497** Million for embankment, excavation and aggregate base coarse items alone of 315 projects implemented within NCR as follows:

Table 53. Cost Difference Due to Adoption of Rental Rates exceeding ACEL Rates												
	No. of		Contract		U	nit Cost (P	<u>2)</u>	Cost				
IA	Contrac-	No. of Proj.	Cost	Item	P	WC	COA	Difference				
	tor		(M P)		From	То	CUA	(P)				
TMMDEO	2	6	30.735	103-3	-	190.66	153.93	52,386.12				
SMMDEO	2	3	15.979	Excavation for	303.29	344.63	247.88	45,628.80				
	1	1	3.816	Structure	-	299.87	251.12	4,953.31				
	1	1	0.475		-	468.26	328.86	1,998.44				
	1	1	3.891		-	691.65	470.71	14,435.90				
SMMDEO/ TMMDEO	3	5	20.272		189.50	189.56	154.93	34,283.05				
	4	5	19.236		189.56	191.23	155.54	28,665.44				
	8	17	73.172		190.23	190.66	155.93	104,045.12				
	9	12	42.548		299.87	367.98	250.31	119,624.98				
SMMDEO/ TMMDEO/ FMMDEO	9	20	61.463		190.23	191.23	156.44	87,180.97				
FMMDEO	3	3	23.361		469.33	470.71	385.09	196,482.96				
FMMDEO/NCR/	22	39	215.269		294.00	462.58	249.49	686,052.98				
SMMDEO/ TMMDEO	9	15	71.908		427.00	469.33	383.83	673,390.48				
TMMDEO	1	2	9.424		-	452.94	427.15	13,262.51				
	1	2	4.350	Embank- ment	-	608.06	575.84	31,572.44				
	1	1	3.508	(Selected Borrow)	•	826.15	738.99	17,758.15				
NCR/ SMMDEO/ TMMDEO	17	39	216.418		738.54	901.72	700.85	962,574.65				
FMMDEO/ SMMDEO/	7	8	55.726		738.99	1242.88	701.25	137,736.71				

Table 53. Cost Difference Due to Adoption of Rental Rates exceeding ACEL Rates											
	No. of	No 6	Contract		U	nit Cost (P	2)	Cost			
IA	Contrac-	No. of Proj.	Cost		P	OW	COA	Difference			
	tor	,	(M P)		From	То	COA	(P)			
TMMDEO											
SMMDEO	1	1	2.870	Item 201-1	-	763.40	724.68	9,197.58			
	3	8	76.556	Aggregate Base	-	1,008.25	853.74	276,748.64			
	1	1	2.859	Coarse	-	974.19	927.65	1,522.57			
SMMDEO/ TMMDEO/	10	19	150.972		726.86	753.26	689.69	222,108.90			
NCR	23	80	524.115		853.74	1,016.92	811.25	452,778.82			
FMMDEO/ SMMDEO/ TMMDEO	12	26	151.190		974.19	1,387.29	926.65	323,072.87			
Total	151	315	1,780.113					4,497,462.39			

d. Two other projects implemented by the City Government of Las Piñas applied erroneous indirect cost which resulted in cost difference of ₽253,000.

Evaluation of the projects implemented by the City Government of Las Piñas also disclosed the erroneous application of indirect cost in two projects resulting in cost difference of **P253,000** as follows:

Tat	ole 54. Pr	ojects v	vith Erroneous	Indir	ect Cost				
Project	Amount				Remar	ks			
Description/Location	ABC	Diff.							
Const. of 2-storey 4-CL Sch. Bldg with Canteen, H.E. and Clinic, Vergonville ES	9.262	0.146	As reflected in t ₽9.266 Million . costs applied w	The T as no	eam, how t in conso	ever, i onance	noted that e with the	the indirect prescribed	
Vergonville Elementary Scho	ol		percentage und ₽10.0 Million ₽145,920.11, as	belov	v resultir	ng in			
SAL-	and and a			Pe	r ABC		PWH DO o. 57	Diff.	
Sall-			Description	%	Amt (in M)	%	Amt (in M)	(in M)	
Burgs C			Direct Cost		₽6.514		₽6.514	₽ 0.00	
2011	202		OCM	15	0.977	12	0.782	0.195	
			Profit	12	0.782	13	0.847	(0.065)	
	Z.L.		VAT Total Cost	12	0.993 ₽9.266	12	0.977 ₽9.120	0.015 ₽ 0.146	
	102/02		As may be note already in exc Million applying 57. In such cas outright as requi	ess o the p e, the	contract f the rec rescribed bid offer	compu rates should	f ₽9.262 ted ABC under DP	Million was of ₽9.120 WH DO No.	
Renovation of Treasurer's Office and the City Hall Lobby	6.789	0.107	As reflected in t P6.800 Million another project	The	Team, h	oweve	r, noted	that this is	
City Hall Main Building			accordance with						

Та	ble 54. Pr	rojects v	vith Erroneous	Indire	ect Cos	t		
Project Description/Location	Amoun ABC	t (M ₽) Diff.			Rema	rks		
			DO No. 57 resu tabulated below:	0	n cost d	ifferen	ce of ₽ 1	07,086.60 as
			Description	A	BC	DPW	H DO 57	Diff.
	-		Description	%	Amt	%	Amt	(in M)
	Las -		Direct Cost		4.781		4.781	0.000
and the second se			OCM	15	0.717	12	0.574	0.143
201	1/08/04		Profit	12	0.574	14	0.621	(0.047)
			VAT	12	0.728	12	0.717	0.011
63	- The second		Total Cost		6.800		6.693	0.107
	1/02/04		This is another Million already Million using th The Team further already exce mobilization/dem another pay item	excee e pres r note ssive, nobiliza	ded the scribed d that wl the	recom rates i nile inc AB	nputed Al under DI direct cos C sti	BC of P6.69 PWH No. 57 It applied was I included
Total		0.253						

The comments of Management on the foregoing and the Team's Rejoinder are integrally attached as *Annex I*.

4. At least 90 projects implemented during CYs 2007 to 2009 were either unutilized/not fully utilized indicating that the funds could have been used for more urgently needed projects, or not properly maintained and in the state of deterioration, or construction not properly planned and thereupon replaced or already in the process of replacement.

Inspection by the Team in December 2010 disclosed the status of 90 Multi-Purpose Buildings (MPBs) and other projects:

	Table 55. Status of Projects Implemented by 12 IAs							
IA	Project	Legislator	Project (M ₽)	Status			
IA	Description	Legislatoi	Amt	No.				
DPWH-RO V	Road projects	Not indicated	137.730	4	Deteriorated or in the process of deterioration/with portions no longer passable			
			2.847	2	With transverse cracks and scaling			
			12.500					
	MPBs		19.048	2	Underutilized and considered luxurious			
Albay 1st DEO	MPBs	Not indicated	19.319	3	Underutilized or not used at all and not properly maintained			
			2.962	1	Unfinished and no additional fund allocated to complete			
Cam. Sur 1st	MPBs	Not indicated	1.930	4	Unused/occasionally used / improperly			

IA	Project	Logialator	Project (Status	
IA	Description	Legislator	Amt	No.	Status
DEO		Diosdado M. Arroyo	1.483		used and not well maintained
		Ma. Carissa O. Coscolluela	0.482	3	With minor construction deficiencies
		Not indicated	1.955		
	Road project	Not indicated	0.500	1	Constructed at the end of the road leading to the field and not passable
		Rolando Andaya, Jr.	0.483	3	With transverse and longitudinal cracks
		Not indicated	2.895		
Davao City DEO	MPB	Prospero C. Nograles	0.377	1	Unfinished and no additional fund allocated to complete
	Road	Prospero C. Nograles	2.170	21	With scaling and transverse cracks
	projects	Not indicated	45.317		
		Not indicated	0.943	1	With constructed canal ditch cutting through the pavement wasting cost of previous construction
DPWH-RO XI	Road projects	Not indicated	419.457	2	Deteriorating and with temperature cracks or unprotected from possible landslides
			517.127	3	Affected by on-going projects
Davao del Norte DEO	Road projects	Arrel R. Olaňo	13.000	18	Affected by on-going projects
FMMDEO	MPBs	Marcelino R. Teodoro	1.444	1	Unfinished and no additional fund allocated to complete
		Not indicated	2.500	5	Remained unused, barely used, or some
		Del R. de Guzman	6.786		with defective items
		Del R. de Guzman	3.344	1	Not used for the purpose intended and no properly maintained
		Henry M. Dueňas	9.099	2	Already replaced by another project
City Gov't of Taguig	MPB	Juan M. Flavier	0.998	1	Unused
Tarlac 1 st DEO	MPBs	Not indicated	0.802	1	Underutilized as the same is incomplete and no allocation to complete the building
		Not indicated	9.875	1	Not properly maintained
	Water System	Jose V. Yap	1.129	1	Water rusty and can serve only 12 household
	Road Proj.	Not indicated	3.761	1	With longitudinal cracks
Nueva Ecija 2nd DEO	Bridge Structure	Czarina D. Umali	1.315	1	With rusty railings
	MPBs	Czarina D. Umali	3.276	4	Needing repairs
		Not indicated	1.331		
Brgy. San Isidro Galas, QC	Drainage System	Edcel Lagman	2.000	1	Not properly maintained
Brgy. South Triangle, QC	Public Toilet		0.300	1	Already covered by another project already deteriorating
Tota	al		1,250.485	90	

It is clear then that the projects constructed are either unused/not fully used, or not properly maintained and allowed to deteriorate, or already covered by another project. The detailed discussions on these findings are integrally attached as *Annex J* while the Comments of Management on the foregoing and the Team's Rejoinder are integrally attached as *Annex K*.

5. The cost allocated for safety and health, and other miscellaneous items were not computed in accordance with DPWH DO No. 56, series of 2005. These items, which include procurement of various furniture, equipment and vehicles, were included in infrastructure contracts in lump sum amounts without detailed computation, in percentages ranging from 0.013 percent to 11.405 percent for each item and billed by the contractors as programmed. This included procurement of motor vehicles without the required approval of the President.

To establish a uniform methodology in estimating the required resources, manpower and equipment, for the implementation of Construction, Safety and Health Standards in the workplace as required under the Department of Labor and Employment (DOLE) DO No. 13, the DPWH issued DO No. 56, series of 2005. It is provided therein that cost of these items shall be duly quantified and made part of the overall cost of Safety and Health (SPL). Attached to such DO is a matrix to be used as guide in determining the unit cost of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and devices needed based on the established service life.

Evaluation of selected contracts implemented by DPWH ROs and DEOs during CYs 2007 to 2009 disclosed that the infrastructure contracts included the following items in lump sum amounts without any quantified computation, in percentages ranging from 0.013 percent to 11.405 percent for each item, tabulated as follows:

	Table 56. Special Items Included in the Contracts							
Ре	riod	Projects Affected	IA	Contract Percentage		Amount		
From	То	Affected		From	То	From	То	
Bunkhouse	Bunkhouse and Field Office including furnitures and equipment							
6/04/2008	2/26/2009	1	Tarlac 1st DEO		0.520		₽ 74,250.00	
6/16/2009	4/21/2010	1	FMMDEO		0.079		75,566.00	
10/02/2006	10/12/2009	29	SMMDEO	0.204	4.925	₽ 7,000.00	115, 894.78	
6/02/2008	10/23/2009	32	Albay 1st DEO	0.116	0.926	30,000.00	177,645.00	
8/08/2008	12/22/2010	92	DPWH-RO V	0.052	2.107	4,999.50	985,502.00	
8/26/2008	9/1/2008	1	City Gov't of Manila		2.149		27,000.00	
12/15/2008	2/16/2009	1	City Gov't of Taguig		3.160		157,842.09	
Billboards								
9/08/2008	6/25/2010	4	Tarlac 1st DEO	0.266	2.235	15,000.00	22,076.30	

	Table 56. Special Items Included in the Contracts						
Per	riod	Projects Affected	IA	Contract Percentage		Am	ount
From	То	Affected		From	То	From	То
6/16/2009	6/7/2010	51	FMMDEO	0.017	6.596	5,565.69	152,500.00
2/16/2007	2/25/2010	110	SMMDEO	0.035	11.405	2.366.36	71,529.85
6/16/2008	10/23/2010	22	Albay 1st DEO	0.014	0.187	6,500.00	26,700.00
6/10/2008	12/22/2010	31	DPWH-RO V	0.013	1.959	5,000.00	429,017.84
3/29/2007	7/01/2010	77	Cam. Sur 1st DEO	0.106	1.247	2,000.00	11,650.00
Safety and H	lealth						
3/16/2007	7/07/2010	7	Tarlac 1st DEO	0.117	2.462	4,482.00	232,802.50
10/09/2009	7/07/2010	27	FMMDEO	0.013	3.186	10,924.41	178,562.00
09/24/2008	2/25/2010	30	SMMDEO	0.197	7.282	13,235.47	698,600.00
11/14/2008	9/19/2010	7	Albay 1st DEO	0.206	2.348	19,860.00	337,492.52
02/27/2009	1/02/2011	11	DPWH-RO V	0.052	4.831	6,901.30	1,495,000.00
3/29/2007	8/18/2010	13	Cam. Sur 1st DEO	0.114	2.134	1,350.00	26,000.00
Permits							
2/16/2007	02/24/2010	45	SMMDEO	0.075	3.802	5,024.97	69,047.11
9/1/2008	7/14/2009	2	City Gov't of Manila	.797	1.194	15,000	55,000
Barricades							
2/16/2007	02/25/2010	27	SMMDEO	0.037	2.984	2,505.32	87,167.76
Lighting Eq	uipment						
2/16/2007	12/21/2009	21	SMMDEO	0.052	7.206	4,950.81	277,133.53
Electric Use	1						
09/24/2008	11/18/2009	10	SMMDEO	0.090	0.533	4,200.00	21,527.38
Traffic Mana	agement						
2/16/2007	10/22/2009	25	SMMDEO	0.120	6.280	11,214.93	391,364.45
6/08/2007	8/02/2010	6	Albay 1st DEO	0.074	4.539	7,150.00	652,436.50
Transportat	ion Equipmen	t					
6/30/2008	7/05/2010	4	Albay 1st DEO	1.461	4.615	280,800.00	1,281,192.80

As may be noted, the allocations for these items vary depending on the RO and DEO implementing the projects. Items such as permits and electric consumption may even be considered part of OCMP. These items were billed by the contractors equivalent to the lump sum bid amounts.

Moreover, under Section 15 of the General Provisions of the GAA for CY 2009, procurement of motor vehicles, except those specifically enumerated therein, is subject to the approval of the President. There was no documents provided showing that the procurement of vehicles was approved by the President of the Philippines.

Evaluation of the records of selected vehicles included in the contract further disclosed that the status of the procured vehicles was not properly monitored as

one vehicle cannot even be properly identified while multiple vehicles were assigned to three offices as tabulated in the next page:

Table 57. Vehicles included in the Contract								
Project Title	Contractor	Amount	(in M ₽)	Vehicle	User			
		Contract	POW/Bid	Veniele				
Aroroy - Baleno-Lagta - Masbate - Jct. Buenavista Sec. (intermittent sec.) - Package I	TR Const./ Legaspi Premium Dev. Corp. (JV)	112.335	1.510	1.510, Isuzu D-Max SE 4x2 with Plate No. SEH749 (EBV- 130).	Construction Division, RO V			
- Package II	Hi-Tone Const. & Dev. Corp./	110.420	1.995	The Team could not ident procured as there was no Deed				
Revetment/Dike with Steel Sheet Piles - Brgys. Taban-Fundado; and Brgy Concepcion-Brgy. Handong	Sunwest Const. & Dev. Corp. (JV)	95.329	1.440	Isuzu D-Max SE 4x2 with Plate No. SHE 715 (EBV- 185).	Office of the Director, RO V			
 Brgys. Concepcion along Tinago Creek and Bigao along Libmanan Creek 	Sunwest Const. and Dev. Corp.	95.602	1.473	Mitsubishi Strada GLS 2.5 with Plate No. SHE 214 (EBV 713).	Planning Office, RO V			
Seawall at Brgy. Sabang Calabanga and dredging of Sabang River	GCI Const./ Bicol Goldrock Const. Corp. (JV)	57.610	1.321	Isuzu Altera with Plate No. NJQ 720.	Office of the Director, RO V			
Andaya Highway - Del Gallego Ragay Section Sta. 297+989 to Sta 310+838	NFH Const.	171.400	1.351	Hyundai Tuczon Theta II with Plate No. NQT 948.	Construction Division, RO V			
 Ragay-Sipocot Section Sta 310+838 to Sta 341+637 (w/ exception) 	NFH Const./ GCI Const. (JV)	161.800	1.351	Isuzu D-Max 4x2 LS with Plate No. EBV 239	Office of the Director, RO V			
Total		804.496	10.441					

6. Similar projects to be completed within almost the same time and at the same cost were provided special items in lump sum and varying amounts.

Evaluation of the embedded cost for each project also disclosed that while the projects are almost the same, with the same contract time, cost and period within which to complete, the lump sum cost for each type of miscellaneous expenses vary significantly and relatively larger than those with detailed computation, presented as follows:

	Table 58. Projects with Special Items Included in the Contracts in Different Amounts								
IA	Project	Contract Time	Amt (M P)	Amount (in T ₽)		Contract	With		
			Contract	POW	SWA	%	Details		
Billboard									
Tarlac 1 st DEO	1CL Bldg. Amalan ES Gerona, Tarlac	45	0.563	4.134	4.020	0.714	yes		
SMMDEO	MPB w/ Fence Pavement, Valley 8, San Antonio	45	0.571	8.602	8.467	1.483	none		
FMMDEO	Various Roads and Alleys, Lower Bicutan, Taguig		1.920		31.095	1.620	none		
Tarlac 1 st DEO	MPB, Palac-Palac, Victoria, Tarlac		1.963	28.145	20.790	1.059	yes		
DPWH-RO V	Naga-Calabanga Embankment	240	57.302	17.453	17.308	0.030	yes		
	Libmanan-Cabusao Embankment	240	57.406	35.847	30.000	0.052	none		

IA	Project	uded in the Contract	Amt (M P)	Amount		Contract	
		Time	Contract	POW	SWA	%	Details
	Libmanan-Cabusao Embankment	240	57.487	34.623	25.000	0.043	none
Safety and He	alth						
SMMDEO	MPB, Target Site, BF Homes, Paranaque	60	1.907	43.454	42.420	2.224	yes
	MPB, Reyes Compound, San Antonio, Pque	60	1.907	43.454	49.000	2.569	none
	MPB, R. Medina Compound, San Dionisio and	60	2.844	27.485	50.781	1.785	yes
	Perville Compound, La Huerta	60	2.844	44.818	96.000	3.375	none
	MPB, Armela, Marcelo Green, Pque City	75	3.815		56.000	1.468	none
	MPB, Goodwill, B.F. Homes, Paranaque City	75	3.816	37.198	35.986	0.943	yes
	MPB, Leviton, Don Bosco, Paranaque City	75	3.816	61.558	57.400	1.504	none
Tarlac 1st DEO	MPB, TCA, Camiling, Tarlac	150	9.355	82.110	79.065	0.845	yes
	Repair Camiling Emergency Hospital, Camiling Tarlac	150	9.455		232.802	2.462	none
SMMDEO	Repair/Asphalting Quirino Ave., Pque City	30	9.540	31.687	30.962	0.325	none
	Rehab Antonio Arnaiz Ave., Pasong Tamo towards EDSA, Makati	30	9,590	654.769	662.410	6.908	none
	Asphalt Overlay SSH Magallanes Interchange Makati	30	9,591	273.600	287.994	3.003	none
	Asphalt Overlay Gil Puyat East Bound, EDSA to Ayala	30	9.591	252.567	272.258	2.839	none
	Asphalt Overlay Gil Puyat West Bound, Ayala to EDSA	30	9.591	252.567	272.258	2.839	none
FMMDEO	Asphalt Overlay A. Bonifacio - A. Luna St., Mandaluyong	30	9.599	174.081	173.151	1.804	yes
	Asphalt Overlay West Capitol Drive, Pasig	30	9.599	162.482	161.622	1.684	yes
DPWH-RO V	Libmanan-Cabusao Embankment	210	47.842	26.789	25.000	0.052	yes
		210	47.905	26.001	50.000	0.104	none
Tarlac 1 st DEO	MPB, Pura Tarlac	111	*12.296	33.645	33.989		yes
	MPB, Moncada, Tarlac	111	*12.296		106.655		none
Bunkhouse &	Field Office including furnitures and equipm	nent					
DPWH-RO V	Asphalt Overlay Andaya Highway Lupi-Sipocot Sec. Camarines Sur	300	143.500	291.489	300.000	0.209	yes
	Asphalt overlay of Andaya Highway Del Gallego-Ragay Sec. Camarines Sur	300	143.550	291.489	314.000	0.219	none
	Asphalt Overlay Andaya Highway, Ragay- Sipocot Sec. Camarines Sur	300	161.800	192.750	212.960	0.132	yes

IMPLEMENTATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

Management's Comments on the foregoing findings and the Team's Rejoinder are integrally attached as *Annex L*.

Chapter 4

Financial Assistance and Other Charges by Local Government Units

INTRODUCTION

The continuous allocation of funds for priority programs and projects of the legislators is anchored on the principle that these priority projects would improve the living condition of the poor. It is believed to be one of the best means for alleviating the condition of the disadvantaged sector of our society and in addressing the small scale and community projects which are often excluded in national programs.

In order to ensure that the benefits intended to be derived from PDAF are maximized, the eligible projects for funding and the respective IAs are defined in the GAA for the year. For CYs 2007 to 2009, there were 12 categories of projects eligible for funding for implementation by a number of NGAs, GOCCs, SUCs, Specialty Hospitals and LGUs.

Of the 12 categories, the LGUs were identified as among the implementers of the following projects under six categories:

	Table 59. Menu of Programs for Implementation by LGUs					
Category	Program/Project					
Education	Purchase of IT Equipment					
	Scholarship					
Health	Assistance to indigent patients at the hospitals devolved to LGUs and RHUs					
Rural Electrification	Barangay Rural Electrification					
Water Supply	Installation of pipes/pumps/tanks					
Financial Assistance	Specific program and projects to address the pro-poor programs of the government					
Housing	Construction of housing units for eligible beneficiaries					

A total of **P2.034 Billion** released by the DBM to the selected LGUs were covered in the Audit:

Table 60. Releases to LGUs from CYs 2007 to 2009 for Soft Projects Covered in the Audit					
LGU	Legislator	Amount	Total Releases Covered (in M)		
City Governments of:					
Mandaluyong	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	25.000	₽ 513.000		
	Edgardo J. Angara	0.500			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	315.000			
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	1.000			
	Florencio G. Noel	25.000			
	Prospero C. Nograles	50.000			

LGU	Legislator	Amount	Total Releases Covered (in M)
	Not specified	96.500	
Las Piñas	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	1.000	169.000
	Cynthia A. Villar	90.000	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	78.000	
Quezon City and 94	Edgardo J. Angara	3.000	271.666
barangays	Nanette Castelo-Daza	58.050	
	Vincent P. Crisologo	1.666	
	Cinchona Cruz-Gonzales	0.100	
	Matias V. Defensor, Jr.	109.500	
	Miriam Defensor-Santiago	0.300	
	Juan Ponce Enrile	14.000	
	Gregorio B. Honasan II	5.000	
	Edcel C. Lagman	40.500	
	Jeci A. Lapus	0.100	
	Loren B. Legarda	0.200	
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	4.500	
	Francisco N. Pangilinan	13.550	
	Aquilino Q. Pimentel, Jr.	1.000]
	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	1.000	
	Mary Ann L. Susano	6.500	
	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	1.100	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.100	
	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	6.500	
	Not Specified	5.000	
Manila and 12	Bienvienido M. Abante, Jr.	2.980	135.610
barangays	Maria Zenaida B. Angping	28.010	
	Benjamin D. Asilo	37.020	
	Amado S. Bagatsing	25.000	
	Ma. Theresa Bonoan-David	1.500	
	Cinchona Cruz-Gonzales	0.700	
	Manuel M. Lapid	5.000	
	Jaime C. Lopez	31.400	
	Francisco N. Pangilinan	1.500	
	Monica Louise Prieto-Teodoro	1.000	
	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	1.300	
	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	.200	
Taguig and 3	Allan Peter S. Cayetano	2.500	187.520
Barangays	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	51.300	
	Juan Ponce Enrile	5.000	
	Juan M. Flavier	1.000	
	Prospero C. Nograles	25.000	

LGU	Legislator	Amount	Total Releases Covered (in M)	
	Miriam Defensor Santiago	17.720		
	Not specified	85.000		
Panabo	Antonio F. Lagdameo, Jr.	21.650	21.650	
Iriga	Felix R. Alfelor, Jr.	100.300	103.150	
	Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr.	2.200		
	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	0.050		
	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	0.600		
Naga	Juan Ponce Enrile	20.000	30.000	
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	1.000		
	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	1.000		
	Not Specified	8.000		
Tabaco	Edcel C. Lagman	109.365	186.810	
	Not Specified	77.445		
rovincial Governments	of:			
Nueva Ecija	Czarina D. Umali	45.200	47.200	
	Joseph Gilbert F. Violago	2.000		
Tarlac	Miriam Defensor-Santiago	51.510	142.010	
	Jose V. Yap	85.500		
	Not Specified	5.000		
Bataan	Albert Raymund S. Garcia	40.150	60.150	
	Prospero C. Nograles	20.000		
Davao Oriental	Jose Mayo Z. Almario	0.200	125.700	
	Thelma Z. Almario	45.900		
	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	4.600		
	Prospero C. Nograles	75.000		
Compostela Valley	Rommel C. Amatong	0.450	40.750	
	Prospero C. Nograles	40.000		
	Manuel E. Zamora	0.300		
Total			₽ 2,034.216	

The Audit disclosed that utilization of PDAF released to LGUs for soft projects were either not in accordance with the above menu or disbursements not compliant with existing rules and regulations of which transactions in substantial amounts are questionable. Deficiencies in the implementation of infrastructure projects as well as observations on funds transferred to NGOs and cooperatives were included in Chapters 2 and 3.

OBSERVATIONS

1. Disbursements amounting to ₽1.289 Billion were not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184 and other existing rules and regulations, with substantial amounts supported with questionable documents. Moreover, transactions amounting to ₽234.213 Million were not documented. The corresponding DVs cannot be submitted to the Team despite repeated requests.

Examination of transactions of the LGUs charged to releases for soft projects disclosed procurement activities amounting to **P1.289** Billion as tabulated below:

Table 61. Procurements of LGUs Not Compliant With R.A. No. 9184 Charged to Releases for Soft Projects						
LGU	Legislator	Amount (in M P)	Procurement (in M P)			
Mandaluyong City	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	19.999	426.527			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	256.381				
	Florencio G. Noel	23.944				
	Prospero C. Nograles	47.325				
	Not specified	78.878				
Tabaco City	Edcel C. Lagman	129.719	129.719			
Iriga City	Felix R. Alfelor, Jr.	43.541	44.558			
	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	0.967				
	Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr.	0.050				
Nueva Ecija	Czarina D. Umali	22.111	23.247			
	Joseph Gilbert F. Violago	1.136				
Tarlac	Miriam Defensor-Santiago	37.261	78.235			
	Jose V. Yap	40.974				
Bataan	Albert S. Garcia	0.722	0.722			
Taguig City	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	50.449	181.477			
	Juan Ponce Enrile	4.995				
	Juan M. Flavier	0.998				
	Prospero C. Nograles	24.980				
	Miriam Defensor Santiago	17.355				
	Not specified	82.700				
Barangays of Taguig City	Allan Peter S. Cayetano	2.698	2.698			
Manila	Benjamin D. Asilo	22.116	38.817			
	Amado S. Bagatsing	4.358				
	Ma. Theresa Bonoan-David	1.478				

LGU	Legislator	Amount (in M P)	Procurement (in M P)
	Manuel M. Lapid	5.000	
	Monica Louise Prieto-Teodoro	0.081	
	Not specified	5.784	
Barangays of Manila	Amado S. Bagatsing	9.846	11.535
	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	1.689	
Quezon City	Juan Ponce Enrile	1.000	2.000
	Aquilino Q. Pimentel, Jr.	1.000	
Barangays of Quezon	Edcel C. Lagman	44.992	70.620
City	Juan Ponce Enrile	0.598	
	Francisco N. Pangilinan	11.099	
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	1.636	
	Vincent P. Crisologo	0.612	
	Mary Ann L. Susano	0.999	
	Matias V. Defensor, Jr.	10.000	
	Not specified	0.684	
Las Piñas	Cynthia A. Villar	84.325	150.507
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	66.182	
Davao Oriental	Thelma Z. Almario	21.326	112.538
	Prospero C. Nograles	90.224	
	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	0.988	
Panabo City	Antonio F. Lagdameo, Jr.	1.990	1.990
Compostela Valley	Prospero C. Nograles	14.158	14.158
Total			1,289.348

Review of documents disclosed that these procurements were not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184. Disbursements were not supported with any or a combination of the following documents:

- Print out copies of posting of advertisement, Notice of Award, Notice to Proceed and Contract in the PhilGEPS;
- Print out copy of advertisement posted in the agency website;
- Certification by the Head of BAC Secretariat as to posting of advertisement at conspicuous places; and
- Proof of publication in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold.

In addition, these transactions were not properly documented as discussed below:

- Medical missions, feeding programs and similar activities have no proof to support the conduct of such activities. In most cases, there were no lists of beneficiaries or recipients. In cases where there were lists, the complete addresses of the beneficiaries were not indicated, hence, the difficulty of validation;
- Meetings/events/trainings and similar activities were not supported with notices/invitations/plans/Office Orders/list of participants/agenda/ minutes of meetings, among others;
- Equipment rental and pakyaw contracts were not supported with any specific projects to be undertaken, POW, scope of work, plans and specifications, detailed cost estimates, and proof of undertakings such as accomplishment reports, specific equipment rented, among others;
- Repairs of vehicles were not supported with list of specific equipment or vehicles repaired; and
- Sports activities were not supported with Office Order/sports program, venue and dates of sports activities, and participants, among others.

The use of government funds without proper documentation is in violation of Section 4(6) of P.D. No. 1445, which provides, among others, that claims against government funds shall be supported with complete documentation.

In cases where documents are submitted, a number of documents are of questionable validity, thus:

• Twenty-eight suppliers of the City Government of Mandaluyong denied 167 transactions amounting to **P28.744 Million**.

Tabl	Table 62. Suppliers of Mandaluyong City that Denied Transactions				
Supplier/ Contractor	Legislator	No. of Trans.	Charge	Total	Nature
CONTRACTOR			(M P)		
AGMP Trading	Florencio G. Noel	20	1.817	7.347	Pest control solutions,
	Neptali M. Gonzales II		4.893		medicines, medical supplies
	Prospero C. Nograles		0.214		supplies
	Not specified		0.423		
Aysee Catering	Neptali M. Gonzales II	9	0.372	0.862	Food
	Not specified		0.490		
Bonus	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4	0.307	0.420	Office supplies
Merchandising	Not specified		0.113		
Charmalene	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	8	0.248	1.404	Construction & repair
Marketing	Neptali M. Gonzales II		1.044		mat'ls, uniforms, linoleum & canvas, T-shirts, textile,
	Not specified		0.112		medical supplies
Copyer Ent Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1		0.225	Office supplies

Table 62. Suppliers of Mandaluyong City that Denied Transactions					
Supplier/ Contractor	Legislator	No. of Trans.	Charge	Total	Nature
Contractor			(IV	₽) 	
De Luxe	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3	0.135	0.334	Office supplies, furniture
Canvas and Upholstery	Not specified		0.199		& fixture repair
Elachem Ind'l Sales	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2		0.413	Cleaning materials
Gameline	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr	2	0.240	0.482	Office supplies, computer
Marketing Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II		0.242		design & layout, indoor photogloss
Grist Chem	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3	0.285	0.527	Cleaning materials &
Corporation	Not specified		0.242		medical supplies
INCA Plastics	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3	0.228	0.453	Office supplies
Phils., Inc.	Not specified		0.225		
Interclean	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4	0.534	0.858	Cleaning, repair &
Marketing & Services	Not specified		0.324		painting materials
JRS Hat Store	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3	0.334	0.484	Caps, sports uniforms
	Not specified		0.150		
Kian Tay	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3	0.223	0.433	Sports uniforms
Trading	Not specified		0.210		
Kok Tay	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5	0.549	0.874	Sports & various materials, T-shirts, garments
Trading Corp	Not specified		0.325		
LMS Industries	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5		1.029	Trophies & medals
Obyped	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4	0.198	0.212	Medical supplies &
Drugstore Co.	Not specified		0.014		medicines
Pangan Coco Lumber	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2		0.464	Coco lumber, repair & maintenance of various Day Care Centers
Rivera Mastercraft, Inc.		2		0.242	Medals & trophies
Weston Grocery		1		0.203	School supplies
Perfectbuilt Trade Corp. (Perfect Resource Trdg)		1		0.320	Meals for food & nutrition assistance
Mr. Roberto	Florencio G. Noel	19	0.310	5.117	Cleaning of drainage &
Reyes ("Pakyaw" contractor)	Neptali M. Gonzales II		1.935		canals, dredging & desilting of
contractory	Prospero C. Nograles		2.761		esteros/waterways
	Not specified		0.111		
A & P Leisure Products Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4	0.461	0.549	Cleaning & repair materials, anti-dengue
FIDUULIS COIP.	Not specified		0.088		chemicals
Addition Lumber	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4		0.706	Construction & repair mat'ls
Carpa Ind'l	Neptali M. Gonzales II	14	0.598	0.858	Construction supplies,

Table 62. Suppliers of Mandaluyong City that Denied Transactions						
Supplier/ Contractor	Legislator	No. of Trans.	Charge (M	Total ₽)	Nature	
Corp.	Not specified		0.260		repair materials	
New Jereno Hard-ware &	Neptali M. Gonzales II	6	0.737	0.918	Auto & const'n supplies, electrical & repair	
Electrical Supply	Not specified		0.181		materials	
Polyfoam-RGC	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3	0.124	0.503	Foams	
Int'l. Corp.	Not specified		0.379			
Quest Paint Trdg Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	8		1.653	Electrical, painting & repair materials, const'n supplies	
PC Options Comm'l	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	24	0.177	0.854	Office equipment &	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II		0.410		supplies, IT supplies	
	Not specified		0.267			
TOTAL		167		28.744		

• Transactions worth **₽388.338 Million** were considered questionable as the purported suppliers were not legally and/or physically existing. They are either not issued business permits to operate, or cannot be located, or of questionable capability to meet the requirements of the projects, or issued questionable receipts/receipts not compliant with BIR regulations.

Table 63. LGUs with Questionable Transactions			
IA	No. of Suppliers	Amount (in M P)	
Mandaluyong City	151	263.676	
Tabaco City	4	9.331	
Iriga City	4	33.082	
Tarlac	2	11.321	
Nueva Ecija	1	0.512	
Taguig City	8	15.623	
Brgy. Calzada, Tipas, Taguig City	1	0.400	
Manila	1	5.000	
Barangays of Manila	11	1.480	
Barangays of Quezon City	2	3.834	
Las Piñas City	17	32.844	
Davao Oriental	6	11.235	
Total	208	388.338	

• Seventeen suppliers of six LGUs did not fully report their transactions to the concerned LGUs.

Table 64. LGUs with Suppliers Who are Not Fully Reporting their Transactions			
IA No. of Suppliers Amount (in M			
Nueva Ecija	3	19.776	
Tarlac City	3	34.320	
TaguigCity	4	27.913	
Brgy. Maharlika , Taguig City	2	1.200	
Las Piñas City	2	50.065	
Compostela Valley	3	12.098	
Total	17	145.372	

- Information gathered from the ITD (Information Technology Department)-Commission on Elections, Central Office disclosed that out of 25,401 listed beneficiaries of the City of Mandaluyong, only 5,180 are registered voters. The identities then of 20,221 recipients cannot even be established.
- The reported multiple recipients of monetary assistance from the City Government of Mandaluyong were also reported by the other IAs as their beneficiaries. The beneficiaries were, however, also using different signatures which are also considered questionable.
- A number of transactions of the City Government of Mandaluyong in substantial amounts were paid using cash advances in further violation of existing regulations, manifested as follows:
 - Cash advances amounting to #378.0 Million were granted to 20 Special Disbursing Officers (SDOs)/Special Collecting Officers (SCO), without specific purpose in violation of the provisions of COA Circular No. 97-002.
 - Amounts of cash advances granted to 16 SDOs/SCOs either exceeded their maximum bond coverage or not covered by any bond at all. These SDOs with bond coverage ranging from only ₽75,000 to ₽3.50 Million were granted cash advances ranging from ₽1.50 Million to ₽10.00 Million.
 - Cash advances were used to settle obligations exceeding the prescribed limit under COA Circular No. 97-002 of ₱15,000 per transaction. In 470 sampled cases, payments ranged from ₱15,300 to as high as ₱4.490 Million which may already be considered highly improbable.
- Procurements worth **₽12.502 Million** cannot also be presented or accounted for despite repeated requests by the Team to present the same.

Table 65. Items Procured by LGUs that Cannot Be Presented or Accounted			
IA Items Amt (M I			
Taguig City	Furnitures and equipment	8.969	
Manila	Medical equipment and daycare center learning materials	2.784	
Barangays of Quezon City	Office equipment and furnitures	0.749	
Total		12.502	

The validity of the purported transactions was evaluated in relation to the results of confirmation from the following parties:

Table 66. Sectors Requested to Confirm their Transactions with the Covered LGUs			
Sectors	Nature of Confirmation		
Suppliers	Delivery of goods/issuance of receipts/invoices and receipt of payments		
LGUs	Issuance of permits and licenses, and information on the declared gross receipts/ revenues		
Printers	Printing of the receipts issued by the suppliers		
Legislators	Authenticity of signatures on the documents submitted by the NGOs		
Beneficiaries	Receipts of assistance		

2. Significant releases to LGUs were used for purposes no longer within the menu prescribed in the GAA. Moreover, financial assistance for various purposes granted to various individuals and associations were released without establishing the need for assistance. Expenses also included those pertaining to the operations of the LGUs and other government offices including the Offices of the Congressional Districts.

Funds amounting to **P2.016** Billion were released by the DBM to LGUs covered in the Audit as mere financial assistance without indicating the specific projects to be implemented. In the absence of any specific purpose indicated in the SARO, the funds were treated by the concerned LGUs as additional releases and used for various purposes such as grants of financial assistance to various individuals and associations for activities such as micro-financing, anniversaries and the like, mostly endorsed by the legislators. These were also used for regular operating expenses of the LGUs or other government agencies including the Offices of Congressional Districts. Most of these expenses were no longer within the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF as prescribed in the GAA.

The financial assistance for various purposes were released to associations and individuals even without establishing the need for assistance, as follows:

- Financial assistance were released to various individuals and associations without any documentary support to assess the actual needs and requirements, and eligibility under the program;
- Medical assistance were provided to out-patient beneficiaries without DSWD case study or evaluation as to indigency, medical records or abstract, hospital bills or doctor's prescription;
- Burial assistance were granted without death certificates; and
- Educational assistance were released without school records and scholarship contracts, and livelihood assistance without project profile.

Disbursements by the LGUs for financial assistance amounted to P197.921Million, while charges for operating expenses of LGUs and other Government Agencies including procurement for their operations amounted to P1.196Billion, presented as follows:

Table 67. Disbursement for Financial Assistance and Operating Expenses of LGUs and Other Government Agencies (in M P)						
LGU	Financial		Operating Expenses			
	Assistance	LGU	Other Government Agencies	Total		
Mandaluyong City	88.879	155.807	239.734	395.541		
Nueva Ecija	0.500	2.350	20.897	23.247		
Tarlac	0.700	29.410	48.825	78.235		
Tabaco City	29.645	121.206	8.513	129.719		
Iriga City	41.236	33.375	11.183	44.558		
Bataan	6.768	0.595	0.015	0.610		
Taguig City	-	51.617	103.257	154.874		
Barangays of Taguig City	-	1.050	1.648	2.698		
Manila	-	22.197	16.620	38.817		
Barangays of Manila	-	-	11.535	11.535		
Barangays of Quezon City	-	-	70.620	70.620		
Las Pinas City	7.630	89.033	37.929	126.962		
Davao Oriental	12.745	100.686	1.764	102.450		
Panabo City	8.675	1.120	1.023	2.143		
Compostela Valley	1.143	6.696	7.462	14.158		
Total	197.921	615.142	581.025	1,196.167		

On the other hand, expenses for the operations of the Congressional District Offices amounted to **P46.307Million**, as tabulated below:

Table 68. Expenses for Congressional District Offices				
LGU	Legislator	Charges	Total Expenses (in M P)	
Mandaluyong	Neptali M. Gonzales II	17.740	18.855	
	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	1.115		
Taguig City	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.		9.248	
Las Pinas	Cynthia A. Villar		14.031	
Davao Oriental	Thelma Z. Almario		4.173	
Total			46.307	

In addition, there were also disbursements amounting to P250.377 Million with the corresponding DVs not submitted to the Team during the Audit in violation of Section 107 of P.D. No. 1445:

Table 69. LGUs with unsubmitted DVs		
ΙΑ	Amt (in M P)	
Tabaco City	134.315	
Iriga City	30.584	
Nueva Ecija	4.934	
Manila	9.391	
Barangays of Manila	11.535	
Quezon City	0.500	
Barangays of Quezon City	19.823	
Taguig City	17.355	
Las Piñas	0.097	
Davao Oriental	21.843	
Total	250.377	

There were also transactions which were supported with documents bearing purported signatures of legislators. Confirmation on the authenticity of signatures of four legislators disclosed the following results:

Table 70. Results of Confirmation from Concerned Legislators		
Legislator	IA	Remarks
Felix R. Alfelor Benjamin D. Asilo	Iriga City Manila	Did not reply to the Team's request to confirm their signatures.
Edcel C. Lagman	Tabaco City	Did not reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures. He is signatory to 66 letter-requests to charge various expenses to his PDAF allocations addressed to Honorable Cielo Krisel Lagman-Luistro, City Mayor, Tabaco City
Thelma Z. Almario	Davao Oriental	Confirmed authenticity of her signatures in all documents consisting of 66 DVs with the legislator herself as Payee and 100 DVs representing various expenses. She is signatory to

Table 70. Results of Confirmation from Concerned Legislators		
Legislator	IA	Remarks
		confirmation report, purchase requisition, canvass sheets, abstract of quotations, requisition and issue slips, acceptance and inspection report, and project designs, among others.

The transactions of the LGUs, nature of deficiencies including results of confirmation per LGU are integrally attached as Annex M while the Comments submitted by Management and the Team's Rejoinder are integrally attached as Annex N.

Part IV

Recommendations

RECOMMENDATIONS

Considering the audit findings in the implementation of PDAF projects, the team recommended the following courses of action:

For Legislators to -

- Limit participation in the implementation of PDAF project to identification of eligible projects and IAs;
- Ensure that the identified IA has the mandate, technical and administrative capability and willingness to implement the project;
- Ensure that the identified project is within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF as defined in the GAA;
- Require the identified IAs to submit progress and financial reports on the implementation of project;
- Ensure that the funds are used for projects that are most beneficial to the constituents.
- For Congressmen, limit the project coverage within their congressional districts; and
- For Partylist Representatives, to limit the project coverage within their respective Sector.

For the DBM to -

- Maintain an accurate record and accounts of each legislator to ensure that releases for priority projects of each legislator are properly controlled and monitored and would not exceed their respective allocations;
- Determine if the request for allocation from a legislator is properly within the GAA menu; if not, to advice the legislator of ineligibility of the project and meantime hold the issuance of SARO;
- Release SAROs only for projects eligible for funding under PDAF and only upon concurrence and submission of written commitment by the selected IAs and submission of certification of their technical and administrative capabilities to implement the projects;
- Clearly state in the SARO the specific projects to be implemented by the IAs to avoid the use of funds for other purposes due to misinterpretation, and the prohibition to transfer the funds to an NGO where there is no law or appropriation authorizing the same;

- Blacklist all NGOs found submitting questionable documents, not documenting fund utilization or liquidating funds transferred to them within the prescribed period, or found not capable to implement or was not able to effectively implement projects, and take actions appropriate under the circumstances;
- Consider issuing more specific guidelines on the utilization of PDAF indicating, among others, the specific projects earmarked for the implementation by the NGOs, the limitations on the number of projects that can be simultaneously implemented by each NGO to avoid exposing substantial government funds to risk, and the specific pro-poor program of the government that should be addressed under PDAF;
- Conduct periodic assessment of the projects included in the menu to determine the need to continue their inclusion in the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF; and
- Require the concerned LGUs to remit to the National Treasury the unused PDAF releases.

For the IAs to:

A. For the livelihood projects

- Accept SAROs and the corresponding NCAs only for projects within its mandate, technical and administrative capabilities to manage, implement and monitor, and use the same only for projects eligible for funding under PDAF as prescribed in the GAA and as determined to be necessary and beneficial or useful to the intended recipients;
- Stop the practice of transfering funds to NGOs unless a specific amout is earmarked by appropriation law or ordinance, for the implementation by NGOs. For projects earmarked for the implementation by NGOs, select the most qualified NGO, taking into consideration the selection process under existing rules and regulations.
- Diligently assess the NGOs' legal and physical existence, incorporators and officers, capability to implement the project, past performance, and the feasibility, relevance, and completeness of the project proposal before entering into contract, and closely monitor project implementation;
- Require NGOs to comply with the procurement law and other applicable laws and regulations as the funds transferred are public funds;
- Blacklist NGOs and their incorporators and officials that have either submitted spurious receipts, or failed to effectively implement the projects, fully document disbursement and liquidate funds transferred to them on

time, in addition to any action appropriate to be taken under the circumstances;

- Record in the books of the agency, only liquidation documents submitted by the NGOs that have been fully evaluated and assessed as valid, authentic, relevant and complete;
- Demand for the immediate liquidation of all unliquidated fund transfers and submission of additional documents to support previously submitted liquidation reports to provide the IA basis for assessing the validity of project implementation. In all cases, liquidation documents should include proof of payments to suppliers and contractors such as ORs/SIs/DRs, and receipt by beneficiaries such as list of beneficiaries duly acknowledged with complete name, address, and quantity and items received;
- Require the refund from the NGOs of funds transferred that were not liquidated, liquidated but not fully documented or with deficiencies or found not implemented at all;
- Assess the impact of the projects so far implemented in order to determine the need to continue the implementation of the same or similar projects, or devise means to improve the implementation process, or venture into other projects within the menu of projects eligible for funding under the GAA that will be more beneficial to the constituents;
- For each project, establish appropriate selection criteria of beneficiaries to ensure that the most qualified and committed beneficiaries would be undergoing training or be recipient of livelihood items in order not to waste the government's meager resources and to attain the project objectives;
- Return expired SAROs and unused funds;
- Submit status report on the implementation of the PDAF project to the concerned legislator;
- Comply with the provisions of COA Circular No. 2007-001, GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007 and all other existing laws, rules and regulations at all times; and
- Immedicately investigate and determine the accountable officers and employees responsible in the inefficient management and implementation of PDAF projects and file appropriate charges for gross derelection of duty, among others.

B. For infrastructure projects

• Require the concerned contractors to rectify deficiencies and/or refund excessive payments and take appropriate actions against officials and employees responsible in allowing payments in excess of the project accomplishments and/or preparation of excessive estimates and construction of projects in private properties. Ensure that projects are constructed as planned and that only validated accomplishments are paid; and

• Stop the practice of constructing structures in private properties unless the properties where the projects are to be constructed are donated or turned over to the government.

In addition to the above recommendations, for the DPWH as IA to -

- Consider issuing clarifications and guidelines on the treatment of Unit Cost of Item 302 and RPS to avoid double application of indirect cost as confusion, and standardize approach in the preparation of estimates;
- Consider issuing guidelines to clarify miscellaneous items that can be included in the program of works for uniformity of approach. Require the DEOs to account for all health and lighting equipment in their custody for use in subsequent projects;
- Require close coordination between and among DPWH Regional and District Offices and the concerned LGUs to synchronize construction activities and avoid duplication of work and replacement of newly completed projects;
- Restudy the appropriateness of installing RPS in asphalt paved roads considering the lifespan of asphalt road which is relatively shorter than the RPS and its character of being easily stolen and dislodged from asphalt paved roads;
- Revisit existing Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways and assess Standards for revision, taking into consideration existing condition, and take appropriate action; and
- Properly evaluate the requests of the end-users for the construction of infrastructure projects to avoid construction of projects not actually needed and secure end-users commitment to maintain and upkeep the projects before starting construction. Meantime, provide maintenance allocation for the constructed projects to avoid further deterioration and find means to put them to use.

C. For FA and other charges

• Define and identify specific pro-poor program of the government to be addressed under PDAF and prepare project proposal/plan before implementing the same. The plan should clearly indicate the objectives of the project, the intended beneficiary, selection criteria, expected results, the

estimated expenditures, documentary requirements to establish eligibility of recipients under the program, and implementation strategies, among others;

- Refrain from releasing assistance, either in kind or in cash without evaluating the completeness of the documentary requirements or using fund for purposes not related to any of the identified pro-poor programs being supported by the government;
- Ensure that all procurement comply with the provisions of the IRR-A of R.A. 9184 and all related laws and regulations particularly those requiring proper documentation of disbursements and validation of legitimacy of suppliers;
- Remit to the National Treasury any unutilized balance of PDAF released during CYs 2007 to 2009 as the validity of the covering SAROs had already expired.
- Take appropriate actions against officials responsible for transactions considered questionable and/or releasing financial assistance for purposes no longer covered by the program;
- Account for all missing items and take appropriate actions against responsible officials for failing to properly account, safeguard and protect the same, and for procuring items not actually needed by the recipients and without any written request from the end-users;
- Devise means and strategies to put to use all unused items including redistribution of the same as may be deemed proper; and
- Refrain from granting cash advances to SDOs not bonded and/or in excess of the approved bond and/or for purposes not to be covered by cash advance and ensure that the same is strictly utilized for the purpose intended and only for payments of expenses within the amounts prescribed under existing rules and regulations.

Part V

Annexes

Annex A

List of NGOs to which PDAF were Transferred Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007 to 2009

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projects (in M ₽)		Ref.
NGO	IA	Legislator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
Aaron Foundation Philipppines,	NABCOR	Jose Carlos V. Lacson	9.700	4	48.500	205
Inc. (AFPI)		Prospero C. Nograles	9.700			
		Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	9.700			
		Eduardo R. Gullas	19.400			
	TRC	Prospero C. Nograles	50.400	34	476.410	
		Ernesto C. Pablo	20.280*			
		Nelson L. Dayanghirang	5.400			
		Arrel R. Olaño	7.200			
		Carlos M. Padilla	6.300			
		Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr.	4.500			
		Ramon H. Durano VI	7.200			
		Faysah M. Dumarpa	5.400			
		Philip A. Pichay	162.000			
		Benhur L. Salimbangon	7.020			
		Bernardo F. Piñol, Jr.	4.500			
		Marina P. Clarete	5.400			
		Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr.	5.400			
		Guillermo P. Cua	5.400			
		Danton Q. Bueser	9.800*			
		Jurdin Jesus M. Romualdo	9.800*			
		Gregorio T. Ipong	14.500*			
		Jose C. De Venecia, Jr.	19.200*			
		Aurelio M. Umali	24.200*			
		Eduardo K. Veloso	24.200*			
		Marcelino C. Libanan	13.440*			
		Prospero A. Pichay, Jr.	40.370*			
		Rolex T. Suplico	24.500*			
			Sub-total	38	524.910	
Agri & Economic Program for	NLDC	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	82.450	4	121.250	223
armers Foundation, Inc.		Juan Ponce Enrile	24.250			
AEPFFI)		Gregorio B. Honasan II	14.550			
	TRC	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	24.000*	1	24.000	
			Sub-total	5	145.250	
Agricultura Para sa Magbubukid	NLDC	Juan Ponce Enrile	24.250	3	82.450	220
Foundation, Inc. (APMFI)	-	Ramon B. Revilla Jr.	58.200	-		
	TRC	Juan Ponce Enrile	22.500	1	22.500	
			Sub-total	4	104.950	
Aksyon Makamasa Foundation,	TRC	Anthony C. Miranda	20.060*	2	20.060	270

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projec	cts (in M P)	Ref.
NGO	<u>''</u>	Legisiator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
Inc. (AMFI)						
Antipolo Philanthropy	TRC	Generoso DC. Tulagan	4.800*	4	28.620	251
Foundation, Inc. (APFI)		Reynaldo B. Aquino	14.020*			
		Victor R. Sumulong	9.800*			
Antipolo Social Alliance for	NLDC	Marcelino R. Teodoro	7.508	4	16.238	213
Progress, Inc. (ASAP)		Del R. De Guzman	4.850			
		Adelina R. Zaldarriaga	1.940			
		Jaime C. Lopez	1.940			
	TRC	Zenaida B. Angping	3.600	8	29.100	
		Magtanggol T. Gunigundo I	1.350			
		Del R. De Guzman	9.300*			
		Marcelino R. Teodoro	6.750			
		Ronaldo B. Zamora	4.500			
		Jaime C. Lopez	3.600			
			Sub-total	12	45.338	
Asia World Sanctuary and	NLDC	Arturo B. Robes	11.931	3	11.931	227
Development, Inc. (AWSDI)	TRC		13.500	5	36.900	
		Robert Ace S. Barbers	23.400*			
			Sub-total	8	48.831	
Bantayog Kalinga Foundation, nc. (BKFI)	TRC	Narciso R. Bravo, Jr.	2.700	3	15.100	235
		Carlo Oliver D. Diasnes	11.200*			
		Florencio G. Noel	1.200*			
Buhay Mo Mahal Ko	NABCOR	Rodolfo W. Antonino	14.550	3	31.525	177
Foundation, Inc. (BMMKFI)		Roberto C. Cajes	9.700			
		Joseph A. Santiago	7.275			
	TRC	Hussin U. Amin	4.900*	6	52.430	
		Rodante D. Marcoleta	5.880*			
		Miles M. Roces	13.132*			
		Antonio V. Cuenco	4.900*			
		Ernie D. Clarete	9.800*			
		Danilo P. Lagbas	13.818*			
			Sub-total	9	83.955	
	NABCOR	Mariano U. Piamonte	4.850	2	8.730	197
Foundation, Inc. (BTLFI)		Erico Basilio A. Fabian	3.880			
	DA-RFU	Rodante D. Marcoleta	8.500	3	10.500	
	III	Aurelio D. Gonzales, Jr.	2.000			
			Sub-total	5	19.230	
Center for Mindoro Integrated	NABCOR	Florencio T. Miraflores	4.850	2	9.700	266
Development Foundation, Inc. (CMIDFI).		Marc Lleandro Mendoza	4.850			
Central District Fire and Rescue Volunteer Brigade (CDFRVB)	Q.C.	Gregorio B. Honasan II	5.000*	1	5.000	271
Commoners Foundation, Inc.	NLDC	Manuel S. Agyao	8.439	5	21.146	225
(CFI)		Magtanggol T. Gunigundo I	12.707			

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projec	cts (in M 🗎)	Ref.	
NGO	IA	Legisiator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C	
Community Resource Center	DSWD-	Alvin S. Sandoval	30.000*	3	35.500	263	
(CRC)	CO/ NCR	Oscar G. Malapitan	1.500				
		Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	4.000*				
Countrywide Agri and Rural	NLDC	Conrado M. Estrella III	24.250	4	101.850	195	
Economic Development		Juan Ponce Enrile	77.600				
(CARED) Foundation, Inc	TRC	Douglas R. A. Cagas	7.680*	16	144.890		
		Constantino G. Jaraula	28.800*				
		Juan Ponce Enrile	19.200*				
		Arrel R. Olaño	1.890*				
		Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	4.800*				
		Manuel C. Ortega	28.800*				
		Conrado M. Estrella III	12.480*				
		Erwin L. Chiongbian	27.840*				
		Samuel M. Dangwa	10.520*				
		Antonio M. Serapio	2.880*				
			Sub-total	20	246.740		
CPEF Caring Foundation, Inc. (CCFI)	DSWD- NCR	Bienvenido Abante, Jr.	40.500*	14	40.500	248	
Distrito 2 Mahal Ko Foundation, Inc. (D2MKFI)	DSWD- RFO III	Pedro M. Pancho	36.993*	6	36.993	261	
Dr. Rodolfo A. Ignacio, Sr.	NABCOR	Adam Relson L. Jala	9.700	1	9.700	202	
Foundation, Inc. (DRAISFI)	TRC	Manuel M. Lapid	22.000	24	154.922		
		Juan Ponce Enrile	35.55				
		Alvin S. Sandoval	4.500				
		Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr.	4.950				
		Federico S. Sandoval II	20.160				
		Adam Relson L. Jala	3.600				
		Clavel A. Martinez	14.400				
		Francisco T. Matugas	13.500				
		Glenn A. Chong	1.350				
		Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	3.600				
		Ma. Rachel J. Arenas	2.430				
		Mar-Len Abigail S. Binay	8.100				
		Reylina G. Nicolas	7.350				
		Uliran T. Joaquin	3.832				
		Joey D. Hizon	9.600				
			Sub-total	25	164.622		
Dynamic Filipino Citizen Civic	DSWD-	Eduardo C. Zialcita	10.000	14	60.500	230	
Organization, Inc. (DFCCOI)	CO	Generoso DC Tulagan	5.000*				
	F N	Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	14.500*				
		Ma. Theresa Bonoan-David	26.000*				
		Vincent P. Crisologo	5.000*				
	DSWD-	Eduardo C. Zialcita	7.500	8	37.500		

NGO	IA	Logiclator	Amt	Projec	cts (in M P)	Ref.
NGO	IA	Legislator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
	NCR	Ma. Theresa Bonoan-David	9.000*			
		Vincent P. Crisologo	3.500*			
		Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	3.500			
		Ernesto A. Nieva	14.000*			
			Sub-total	22	98.000	
Economic and Social	DSWD-	Proceso J. Alcala	6.500	6	36.025	211
Cooperation for Local	CO	Gregorio T. Ipong	9.400*			
Development Foundation, Inc. (ECOSOC)		Emil L. Ong	4.000*			
(20000)		Lorna C. Silverio	9.000*			
		Paul R. Daza	5.000*			
		Alfonso V. Umali, Jr	2.125*			
Fair Trade Alliance (FTA)	Q.C.	Ramon B. Revilla Jr.	1.000*	1	1.000*	271
Farmerbusiness Development	TRC	Isidro T. Ungab	23.400	46	248.400	232
Corp (FDC)		Arrel R. Olaño	6.750			
		Thelma Z. Almario	5.400			
		Giorgidi B. Aggabao	5.400			
		Arnulfo F. Go	9.900			
		Belma A. Cabilao	13.050			
		Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	36.900			
		Herminia M. Ramiro	5.400			
		Yusop H. Jikiri	9.000			
		Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	9.900			
		Roberto V. Puno	5.400			
		Julio A. Ledesma IV	14.400			
		Adam Relson L. Jala	5.400			
		Antonio F. Lagdameo, Jr.	5.400			
		Antonio V. Cuenco	9.000			
		Erico Basilio A. Fabian	5.400			
		Erwin L. Chiongbian	5.400			
		Jose S. Aquino III	0.900			
		Rommel C. Amatong	5.400			
		Mariano U. Piamonte	5.400			
		Wilfirdo Mark M. Enverga	5.400			
		Orlando B. Fua	12.150			
		Rolando A. Uy	9.900			
		Victor J. Yu	5.400			
		Manuel E. Zamora	5.400			
		Yevgeny Vincente B. Emano	17.550			
		Ann K. Hofer	5.400			
Gabay at Pag-asa ng Masa	NABCOR		9.700	11	72.265	172
Foundations, Inc. (GPMFI)		Isidro T. Ungab	5.820			
		Roberto C. Cajes	8.245			
		Mariano U. Piamonte	4.850			

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projec	cts (in M P)	Ref.	
NGO	IA	Legisiator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C)	
		Rolando A. Uy	4.850				
		Antonio V. Cuenco	23.280				
		Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	7.760				
		Danilo P. Lagbas	7.760				
Gabay sa Magandang Bukas Foundation, Inc. (GMBFI)	NABCOR	-	2.910	1	2.910	172	
Gabaymasa Development	NABCOR	Emil L. Ong	4.850	3	14.550	200	
Foundation, Inc. (GDFI)		Reno G. Lim	4.850				
		Teodulo M. Coquilla	4.850				
	TRC	Eduardo C. Zialcita	7.200	9	82.332		
		Eufrocino M. Codilla, Sr.	23.400*				
		Jurdin Jesus M. Romualdo	9.600*				
		Clavel A. Martinez	19.200*				
		Nerissa Corazon C. Soon-Ruiz	8.820*				
		Uliran T. Joaquin	14.112*				
			Sub-total	12	96.882		
Gintong Pakpak Foundation, Inc. (GinPFI)	DSWD- NCR	Alvin S. Sandoval	32.400*	5	32.400	222	
Global Support Link Foundation, Inc. (GSLFI)	NLDC	Mary Ann L. Susano	0.970	1	0.970	253	
Golden Palmdale Foundation Inc. (GolPFI)	DSWD- NCR	Rodolfo C. Bacani	15.000*	2	15.000	264	
Hand-Made Living Foundation,	DSWD	Oscar G. Malapitan	5.666*	48	13.016	245	
Inc. (HMLFI)		Antonio M. Serapio	7.350				
	NLDC	Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr.	4.850	2	7.760		
		Oscar G. Malapitan	2.910				
	TRC	Isidro T. Ungab	2.250	5	22.930		
		Rodolfo G. Valencia	1.840*				
		Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr.	7.200*				
		Antonio M. Serapio	11.640*				
	Q.C.	Mary Ann L. Susano	2.500*	1	2.500		
			Sub-total	56	46.206		
Ikaw at Ako Foundation, Inc.	NABCOR	Joseph A. Santiago	14.550	2	19.400	172	
(IAFI)		Alipio Cirilo V. Badelles	4.850				
	TRC	Joseph A. Santiago	4.800*	2	13.440		
		Miles M. Roces	8.640*				
			Sub-total	4	32.840		
Immaculate Heart of Mary Parish (IHMP)	Q.C.	Miriam Defensor Santiago	0.300*	1	0.300	277	
Improve Health, Education and Livelihood in the Phils (I-HELP)	NLDC	Erico Basilio A. Fabian	2.910	1	2.910	251	
Infinite Community Integrated Development Support Foundation, Inc. (ICIDSFI)	TRC	Eduardo R. Gullas	9.000	1	9.000	242	
ITO NA Movement Foundation,	NABCOR	Glenn A. Chong	4.365	2	9.749	179	

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projec	cts (in M P)	Ref.
NGO	IA	Legisialui	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
Inc. (ITO NA MI)		Manuel S. Agyao	5.384			
. ,	NLDC	Manuel S. Agyao	6.063	11	84.192	
		Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	4.850			
		Sharee Ann T. Tan	1.455			
		Samuel M. Dangwa	0.970			
		Wilfrido Mark M. Enverga	9.744			
		Emil L. Ong	51.410			
		Daryl Grace J. Abayon	9.700			
	TRC	Magtanggol T. Gunigundo I	3.600	4	30.919	
		Edgar S. San Luis	4.140			
		Jose Emmanuel L. Carlos	9.215*			
		Christian M. Señeres	13.964*			
			Sub-total	17	124.860	
Jacinto Castel Borja Foundation, Inc. (JCBFI)	TRC	Alvin S. Sandoval	18.000	1	18.000	242
Jose Sy Alvarado Foundation, Inc. (JSAFI)	DSWD- RFO III	Victoria Sy-Alvarado	12.900	3	12.900	262
Kaagapay Magpakailan	NABCOR	Alfonso V. Umali, Jr.	4.656	3	13.386	188
Foundation, Inc (KMFI)		Adam Relson L. Jala	3.880			
		Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	4.850			
	TRC	Al Francis C. Bichara	4.500*	9	77.370	
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	3.150			
		Reno G. Lim	27.000*			
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	4.800*			
		Edgardo M. Chatto	8.820*			
		Salacnib F. Beterina	24.300*			
		Joey D. Hizon	4.800*			
			Sub-total	12	90.756	
Kabaka Foundation, Inc. (KFI)	DSWD- NCR	Amado S. Bagatsing	19.800*	10	19.800	254
	NABCOR		1.940	1	1.940	
			Sub-total	11	21.740	
Kabalikat sa Kabuhayan, Inc.	DSWD-	Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr.	2.631*	4	10.131	260
(KKI)	CO	Rene M. Velarde	7.500*			
	DSWD- NCR	Alfonso V. Umali, Jr	1.000*	1	1.000	
			Sub-total	5	11.131	
Kabalikat sa Kalusugan at	TRC	Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr.	10.976*	3	35.276	269
Kaunlaran Foundation, Inc.		Joey D. Hizon	9.600*			
(KKKFI)		Leovigildo B. Banaag	14.700*			
Kabuhayan at Kalusugan Alay	NABCOR		4.656		150.641	163
sa Masa Foundation, Inc.		Edgardo M. Chatto	2.910			
(KKAMFI)	Ν	Niel C. Tupas, Jr.	4.850			
		Prospero C. Nograles	8.730			

Noo			Amt	Projec	cts (in M P)	Ref.	
NGO	IA	Legislator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C)	
		Roberto C. Cajes	18.624				
		Maria Isabelle G. Climaco	12.610				
		Antonio T. Kho	9.700				
		Antonio P. Yapha, Jr.	9.700				
		Marina P. Clarete	24.735				
		Renato J. Unico, Jr.	14.550				
		Rolando A. Uy	2.910				
		Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr.	4.850				
		Franklin P. Bautista	1.940				
		Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	9.700				
		Eduardo V. Roquero	9.700				
		Emilio C. Macias II	6.790				
		Danilo P. Lagbas	3.686				
	TRC	Isidro T. Ungab	18.900*	11	67.820		
		Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr.	0.450*				
		Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	1.350*				
		Renato J. Unico, Jr.	9.600*				
		Eladio M. Jala	8.640*				
		Ernie D. Clarete	4.800*				
		Gerardo J. Espina, Jr.	24.080*				
	NLDC	Niel C. Tupas, Jr	12.610	42	308.218		
		Edgardo M. Chatto	9.700				
		Isidro T. Ungab	19.400				
		Roberto C. Cajes	13.871				
		Maria Isabelle G. Climaco	10.767				
		Arturo B. Robes	1.261				
		Julio A. Ledesma IV	15.520				
		Adam Relson L. Jala	9.506				
		Antonio Lagdameo, Jr.	5.820				
		Antonio V. Cuenco	17.460				
		Daryl Grace J. Abayon	9.700				
		Eufrocino M. Codilla Sr.	23.765				
		Francisco T. Matugas	3.395				
		Franklin P. Bautista	2.910				
		Joseph A. Santiago	27.160				
		Mariano U. Piamonte	14.550				
		Marina P. Clarete	20.855				
		Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	10.913				
		Rodante D. Marcoleta	3.880				
		Rolando A. Uy	14.550				
		Thomas L. Dumpit	43.650				
		Vicente F. Belmonte Jr.	3.395				
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	3.880				

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projec	cts (in M P)	Ref.
NGO	IA	Legisidioi	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
		Danilo P. Lagbas	9.700			
			Sub-total	74	526.679	
Kagandahan ng Kapaligiran	NABCOR	Juan Ponce Enrile	14.550	9	109.062	175
Foundation, Inc. (KKFI)		Carol Jayne B. Lopez	28.130			
		Reno G. Lim	27.582			
		Edgardo J. Angara	19.400			
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	19.400			
Kaisa't Kaagapay Mo	NABCOR	Julio A. Ledesma IV	26.190	5	36.860	159
Foundation, Inc. (KKMFI)		Arturo B. Robes	2.910			
		Al Francis C. Bichara	7.760			
Kalinga sa Kapwa at Kalikasan	TRC	Antonio C. Alvarez	4.800*	3	12.540	267
Foundation, Inc. (KKKFI)		Arthur F. Celeste	2.940*			
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	4.800*			
Kaloocan Assistance Council,	DSWD-	Alvin S. Sandoval	20.000*	8	66.000	239
Inc. (KACI)	CO	Oscar G. Malapitan	8.000*			
		Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	10.000*			
		Luis A. Asistio	15.000			
		Vincent P. Crisologo	8.000*			
		Manuel M. Roxas	5.000			
	DSWD-	Juan Ponce Enrile	10.000*	13	67.600	
	NCR	Oscar G. Malapitan	17.300*			
		Luis A. Asistio	10.000			
		Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	20.300*			
		Vincent P. Crisologo	10.000			
			Sub-total	21	133.600	
Kalusugan ng Bata, Karunungan ng Bayan, Inc. (KBKBI)	DSWD- CO	Edgardo J. Angara	14.000*	1	14.000	252
Kapuso't Kapamilya	NABCOR	Roberto C. Cajes	12.610	3	24.075	170
Foundation, Inc. (KapKFI)		Michael John R. Duavit	4.850			
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	6.615			
	TRC	Edgardo M. Chatto	13.440*	9	83.466	
		Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr.	5.760*			
		Pedro M. Pancho	27.106*			
		Roque R. Ablan, Jr.	9.800*			
		Eladio M. Jala	13.720*			
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	13.64*			
			Sub-total	12	107.541	
Kasangga sa Magandang	NABCOR	Edgardo M. Chatto	6.790	9	56.551	161
Bukas Foundation, Inc. (KMBFI)		Maria Isabelle G. Climaco	3.589			
		Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr.	4.850			
		Marina P. Clarete	11.640			
		Joseph A. Santiago	4.850			

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projects (in M P)		Ref.
NGU	IA	Legislator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
		Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr.	14.550			
		Franklin P. Bautista	0.970			
		Danilo P. Lagbas	9.312			
Life Giver Dev't Foundation, Inc. (LGDFI)	Q.C.	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	1.000*	1	1.000	271
Manila Seedling Bank Foundation, Inc. (MSBFI)	Q.C.	Edgardo J. Angara	1.000	2	1.000	277
Masaganang Ani Para sa	NABCOR	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	50.440	12	195.455	192
Magsasaka Foundation, Inc.		Juan Ponce Enrile	58.200			
(MAMFI)		Rizalina Seachon-Lanete	14.550			
		Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	69.355			
		Rodolfo G. Valencia	2.910			
	TRC	Rodolfo G. Valencia	3.600	9	34.470	
		Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	17.100			
		Amado S. Bagatsing	0.900			
		Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	3.870			
		Conrado M. Estrella III	9.000			
	NLDC	Juan Ponce Enrile	19.400	13	247.108	
		Ramon B. Revilla Jr.	67.900	10		
		Edgar L. Valdez	14.550			
		Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	102.820			
		Erwin L. Chiongbian	27.888			
		Rodolfo G. Plaza	14.550			
			Sub-total	34	477.033	
Masaganang mga Bukirin	NLDC	Erico Basilio A. Fabian	17.945	34 5	33.465	216
Foundation, Inc. (MBFI)	NEDO	Mariano U. Piamonte	7.760	0	00.100	210
		Elias C. Bulut, Jr.	7.760			
	TRC	Philip A. Pichay	18.000	12	130.493	
	into	Prospero C. Nograles	18.000	12	130.473	
		Jose C. De Venecia, Jr.	12.298			
		Mariano U. Piamonte	7.200			
		Elias C. Bulut, Jr.	4.500			
		Samuel M. Dangwa	1.800			
		Laurence B. Wacnang	17.255*			
		Elias C. Bulut, Jr.	14.400*			
			28.400*			
		Ralph G. Recto	8.640*			
		Eduardo V. Roquero		17	1/2.050	
Matias C. Defensor, Sr. Foundation, Inc. (MDSF)	Q.C.	Matias V. Defensor, Jr.	Sub-total 99.500*	17 9	<u>163.958</u> 99.500	272
Molugan Foundation, Inc. (MFI)	TRC	Edgardo J. Angara	9.600*	4	38.400	268
woluyan i ounualion, inc. (WFI)	INC	Herminio G. Teves	9.600	4	30.400	0 268
			9.600			
	4	Antonio P. Yapha	9.000			

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amt	Projec	ts (in M P)	Ref.	
NGO	IA	Legisiator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C	
Nagkakaisang Manggagawa ng Pelikulang Pilipino (NMPP)	Q.C.	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	6.500*	2	6.500	272	
Nueva Ecija 4, Inc. (NE4)	DSWD- RFO III	Rodolfo W. Antonino	33.130	14	33.130	259	
Pamamalakaya Foundation, Inc. (PFI)	DSWD- NCR	Federico Sandoval	20.000	1	20.000	265	
Pangkabuhayan Foundation,	ZREC	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	9.700	9	272.570	154	
Inc. (Pang-FI)		Juan Ponce Enrile	74.690*				
		Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	184.300				
		Rene M. Velarde	3.880*				
	NABCOR	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	20.370*	3	33.708		
		Rene M. Velarde	3.880				
		Ma. Rachel J. Arenas	9.458				
	TRC	Gregorio B. Honasan II	35.700	11	89.850		
		Alvin S. Sandoval	6.900*				
		Carlo Oliver D. Diasnes	8.550				
		Nur G. Jaafar	31.500				
		Liwayway Vinzons-Chato	3.600				
		Reylina G. Nicolas	3.600				
			Sub-total	23	396.128		
Partido District Development Cooperative, Inc. (PDDCI)	TRC	Arnulfo P. Fuentebella	18.600*	3	18.600	237	
People's Organization for	NABCOR	Juan Ponce Enrile	24.250	1 6	24.250	190	
Progress and Development Foundation, Inc. (POPDFI)	TRC	Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	2.700		26.100		
i ounuation, inc. (FOFDLI)		Victor Francisco C. Ortega	2.700				
		Conrado M. Estrella III	4.500				
		Robert Raymund M. Estrella	4.500				
		Samuel M. Dangwa	7.200				
		Erwin L. Chiongbian	4.500				
			Sub-total	7	50.350		
Philippine Agri & Social	TRC	Conrado M. Estrella III	8.680*	2	10.570	268	
Economic Development Foundation, Inc. (PASEDF)		Ernesto A. Nieva	1.890*				
Philippine Environment and	TRC	Francisco T. Matugas	2.700*	8	72.640	243	
Economic Development Association (PEEDA)		Ferdinand Martin G. Romualdez	2.700*				
		Danton Q. Bueser	9.600*				
		Alipio Cirilo V. Badelles	4.800*				
		Leovigildo B. Banaag	3.840*				
		Prospero A. Pichay	29.400*				
		Marcelino C. Libanan	9.800*				
		Prospero S. Amatong	9.800*				
	NLDC	Jaime C. Lopez	2.697*	1	2.697*		
			Sub-total	9	75.337		
Philippine National Red Cross (PNRC)	DSWD	Richard J. Gordon	52.100*	1	52.100	276	

	10	l estates.	Amt	Proje	cts (in M P)	Ref.	
NGO	IA	Legislator	(in M ₽)	No.	Amount	(Annex C)	
Philippine Social Development	TRC	Arrel R. Olaño	6.080*	16	121.610	236	
Foundation, Inc. (PSDFI)		Douglas R.A. Cagas	7.680*				
		Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	31.500				
		Arthur Y. Pingoy, Jr.	18.000*				
		Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	19.200*				
		Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	2.700				
	Rufus B. Rodriguez Isidoro E. Real, Jr.	Rufus B. Rodriguez	3.150				
		4.800*					
		Salacnib F. Baterina	9.600*				
		Edgar L. Valdez	18.900*				
Pusong Makabayan	TRC	Emilio C. Macias II	3.920*	3	20.580	267	
Foundation, Inc. (PMFI)		Jaime C. Lopez	1.960*				
		Jose Emmanuel Bobbit L. Carlos	14.700*				
Quezon City Performing Arts Development Foundation, Inc. (QCPADFI)	Q.C.	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	0.500*	1	0.500	276	
READ Foundation, Inc. (RFI)	DSWD- CO	Edgardo J. Angara	81.550*	7	81.550	255	
Rotary Club of New Manila East (RCNME)	Q.C.	Juan Ponce Enrile	2.000*	1	2.000	271	
Sagip Buhay People's Support Foundation (SBPSF)	NLDC	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	24.250*	1	24.250	226	
Serbisyong Pagmamahal	Q.C.	Nanette Castelo Daza	57.050*	10	57.750	275	
Foundation, Inc. (SPFI)		Edgardo J. Angara	0.500				
		Loren B. Legarda	0.200				
Share A-Joy Found'n Inc. (SJFI)	TRC	Mauricio G. Domogan	4.700*	1	4.700	270	
Social Development Program	ZREC	Edgar L. Valdez	9.700	1	9.700	182	
for Farmers Foundations, Inc. (SDPFFI)	NABCOR	Juan Ponce Enrile	63.050	25	298.314		
(501111)		Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	38.800				
		Marc Douglas C. Cagas IV	4.850				
		Arthur Y. Pingoy, Jr.	2.910				
		Victor Francisco C. Ortega	9.700				
		Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	22.591				
		Edgar L. Valdez	21.340				
		Conrado M. Estrella III	19.400				
		Erwin L. Chiongbian	38.073				
		Robert Raymund M. Estrella	30.555				
		Rodolfo G. Plaza	12.125				
		Samuel M. Dangwa	15.520				
		Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	19.400				
	NLDC	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	58.200	11	182.845		
		Victor Francisco C. Ortega	4.850				
		Rizalina L. Seachon Lanete	29.100				
		Edgar L. Valdez	14.550				

NCO	10	Locialator	Amt	Projects (in M P)		Ref.
NGO	IA	Legislator	(in M P)	No.	Amount	(Annex C
		Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	48.500			
		Robert Raymund M. Estrella	18.915			
		Samuel M. Dangwa	8.730			
	TRC	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	72.000	3	94.500	
		Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	22.500			
			Sub-total	40	585.359	
Socially Ecologically Responsible and Viable Endeavor (SERVE), Inc	TRC	Rodante D. Marcoleta	3.360*	1	3.360	268
St. James the Apostle Multi- Purpose Coop. (SJAMPC)	NABCOR	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	9.700	1	9.700	266
Sulong Bayan Foundation, Inc	NLDC	Jose R. Ping-ay Jr	9.700	1	9.700	257
(SBFI)	TRC	Guillermo P. Cua	19.872*	4	19.872	
			Sub-total	5	29.572	
Sunshine Development Cooperative (SDC)	DA-RFU V	Jose Solis	20.000	1	20.000	199
The Assembly of Gracious Samaritans Foundation, Inc. (AGSFI)	TRC	Edgardo J. Angara	24.960*	1	24.960	269
The Likhaan Group, Inc. (TLGI)	NABCOR	Jeci A. Lapus	4.850	2	9.215	266
		Paul R. Daza	4.365			
Todo Foundation, Inc. (TFI)	DSWD- RFO III	Jesli A. Lapus	10.736	9	10.736	258
Tondo Manila Community Foundation, Inc. (TMCFI)	DSWD- NCR	Jaime C. Lopez	16.500*	4	16.500	250
Unlad Pinoy Organization	DSWD-	Teodoro L. Locsin, Jr.	5.000*	4	14.500	218
(UPO)	CO	Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	6.500			
		Vincent P. Crisologo	3.000			
	DSWD-	Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	1.500	6	20.800	
	NCR	Vincent P. Crisologo	3.500			
		Consuelo A. Dy	5.000			
		Ernesto A. Nieva	10.800			
			Sub-total	10	35.300	
Uswag Pilipinas Foundation,	NABCOR	Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	7.954	5	37.054	209
Inc. (UPFI)		Belma A. Cabilao	9.700			
		Florencio T. Miraflores	4.850			
		Edgar T. Espinosa	9.700			
		Joseph A. Santiago	4.850			
	TRC	Edgar T. Espinosa	14.400*	2	14.400	
			Sub-total	7	51.454	
			Total	772	6,155.751	

Annex B

List of NGOs to which PDAF were Transferred with Unliquidated Balances Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007 to 2009

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amount (I	M P)
NGO	IA	Legislator	Charges	Total
Aaron Foundation Philippines, Inc.	TRC	Danton Q. Bueser	9.800	187.690
(AFPI)		Jurdin Jesus M. Romualdo	9.800	
		Ernesto C. Pablo	7.680	
		Gregorio T. Ipong	14.500	
		Jose C. De Venecia, Jr.	19.200	
		Aurelio M. Umali	24.200	
		Eduardo K. Veloso	24.200	
		Marcelino C. Libanan	13.440	
		Prospero A. Pichay, Jr.	40.370	
		Rolex T. Suplico	24.500	
Agri & Economic Program for Farmers Foundation, Inc. (AEPFFI)	TRC	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	24.000	24.000
Aksyon Makamasa Foundation, Inc. (AMFI)	TRC	Anthony C. Miranda	20.060	20.060
Antipolo Philanthropy Foundation,	TRC	Generoso DC. Tulagan	4.800	28.620
Inc. (APFI)		Reynaldo B. Aquino	14.020	
		Victor R. Sumulong	9.800	
Antipolo Social Alliance for Progress, Inc. (ASAP)	TRC	Del R. De Guzman	4.800	4.800
Asia World Sanctuary and Development, Inc. (AWSDI)		Robert Ace S. Barbers	23.400	23.400
Bantayog Kalinga Foundation, Inc.	TRC	Carlo Oliver D. Diasnes	11.200	12.400
(BKFI)		Florencio G. Noel	1.200	
Buhay Mo Mahal Ko Foundation,	TRC	Hussin U. Amin	4.900	52.430
Inc. (BMMKFI)		Rodante D. Marcoleta	5.880	
		Miles M. Roces	13.132	
		Antonio V. Cuenco	4.900	
		Ernie D. Clarete	9.800	
		Danilo P. Lagbas	13.818	
Central District Fire and Rescue Volunteer Brigade	Q.C.	Gregorio B. Honasan II	5.000	5.000
Community Resource Center (CRC)	DSWD-	Alvin S. Sandoval	21.000	23.800
	CO/NCR	Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	2.800	
Countrywide Agri and Rural	TRC	Douglas R. A. Cagas	7.680	144.890
Economic Development (CARED)		Constantino G. Jaraula	28.800	
Foundation, Inc		Juan Ponce Enrile	19.200	

NGO	IA Legislator		Amount (I	VI P)
NGO	IA	Legisiator	Charges	Total
		Arrel R. Olaño	1.890	
		Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	4.800	
		Manuel C. Ortega	28.800	
		Conrado M. Estrella III	12.480	
		Erwin L. Chiongbian	27.840	
		Samuel M. Dangwa	10.520	
		Antonio M. Serapio	2.880	
CPEF Caring Foundation, Inc. (CCFI)	DSWD- NCR	Bienvenido Abante, Jr.	11.151	11.151
Distrito 2 Mahal Ko Foundation, Inc. (D2MKFI)	DSWD- RFO III	Pedro M. Pancho	1.750	1.750
Dynamic Filipino Citizen Civic	DSWD-CO	Generoso DC Tulagan	3.500	14.250
Organization, Inc. (DFCCOI)		Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	5.250	
		Ma. Theresa Bonoan-David	3.500	
		Vincent P. Crisologo	2.000	
	DSWD-	Ma. Theresa Bonoan-David	6.300	10.750
	NCR	Vincent P. Crisologo	2.450	
		Ernesto A. Nieva	2.000	
			Sub-total	25.000
Economic and Social Cooperation	DSWD-CO	Gregorio T. Ipong	6.580	20.70
for Local Development Foundation,		Emil L. Ong	2.800	
Inc. (ECOSOC)		Lorna C. Silverio	6.300	
		Paul R. Daza	3.500	
		Alfonso V. Umali, Jr	1.525	
Fair Trade Alliance (FTA)	Q.C.	Ramon B. Revilla Jr.	1.000	1.000
Gabaymasa Development	TRC	Jurdin Jesus M. Romualdo	9.600	66.13
Foundation, Inc. (GDFI)		Eufrocino M. Codilla, Sr.	14.400	
		Clavel A. Martinez	19.200	
		Nerissa Corazon C. Soon-Ruiz	8.820	
		Uliran T. Joaquin	14.112	
Gintong Pakpak Foundation, Inc. (GinPFI)	DSWD- NCR	Alvin S. Sandoval	7.550	7.550
Golden Palmdale Foundation Inc. (GoIPFI)	DSWD- NCR	Rodolfo C. Bacani	10.500	10.500
Hand-Made Living Foundation, Inc.	DSWD	Oscar G. Malapitan	2.498	2.498
(HMLFI)	TRC	Rodolfo G. Valencia	1.840	20.680
		Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr.	7.200	
		Antonio M. Serapio	11.640	
	Q.C.	Mary Ann L. Susano	2.500	2.500
			Sub-total	25.678
Ikaw at Ako Foundation, Inc. (IAFI)	TRC	Joseph A. Santiago	4.800	13.440
		Miles M. Roces	8.640	

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amount (I	VI P)	
1000			Charges	Total	
Immaculate Heart of Mary Parish (IHMP)	Q.C.	Miriam Defensor Santiago	0.300	0.300	
ITO NA Movement Foundation, Inc.	TRC	Jose Emmanuel L. Carlos	9.215	23.17	
(ITO NA MI)		Christian M. Señeres	13.964		
Kaagapay Magpakailan Foundation,	TRC	Al Francis C. Bichara	0.500	46.22	
Inc (KMFI)		Reno G. Lim	3.000		
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	4.800		
		Edgardo M. Chatto	8.820		
		Salacnib F. Beterina	24.300		
		Joey D. Hizon	4.800		
Kabaka Foundation, Inc. (KFI)	DSWD- NCR	Amado S. Bagatsing	16.283	16.283	
Kabalikat sa Kabuhayan, Inc. (KKI)	DSWD-CO	Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr.	1.750	7.000	
		Rene M. Velarde	5.250		
	DSWD- NCR	Alfonso V. Umali, Jr	1.000	1.00	
			Sub-total	11.13	
Kabalikat sa Kalusugan at Kaunlaran Foundation, Inc. (KKKFI)	TRC	Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr.	10.976	35.27	
		Joey D. Hizon	9.600		
		Leovigildo B. Banaag	14.700		
Kabuhayan at Kalusugan Alay sa	TRC	Isidro T. Ungab	2.100	49.42	
Masa Foundation, Inc. (KKAMFI)		Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr.	0.050		
		Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	0.150		
		Renato J. Unico, Jr.	9.600		
		Eladio M. Jala	8.640		
		Ernie D. Clarete	4.800		
		Gerardo J. Espina, Jr.	24.080		
Kalinga sa Kapwa at Kalikasan	TRC	Antonio C. Alvarez	4.800	12.54	
Foundation, Inc. (KKKFI)		Arthur F. Celeste	2.940		
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	4.800		
Kaloocan Assistance Council, Inc.	DSWD-CO	Alvin S. Sandoval	14.000	32.20	
(KACI)		Oscar G. Malapitan	5.600		
		Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	7.000		
		Vincent P. Crisologo	5.600		
	DSWD-	Juan Ponce Enrile	7.000	26.60	
	NCR	Oscar G. Malapitan	9.600		
		Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	10.000		
			Sub-total	58.80	
Kalusugan ng Bata, Karunungan ng Bayan, Inc. (KBKBI)	DSWD-CO	Edgardo J. Angara	9.000	9.000	
Kapuso't Kapamilya Foundation, Inc.	TRC	Edgardo M. Chatto	13.440	83.460	

NGO	IA	Legislator	Amount (I	N P)
NGO	IA .	Legisiator	Charges	Total
(KapKFI)		Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr.	5.760	
		Pedro M. Pancho	27.106	
		Roque R. Ablan, Jr.	9.800	
		Eladio M. Jala	13.720	
		Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	13.64	
Life Giver Dev't Foundation, Inc. (LGDFI)	Q.C.	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	1.000	1.000
Masaganang mga Bukirin	TRC	Laurence B. Wacnang	17.255	68.695
Foundation, Inc. (MBFI)		Elias C. Bulut, Jr.	14.400	
		Ralph G. Recto	28.400	
		Eduardo V. Roquero	8.640	
Matias C. Defensor, Sr. Foundation, Inc. (MDSF)	Q.C.	Matias V. Defensor, Jr.	8.181	8.181
Molugan Foundation, Inc. (MFI)	TRC	Edgardo J. Angara	9.600	38.400
		Herminio G. Teves	9.600	
		Antonio P. Yapha	9.600	
		Emilio R. Espinosa, Jr.	9.600	
Nagkakaisang Manggagawa ng Pelikulang Pilipino (NMPP)	Q.C.	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	4.500	4.500
Pangkabuhayan Foundation, Inc.	ZREC	Juan Ponce Enrile	10.900	11.700
(Pang-FI)		Rene M. Velarde	0.800	
	NABCOR	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	1.377	1.37
	TRC	Alvin S. Sandoval	2.400	2.400
			Sub-total	15.47
Partido District Dev't Cooperative, Inc. (PDDCI)	TRC	Arnulfo P. Fuentebella	9.600	9.600
Philippine Agri & Social Economic	TRC	Conrado M. Estrella III	8.680	10.570
Development Foundation, Inc. (PASEDF)		Ernesto A. Nieva	1.890	
Philippine Environment and	TRC	Francisco T. Matugas	0.300	67.840
Economic Development Association		Ferdinand Martin G. Romualdez	0.300	
(PEEDA)		Danton Q. Bueser	9.600	
		Alipio Cirilo V. Badelles	4.800	
		Leovigildo B. Banaag	3.840	
		Prospero A. Pichay	29.400	
		Marcelino C. Libanan	9.800	
		Prospero S. Amatong	9.800	
	NLDC	Jaime C. Lopez	2.697	2.69
			Sub-total	70.53
Philippine National Red Cross (PNRC)	DSWD-CO	Richard J. Gordon	21.300	21.300
Philippine Social Development	TRC	Arrel R. Olaño	3.830	73.910
Foundation, Inc. (PSDFI)		Arthur Y. Pingoy, Jr.	14.400	

NGO	IA Legislator		Amount (M P)		
NGO			Charges	Total	
		Douglas R.A. Cagas	7.680		
		Edgar L. Valdez	14.400		
		Isidoro E. Real, Jr.	4.800		
		Salacnib F. Baterina	9.600		
		Rizalina L. Seachon-Lanete	19.200		
Pusong Makabayan Foundation, Inc.	TRC	Emilio C. Macias II	3.920	20.580	
(PMFI)		Jaime C. Lopez	1.960		
		Jose Emmanuel Bobbit L. Carlos	14.700		
Quezon City Performing Arts Development Foundation, Inc. (QCPADFI)	Q.C.	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	0.500	0.500	
READ Foundation, Inc. (RFI)	DSWD-CO	Edgardo J. Angara	48.585	48.585	
Rotary Club of New Manila East (RCNME)	Q.C.	Juan Ponce Enrile	1.000	1.000	
Serbisyong Pagmamahal Foundation, Inc. (SPFI)	Q.C.	Nanette Castelo Daza	5.000	5.000	
Share A-Joy Foundation, Inc. (SJFI)	TRC	Mauricio G. Domogan	4.700	4.700	
Socially Ecologically Responsible and Viable Endeavor (SERVE), Inc	TRC	Rodante D. Marcoleta	3.360	3.360	
Sulong Bayan Foundation, Inc (SBFI)	TRC	Guillermo P. Cua	19.872	19.872	
The Assembly of Gracious Samaritans Foundation, Inc. (AGSFI)	TRC	Edgardo J. Angara	24.960	24.960	
Tondo Manila Community Foundation, Inc. (TMCFI)	DSWD- NCR	Jaime C. Lopez	12.000	12.000	
Unlad Pinoy Organization (UPO)	DSWD-CO	Teodoro L. Locsin, Jr.	1.500	1.500	
Uswag Pilipinas Foundation, Inc. (UPFI)	TRC	Edgar T. Espinosa	14.400	14.400	
			Total	1,531.107	

Annex C

Matrix of NGOs and the Amounts Released to Them by the IAs Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007 to 2009

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M ₽)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				Pangkabuhayan Foundation, Inc. (Pang-FI)
ZREC	9	272.570	4	This NGO was registered with SEC and has permit to operate for CYs 2007-2009.
NABCOR	3	33.708	3	It was reported to have operated at the following addresses:
TRC	10	87.450	6	• 11242 Oroquieta St., Sta. Cruz,
Unliquidate	ed in Fu			Manila (per incorporation documents)
TRC	1	2.400	1	uocuments)
Total	23	396.128		This is a dilapidated apartment. Interview with the neighbor disclosed that Ms. Petronila Balma- ceda, Pang-Fl's President, used to occupy the place.
				31 Ignacio Ave., North Susana Executive Village, Old Balara, Quezon City (per transaction document with ZREC)
				 This is another residential unit without any NGO signage. Based on the guard's house records and according to the helper of the unit, the unit is owned and occupied by a certain Orquinaza family. The President of the Homeowner's Association, who happened to have the same surname with that of Pang-Fl's project coordinator, however, confirmed the existence of Pang-Fl within this subdivision. 1050 D & E Bldg., Mezzanine Floor, Cor. Chino Roces, Quezon Avenue, Quezon City (per NGO's letterhead)
				This unit is also being occupied by another NGO at the time of inspection. As certified by the building administrator, Pang-FI terminated its contract for this unit effective December 30, 2009.
				This NGO did not also reply to the Team's request to confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents to establish the validity of the transactions and liquidate unliquidated fund transfers.
				ZREC
				These projects costing P272.570 Million covered:
				 Procurement of farm implements and seeds/seedlings from six suppliers; Conduct of trainings and provision of training requirements by 42 suppliers; and Distribution of financial assistance.
				Included in the total releases of ₽272.570 Million are two projects with unliquidated balance of ₽11.700 Million .
				The items procured, except for 20 units vermicomposting facility costing P30.0 Million, were distributed to 2,651 individual participants and 20 barangays. The

IA		ojects	No. Legis-	- Remarks						
	No.	Amt (M P)	lators		Remarke	,				
							DID	lecuod A	ATPs	
				Establishments	5		No.		Date	
				LR Services						
				B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery (per OR	submitted to	ZREC)	077-3184-07	/0/		
				NDJJ Office and School Supplies			3AU000058	5185	01/02/08	
				Topline Car Rental		340000030	5105	01102/00		
				• Five business establishments were issuing receipts w thereon:		ceipts with	hout A	TP print		
				Supplier		Ado	Iress	An	nount	
				Philfood Rest. & Catering Services					1,200,00	
				Bacolod Function Center	В	acolod C	ity		45,00	
				Speed Car Rental & Services			-		570,00	
				Iloilo Workshop Center		oilo City			5,00	
				Pasil Elem. School Canteen	In	ndanan, S	Sulu		80,00	
				Four establishments without an	y indicated	propriet	or were us	ing the s	same TII	
				Supplier				TIN		
				Bagunu Restaurant & Catering			107.0	58-230-0	00	
				Mejorada Restaurant			137-8	00-230-0	00	
				Villa Verde Agri Farm Equipment			458-6	58-954-0	00	
				Blue Crystal Seminar Center			-50-0			
				Two establishments were u overlapping series of numbers:		ent AT		0	same	
						No	BIR issued	d ATP	same eries	
				overlapping series of numbers:	3AI 9AI	Nc U000452 U0000974	BIR issued b. 138 4249	d ATP S	eries 1 - 500	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering	3AI 9AI 059	Nc U000452 U0000974 9-B-740-0	BIR issued b. 138 4249 14	d ATP S 00	eries 1 - 500 1-1250	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum	3AU 9AU 059 077	Nc U000452 U0000974 2-B-740-C 2-B-740-C 7-3184-07	BIR issued 138 4249 44 707 28-2002, 6	d ATP 00 00 100 each est	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented:	A Contract of the invoice so support	Nc U000452 U000097- 3-B-740-C 7-3184-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-318-07 7-319-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-70-07 7-	BIR issuer b. 138 4249 44 107 28-2002, e t/invoice in t. The use ng series co ndication o clusion tha	d ATP S 00 00 100 each est ndicatino e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the ran ore, of o by differe arity. ojects m	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the : ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als	a Order (RM opermit to print the invoice same or own the invoice so support vidual bene ns allegedly o were pos	No U000452 <u>J000097</u> - <u>3-B-740-0</u> <u>7-3184-07</u> AO) No. Int receip erlappir e, is an i the con eficiaries y distribu	BIR issuer 138 4249 44 107 28-2002, e ot/invoice in t. The use ig series co ndication o clusion that is and 20 re- uted.	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicatinç e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the rangore, of ou- by difference arity. ojects m baranga	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the atPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: None of the 193 selected indir confirmed the receipt of the item Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who	a All 3Al 9Al 055 077 077 077 0 Order (RM permit to pri 1 the invoice same or ow 1 the invoice so support vidual bene ns allegedly o were pos eceiving the	No U000452 <u>J000097</u> - <u>3-B-740-0</u> <u>7-3184-07</u> AO) No. Int receip erlappir e, is an i the con eficiaries y distribu	BIR issuer b. 138 4249 44 107 28-2002, e ot/invoice in t. The use g series co ndication o clusion tha clusion tha and 20 re ted.	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicatinç e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the ran ore, of o by differe arity. ojects m baranga composti	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the state of serial numbers to be printed or ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: • None of the 193 selected indition confirmed the receipt of the item • Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who facilities, categorically denied references Mayor	a All 3Al 9Al 055 077 077 077 0 Order (RM permit to pri 1 the invoice same or ow 1 the invoice so support vidual bene ns allegedly o were pos eceiving the	No U000452 <u>U000097</u> - <u>3-B-740-0</u> 7-3184-07 MO) No. Int receip erlappir erlappir e, is an i the con eficiaries y distribu ssible re e item:	BIR issuer b. 138 4249 44 107 28-2002, e ot/invoice in t. The use ig series co ndication o clusion tha clusion tha clusion tha s and 20 re ted. b other y	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicatin(e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient f vermic	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the ran ore, of o by differe arity. ojects m baranga composti	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the atPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: None of the 193 selected indic confirmed the receipt of the item Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who facilities, categorically denied receipt	a All 3Al 9Al 059 077 077 077 077 077 077 077 07	Nc U000452 U000097. P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-G-740	BIR issuer D. 138 4249 44 707 28-2002, ¢ ob/invoice ir t. The use ig series cr ndication o clusion that is and 20 re ited. cipients o y Ca	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicatinç e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient f vermic Add	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the ran ore, of o by differe arity. ojects m baranga composti	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the s ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: None of the 193 selected indic confirmed the receipt of the item Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who facilities, categorically denied reference Mayor Alex A. Jajalla	A Order (RM 9AI 059 077 0 Order (RM permit to print in the invoice same or ow in the invoice same or ow in the invoice so support vidual bene ins allegedly o were pos ecciving the Mu Mahinog	Nc U000452 U000097. P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-B-740-07 P-G-740	BIR issuer b. 138 4249 44 707 28-2002, ¢ t/invoice ir t. The use ng series cr ndication o clusion that is and 20 re tecipients o y Ca Ca	d ATP S 00 00 100 each est ndicating e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient f vermic f vermic Add	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme y the ran- ore, of oi oy differe arity. ojects m baranga composti ress	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the s ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: None of the 193 selected indic confirmed the receipt of the item Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who facilities, categorically denied reference Mayor Alex A. Jajalla Nestor A. Jacot Eduardo Eddie Guillen Alfredo Valdez	a Order (RM oscillation of the invoice same or over the invoice so support vidual benefins allegedly o were post eceiving the Mahinog Catarman Piddig San Nicoli	Nc U000452 U000097. -B-740-C 7-3184-07 AO) No. Int receip verlappir e, is an i the con eficiaries y distribu ssible re item: unicipalit	BIR issuer b. 138 4249 44 107 28-2002, e ot/invoice in t. The use g series cr ndication o clusion that a and 20 re ited. b clusion that can 20 re ted. b can 20 re ted. can 20 re ted. ted	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicating a, therefo overed I overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient f vermic Add imiguin cos Norte cos Norte	eries 1 - 500 1 - 6000 ablishme g the rangore, of or oy differe arity. ojects m baranga composti	
				Supplier Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the s ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: None of the 193 selected indic confirmed the receipt of the item Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who facilities, categorically denied reference Mayor Alex A. Jajalla Nestor A. Jacot Eduardo Eddie Guillen Alfredo Valdez Bonifacio C. Clemente Jr.	a Order (RM OSE OTT Order (RM Dermit to print to	Nc U000452 U000097. -B-740-C 7-3184-07 AO) No. Int receip verlappir e, is an i the con eficiaries y distribu ssible re item: unicipalit	BIR issuer 0. 138 138 4249 44 07 28-2002, € 007 28-2002, € 01/invoice ir ot/invoice ir it. The use ig series cr ndication o clusion that 6 and 20 re 100 ccipients o 0 y 0 Ca 100 Iloc 100	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicatinç e, therefo overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient f vermic miguin cos Norte cos Norte cos Norte	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the ran ore, of o oy differe arity. ojects m baranga composti ress	
				overlapping series of numbers: Supplier Bagunu Restaurant & Catering B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery Under BIR Revenue Memorandum shall be issued one and separate p of serial numbers to be printed or ATP by different suppliers, or the s ATPs, or non-printing of the ATP in Confirmation from beneficiaries als not have been implemented: None of the 193 selected indic confirmed the receipt of the item Fifteen Municipal Mayors, who facilities, categorically denied reference Mayor Alex A. Jajalla Nestor A. Jacot Eduardo Eddie Guillen Alfredo Valdez	a Order (RM oscillation of the invoice same or over the invoice so support vidual benefins allegedly o were post eceiving the Mahinog Catarman Piddig San Nicoli	Nc U000452 U000097. -B-740-C 7-3184-07 AO) No. Int receip verlappir e, is an i the con eficiaries y distribu ssible re item: unicipalit	BIR issued D. 138 4249 44 107 28-2002, ¢ ob/invoice ir t. The use ig series co ndication o clusion that is and 20 re clusion that is and 20 re clusion that is clusion that is and 20 re clusion that is and clusion that is and clusion that is an c	d ATP 00 00 100 each est ndicating a, therefo overed I overed I of irregul at the pr ecipient f vermic Add imiguin cos Norte cos Norte	eries 1 - 500 1-1250 1 - 6000 ablishme g the ran- ore, of or by differe arity. ojects m baranga composti ress	

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remarks	
				Ν	Mayor	Municipality	Address
				Samier A. Tan		Maimbung	Sulu
				Amihamja K. Ta		Pangutaran	Sulu
				Al-Razhmie A. Nurmina C. Buł		Kalingalan Caluang Pata	Sulu
				Saripuddin D. J		Indanan	Sulu Sulu
				Allayon M. Arbi		Luuk	Sulu
				Hadji Ahmad R		Omar	Sulu
				given addresse • Thirty-one co beneficiaries p constituents. Confirmation by t	es, or have given in ncerned LGU o purportedly from the he Team from the	frisufficient addresses. fficials informed the heir respective territor e sponsoring legislator	n, or unlocated at their Team that the listed ies are not among their rs on the validity of their ed the following results:
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M ₽)	Legislators	Results	of Confirmation
				09-02426 9.70	00 Ramon B. Revilla 90 Juan Ponce Enrile		icity of signatures in all d by the NGO.
				09-01022 19.4(09-04895 38.8(09-04964 48.5(08-03116 29.1(08-07563 48.5(00 Jinggoy Ejercito 00 Estrada 00 00 00 00 Rene M. Velarde	Did not reply to confirmation.	the team's request for
				NABCOR			
				Ent., and seed	of farm implemen lings from B.B. Ve	ergara Plant Nursery; a	
				These projects we	ere reportedly par		dual recipients. The total
				beneficiaries und around P1.377 Mi			et to be determined as
				on the validity of	f these transactio aving transacted v	ons. As discussed abo with this NGO while the	transactions casts doubt ove, B.B. Vergara Plant e existence of nine other
				addresses;		Ū	r have given insufficient cerned LGUs during CYs
				2007 to 2009; • They were am			INs as earlier discussed;
							y these suppliers cannot I, none, so far, replied, of

	Pro	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks				
				which, 3 cannot even be located at their given addresses or have given insufficient or non-existent addresses.				
				The existence of purported beneficiaries of these projects cannot also b established as discussed below:				
				 Out of 89 selected beneficiaries being confirmed, 81 cannot be located at their given addresses; and Six of the 55 barangay captains, who were requested to confirm the existence and residency of the reported recipients, denied the existence of 43 purported constituents. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their 				
				signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:				
				SARO Amt Legislators Results of Confirmation (ROCS) (M P)				
				07-09258 20.370 Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada Did not reply to the team's request for 08-04452 3.880 Rene M. Velarde confirmation. 08-08864 9.458 Ma. Rachel J. Arenas Total 33.708 Image: State Sta				
				TRC				
				 The projects covered: Various trainings with training requirements provided by 36 suppliers/individuals and 4 facilitators/resource persons; and Grant of financial assistance to 543 individuals in amounts ranging from P20,000 to P200,000. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable, as discussed 				
				 below: The existence of the suppliers cannot be established; Payment to 22 suppliers amounting to P22.112 Million was not supported with receipts/invoices but mere Acknowledgment Receipts without any indicated address; 				
				• Eleven other suppliers with transactions amounting to P7.050 Million are unknown at their given addresses while the other supplier did not reply to the Team. They have also no permits to operate and issued questionable receipts. The receipts, except for one, either did not indicate ATP, Proprietor and TIN or indicated ATP numbers being used by eight other suppliers.				
				• Three printers of the receipts issued by these suppliers cannot be located in the given address or have given insufficient or fictitious addresses.				
				The existence of beneficiaries cannot also be established as discussed below:				
				• These projects were either not supported with list of beneficiaries or, otherwise, supported with list of beneficiaries without complete address. Thus, the Team requested assistance from the concerned Municipal Mayor and Election Officers.				
				 None of the Municipal Mayors confirmed the residency of the listed beneficiaries with 15 Municipal Mayors categorically denying the existence of 				

	Pro	ojects	No					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Ren	narks	
					listed re	ecipients, only 42	m their respective M are registered vote	lunicipalities. ers in their respective
				SARO (ROCS)		Area	No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voters
				07-07067	Bulacan San Miguel, Bulacan Marilao, Bulacan Tawi-Tawi Camarines Norte		50 44	0 1
				08-00757 08-00852 08-01152			78 94 30	9 25 2
				07-07987	Tota		82 378	5 42
				the President documentary d however, rema Confirmation b	of Pang- eficiencie ined una y the Te	FI, to submit the es on previously s cted upon as of a am from the spo	e liquidation docum submitted liquidation udit date. nsoring legislators	y 30, 2012, requested ents along with other report. Such request, on the validity of their the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislators	Results of	f Confirmation
				D-07-07067 07-09267 D-08-03248 08-00248	22.500	Gregorio B. Honasan II Alvin S. Sandoval		nticity of signatures in all itted by the NGO.
				(unliquidated) 08-00160 08-00852 D-07-10763 07-07481		Carlo Oliver D. Dia: Nur G. Jaafar	snes Did not reply to confirmation.	the team's request for
				08-01152 07-07987	0.900 2.700	Liwayway Vinzons- Chato	is still in the proc	nentation of project but ess of retrieving & going nts for comparison
				08-00757 Total	3.600 89.850	Reylina G. Nicolas		sion of time within which ot submit comment
			Ka	isa't Kaagapay I	Mo Foun	dation, Inc. (KKM	MFI)	
NABCOR	5	36.860	3		wnhouse	t was issued bus and registered wit Unit 4 Princeville Inspection conduc January 31, 201 residential building	siness permits durin h SEC. Townhouse, Laurel cted by the Team at 1 disclosed that th g without NGO sign	ng CYs 2007 to 2009 St., Mandaluyong City the given address on is unit is a high-end age. Interview with the ne existence of KKMFI
							Team's request to c nal documents requ	confirm its transactions ested by the Team.
				The projects co	overed p	rocurement of live	elihood technology l	kits from C.C. Barredo

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remarks				
				Publishing House and see barangays.	edling from S	& A Plant	Nurse	ery for distribution to 58	
				Documents disclosed that reasons:	these transac	ctions are	questi	onable for the following	
				 While both suppliers confirmed their transactions with this NGO, S & A Plan Nursery has no permit to operate from the Municipal Government of Roxas Oriental Mindoro. On the other hand, C.C. Barredo Publishing House did no report these transactions to the City Government of Quezon. Its transactions with this NGO alone amounted to P33.478 Million while in its application to the LGU for the renewal of permit, it reported sales of only P1.100 Million during CYs 2007 to 2009. 					
					 The receipts issued by C.C. Barredo to this NGO were no longer within it purported authorized series of numbers to be printed and not in chronologic order. 				
					 The four ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR to C.C. Barredo cover overlapping series or higher series ahead of the lower series, which is w unlikely: 				
				Series	ATPS	6		Date	
				5051-5300	54-14949	93-95		Undated	
				5120-5620	45-14949			Undated	
				5501-5750	3-0374	-95		02/20/95	
				1001-1250	S-0574	196		02/23/96	
				ATP No. S-0574-96 is different series of number Suppliers					
				G-ROY Enterprises		0001-025	0	Undated	
				CC Barredo Publishing He	nuse	1001-0125		02/23/96	
				Ikaw at Ako Foundation, I		03051-033		02/23/96	
				None of the 58 recipient b distributed with 26 categ Chairmen further claimed the period of distribution. disclosed that four other all the period of distribution.	orically denyin that they were Validation fro leged recipient	g receipt no longer om the DIL ts were not	of the the ir G Lis t the ir	e items. Five Barangay noumbent officials during st of Punong Barangays noumbent officials during	
				Barangay Capt	ain	Barang		Address	
				Serafin Natial		Calatrava		Negros Occidental	
				Joselito M. Mirande William Saratobias		Calatrava	h	Negros Occidental	
							JU		
				Benigno Malaay Washington Escalante City		Escalante City			
				Benigno Malaay			n	Escalante City	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante		Washingto 1 Poblacio	n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ.	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce		Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal	n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ.	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III		Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso	n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce		Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal	n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ.	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III Violeta C. Yu		Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso Brgy. II	n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental San Carlos City, Neg. Occ.	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III Violeta C. Yu Estrell Rizaga Gerardo D. Sarmiento Eladio Ramos Jr.		Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso Brgy. II Quezon	n n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul.	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III Violeta C. Yu Estrell Rizaga Gerardo D. Sarmiento Eladio Ramos Jr. Zosimo Lorenzo		Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso Brgy. II Quezon Muzon Sto. Cristo Kaypian	n n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul.	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III Violeta C. Yu Estrell Rizaga Gerardo D. Sarmiento Eladio Ramos Jr. Zosimo Lorenzo Cherry A. Mayor (in behalf of 1	Wilfredo Mayor)	Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso Brgy. II Quezon Muzon Sto. Cristo Kaypian Tagas	n n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul. Daraga, Albay	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III Violeta C. Yu Estrell Rizaga Gerardo D. Sarmiento Eladio Ramos Jr. Zosimo Lorenzo Cherry A. Mayor (in behalf of 1 Renato Valladolid	Wilfredo Mayor)	Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso Brgy. II Quezon Muzon Sto. Cristo Kaypian Tagas Tayasan	n n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul. Daraga, Albay Legaspi City, Albay	
				Benigno Malaay Renato P. Bustamante Gregorio Broce Albino de la Cruz III Violeta C. Yu Estrell Rizaga Gerardo D. Sarmiento Eladio Ramos Jr. Zosimo Lorenzo Cherry A. Mayor (in behalf of 1	Wilfredo Mayor)	Washingto 1 Poblacio Rizal Toboso Brgy. II Quezon Muzon Sto. Cristo Kaypian Tagas	n n	Escalante City San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. Negros Occidental San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Carlos City, Neg. Occ. San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul. San Jose del Monte, Bul. Daraga, Albay	

	Pro	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Rem	arks	
					Barangay	/ Captain	Barangay	Address
				Lanie Ram		•	Agpangi	Calatrava, Negros Occ.
				Parado, Me			Poblacion	Rapu-rapu, Albay
						alf of Cha, Ibara)	Sagpon	Daraga, Albay
				Vibar, Ceza Nerbes, Ro			Ilawod Libod	Camalig, Albay Camalig, Albay
				Arienda, Ju			Bigaa	Legaspi City
				Yap, Lily			Alimango	Escalante, Negros Occ.
				Pingcas, M	amerto		Rizal	Escalante, Negros Occ.
				Baynosa, E			Salamanca	Toboso, Negros Occidental
				signatures	on by the on the doc	uments submitted to	the Team yield	Escalante, Negros Occ. ors on the validity of their led the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (in M ₽)	Legislators	Resul	ts of Confirmation
				08-07953		Julio A. Ledesma IV	Did not commen	t on the documents requested
				08-07954	9.700		for confirmation.	
				09-04785	9.700		declared that the documents subm electronically a Documents inclu Proposal (PP), Acceptance (CA)	
				08-07053	2.910	Arturo B. Robes	from PNP Crime assistance from	the team but received letter Lab that Cong. Robes sought the latter to verify authenticity on all documents.
				08-08532	7.760	Al Francis C. Bichara	Neither confirme	d nor denied his signatures in ubmitted by the NGO.
				Total	36.860			
			Kasango	ga sa Magan	idang Buk	as Foundation, Inc.	. (KMBFI)	
NABCOR	9	56.551	8					
				This NGO with SEC.	was issue	·	Ū	07 to 2009 and registered
						911 Algeciras St., S	·	
				Coordinate	Difference of the second secon	January 6, 2011 apartment. The pre aware of the exi disclosed though	disclosed that esent occupant stence of KME that Ms. Myra	n at the given address on the unit is a two-door claimed that she was not BFI within the unit. She in Villanueva, the Project iously rented the unit.
						onfirm its transacti ts despite request b		COR and did not submit
				Publishing from P.I. I	House, se	edling from B.B. Ve ucts for distribution	rgara Plant Nur	gy kits from C.C. Barredo sery and farm implements ilities, 74 barangays, and
				Document: reasons:	s disclosed	I that these transac	ctions are ques	tionable for the following
				• B.B. V	ergara Pl	ant Nursery and	P.I. Farm Pro	ducts, with transactions

	Pr	ojects	No.	Demode							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			A	Remarks	;			
				NGO, is The P.	ssuing th I. Farm	e receipts/invoices	s and re observed	ceiving the I that this	sacted business with this corresponding payments. NGO has the apparent ordinators;		
				amount submitt the rec	ing to ₽ ed were ipients c	4.850 Million, th questionable as c	e same liscusse dly distr	is questio d earlier (K	ansactions with this NGO nable as the documents KMFI). Likewise, none of C.C. Barredo Publishing		
				 Of the 120 reported recipient municipalities and barangays, and 300 individual beneficiaries of seedlings and farm implements, only two barangays confirmed to have received the items. Considering, however, that the suppliers of these items denied these transactions, the items confirmed received may have come from other sources. On the other hand, 16 municipalities, 55 individuals and 2 barangays categorically denied receiving the items allegedly distributed: 							
				Mayor Municipality Address							
					alloguing		Pugo		La Union		
					Carbonell		Sto. Ton	nas	La Union		
				Jose Ab			Burgos		La Union		
				Dante S.			Tubao		La Union		
					Crispino Id Tumbaç	12	Caba Bagulin		La Union La Union		
				Reynald		Ju	Naguillia	n	La Union		
					C. Flores		Rosario		La Union		
				Jose Jim	nmy S. Sag	garino	Sulop		Davao del Sur		
					Cabanero		Sta. Mar		Davao del Sur		
					Caminero I		Kiblawar	1	Davao del Sur		
					Ugaban ,		Aringay		La Union		
					Y. Eriquel	n De Guzman	Bauang		La Union La Union		
					leuterio M	lr	Agoo Baroy		Lanao del N.		
					as, Joselit		Bacolod		Lanao del N.		
					Ramon D.		Cabatan	gan	Zambo. City		
					do, Salvac	lor P.	La Paz	5	Zambo. City		
				confirm Confirmatio	ed. on by the	e Team from the s	cific add	ng legislato	es under SARO ipients, hence, cannot be ors on the validity of their led the following results:		
				SARO Amt Legislators Result of Confirmation (ROCS) (M P)				sult of Confirmation			
				(ROCS)	(M ₽)	-					
				(ROCS) 08-00453	(M ₽) 6.790	Edgardo M. Chatto		beneficiaries the letter in NGO to imp	wing signed the lists of s but confirmed signature in terposing no objection for this lement his priority project.		
				(ROCS)	(M ₽) 6.790	-		beneficiarie: the letter in NGO to imp Not in the p signatures confirmation remember	wing signed the lists of s but confirmed signature in terposing no objection for this lement his priority project. position to confirm or deny the on the documents sent for n, as it is unrealistic to all documents signed due to		
				(ROCS) 08-00453 08-03025 08-04336	(M ₽) 6.790 1.940 1.649	Edgardo M. Chatto Maria Isabelle G. Cl	imaco	beneficiarie: the letter in NGO to imp Not in the p signatures confirmation remember voluminous	wing signed the lists of s but confirmed signature in terposing no objection for this lement his priority project. vosition to confirm or deny the on the documents sent for n, as it is unrealistic to all documents signed due to papers that pass her Office.		
				(ROCS) 08-00453 08-03025 08-04336 08-04188	(M ₽) 6.790 1.940 1.649 4.850	Edgardo M. Chatto Maria Isabelle G. Cl Vicente F. Belmonte	imaco	beneficiarie: the letter in NGO to imp Not in the p signatures confirmatior remember voluminous Did not repl	wing signed the lists of s but confirmed signature in terposing no objection for this lement his priority project. oosition to confirm or deny the on the documents sent for n, as it is unrealistic to all documents signed due to papers that pass her Office. y to the team's request for		
				(ROCS) 08-00453 08-03025 08-04336	(M ₽) 6.790 1.940 1.649 4.850 11.640	Edgardo M. Chatto Maria Isabelle G. Cl	imaco 9, Jr.	beneficiarie: the letter in NGO to imp Not in the p signatures confirmation remember voluminous	wing signed the lists of s but confirmed signature in terposing no objection for this lement his priority project. oosition to confirm or deny the on the documents sent for n, as it is unrealistic to all documents signed due to papers that pass her Office. y to the team's request for		
				(ROCS) 08-00453 08-03025 08-04336 08-04188 08-04170	(M₽) 6.790 1.940 1.649 4.850 11.640 4.850 14.550	Edgardo M. Chatto Maria Isabelle G. Cl Vicente F. Belmonte Marina P. Clarete	imaco ə, Jr.	beneficiarie: the letter in NGO to imp Not in the p signatures confirmation remember i voluminous Did not repl confirmation	wing signed the lists of s but confirmed signature in terposing no objection for this lement his priority project. oosition to confirm or deny the on the documents sent for n, as it is unrealistic to all documents signed due to papers that pass her Office. y to the team's request for		

	Projects IA Amt									
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Rema	rks			
				SARO	Amt (M ₽)	Legislators	Result of Confirmation			
				(ROCS) 08-00649	· · ·	Danilo P. Lagbas	Deceased.			
				Total	56.551					
		Kat	ouhayan	at Kalusugai	n Alay sa	Masa Foundation, Inc	c. (KKAMFI)			
NABCOR	21	150.641	17							
TRC	4	20.700	3				vas issued business permits during CYs ed at the following addresses:			
NLDC	42	308.218	24							
Unliquidate	d in full					reet, Brgy. Bungad, C nted in the OR)	Quezon			
TRC	7	47.120	4	-			TPA. 90 9			
Total	74	526.679				his address on Janua that there was no suc				
					artment	consists of only 2 unit				
						s Villas Valle Verde 2 (C	216)			
				guard of he is not does not d contact eam was ad and is aff of the Fillas from December 2008 to November exenter Bldg., E. Rodriguez, Jr. Ave., C5,						
				During place s who pr	ince Nov esented	on, the Team was info ember 2010. There we to the Team the incorp	prmed that the NGO is occupying this ere three staff, at the time of inspections, ioration documents, permits and sets of			
					s. There ents on s		ree office tables, and cabinets for the			
				This NGO was also using different ATPs with overlapping series of number purportedly authorized by the BIR to be printed. It did not also reply in writing the the Team's request to confirm the validity of these transactions and did no submit additional documents requested by the Team.						
				NABCOR						
				 Fruit-be Nursery Farm in P. I. Fa Liveliho from C. 	earing so r; nplement rm Produ od techr C. Barrec	ology kits consisting o to Publishing House.	Vergara Plant ter pumps) from			

ΙΔ	Pr	ojects	No.	Remarks			
	No.	(M P)	lators	i komurka			
IA		Amt	Legis-	 Remarks B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery and P.I. Farm Products, with transactions amounting to P50.440 millon denied transacting business with this NGO, issuing the receipts/invoices and receiving the corresponding payments. The P.I. Farm Products even observed that the NGO has the apparent intention to imitate the signature of one of his sales coordinators. C.C. Barredo Publishing House confirmed its transactions amounting to P100.208 Million. These transactions were, however, considered questionable for reasons discussed earlier (KKMFI). This supplier was issuing receipts which were no longer within the series purportedly authorized to be printed, and using ATPs with overlapping series of numbers and being used by two other suppliers. The items procured were distributed as follow: Seedlings and farm implements were reportedly distributed to 34 municipalities, 23 barangays, and 1,454 individuals; and LTKs supplied by C.C. Barredo Publishing House were reportedly distributed to 39 cities and municipalities, 59 barangays and 13,351 individual beneficiaries. Of the total individual beneficiaries, 4,435 beneficiaries from Misamis Occidental, Bohol and Davao del Sur have no specific addresses. Confirmation from the recipients and evaluation of records further casts doubt on the validity of the transactions, as discussed below: Sixty-three recipients of seedlings and farm implements; Nine other recipients of seedlings and farm implements, claimed that they received in full or in part the items gurportedly distributed. Considering, however, that the suppliers of these items denied the transactions, such items received may have been procured from other sources; Three-hundred-seventy-eight recipients are either unknown at their given addresses or have already passed away. Thirty-four of them were attested by the Barangay Officials as non-residents of their respective barangays; Twenty-two Election Officers (EOs) informed the T			
				 Forty beneficiaries of both seedlings and LTKs declared different addresses and used different signatures; and Four-hundred-twenty-one beneficiaries of LTKs purportedly received multiple sets of as many as 4 sets. Most of them also declared different addresses and 			
				used different signatures in the list submitted to the Team. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of the signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results			
				SARO Amt Legislators Result of Confirmation			
				(ROCS) (M P) Legislators Result of Commutation 08-04094 4.656 Al Francis C. Bichara Claimed that there was no PDAF intended for this project and the stationary used is different from the one officially used by his office. He also denied having signed the lists of project beneficiaries.			

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Ren	narks
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislators	Result of Confirmation
				08-03696	4.850	Niel C. Tupas, Jr.	Confirmed the authenticity of his signatures in the endorsement letter and authorization for NABCOR to deduct Administrative cost but denied having signed the list of beneficiaries.
				08-09463		Prospero C.	Not included in the request for confirmation.
				09-04795	7.760	Nograles	Denied having authorized any representative to sign official documents on his behalf and that only letter to DA Secretary requesting transfer of fund to NABCOR conform to the strictly followed document processing and management systems in his former office.
				08-04339	12.804	Roberto C. Cajes	Noted that his signatures in two lists of
				09-05253	5.820	,, ,	beneficiaries bears unfamiliar strokes, thus, need to verify with the original documents. Also acknowledged that his office identified project beneficiaries of one project but did not comment on his signature on other documents.
				08-04428		Maria Isabelle G. Climaco	Refused to answer as the documents forwarded to her are only photocopies and improbable to remember all documents considering tons of documents that routinely pass her office.
				07-07703		Edgardo M. Chatto	Did not reply to the team's request for
				09-04601		Antonio T. Kho	confirmation.
				07-00453 08-00596		Antonio P. Yapha, Jr. Marina P. Clarete	•
				07-07894	4.365	Marina F. Clarete	
				09-04240	7.760		
				07-02965		Renato J. Unico, Jr.	
				09-04220 09-05307		Rolando A. Uy Vicente F. Belmonte,	
				08-07536	1.940	Jr. Franklin P. Bautista	
				07-00451		Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	
				07-00458	9.700	Eduardo V. Roquero	Deceased.
				07-00723	6.790	Emilio C. Macias II	
				07-07492	3.686	Danilo P. Lagbas	
				Total	150.641		
				TRC			
				technology purportedly amount, P2. to this NGO the amount of liquidation such requess While C.C. these transa The series	kits from distribute 300 Mill by TRC of ₽47.1 n document t remaine Barredo actions w of receij	C.C. Barredo Pub ed to 466 individuals ion remained unliqu in 2007 for the imp 20 Million which rer ents was requested ed unacted upon as Publishing House of ere considered que: pts issued by this	Million covered procurement of livelihood blishing House. The items procured were is from the 6th District of Cebu. Of the total blidated. This is on top of funds transferred lementation of seven livelihood projects in nained unliquidated in full. The submission under our letter dated May 30, 2012 but of audit date. confirmed its transactions with this NGO, stionable for reasons stated under KKMFI. supplier are no longer within the series printed, among others.
						documents further questionable for the	disclosed that the existence of the following reasons:

	Pr	ojects	No.									
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Rema	rks					
						ents were from the jurisdiction of anoth	North and South Districts of Cebu City er legislator;					
				 None of the sampled 229 recipients confirmed receipt of the purportedly distributed kits with 12 categorically denying receipt of the items; 								
				One-hundred-sixty-nine other recipeints were either unknown at their given addresses, deceased or have reportedly moved out; and								
				beneficiari	 Two Mayors denied residency of 111 beneficiaries while only 86 out of 236 beneficiaries were confirmed by 13 EOs as registered voters of their respective districts. The identities of all others cannot even be established. 							
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:								
				SARO Amt Legislators Results of Confirmation								
				08-04657 08-00606	13.500 5.400	Isidro T. Ungab	Confirmed his signature in the endorsement letters to TRC but denied having signed all other documents such as the MOA, WFP, Proposed Distribution, Certificate of Completion, Monitoring Report and the Distribution List.					
				08-04848 07-07816	1.350	Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr. Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.					
				Unliquidated in 07-02016 07-02017 07-00662 07-00500 07-00474 07-03047 D-07-00035	4.800 4.800 8.640 2.880 1.920	Renato J. Unico, Jr. Eladio M. Jala Ernie D. Clarete Gerardo J. Espina, Jr.	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.					
				Total	67.820							
				NLDC The projects of	covered:							
				number of	trainors, o	coupled with grant o	by 64 suppliers/ establishments and a financial assistance; and is from C.C. Barredo Publishing House.					
				Evaluation of the following:	document	ts disclosed that the	se transactions are questionable due to					
				 While C.C. Barredo Publishing House confirmed its transactions with this NGO, it did not report such transactions to the City Government of Quezon in its application for renewal of business permit. It reported total sales of only P1.1 Million for the period CYs 2007 to 2009 when its transactions with NLDC alone already amounted to P182.070 Million. This supplier also issued receipts, bearing the same numbers, to NABCOR and NLDC. 								
				• Of the amount liquidated, P200,000.00 was not supported with receipts;								
						ed transacting busin ding payment:	ess with this NGO, issuing receipts and					
					Restaura J Advertis	int ing Company, Inc.						

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				 G.A. Motors Enterprises Music Avenue Band Thirty-two other suppliers are unknown at their given addresses and/or have been certified by the Barangay Captain to be non-existent, or have given non-existent addresses. Most of these establishments have also no business permits to operate issued by their respective LGUs: Caloy's Arts and Prints Cherry's Eatery and Catering Delgado Research Mdsg. Estoloca Electronic Snop Jalandon's Electronic Conter Kandero Transport Lights and Sounds, Ltd. List Eatery Mandaue Service Rental Marqueda's General Store and Merchandising Monina's Catering One Zone Video and TV Rentals Ormoc Building Management, Inc. Pooh's Eatery a Catering Ronguillo Gen. Merchandising Agoo Transit Corporation Aling Nena's Restaurant Balago Catering Services Basak Transport Services Basak Transport Store Reing Catering Bervices Basak Transport Store Reing Catering Services Basak Transport Store Reing Catering Pervices Basak Transport Services Basak Transport Services Basak Transport Service Arabes Transport Service Reing Catering Pervices Basak Transport Service Reing Catering Restaurant Nina's Restaurant & Catering Chua Store & Merchandizing Triple A Transport Service Geroy Roue Enterprises George Roque Enterprises George R

	Pro	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remarks		
				confirm		ng the establishments they ned Barangay Captain to b		
				 Dais Mad Jenni Terr 	y Awangan sy Tibugan Ionna Bibat nifer Talatayon y Duldulco er Marcalina	 Marisol Luma Danica Santo Lyka Tolentin Maricris Berna Chiqui de Guz Pancho Legas 	s o al zman	
				 Four of establish 		no indicated addresses, he	ence, existence cannot be	
				these tran projects in Team fron denied rea	sactions. The Te pplemented by the beneficiaries, 8	orted recipients further cast am sent 7,694 CLs to rep KKAMFI. Of the 629 replic Barangay Captains and 51 with 33 others opted not is.	orted beneficiaries of 30 es, so far, received by the 8 individuals categorically	
					Mayor	Municipality	Address	
				Susan Enri		Kinsag-an	Cebu City	
				Benjamin Z Henreo Lal		Sawang Calero Sapangdaku	_	
				Romeo Oc		Pasil	-	
				Antonio Ca	ruzca	Pahina San Nicolas		
				Elmer Abel Eugenio G		Duljo Fatima Cogon Pardo	_	
				Rustica Asi		Buhisan	-	
				receipt of located or or decease The Team	livelihood kits. O unknown at their ed, or have moved also conducted	eneficiaries and a Baranga n the other hand, 2,964 o given addresses or address out from the given address. interviews on reported ben Results of the validation are a	her recipients cannot be es given were insufficient, reficiaries of four projects	
				SARO No.		REMARKS		
				SARO No. REMARKS ROCS- Under this SARO, there were 479 reported beneficiaries of wh confirmed by 8 barangay officials as residents of their respec the 129, only 75 were interviewed by the Team. Interviews beneficiaries of Brgy. Lubogan did not receive any of the kits wh the other four barangays confirmed receipt of only one or tw reportedly distributed. The Team was also informed that each the conducted only for four hours and not three days.				
				ROCS- 08-08974	Under this SARO, confirmed by 39 Ba Of the 358, 80 wer recipients, 70 denie other hand, the 10 received complete denied receipt of th	there were 472 reported benefic rangays Captains to be resident e covered in the interview cond ed receipt of the kits and attenue) that confirmed attendance in sets of kits, 7 received incomple e kit. Likewise, while the training opic, the attendees claimed that	to their respective barangays. Lucted by the Team. Of the 80 dance in the trainings. On the trainings claimed that only 2 te sets while the other 1 even g was claimed to be conducted	
				ROCS- 09-02459	residents by the	d beneficiaries in 8 of the 10 bar concerned Barangay Captain Team, all of whom denied receip	. Of the 34, only 15 were	

IA	Pro	ojects	No. Legis-				Remarks
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	lators				Rendiks
				SARO No.			REMARKS
				ROCS- 09-02466	concerned		neficiaries, only 6 are confirmed residents by the n. Of the six, five were interviewed by the Team who
							sponsoring legislators on the validity of their ed to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Result of Confirmation
				08-09205		Niel C. Tupas, Jr	Confirmed signatures on various MOA, endorsement
				09-02408	4.850		letters, PP, Proposed Distribution Lists, WFP but
				G-09-08059	1.940		denied having signed the letters to NLDC President certifying completion of work by KKAMFI, Inspection Reports, Certificates of Acceptance, Certificates of Distribution, Approval of Discounted Rental Price of sound system, Memo to Training Coordinator, letters to Resource Speakers, and Certification of work rendered.
				G-09-07950	9.700	Edgardo M. Chatto	Denied having signed the documents sent for confirmation except for the Letter to NLDC President interposing no objection for the implementation of the project.
				08-08963	7.760	Isidro T. Ungab	Denied having signed the project proposal, letter
				09-02466	11.640		requests to release payments, and Retention Fee, Inspection Report and the Project Beneficiaries but confirmed his signatures on the MOA, letter to NLDC President requesting direct release of funds to KKAMFI, Proposed Distribution List and WFP. The team is still awaiting his comments on 33 additional documents sent to his office for validation.
				08-09453	10.961	Roberto C. Cajes	Confirmed signatures in 31 out of 39 documents but
				09-04268	2.910		did not comment on his signatures in 8 other documents. He, however, clarified that the documents are subject to NLDC's evaluation.
				08-09475	10.767	Maria Isabelle G. Climaco	Not in the position to confirm or deny signatures in the forwarded photocopied documents, and unrealistic to remember all documents signed due to voluminous papers that pass to her Office.
				G-09-07535	1.261	Arturo B. Robes	Did not reply to the team but received a letter from PNP Crime Lab that Cong. Robes sought assistance to verify authenticity of his signatures on all documents.
				08-08976		Vicente F. Belmonte Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of signatures in all documents requested for confirmation.
				09-02407		Julio A. Ledesma IV	Did not comment on the documents submitted by the NGO.
				09-02363			Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				G-09-08129	3.880	Jala Antonio	4
				08-08974 09-02459		Antonio F.Lagdameo, Jr.	
				09-02439	7.760	Antonio V.	1
				09-06059	9.700	Cuenco	
				F-09-09578	9.700	Daryl Grace J. Abayon]
				08-09531		Francisco T. Matugas	
				09-04801		Franklin P. Bautista	
				08-09207		Joseph A.	
				09-01693		Santiago	
				09-06277 G-09-08576	14.550 4.850		
				G-04-082/6	4.850		

	Pr	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			I	Remarks		
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M P)	Legislator	Result of Confirmation		
				08-09733 09-03602	4.850	Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr.	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.		
				G-09-08331 08-08961 09-03611	4.850 11.640 9.215	Marina P. Clarete			
				08-08978 09-02364	1.213 9.700	Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz			
				G-09-09162		Rodante D. Marcoleta			
				08-08972 09-02416 08-09551	4.850	Rolando A. Uy Thomas L.			
				09-01873 G-09-07692		Dumpit, Jr.			
				G-09-08089 08-09327		Eufrocino M. Codilla Sr.	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation. SARO No. per Project Proposal is 08-09237 but per Advice of NCA issued is 08-09327.		
				G-09-08094		Jr.	Deceased.		
				08-09031		Danilo P. Lagbas			
				Total	308.218				
NABCOR	3	24.075	Ka 3			undation, Inc. (K	CapKFI)		
Unliquidate				among thos			it but was not reliected in the SEC website as		
TRC	9	83.466	6	s hitit 🟹		38 Florante St	., Plainview, Mandaluyong City.		
Total	12	107.541	9	38		This NGO did	I not confirm these transactions and did not nal documents requested by the Team.		
				NABCOR					
				The projects	s covered	I procurement of	the following:		
				 Seedling 	s from B.	ogy kits from C.0 B. Vergara Plant from P.I. Farm P			
				Documents reasons:	disclose	d that these tra	nsactions are questionable for the following		
				 business correspoi foundation coordination While C. amountin 	with the nding pay on has the tors. C. Barree ig to ₽17	is NGO, issuin yments. The P.I. e apparent intent do Publishing Ho	P.I. Farm Products denied having transacted g the receipts/invoices and receiving the Farm Products, Inc. further claimed that the ion to imitate the signature of one of his sales buse confirmed the validity of its transactions se transactions were considered questionable r KKMFI.		

	Pro	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Re	emarks	
				the selected with 15 c	ed recipi ategorica	ents ally	so far confirme denying receipt	ed receipt of t of the iter	alities and barangays. None of the items allegedly distributed ns. On the other hand, one for being unclaimed after three
				Baran	gay Capta	ain	Barangay/	<i>Aunicipality</i>	Address
				Jose V. Ca			San Isidro		Angono, Rizal
				Wilfredo Su Cirila B. Ce			Sto. Domingo Pag-asa		Cainta, Rizal Binangonan, Rizal
				Roberto Es			San Vicente		Binalbagan, Negros Occ.
				Gregg Tiar	nsing		Candumarao		Hinigaran, Negros Occ.
				Efren C. Pi			Cambugsa Nabali-an		Hinigaran, Negros Occ. Himamaylan City
				Allan G. Le Mario P. La			San Antonio		Himamaylan City
				Richel V. A	balona		San Juan		Binalbagan, Negros Occ.
				Moises Bo			MAO-Bien Unio		Bohol
				Reynaldo H Mario B. B		010	Minuyan Prope Bagong Buhay		San Jose Del Monte, Bul. San Jose Del Monte, Bul.
				William R.			Francisco Hom		San Jose Del Monte, Bul.
				Herminio B	luguis		Cuidad Real		San Jose Del Monte, Bul.
				Reynerio L	. Morado		San Rafael - II		San Jose Del Monte, Bul.
				SARO (ROCS) 07-02950	Amt (M ₽)		Legislator erto C. Cajes	R Claimed that certificate of project benefic	yielded the following results: esult of Confirmation his purported signatures in the acceptance, list of recipients and iaries bear unfamiliar strokes and from original documents in his file.
				07-09339		Duav		Did not rep confirmation.	ly to the team's request for
				08-04455 Total	6.615 24.075	Igna	cio T. Arroyo, Jr.	Deceased.	
				Total	21.070				
				TRC					
				implement liquidation request re Confirmati signatures	ation of documer mained u on by the on the d	nin nts w inact e Te locur	e projects rer was requested u ted upon as of a eam from the sp	mained unlig nder our lette udit date. ponsoring leg	nt of P83.466 Million for the uidated. The submission of r dated May 30, 2012 but such islators on the validity of their yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Am (M I		Legislator		Result of Confirmation
				07-02984	13.	.440	Edgardo M. Chatte	Ortiz, inte	I his signature in his letter to Mr rposing no objection for KapKFI to t the project but denied signing the WFP
				07-00564			Robert Vincent Ju B. Jaworski, Jr.	de Confirmed further cor	I his signatures but cannot give mment on the matter.
				D-07-03030 D-07-02050			Pedro M. Pancho		I his signatures in all documents by the NGO.
				07-02050		.806 .700			ed in the documents requested for
				07-02927			Roque R. Ablan, J	r. Did not re	ply to the team's request for
				07-03575	13.	.720	Eladio M. Jala	confirmati	on.

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators	Remarks
				SARO (ROCS) Amt (M P) Legislator Result of Confirmation 07-03489 3.840 Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr. Deceased. D 07-05441 9.800 Total 83.466
Gabay a	t Pag-a	sa ng Mas	a Founda	ations, Inc. (GPMFI) / Gabay sa Magandang Bukas Foundation, Inc. (GMBFI) / Ikaw at Ako Foundation, Inc. (IAFI)
GPMFI NABCOR GMBFI NABCOR IAFI	11	72.265 2.910	8	GPMFI This NGO was registered with SEC and with business permits for CYs 2008 and 2009. It, however, did not confirm its transactions and did not submit the documents requested by the Team.
NABCOR Unliquidate TRC Total	_2 d in Fu 2 16	19.400 II 13.440 108.015	2 2 13	GMBFI This NGO was SEC registered but has no permit to operate business during CYs 2007 to 2009. It did not also confirm its transactions and did not submit the documents requested by the Team. IAFI
				This NGO was registered with SEC and with permit to operate only for CY 2007 from the City Government of Valenzuela. It did not also confirm its transactions and did not submit the documents requested by the Team. These three NGOs were operating in the same unit. However, IAFI was using No. 313, the Unit's old number while the two other NGOs were using No. 5019, its new number.
				 5019 North Road, Raminel Subd., Veinte Reales, Valenzuela City Inspection by the Team on January 26, 2011 disclosed that this is another residential unit which is owned by Ms. Myra Villanueva, the GPMFI (2009) President. GPMFI and GMBFI were using the same ATPs while IAFI was using an ATP being used by one of the suppliers, G. Roy Enterprises.
				GPMFI
				 The projects funded by NABCOR covered procurement of the following: Seedlings from B.B. Vergara Plant and Nursery; LTKs from C.C. Barredo Publishing House; and Farm implements from P.I. Farm Products. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following
				 P.B. Vergara Plant and Nursery and P.I. Farm Products denied having transacted business with this NGO, issuing the receipts/invoices and receiving the corresponding payments. P.I. Farm Products, Inc. further claimed that the

	Pro	ojects	No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	R	emarks	
				Foundation has the apparent intention coordinators.	on to imitate the signatu	re of one of its sales
				• While C.C. Barredo Publishing Hou		
				amounting to P9.7 Million, thes questionable. As discussed earlier to ATPs with overlapping series of nun by two other establishments.	under KKMFI, this supp	olier was using three
				The Team also noted that the alleged City and Batangas, are far from the pro Misamis Oriental and Bohol, and Cities	ject sites which are the	e Provinces of Cebu,
				The items procured were reportedly dis barangays and 4,568 individual recipien further casts doubt on the validity of the	nts. Confirmation from s	elected beneficiaries
				Three provinces, 14 municipalities categorically denied receiving the ite		
				Name	Brgy/Mun/City/Prov	Address
				Province	-	-
				Cagas RA. Douglas- Governor	Davao del Sur	Digos, Davao del Sur
				Arturo T. Uy - Governor	Compostela Valley Misamis Oriental	Compostela Valley
				Oscar S. Moreno - Governor	Misamis Oriental	Cagayan de Oro City
				Municipalities	Quality	0
				Adelino B. Sitoy Jeremiah Wilbur L. Trocio – Mun.	Cordoba Consolacion	Cordoba, Cebu
				Accountant		Consolacion, Cebu
				Jamaal James R. Calipayan–Exec.Sec.	Mandaue City	Mandaue City, Cebu
				Claudio C. Bonior	San Miguel	Bohol
				Ronald Lowell Tirol	Buenavista	Bohol
				Roberto L. Salinas	Catigbian	Bohol
				Ricarte R. Padilla Ronald Allan G. Cesante	Jose Panganiban Dalaguete	Camarines Norte Cebu Province
				Marilyn N. Wenceslao	Santander	Cebu Province
				Nelson Gamaliel F. Garcia	Dumanjug	Cebu Province
				Daisy L. Creus	Malabuyoc	Cebu Province
				Ronald L. Guaren	Oslob	Cebu Province
				Raymond Joseph D. Calderon	Samboan	Cebu Province
				Joel P. Tabanera - MAO	Moalboal	Cebu Province
				Barangay		
				Vicente Cajes,	Baguio Dist.	Davao City
				Nestor Oguio	Sirib	Davao City
				Pedrito Angco	Calinan Proper	Davao City
				Greg Chavez Angco	Tamayong, Calinan Dist.	
				Oscar A. Almendez, Sr.	Dalagdag	Davao City
				Alfredo B. Austral, Sr.	New Carmen	Davao City Davao City
				Exequil M. Salandao Emilio R. Pizarro	Tambubong Marapangi	Davao City Davao City
				Wilhelm Valencia	Lumbia	Cag. de Oro City
				Marifi C. Anay	Iponan	Cag. de Oro City
				Victoriano J. Alugar	Baikingon	Cag. de Oro City
				Alfredo Carcosa	Balulang	Cag. de Oro City
				Allan Mabalacad	Bonbon	Cag. de Oro City
				Gilbert D. Nacalaban	Dansolihon	Cag. de Oro City
				Levy N. Baang, Sr.	Bayanga	Cag. de Oro City
				AI P. Legaspi	Bulua	Cag. de Oro City
				Joshua A. Taboclaon	Canitoan	Cag. de Oro City
				Eddie Abrogar	Bayabas	Cag. de Oro City

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Remarks
							n letters were returned by the Post Office as the ir given addresses.
				impleme conside	ents bu ring tha	it not of the sa	rangays confirmed receiving seedlings and farm ame specifications being confirmed. Moreover, of these items denied the transactions, the items other sources.
				provide co Cebu City. beneficiario	mplete Inform es, only . The id	addresses of t ation from the C 871 were regis	stance from the COMELEC National Office to the 2,770 individual beneficiaries of LTKs from COMELEC, however, disclosed that out of 2,770 stered voters and therefore can be provided with emaining 1,899 beneficiaries can not anymore be
							the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their nitted to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt. (M ₽)	Legislator	Result of Confirmation
				08-04301	9.700	Edgardo M. Chatto	Confirmed his signatures in the letter to NABCOR interposing no objection for the NGO to implement the project but denied signing authorization for NABCOR to deduct 3% from allocation.
				08-04158	5.820	Isidro T. Ungab	Confirmed his signature in the letter to NABCOR interposing no objection for the NGO to implement the project but denied signing the authorization to deduct administrative cost and the lists of beneficiaries.
				08-00457	8.245	Roberto C. Cajes	Noted that his purported signatures in the list of project beneficiaries and the certificate of distribution bear unfamiliar strokes and need to verify from the original documents in his file.
				08-04288		Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr.	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				08-04194 08-00440 08-04294 09-02709 08-00472 08-04318	3.880 9.700 9.700 1.261	Rolando A. Uy Antonio V. Cuenco Nerissa Corazon Soon-Ruiz	-
				08-04318 08-04130 Total		Danilo P. Lagbas	Deceased.
				IAFI and G			
				The projec for distribu	ts cov	28 municipalities	
				supplier w	as usii		tions of this supplier were questionable as this with overlapping series and another ATP being
					by this		alities confirmed receipt of the items purportedly o Municipal Mayors categorically denying receipt

	Pr	ojects	No.										
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	is- rs Name Municipality Province									
					Nan	ne	Municipality	Province					
				GMBFI - Mo	ises H. Bo	niel- MAO	Bien Unido	Bohol					
				IAFI - Neliet	a Quibran	za-Noval	Tubod	Lanao del N.					
				implementa remained un documents Confirmatio	tion of tv nliquidate requeste n by the	vo livelihood projec ed. As discussed ear d under our letter da Team from the spo	ts in the total am rlier, these NGOs ated May 30, 2013 ponsoring legislator	07 to this NGO for the ount of P13.440 Million did not submit liquidation s on the validity of their ed the following results:					
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (in M ₽)	Legislator		Remarks					
				TRC	(11 101 +-)								
				Unliquidated									
				D 07-04025		Joseph A. Santiago	confirmation.	to the team's request for					
				07-00573		Miles M. Roces	CL returned to th unknown at given	e team as the addressee is address.					
				NADCOD	13.440								
				NABCOR 07-08956	2.910	Roberto C. Cajes	of project benefici	rrported signatures in the list iaries bear unfamiliar strokes verify from the original file.					
				07-03333		Joseph A. Santiago	Did not reply t	to the team's request for					
				07-04062	4.850 22.310	Alipio Cirilo V. Badelle	s confirmation.						
				Total	35.750								
NADCOD	0	100.0/2		ndanan ng K	apaligira	In Foundation, Inc.	(KKFI)						
NABCOR	9	109.062	5	This NGO v 2009.	was regis	tered with SEC and	l issued business	permit to operate in CY					
						Libertad St., Mar Inspection condu disclosed that th condominium with area and withou security guards of	ndaluyong City. ucted by the Tea ne given address th the unit within th ut any NGO sign disclosed that the fivar, who was no	Sarden Square Condo, m on January 31, 2011 is located in a high-end he designated residential hage. Interview with the unit is being occupied by ot around at the time of					
				requested b	y the Tea			t submit the documents					
				Farm imp	lements f	3. Vergara Plant Nui from M.A. Guerrero arredo Publishing Ho	Enterprises; and	Plant Nursery;					
				Documents reasons:	disclose	d that these transa	ctions were quest	ionable for the following					
				• B.B. Verg	ara Plant	t Nursery denied tra	nsacting with this I	NGO.					

IA		ojects Amt	No. Legis-		Remarks	
	No.	(M P)	lators			
				 of the City Government of at this address. This sup was using the same ATI NGO. S & A Plant Nursery con from the BPLO of the Mur C.C. Barredo Publishing considered questionable for The items procured were 	f Quezon did not is: pplier did not also r P number being us firmed its transacti nicipal Government House confirmed for reasons stated of intended for distri	ibution to 136 barangays with the
				-	-	n the validity of the transactions: ed, 60 categorically denied receiving
				Barangay Captain	Barangay	Address
				Victoriano T. Labuga, Jr.	Pag-asa	Alabel, Sarangani
				Ricky B. Natial	Dado	Alamada, Cotabato
				Emmanuel Anico	Poblacion	Alimodian, Iloilo
				Abraham C. Seniel	Riverside	Calinan Dist., Davao City
				Alfredo Austral Sr.	Carmen	Baguio Dist., Davao City
				Arnel A. Liong	Malagos	
				Alex T. Angco	Cadalian	
				Narciso Balucos	Gumalang	_
				Fermin Enoch Sr.	Baguio Pob.	
				Felicito Galem	Canmoros	Binalbagan, Negros Occ.
				Andres Alan	Atan-awe	Davao City
				Manuel Sayon	Labagan	
				Raul Remperas Marcelino Apoluna	Tibuloy Eden	
				Omelis Duyan	Magsaysay	
				Francisco Lonzaga Lolito O. Sucayre	Binugao Daliao	
				Ernesto Pagas	Camansi	
				Rosa Q. Cabila	Alambre	
	I			Eusebio Bangcas	Tagaksan	
					U U	
				Felix L. Reconalla	Mintal	_
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan	U U	-
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon	Mintal Dalag Buda	Guinobatan
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia	Guinobatan
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon	Mintal Dalag Buda	Guinobatan
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro	Guinobatan
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael	Guinobatan
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco	Guinobatan Hiniganan, Negros Occ.
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag	
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto	Hiniganan, Negros Occ.
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan	
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ.
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia Darius Demogina	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II New Buswang	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat Kalibo, Aklan Kidapawan City
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia Darius Demogina Ronce Reyes	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II New Buswang Andagaw	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat Kalibo, Aklan
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia Darius Demogina Ronce Reyes Bernardo Javier	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II New Buswang Andagaw Sudapin	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat Kalibo, Aklan Kidapawan City
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia Darius Demogina Ronce Reyes Bernardo Javier Armando Atadora	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II New Buswang Andagaw Sudapin Sag-an	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat Kalibo, Aklan Kidapawan City La Castellana, Negros Occ.
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia Darius Demogina Ronce Reyes Bernardo Aladora Joepet Fernandez	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II New Buswang Andagaw Sudapin Sag-an Pantao	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat Kalibo, Aklan Kidapawan City La Castellana, Negros Occ.
				Felix L. Reconalla Alano O. Pontongan Dominador Dayaganon Alejandro Rapisora Pedro Patrianco Bienvenido Frial Evelyn V. Salting Prudencio Manrique Juninda Yulo Adresito M. Capillanes Perolino, Egmedio Joselito M. Valencia Darius Demogina Ronce Reyes Bernardo Javier Armando Atadora Joepel Fernandez Salvador R. Malana Sr.	Mintal Dalag Buda Travesia Mauraro San Rafael San Francisco Masarawag Gargalto Cabadiangan Bato Kalawag II New Buswang Andagaw Sudapin Sag-an Pantao Macabugos	Hiniganan, Negros Occ. Hiraganan, Negros Occ. Isulan, Sultan Kudarat Kalibo, Aklan Kidapawan City La Castellana, Negros Occ. Libon

	No Amt Legis		No.					
IA	No.	. Amt Leg					Rema	arks
				Bara	angay Ca	ptain	Baranga	ay Address
				Emmanue			Bonga	Ligao
				Pedro Pa	yno		Mahaba	3
				Necerita E			Yumbing	Mambajao, Camiguin
				Luciano B			Bantol	Marilog Dist., Davao City
				Glecerio S Remmuel			Datu Salumay La Castellana	
				Roger Re			La Castellaria Balogo	Oas, Albay
				Jonathan			Badian	
				Cornelio F		r.	Pob. Ilawod	Passi City, Iloilo
				Teopisto (Jr.	Poblacion	Patnonongon, Antique
				William Bu			Gabon	Polangui
				Edgar M.			Centro Occ.	
				Joaquin S Rodolfo E			Basud Agos	——
				Ricardo B			Agus Magsaysay	Polomolok, So. Cotabato
				Nilo P. Ba			Poblacion	Quezon, Bukidnon
				Teddy Alb	oaladejo		Tiza	Roxas City, Capiz
				Rodrigo P		ban	Poblacion	Tacurong City
				Manuel Vi			San Pablo	Tinggroup logi di
				Daniel Tri	nidad Jr.		Tinongan	Tinongan, Isabela
					on the do	ocuments s	submitted to	soring legislators on the validity of the the Team yielded the following results:
				(ROCS)	(M ₽)	Legi Juan Ponce	islators	Results of Confirmation
				09-04956	14.550	Juan Ponce	e Enrie	Confirmed having authorized his chief of staff to sign on his behalf. The Chief of Sta confirmed his signature in the MOA bu denied signing the Certificate of Acceptanc dated May 4, 2010 and the List of Beneficiaries by barangay implemented i the 3rd District of Davao City.
				08-05631	14.550	Carol Jayne	e B. Lopez	Did not reply to the team's request for
				08-07146	13.580		•	confirmation.
				08-07187		Reno G. Lii	m	
				09-04095	14.550			
				09-04604	4.850	Edgardo J.	Angara	4
				09-03819	0 700	Ignacio T. /		Deceased.
				09-04125	9.700			
				Total	109.062			
			Bu	hay Mo Maha	al Ko Fo	undation,	Inc. (BMMK	(FI)
IABCOR	3	31.525	3					
Jnliquidate	d in Ful							to operate by the BPLO of the Ci
			٤					but registered with SEC. This NGO wa
RC	6	52.430	6		operating	g at 12-C	Legaspi To	ower, 300 Roxas Blvd., cor Vito Cru
Total	9	83.955		Manila.	of the n	ace condu	icted by the	e Team on February 14, 2011 disclose
				that this Fo	undation	was no lo	nger operati	ng within this unit which is owned by or incorporators of the Foundation.

	Pr	ojects	No.									
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Re	marks				
				Enterprise	s. It did		confirm		of the supplier, George Roque ctions and did not submit the			
				NABCOR								
						ed procurei Municipali		LTKs from	C.C. Barredo Publishing House			
				amounting As discus	to ₽31. sed earli g series	525 Million ier under l	, these tr KKMFI, t	ransaction this suppli	d the validity of its transactions s were considered questionable. ier was using three ATPs with ATP being used by two other			
									eipt of the items delivered. Of the ying receipt of the items.			
					Mayor		Munic	ipality	Address			
								antiago R. Austria oises H.Boniel - MAO		Jaen Bien Unido		Jaen, Nueva Ecija Bien Unido, Bohol
					ocuments s	Legislators		egislators on the validity of their m yielded the following results: Results of Confirmation signing the list of project beneficiaries				
				07-02898		Roberto C.		and th liveliho Noted t	signing the ist of project beneficiaries be certificate of acceptance of the od technology kits. that his purported signatures in the list ect beneficiaries and the certificate of			
					7.075			distribu to verif file.	tion bear unfamiliar strokes and need by from the original documents in his			
				07-02117 Total	7.275 31.525	i Joseph A. S	antiago	Did no confirm	ot reply to the team's request for ation.			
					31.020							
				TRC								
				livelihood submit doo Confirmati	projects r cuments r on by the	remained u requested u e Team fro	nliquidate nder our m the sp	ed. As dis letter date oonsoring I	ion for the implementation of six cussed earlier, this NGO did not d May 30, 2012. egislators on the validity of their im yielded the following results:			
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legisla	tors		Results of Confirmation			
				07-03509		Hussin U. Ar		to his but genuinenes	re in the endorsement letter is similar he cannot say with certainty its s. He cannot also recall having an authorized representative for and his office.			
				07-03571			Narcoleta	Confirmed documents	authenticity of signatures in all submitted by the NGO.			
				07-03641		Miles M. Roc		unknown	d to the team as the addressee is			
				07-03019 07-03378		Antonio V. C Ernie D. Clar		Did not i confirmatior	reply to the team's request for n.			

	Pr	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Re	emarks
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislators	Results of Confirmation
				07-03388	13.818	Danilo P. Lagbas	Deceased.
				Total	52.430		<u> </u>
			ITC	NA Movem	ent Fou	ndation, Inc. (ITO N	JA MI)
NABCOR	2	9.749	2				te business from the BPLO of the City
NLDC	11	84.192	7	Governme	III OI Pas	ay during CYS 2007	to 2009 but registered with SEC.
TRC	2	7.740	2			Rm. 904/912 C Center, Pasig C	ityland Mega Plaza, ADB Avenue, Ortigas
Unliquidated						Center, Fasig C	ity.
TRC	2	23.179	2				losed at the time of inspection on January rtified by the Building Administration Officer,
Total	17	124.860					ever a tenant of Cityland Mega Plaza.
				submit the	docume	This Foundatior nts requested by the	n did not confirm its transactions and did not e Team.
				NABCOR			
				The projec			
				 Procure Agromix 	ment of ment of ment of ment of & Veter	vermiculture starter l animals, vitamins/va inary Pharmacy; and	kits from Jeffrey Hans Trading; accines and swines/ ducks/goats from F.M. d Northern Asia Sales Corp.
				Documents reasons:	s disclos	sed that these trans	sactions are questionable for the following
				status o Governr was not	f 4WD tr ment of aware	actors cannot be es Tabuk, in his reply	onfirmed the delivery of 4WD Tractors, the stablished. The City Agriculture Officer, City to the Team's confirmation claimed that he presturned over to the City Government of ent administration.
				of food		other training requi	y and Tampco Inn Training Center, supplier irements, categorically denied transacting
				claimed	to have		ng cannot be established. This supplier was given address and has no permit to operate uela.
				cannot a the Tea	also be (m's con	established as they	ers of foods and other training requirements could not be located or have not replied to e also not issued business permits by the a question.
				the selecte	ed recipie listribute	ents, so far, confirm	tributed to 858 individual recipients. None of ed receipt, while 14 denied receipt of items ad, 18 other recipients are unknown at their
							rom 14 EOs to provide complete address of liran Province. Six EOs have, so far, replied

	Pro	ojects	No.									
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Remarks					
							es, only one was regi e sponsoring legislate			, of their		
				signatures o	n the d		ted to the Team yield					
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislators	Results	of Con	firmation			
				08-00771/ 06540	4.365	Glenn A. Chong	Did not reply to the tea	am's rec	quest for cor	nfirmation.		
				08-00328		Manuel S. Agyao	Confirmed authentici documents submitted			res in all		
				Total	9.749							
				NLDC								
				suppliers/pro beneficiaries Evaluation of the following	 The projects covered training and procurement of various livelihood kits from 26 suppliers/providers to be attended and distributed to a number of individual beneficiaries. Evaluation of documents disclosed that these transactions were questionable for the following reasons: Six suppliers denied their transactions and the issuance of receipts/invoices: 							
						Suppliers		Amt (in M)				
						iador & Beauty Ne	eds, Inc.		Ę	1.200		
				Ann Cris E BM Domin						1.000		
				Davidson I			20	0.669				
					LYS Hardware and Gen. Merchandising Minica Publishing Corporation					0.996 0.740		
						Total actions of 17 o ished as discuss	ther suppliers amounded below:	nting ti		6.932 Million		
						Particula	irs		No. of Suppliers	Amount (in M)		
				Team's co	nfirmatio	'n	operate and did not reply		1	₽ 0.002		
				given add	ress. Or	e of them was iss	ney reportedly moved ou suing receipts bearing d but covering the same so	lifferent	3	2.726		
				Suppliers transaction		ermits to operate	e but did not confirn	n their	9	20.559		
				Suppliers	who are		ven address or non exist	ling	4	3.537		
				amountin Governm	g to Fent of F	46.300 Million, Pasig as it declar	rm confirmed its tra the same were n ed gross sales in its the three-year perioc	ot rep applica	orted to	the City		
				already d	enied th	neir transactions.		Ū				
				Confirmation tabulated be		the reported be	eneficiaries also yiel	ded n	egative re	sults as		

	Pr	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remark	(S	
				of the purpo nor deny wh	rted benefic nether the l	ciaries from their	localitie s are re	ar replied, denied the residency as while one could not confirm asidents or not as they do not
				May	or	Municipality	1	Address
				Myrna Ojeda-	Tan	Zumarraga		Eastern Samar
				Percivalta Ort Ananias S. Re		Marabut San Jose de Buan		Western Samar
				Not indicated	EDGIO	Trinidad		Benguet
				module only unknown or I Considering, received by government. Of the total repo	CON the o have moved however, the one benefic ported expension y the Team	ther hand, 399 of d out from its given he questionable s iary could have b ses, ₽2.040 Millio from the sponso	other re n addre tatus of een sou on was	nother one confirmed receipt of acipients cannot be located or ass or have insufficient address. The suppliers, the kit confirmed arced from other projects of the used for administrative cost gislators on the validity of their
				SARO A	mt	Legislator		ryielded the following results: Results of Confirmation
				08-04100 6	.063 Manuel		Confirm	ed signatures in all documents
				09-02442 14 09-04123 8 09-04512 9 09-06264 8	1.850 Marc D 1.550 Emil L. 3.730 9.700 3.730 9.700	ouglas C. Cagas IV Ong	Still ch	ed by NGO. ecking the records on file to address the concerns
				F-09-09578 9 08-06560 1 08-09583 0 09-01437 9	2.700 Daryl 0 1.455 Sharee 0.970 Samue 2.744 Wilfrido		Did not confirma	reply to the team's request for ation.
				Total 84	1.192			
				TRC				
				by six suppliers P1.712 Million purchase/ payn	. One proje under SA nents/receip	ct costing ₽3.600 RO No. 07-0775 ots;	Million 5 were	training requirements provided and transactions amounting to a not supported with proof of questionable for the following
					s denied ha	ving transacted w	ith this I	NGO:
				SPAKS CoFood King		ervices		
				given addres	sses. Both		ss perr	reportedly moved out from the nits to operate from the City 07 to 2009:

IA	PI PI	ojects	No.	Remarks					
	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Remark	S	
				 Phoer The exister following rot They ha Only 22 The ider The Team NGO to t 	ix Photo ence of 6 easons: ve no spe out of 2,6 ntities of th also note he TRC in CYs	Center, Ir 5,250 train 586 listed he benefi ed that pa under S	ning participant ress; and beneficiaries ar ciaries cannot th rt of the list of ro ARO No. ROC	s cannot also e registered v nen be establi eported benel SS-07-07755	o be established for the voters of Valenzuela City. ished. ficiaries submitted by this were the same names of various profession as
								N	lo. of Passers
					Licensure kamination		Year Released	Published	Listed as Beneficiaries
				CPA Boar			Oct. 2007	2,299	1,090
				Bar Exam Nursing B	s oard Exam	IS	Sept. 2007 Feb. 2008	1,289 28,924	620 905
				reported					
				representii Confirmati	expenses ng payme on by the	s, P0.670 ent of sala e Team fr) Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso	used for a	lay 30, 2012. Of the total administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results:
				representii Confirmati	expenses ng payme on by the	s, P0.67(ent of sala e Team fr ocuments) Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their
				Confirmation signatures	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P)	s, P0.67(ent of sala e Team fr ocuments) Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Resi Confirmed s	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation signatures in all documents
				Confirmatii Signatures (ROCS) 07-07732 07-07755	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M ₽) 3.600 4.140	s, P0.67(ent of sala e Team fr ocuments	 Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the sponso submitted	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Rest	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation ignatures in all documents NGO. to the team's request for
				representii Confirmatii signatures SARO (ROCS) 07-07732 07-07755 Unliquidate 07-00563 07-02958	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P) 3.600 4.140 ed in Full 9.215 13.964	s, P0.67 0 ent of sala e Team fr pocuments I Magtangg Edgar S. 1 Jose Emn Christian	 Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the sponso submitted	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Confirmed s submitted by Did not reply confirmation.	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation signatures in all documents NGO. to the team's request for to the team's request for
				Confirmatii signatures SARO (ROCS) 07-07755 Unliquidate 07-00563 07-02958 Total	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P) 3.600 4.140 ed in Full 9.215 13.964 30.919	s, P0.67 (ent of sala e Team fr ocuments Magtangg Edgar S. Jose Emn Christian	Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the egislator ol T. Gunigundo I San Luis manuel L. Carlos M. Señeres	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Confirmed s submitted by Did not reply confirmation.	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation signatures in all documents NGO. to the team's request for to the team's request for
ZREC				Confirmatii signatures SARO (ROCS) 07-07755 Unliquidate 07-00563 07-02958 Total	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P) 3.600 4.140 ed in Full 9.215 13.964 30.919	s, P0.67 (ent of sala e Team fr ocuments Magtangg Edgar S. Jose Emn Christian	D Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the egislator of T. Gunigundo I San Luis manuel L. Carlos	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Confirmed s submitted by Did not reply confirmation.	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation signatures in all documents NGO. to the team's request for to the team's request for
		9.700	1	Confirmatii signatures SARO (ROCS) 07-07755 Unliquidate 07-00563 07-02958 Total	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P) 3.600 4.140 ed in Full 9.215 13.964 30.919	s, P0.67 (ent of sala e Team frocuments Magtangg Edgar S. 3 Jose Emm Christian	Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the egislator ol T. Gunigundo I San Luis nanuel L. Carlos M. Señeres Foundations, Ir GO was issued	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Confirmed s submitted by Did not reply confirmation.	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation signatures in all documents NGO. to the team's request for to the team's request for to the team's request for ermits to operate during
NABCOR	1 25 11			Confirmatii signatures SARO (ROCS) 07-07755 Unliquidate 07-00563 07-02958 Total	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P) 3.600 4.140 ed in Full 9.215 13.964 30.919	s, P0.67 (ent of sala e Team frocuments Magtangg Edgar S. 3 Jose Emm Christian	Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the egislator of T. Gunigundo I San Luis nanuel L. Carlos M. Señeres Foundations, In	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Confirmed s submitted by Did not reply confirmation.	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation ignatures in all document NGO. to the team's request for to the team's request for to the team's request for
ZREC NABCOR NLDC TRC	25	9.700 298.314	1 13	Confirmatii signatures SARO (ROCS) 07-07755 Unliquidate 07-00563 07-02958 Total	expenses ng payme on by the on the do Amt (M P) 3.600 4.140 ed in Full 9.215 13.964 30.919	s, P0.67(ent of sala e Team fr bournents Magtangg Edgar S. 3 Jose Emm Christian Ghristian This N CYs 20 B40, L	Million was ries and wages. rom the sponso submitted to the egislator Iol T. Gunigundo I San Luis nanuel L. Carlos M. Señeres Foundations, Ir GO was issuer 007 to 2009 and	used for a ring legislator e Team yielde Confirmed s submitted by Did not reply confirmation.	administrative expenses rs on the validity of their ed the following results: ults of Confirmation signatures in all documents NGO. to the team's request for to the team's request for ermits to operate during

	Projects No. Amt Legis-		No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				As certified by the Secretary of the Association, Water UST of South City Homes, Inc., this unit is owned by another person since 1985. They further informed the Team that they were not aware of the existence, within the subdivision, of this NGO. It is also observed that the unit is within a residential area. The Team further noted that this address is different from the address reflected in the receipts issued by this NGO, which is Zamboanga City. This NGO was also using 2 different ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR but covering overlapping series of numbers which is very unlikely. It also did not submit written confirmation on its transactions and additional documents requested by the Team.
				ZREC
				The project covered procurement of agricultural livelihood kits which was reportedly distributed to 310 individual recipients. Evaluation of these documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:
				 There was no proof of purchase attached to the liquidation documents. The Team, then, could not identify the supplier and confirm the validity of transactions. None of the selected recipients confirmed receipt of the allegedly distributed items with six beneficiaries categorically denying receipt of the same. Sixteen other beneficiaries cannot be located or unknown at their given addresses.
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Edgar L. Valdez covered by SARO No. ROCS 08-00291 amounting to P9.700 million . The team's confirmation letter sent to the legislator was returned as he is no longer residing within the given address.
				NABCOR
				The projects covered procurement of the following:
				 Liquid fertilizers from Nutrigrowth Philippines; Agricultural production packages and computer sets from TNU Trading; and Gardening packages from Montrude Trading.
				Evaluation of documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:
				• TNU Trading confirmed its transactions with this NGO. However, it submitted questionable documents. TNU Trading was using three ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR but covering the same series of numbers which is very unlikely. The issued receipts and SIs were also not in chronological order. These transactions were also not reported to the City Government of Caloocan as it declared sales of only P5.264 Million during CYs 2008 to 2009 in its application for renewal of permit when its transactions with three NGOs alone, during this period, amounted to P309.818 Million. Moreover, its license to operate as Area Distributor of fertilizers already expired when the agricultural product packages that included fertilizers were procured. The license expired on February 7, 2007, while procurement was undertaken in 2008.
				• Montrude Trading also confirmed its transactions. However, it has no permit to

IA 		ects	No.									
	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks								
				operate business since 2000 from the City Government of Marikina. Inspection conducted by the Team at the given address also disclosed that the unit is a mere residential house. The registered owner also disclosed during interview that he was no longer connected with the business when these transactions were entered into and that the firm is now being operated by his partner. He could not, however, disclose the new business address of the firm. The receipts and SIs issued by this supplier were not also in chronological order.								
				 Nutrigrowth could not be located by the Teal inspection conducted on January 5, 2011. residential unit with sari-sari store. The owner in been occupying the unit since 2007 and is Nutrigrowth. The supplier has also no permits BPLO of the City Government of Manila during among those licensed handlers of fertilizers bas confirmation from, the Fertilizers and Pesticide A The items were reportedly distributed to 43 mur recipients. The submitted list of recipients were 	The given addm formed the Team not aware of th s to operate bus CYs 2007 to 200 ed on the official Authority (FPA). nicipalities and 2	ess is a mere h that they have e existence of siness from the 09 and not also website of, and 2,992 individual						
				 following reasons: Of the 43 reported recipient municipalities, allegedly delivered. One Municipal Mayor inform same items in 2007. The items being confirmed in 2008. 	ned the Team that	at they received						
				Name	Municipality	Address						
				Francisco Collado- MAO	Umingan	Pangasinan						
				Alejandra Supnet- Former Mayor/Malla Pablo - MAO	Natividad	Pangasinan						
				Reynaldo Segui, Jr. – Agri. Technologist	Sta. Maria	Pangasinan						
				Bony L. Tacio - Former Mayor Celedonio V. Sonido- MAO	Sablan Luna	Benguet La Union						
				Eulalia Llarenas -MAO	Bacnotan	La Union						
				Zeny Corpuz-MAO	Sudipen	La Union						
				Primitivo V. Natura- MAO	Balaoan	La Union						
				Teresita Pilingen - MAO	San Gabriel	La Union						
				Virgilio A. Bote	Gen. Tinio	Nueva Ecija						
				Arnold S. Bautista – Former Mayor	Tumauini	Isabela						
				Bonifacio Ondona, Raida Bansil Maglangit	Cagwait Kapatagan	Surigao del Sur Lanao del Sur						
				Wilfredo M. Magbuhos								
					Plaridel	Quezon						
				Arnold S. Bautista	Plaridel Tumauini	Quezon Isabela						
				Arnold S. Bautista Joel Ray L. Lopez Rey C. Moralde - MAO	Tumauini Sta. Cruz Pio V. Corpus	Isabela Davao del Sur Masbate						
				Arnold S. Bautista Joel Ray L. Lopez	Tumauini Sta. Cruz	Isabela Davao del Sur						

	Pro	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators					Remark	(S
							Bene-		
				Loc	ation		aries NABCOR		Remarks
				Mabitac, Laguna		159		agencies,	the same document submitted to both , in form and chronological arrangement with
				Atimona Quezon	-	158		and and u	numbers of up to 159 for Mabitac, Laguna up to 158 for Atimonan, Quezon.
				San Juai Batanga:		218	158	although beneficiar	ne same names submitted to both agencies, arranged differently, except for 60 ries in Barangay Talahiban I and II, which mitted only to NLDC.
				Quipot, L & Ibabao SanJuan), I,	42	42	Exactly t	he same names and the same reference and chronological presentation.
					g,Quipot San Juan	55	55	presentat	the same names and chronological ion but with different reference numbers, 43- DC and 151-205 for NABCOR.
				<u>Batanga:</u> Talahiba San Jua Batanga:	n I, ın,	8	8		ne same names but with different reference 98-105 for NLDC and 63-70 for NABCOR
				Talahiba San Juai Batanga:	n,	16 2	16 2		actly the same names but with different numbers.
					San Juan,	2 2 4	2 2 4		
						locumer		tted to th	ring legislators on the validity of their e Team yielded the following results: Results of Confirmation
				08-07211 08-05216		Juan Por	ice Enrile		Confirmed authenticity of their signatures and that of their authorized representatives.
				09-00804 08-05254	9.700 38.800	Ramon B	. Revilla,	Jr.	
				08-04180			ıglas C.C	0	Confirmed authenticity of signature in all documents submitted by the NGO
				08-05283			Pingoy, Jr		Cannot validate the authenticity of his signature as he cannot locate his files.
				08-04004 08-02588	4.850	Victor Fra	ancisco C.	Ortega	Neither confirmed nor denied his signatures on the documents submitted to but sought assistance from NBI to check authenticity of his signatures.
				08-07174 09-04182	12.891 9.700	Rizalina I	. Seachor	n-Lanete	Request additional time within to comment but did not submit comment.
				08-03923 08-08006	9.700 11.640	Edgar L.			CL returned to the team as the addressee is unknown at given address.
				08-00299 08-05178	9.700		M. Estrella		Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				08-07640 08-03975 08-02597	13.823 14.550 9.700	Erwin L.	Chiongbia	n	
				09-02840 08-00342 08-03982		Robert R	aymund M	1. Estrella	
				08-00388 08-05176	5.335 6.790	Rodolfo (
				08-09584 08-03249	7.760 7.760	Samuel N	I. Dangwa	1	

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Rem	narks	
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M P)	Legislators		ults of Confirmation
				08-06025 Total	19.400 . 298.314	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	Did not reply t confirmation.	to the team's request for
				NLDC				
				The project				
				Procure			ı livelihood pack	ages and other livelihood
				Evaluatior following r		nents disclosed that th	nese transaction	is are questionable for the
				earlier, questio	the doc	uments being subm d this supplier's lic	itted by this s	this NGO. As discussed upplier were considered ute fertilizer expired on
				it is ba	ised in		ainings were c	onsidered questionable as onducted in Pangasinan,
				packages	with the f	cipient municipalities ollowing results of cor es denied receipt of th	firmation:	receipt of the agricultural
						Mayor	Mun.	Address
				MAO - Mr			Bangar	La Union
						a Jr - (Acting Mayor) orpayas Sr.	Lingig Dapa	Surigao del Sur Surigao del Norte
				Bonifacio	Ondona		Cagwit	Surigao del Norte
				sprayer distribu materia agricult also inf as it ca The iter	s and 1 ted and r ls, high ural chen ormed th rries the ms confir	55 boxes of folial f eceived by the Mayor capacity manual spi nicals including long s is Office that the attac official seal of Orient	ertilizers. Howe were 237 packa rayers, planting leeves and t-shi hed Certificate al Mindoro inste Honorable Mayo	ged to have received 155 ever, the items allegedly ages consisting of planting tools, protective gears, rts. The Honorable Mayor of Acceptance is distorted ead of Surigao del Norte. or, then, could have come
				receipt of claimed th	the agri at of the	cultural package. On	the other han ts in one of the	, 84 categorically denied d, two municipal mayors projects implemented by palities.
								ors on the validity of their led the following results:
				SARO (ROCS) 09-00949	Amt (M P)	Legislator 0 Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.		ts of Confirmation ticity of signatures and that of
				09-04973	38.80	0	his authorized rep	resentative.
11				08-09687	4.85			nor denied his signatures in bmitted to the team but sought

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt	No. Legis- lators			Re	emarks
		(M P)	121013			1	
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
							assistance from NBI to check authenticity of his signatures.
				G-09-07620 09-01695) Rizalina L. Seachon) Lanete	Requested additional time to submit comment but did not submit comment.
				09-01895) Edgar L. Valdez	CL returned to the team as addressee is
				G-09-07579	24.250) Jinggoy Ejercito	unknown at his given address. Did not reply to the team's request for
				F-09-09579	24.250) Estrada	confirmation.
				09-02392 09-06341		Robert Raymund M. Estrella	
				G-09-08134	8.730 182.845	Samuel M. Dangwa	
				Total	102.040		
				Of the total	reported	expenses, P2.265	Million was used for administrative cost.
				TRC			
				Philippines,	Montru		of farm implements from Nutrigrowth TNU Trading. The procured items were
							sactions are questionable for the following
				reasons:			
				transacti Trading o	ons. Mo did not co	ontrude Trading s	uppliers of NABCOR with questionable submitted questionable documents, TNU ns with TRC, while Nutrigrowth could not be
				productio Consider	on packa ing the o by one	ages (APPs) while questionable transa	med receipt of 143 sets of agricultura e four denied receipt of the said items ctions of the purported suppliers, the items rist could have come from other projects o
					Muni	cipality	Address
				Lopez J	aena		Misamis Occidental
				MAO-Ma Alubijid	alungon		Sarangani Misamis Oriental
				Montevi	sta		Compostela Valley
				Of the total	reported	expenses, ₽2.167	Million was used for administrative cost.
							ponsoring legislators on the validity of thei to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M P)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				D-08-09789 D-08-09558	36.000	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of his signatures and that of his authorized representative.
				08-01698	I	Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				Total	94.500		

	Pr	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks				
			K	aagapay Magpakailan Found	lation, Inc (KMFI)				
NABCOR	3	13.386	3						
TRC	4	34.650	3	It was issued business per					
Unliquidated	d in Ful			City Governments of C registered with SEC. This N					
TRC	5	42.720	4	the following addresses:	ie e rependalj operatea at				
Total	12	90.756		• 339 Quezon Avenue, Qu	ezon City				
					y the Team on Jan. 28, address is also the address of e.	one of its suppliers, C.C			
				• 134 Condominium Chate	au Valle Verde, C5, Ugong, P	asig City			
				Inspection of the new address on Jan. 21, 2011 disclosed that the place is a residential unit without any NGO signage. The unit was closed at the time of inspection.					
				The NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team.					
				NABCOR					
				The projects covered proc and seedlings from S & A P	urement of LTKs from C.C. B lant Nursery.	arredo Publishing House			
					their transactions with this dered questionable for the following the fo				
				• S & A Plant Nursery has	no permit to operate; and				
				As discussed earlier (I	rredo Publishing House were (KMFI), the supplier is usir another ATP which is be	ng three ATPs covering			
				None of the recipient 19 M the items with the following	unicipal and 37 Barangay Offi results of confirmation:	cials confirmed receipt o			
				 Four Municipal Mayors receiving the items; 	and 12 Barangay Captain	s categorically denied			
				Municipal Mayor	Municipality	Address			
				Cornelius Ocav	Duero	D I I			
						Cornelius Ocay Duero Bohol			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla	Jagna	Bohol			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia Marfrancisco, Pinamalayan	Bohol Bohol Bohol Or. Mindoro			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino Manuel V. Clemente	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia	Bohol Bohol Bohol			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino Manuel V. Clemente Fidel La Fuente	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia Marfrancisco, Pinamalayan San Jose	Bohol Bohol Bohol Or. Mindoro			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino Manuel V. Clemente Fidel La Fuente Dandy F. Fella	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia Marfrancisco, Pinamalayan San Jose Sta. Maria	Bohol Bohol Bohol Or. Mindoro			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino Manuel V. Clemente Fidel La Fuente Dandy F. Fella Lerma Manrique Eliseo Macatangay	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia Marfrancisco, Pinamalayan San Jose	Bohol Bohol Bohol Or. Mindoro			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino Manuel V. Clemente Fidel La Fuente Dandy F. Fella Lerma Manrique Eliseo Macatangay Ambay, Antero M.	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia Marfrancisco, Pinamalayan San Jose Sta. Maria Pagalagala (not a BC) Former Brgy. Capt San Juan, Bulalacao,	Bohol Bohol Dor. Mindoro Roxas, Or. Mindoro Or. Mindoro			
				Fortunato R. Abrenilla Miguelito B. Galendez Henrietta L. Gan Alberto M. Magsino Manuel V. Clemente Fidel La Fuente Dandy F. Fella Lerma Manrique Eliseo Macatangay	Jagna Garcia-Hernandez Valencia Marfrancisco, Pinamalayan San Jose Sta. Maria Pagalagala (not a BC) Former Brgy. Capt San Juan,	Bohol Bohol Bohol Or. Mindoro Roxas, Or. Mindoro			

	Pr	ojects	No.										
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	lors									
				Municipal Mayor	Mu	nicipality	Address						
				Mansalapuz, Efren	Poblacion		Bansud, Or. Mindoro						
				Miciano, Raul M. Nine confirmation letter	Papandayan		Pinamalayan, Or. Mindor						
				 already passed away; and Validation from the list of Punong Barangays for CYs 2007 to 2010 that nine alleged incumbent officials were not the Barangay Captains period of distribution. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the valid signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following 									
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M P)	Legislato		Results of Confirmation						
				08-00826 4.656 Alfons	o V. Umali, Jr.		id not reply to the team's						
					Relson L. Jala nuel Joel J. Villa		quest for confirmation.						
				TOTAL 13.386	INGEL JUCE J. VIIIA	lucva							
				transactions of this su	House, of whi ed to this NC her livelihood ed unliquidate d under our le s of audit date. t these transa ng House cc Million. How pplier were c	ch, P3.500 Milli GO by TRC in and developm d in full. The tter dated May actions are que infirmed its tra vever, as discu- onsidered ques	on remained liquidated. 2007 and 2008 for the ent projects amounting submission of all the: 30, 2012, but such reque stionable for the following						
				 permits. Morever, it was BIR but covering overlagestablishments; Of the four projects, or 	is using three apping series, hly one was su barangays, l h the following	different ATPs and another AT upported with lis nowever, confir results of confir	med receipt of the item rmation:						
				Brgy. Capatain	Barangay		Address						
				Molly Monares	Aranda Poblacion 6	Hinigaran, Negro La Castellana, N							
				Rafael Palacios. Anthony Javelosa	Poblacion 6 Aguisan	Himamalayan Ci							
				Domingo Cañon	San Jose	Binalbagan, Neg							
				 Four confirmation le are unknown at their Confirmation by the Tear signatures on the docume 	given address	ses. onsoring legisla							

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			R	emarks
				SARO	Amt	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				(ROCS) 07-07402	(M₽) 4.500	Al Francis C. Bichara	Confirmed authenticity of signatures in the
				07-07208		Reno G. Lim	documents submitted by the NGO Did not reply to the team's request for
				08-00129 07-00395	13.500 3.150	Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	confirmation. Deceased.
				Unliquidate	34.650 d in Eul		
				07-02107		Edgardo M. Chatto	Confirmed his signature in his letter to TRC interposing no objection for this NGO to implement the project but denied signing MOA
				07-03009 D-07-03368		Salacnib F. Beterina	and WFP. Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				D-07-03195 07-00394	4.800 4.800	Joey D. Hizon Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	Deceased
				Total	42.720 77.370		
		People	e's Orgar	nization for P	rogres	s and Dev't Founda	tion, Inc. (POPDFI)
NABCOR	1	24.250	1	This NGO	was no	ot included in the lis	t of registered NGOs published in the SEC
TRC	6	26.100	6	website bu	t was i	ssued business perr	nits to operate during CYs 2007 to 2009. It was reportedly operating at Block
					did not by the ⊺		At the time of inspection on February 14, 2011, the unit, which is located within the residential area, was closed. According to the
				The project sets from T of NABCOF	s cove NU Tra R funds	ading. This supplier of but not out of TRC f	
				reasons:			sactions are questionable for the following
				covering	the s		IU Trading was using three different ATPs abers and its license to operate as area abruary 4, 2007; and
				Purchase	es amo	ounting to ₽2.626 Mil	lion were also not supported with receipts.
							ties of NABCOR and individual recipients of of these transactions due to the following:
				 The thread structure allegedly 			of NABCOR denied receiving the items

	Pr	ojects	No.								
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Rema	rks			
					Mayor	. r	Municipal	lity	٨٨	dress	
				Bartolor	me Ramos		Sta. Maria		Au Bulacan	uless	
				Wilfredo	o R. Asoy,	Jr.	Dinas		Zamboanga del		
				Anam H	I. Damma	ng	Mabuhay	Ž	Zamboanga Sibu	ugay	
				denying recipien decease who cou that aff question benefici To further to provide that out of	receipt tts are e ed, or cc nfirmed r fixed in nable tra aries cou establish address f 676 pu	of the iter ither unkno ponfirmation I receipt of th the subm ansactions uld have been the identiti res of 1,104 rported reci	ns from this win or moved letters remai e items distri- itted distribu- of the supp en from other les of the ber l alleged ber pients from t	s NGO. d out at ined unc ibuted, 4 ution lis plier, the r projects neficiarie neficiarie	TRC, 56 so fa On the othe their given a claimed. Of the 4 used differed st. Moreover, e items confi s of the govern es, the Team n es. So far, 26 pective munic	r hand, 4 ddresses e 11 bene nt signatu consider irmed by nment. requested replied di	41 othe or par eficiarie res froi ring th the 1 40 EC isclosin
				were regis	tered vol	ters as tabu	lated below:				
				CADO			A			No. of	Regis
				SARO			Area			Benefi- ciaries	terec Vote
					Rosales	, Pangasinan				20	1
				07-07214	Santa M	aria, Pangasi	nan			19	14
				07-07429		Pangasinan In Glan Kian	nba & Malapata	an Saran	aani	18 43	2
				07-07427		nuel & Tayug,		an, Salan	iyan	56	3
				07-08654	Bangar, Juan La		Sudipen, Sant	tol, Luna,	Baloaan & San	125	8
				07-09157		Kibungan, La Ikun & Bokod		nkayan, S	ablan, Tublay,	283	18
				08-00638		Davao del S				112	(
						1	Fotal			676	36
				Confirmati	on by th	e Team fro locuments s	m the spons	soring le	not even estal gislators on th n yielded the f Results of Cor	ne validity ollowing re	
				08-00638	2.700	Marc Dougla IV		MOA, e activities	d authenticity c endorsement le but did not r ng confirmation r	etter and eply to th	proje
				07-08654	2.700	Victor Franci Ortega	isco C.	Neither c on the do	onfirmed nor de cuments but sou to check a	enied his s ught assista	ance fro
				07-07214		Conrado M.	Estrella III	Did not	reply to the	team's red	quest fo
				07-07534	1 500	Robert Rayn Estrella	nund M.	confirmati	ion.		
				07-09157		Samuel M. D	Dangwa				
				07-07429	4.500	Erwin L. Chi	ongbian				
				Total NABCOR	26.100						
					1	1					
				08-01347	24.250	Juan Ponce			d authenticity of authorized Rep		

	Pro	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
		M	asagana	ng Ani Para sa Magsasaka Foundation, Inc. (MAMFI)
NABCOR	12	195.455	5	
rrc	9	34.470	5	This NGO was registered with SEC and was issued business permits to operate during CYs 2007 to 2009.
NLDC	13	247.108	6	business permits to operate during CT3 2007 to 2009.
VLDC [13 34	247.108 477.033	6	Image: State Stat
				 TNU Trading confirmed its transactions only for funds transferred by NABCOF and was using three different ATPs covering the same series of numbers. Nutrigrowth Philippines could not be located by the Team at its given address and has no permit to operate. Confirmation letter for Lucky L.M.L. was returned to the Team as this was unclaimed. This supplier has also no permits to operate. Reported disbursements to TRC amounting to P8.519 Million for the procurement of 275 agricultural seeds under SARC No. 07-09368 and 07-07211 were not supported with receipts. NABCOR Recipients Procurements out of funds transferred by NABCOR were reportedly distributed to 31 municipalities and various individual recipients. Confirmation from recipients further casts doubt on the validity of these transactions as discussed below:

	Pr	ojects	No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Rem	narks	
				Mouer	Municipality	Address
				Mayor Rodolfo Y. Gantuangco	Municipality Kidapwan City	Cotabato
				Constantino Jaraula	Caq. de Oro City	Colabalo
				Ceasar C. Soriano (in behalf of Perliza Soriano, former Mayor)	Siocon	Zamboanga del Norte
				Rudy Mariscal	Sta. Maria	Davao del Sur
				Alejandra/ Malla Pablo Supnet	Natividad	Pangasinan
				Teresita Pilingen - MAO	San Gabriel	La Union
				Celedonio V. Sonido - MAO	Luna	La Union
				Benito D. Siadto	Kibungan	Benguet
				Edwin Pascua (in behalf of Ting, Delfin)	Tuguegarao	Cagayan
				Jaime C. Villanueva	Tiwi	Albay
				Eulalia Llarenas - MAO	Bacnotan	La Union Ronguet
				Florencio V. Bentrez Pedrito Nataa	Tuba Manukan	Benguet Zamboanga del Norte
				Edgardo Linsag	Magpet	N. Cotabato
				Francisco Collado - MAO	Umingan	Pangasinan
				Edgardo Linsag	Magpet	N. Cotabato
				 While the Municipal Mayor of Don Ma of the items, the list of recipients subrilist submitted by the NGO. None of the recipients on the list su Marcelino confirmed receipt of the i denying receipt of any items. Of the 267 selected individual ben liquidation report of the NGO, only 8 cd denied receiving any items while 156 have given insufficient addresses, or considering the questionable status received by eight beneficiaries in less projects of the government. A number of recipients have been gi addresses and signatures. 	nitted to the Team abmitted by the Mu tems distributed wi eficiaries from the onfirmed receipt and are unknown at the deceased prior to p of the suppliers, ser quantities may	was different from the inicipal Mayor of Dor ith three categorically list attached to the d in lesser quantity, 19 ir given addresses, o roject implementation the items confirmed have been from othe
				Procurements out of these funds were re 572 individual recipients. Confirmation fr the validity of these transactions as discu	rom the recipients f	
				A number of recipients have been gi addresses and signatures.	ven as many as fo	our kits using differen
				None of the 56 recipient barangays c date.	confirmed receipt of	the items as of audi
				 Out of 106 individual recipients, only 1 denying receipt of the items. The 6 oth 3 items allegedly distributed. Cons supplier, the items confirmed received other projects of the government. 	ners confirmed rece	ipt of only 1 out of the onable status of the
				Twenty-three other recipients were un given insufficient addresses.	nknown at their give	en addresses, or have

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Rema	rks
				assistance (PESs) disc voters of the established Confirmatio	of EOs closed t eir respe n by the	Confirmation from 1 hat out of 507 listed active municipalities. Th e Team from the spon:	beneficiaries, the Team requested the 3 EOs/Provincial Election Supervisors beneficiaries, only 217 were registered he identities of 290 others were not even soring legislators on the validity of their the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M P)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				TRC	<i>i</i>		
				07-07842	1.800	Rodolfo G. Valencia	Confirmed authenticity of his signatures in the
				08-00576	1.800		letter designating MAMFI as project implementor, MOA and Project Proposal for ROCS-07-07842.
				07-07211 07-09368 08-00123		Rizalina L. Seachon- Lanete	Request additional time within which to comment but did not submit her comments.
				07-07630		Amado S. Bagatsing	Did not reply to the team's request for
				07-08686	2.700	Emmanuel Joel J.	confirmation.
				07-08703		Villanueva	
				D-08-07772	9.000	Conrado M. Estrella III	
				Total	34.470		
				NABCOR			
				08-05254		Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of his signatures and
				08-05660	14.550		that of his authorized representative in all documents submitted by the NGO
				07-08555 08-07211	11.640	Juan Ponce Enrile	Confirmed authenticity of signatures of his
				09-00804	14.550		authorized representatives in all documents
				08-05216	19.400		submitted by the NGO.
				08-03727	14.550	Rizalina L. Seachon- Lanete	Request additional time to comment but has not submitted her comments yet
				08-06025	16.490		Did not reply to the team's request for
				09-02770	9.700		confirmation.
				08-01697	24.250		
				08-03116 08-03854	18.915	Rodolfo G. Valencia	-
				Total	195.455		
					170.100	1	
				NLDC			
				The project			s and other livelihood kits from TNU
				Trading;Training	and	Ū.	S and other inventional Mts from TNU
				Documents reasons:	disclos	ed that these transact	ions are questionable for the following
				covering	the sam		th this NGO and was using three ATPs b license to operate as area distributor of 07.
				trainings	in Saraı		s, its capability to conduct simultaneous Pangasinan, Batangas and Bulacan is una.
							s further casts doubt on the validity of recipients acknowledged receipt of kits

	Pr	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators				Rem	narks	
				while only	three c	onfirmed	attendance	e to train	ings. Moreover, nine Mayor
				categorically					
					Mayor		Munic	ipality	Address
				Bony Tacio			Sabian		Benguet
				Benito Siadto)		Kibungan		
				Francisco Be			Tuba		
				Bartolome R			Sta. Maria		Bulacan
				Jose Arpilled			Madrid		Surigao del Norte
				MAO Gil Esc			Cortes		Surigao del Sur
				MAO Sonido			Luna	Cinquet	La Union
				Datu Ibrahim Datu Ramon			Datu Biak T. Upi	Sinsual	Shariff Kabunsuan
					A. Platty,	, 31.	Upi		
				the three b referring to reported exp Confirmation signatures o	eneficiar other propenses, I to by the n the do	ries who rojects be ⊇3.554 Mi Team fro	confirmed eing impler illion was u	attendanc nented by sed for ad nsoring leg	ble transactions of the supplie e in training may have been the government. Of the tol ministrative cost. gislators on the validity of the h yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legi	islator	F	Results of Confirmation
				09-04996	19.400	Juan Ponc	e Enrile	Confirmed	authenticity of his signature and
				09-02357	38.800	Ramon B.	Revilla Jr.		zed representative in all documents
				09-04953	29.100				by the NGO
				09-04258	14.550	Edgar L. V	aldez		d to the team as the addressee is t given address.
				09-01612	19 400	Jinggoy Eje	ercito		reply to the team's request fo
				09-02769	29.100		STORO	confirmatio	
				G-09-07076	30.070				
				G-09-07579	24.250				
				09-03619		Erwin L. Cl	niongbian		
				09-06331	24.202		5		
				09-03030	2.425	Rodolfo G.	Plaza		
				09-06339	7.275				
				D-09-04013	4.850				
				Total	247.108				
		Cour	trywide 4	Agri and Rural	Econor	nic Dev't	(CARED) F	oundatio	n Inc
NLDC	4	101.850					(0/ 1122) 1	cuntuatio	
Unliquidate		-		51 3.4		This N	IGO was is	sued busi	iness permit to operate durin
				T	RE	CYs 2	007 to 200)9 and wa	is registered with SEC. It wa
TRC	16	144.890	10	- Here		reporte	edly operat	ing at 14-	O Samson St., Brgy. Baritar
Total	20	246.740	12			Malab	on City		
					Same in				this unit is a mere shant ne of the incorporators.
				with Mr. Ro Foundation	oman Bri and clair It did no	iones dis ned that f ot also co	closed that they were ju onfirm its tra	t he was ust recruite	ner Foundation, AMFI. Intervien no longer connected with th ed by Ms. Sula, the President of and did not submit additiona

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remarks	
				NLDC		
				The projects covered:		
					ng, and	
				 Training by Ditchon Tradi Procurement of small ag from TNU Trading. 		and a number other livelihood kits
				Documents disclosed that reasons:	these transactions	are questionable for the following
				 the transactions of this su While Ditchon Trading cr based in Biñan, Laguna, 	upplier were consider confirmed its transac which is far from the allegedly provided	ns. As discussed earlier (SDPFFI), red questionable. tion with the NGO, this supplier is e Province of La Union, its alleged meals and venue along with other
				other livelihood kits were of from the recipients further of following reasons:	distributed to 5,750 casts doubt on the v pient Municipalities of	buted to 6 municipalities while all individual recipients. Confirmation alidity of these transactions for the of small scale agricultural livelihood
					Municipality	Address
				Mayor Leonardo Lopez	Asingan	Pangasinan
				Meynardo Solomon	Boac	Marinduque
				Primitivo Natura	Balaoan	La Union
				Alfredo Sasutana	Pantukan	Compostela Valley
				 farm implements, fertilize of acceptance for foliar fe beneficiaries is different documents. Thus, the be the government. Six beneficiaries denied 	rs and farming tools rtilizers were submit from the distribution eficiary may have l attendance to train mers are either unkr	sipt of a complete set consisting of , only distribution list and certicate ted. Moreover, the submitted list of on list attached to the liquidation been referring to another project of hing and receipt of kits allegedly nown at their given addresses, or ifficient addresses
				The listed beneficiaries o	f all other livelihood	kits are either incomplete, have no
				proof of receipt of the iter		bmitted list. There is, therefore, no neficiaries.
				proof of receipt of the iter Of the total reported expen Confirmation by the Team	ns by the alleged be ses, P 1.500 Million from the sponsoring	
				proof of receipt of the iter Of the total reported expen Confirmation by the Team signatures on the document	ns by the alleged be ses, P 1.500 Million from the sponsoring	neficiaries. was used for administrative cost. legislators on the validity of their
				proof of receipt of the iter Of the total reported expen Confirmation by the Team signatures on the document SARO Amt (ROCS) (M P) L	ns by the alleged be ses, ₽1.500 Million from the sponsoring s submitted to the To egislator o M. Estrella III Did r	neficiaries. was used for administrative cost. legislators on the validity of their eam yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS) Amt (M P) L 09-04684 14.550 F-09-09577 Conrade	ns by the alleged be ses, ₽1.500 Million from the sponsoring s submitted to the To egislator o M. Estrella III Did r confir nnce Enrile Confir repres	neficiaries. was used for administrative cost. legislators on the validity of their earn yielded the following results: Results of Confirmation ot reply to the team's request for

	Pr	ojects	No.										
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Rema	arks						
				TRC									
				The funds transferred in 2007, intended for the implementation of 16 projects amounting to P144.890 Million, remained unliquidated. The submission of liquidation documents was requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012 but such request remained unacted upon as of audit date. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:									
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation						
				07-00046		Douglas R. A. Cagas	Denied signing all documents submitted by the NGO.						
				07-00861 07-00580 07-05450	9.600 9.600 9.600		Confirmed authenticity of signatures in MOAs covering ROCS-07-00861 and ROCS-07- 05450 and letter to Mr. Ortiz from this NGO to implement the project covered by SARO ROCS-07-05450 but denied having signed all other documents.						
				07-04618	19.200	Juan Ponce Enrile	Confirmed authenticity of signatures of his authorized representative in all the documents submitted by the NGO						
				07-00486	1.890	Arrel R. Olaño	Cannot determine the authenticity of the signatures in the documents as he cannot find the original or photocopies of documents.						
				07-00699		Rizalina L. Seachon- Lanete	Requested additional time to comment but did not submit her comments.						
				07-00714 07-03006 D 07-05872	4.800 14.400 9.600	Manuel C. Ortega	Need to countercheck 2007 records including original copies of documents placed on archive.						
				07-03011 07-00676 07-03453	9.600 18.240	Conrado M. Estrella III Erwin L. Chiongbian	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.						
				07-03078 07-02040	9.600 0.920	Samuel M. Dangwa							
				07-03314 Total	2.880 144.890	Antonio M. Serapio	Deceased						
			Buki	d Tanglaw Liv	velihood	Foundation, Inc. (B)	TLFI)						
NABCOR	2	8.730	2	U		•	/as issued business permit to operate for						
DA-RFU III	3	10.500	2	CYs 2008 a	ind 2009	by the City Governm	nent of Caloocan but did not declare any						
Total	5	19.230	4				as to issuance of permit for CY 2007.						
				It was repor	tedly ope	erating at the following	addresses:						
				• 2346 Jua	n Luna S	St., Gagalangin, Tondo	o, Manila						
							address on February 4, 2011 disclosed ant lot storing MWSI equipment.						
				This address, which is reflected in its MOA, is also the same address being used by Aaron Foundation Phils., Inc.									
				No. 417, 10 th Ave., East, Caloocan City.									
				This is DA-RFU III	the Under	address reflected this address, it was	d in its receipt issued to s issued business permits by the City						

10	Pr	ojects	No.		Damand		
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remark	S	
				Government of Calooc	an for CYs 2008 to 200	09.	
				The Team's confirmat Post Office as the NG			however, returned by the
				NABCOR			
				These projects involve Trading for distribution			hand tractors from HUB
				considered questionab	le. The supplier has n aloocan in CYs 2007 f	o business p to 2009 and t	O, these transactions are ermit to operate from the he submitted distribution
				municipalities;			nts of their purported
							rs on the validity of their ed the following results:
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M ₽)	Legislator	Resul	ts of Confirmation
					riano U. Piamonte, Jr. co Basilio A. Fabian	Did not reply confirmation.	to the team's request for
				DA-RFU III			
				The projects covered shown in the following		izers and oth	ner farm implements as
				SARO No.	Items		Amount (in M)
				07-03570	Liquid Fertilizers		₽ 5.973
				07-05082 SARO No.	Farm Implements Items		1.245 Amount (in M)
					Palay seeds		0.100
				00 00201	Vegetable seeds		0.100
				08-00381	Liquid Fertilizers Sprayers		<u>1.529</u> 0.060
					Hand tractors		0.140
				supplied by the No licensed handlers o the BPLO of Calooc J. Chaper Enterprise J. Chaper Enterprise Government of Que	GO. Both the NGO a f fertilizers. Moreover, can City. All the other i es; and ses was issued perm	and J. Chape the BTLFI di- tems were als nit to operate rmation letter	Enterprises or directly er Enterprises were not d not report any sales to so reportedly supplied by e in 2006 from the City to this supplier was also iven address.
				Confirmation from the these transactions on a			doubt on the validity of

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remarks						
				 five barangays of Arayat, Pa no Barangays Anao and Me one barangay chairman con informed the Team of his av items, allegedly distributed identified recipients; Out of the 350 recipients of I so far, confirmed receipt wi hand, 50 others are unknown Out of 143 recipients of farr were confirmed by the Team items. The other 44 recipient Considering, however, the que NGO, the items confirmed rece projects of the government. Confirmation by the Team from 	mpanga of whic xico within the firmed the resi- vareness on the . The Team is iquid fertilizers of th one denying n at their given a n implements u m, of which 47 s are yet to repl stionable transa ived by the bene-	0381 were reportedly distributed to the only three are existing. There are Municipality of Arayat. Of the three, idency of all the 23 recipients and e distribution of only one, out of five is still awaiting reply from the 23 under SARO No. 07-03570, only 13, receipt of the items. On the other iddresses; and ander SARO No. 07-05082, only 91 recipients confirmed receipt of the y to the Team's confirmation. ctions of both the suppliers and the efficiaries may have come from other g legislators on the validity of their feam yielded the following results:					
				SARO Amt (in L (ROCS) M₽)	egislator	Results of Confirmation					
				07-03570 6.000 Rodante D 07-05082 2.500 08-00381 2.000 Aurelio D. Total 10.500		Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.					
	I			unshine Development Coopera	tive (SDC)						
DA-RFU V	1	20.000	1		rom CYs 2007	ed by the Municipality of Pangpang, -2009. It did not also confirm its ments requested by the Team.					
				DA-RFU V							
				The project reportedly covered	procurement an	d distribution of vegetable seeds.					
				Evaluation of the documents, questionable for the following re-		osed that these transactions were					
				submitted to the Team. The Receipt issued by the Coope	liquidation repo rative itself.	I as there were no receipts/invoices rt was supported only with Delivery					
				 The receipt issued by the Cooperative was not valid as it did not reflect the authority to print issued by the BIR, the name of the printer of the receipts, and the serial numbers authorized to be printed. 							
				• While the beneficiaries are from the 2 nd District of Sorsogon, the Cooperative is located within the 1 st District of Sorsogon which is not convenient for the beneficiaries.							
				The reported distribution we as tabulated in the next page		or less than the reported deliveries,					

IA	Pro	ojects	No.		D	emarks	6					
	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		K	emark	5					
									Quantity			
				Seedling	c	Pack- aging	Unit Price	Repo Pro- cured	Distri- buted	Shortage/ (Excess)		
				Ampalaya Jade Star 100	Ampalaya Jade Star 100g Can 98 Cabbage Apo Verde 25g Can 46							
				Cabbage Apo Verde 25	904 1778	46 222						
				Eggplant Morena 50g Can 1,040.00 1900 1795								
				Pechay Pavo 100g Sitao Galante 100g		Can Can	70.00	1200 2000	1142 1861	58 139		
				Snap Beans, Baguio No	rman	Can	75.00	1500	1408	92		
				Tomato Diamante 25pcs 250pcs & 250g)	c (nor IAP	Packs	44.00	2000	1874	126		
				Tomato Diamante 25g		Can	1,250.00	200	219	(19)		
				Upo Tambuli 250g		Can Can	310.00	800 0	1501 40	(701) (40)		
				Sitrus		Call		0	40	(40)		
				already dead. The rest did not submit their reply. This project was implemented from the allocation of Cong. Jose Solis covered I the following SAROs:								
				SARO No.	Amoun				marks			
				ROCS-07-09351 ROCS-08-03728	₽ 5,00	0.000.0		ne to recei	ve the lett	er.		
				Total		0,000.0	-					
			Gab	oaymasa Development Fo	oundation, Inc.	(GDF	I)					
NABCOR	3	14.550	3									
TRC	3	16.200	2	This NGO has no bus operate during CYs 20			201		-	NIZE.		
Unliquidate	d in Full			was not registered w			- 6.	A		-		
TRC	6	66.132	5	reportedly operating at		ion	2 Para		- Contraction			
Total	12	96.882		St., BF Homes, Quezon	City		14			A Louis		
				At the time of deliver letter, there was no pe sent through LBC. The submit the additional do	rson available NGO, however	to rece , did ne	ot confirm	its trans				
				NABCOR The projects covered procurement of:								
				Seedlings from MarinOffice supplies from H			and Dor	nonar Ag	riventure	s; and		
				Documents disclosed th reasons:	nat these transa	actions	s were qu	estionabl	e for the	e following		
				• All suppliers denied having transacted business with this NGO, issuing the receipts/invoices and receiving the corresponding payments.								
				These alleged suppli are far from the proje						ay, Leyte		

	Pro	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				Confirmation from the reported recipients further casts doubt on the validity of the transactions due to the following:
				 None of the 684 beneficiaries from the Provinces of Northern Samar, Eastern Samar and Albay confirmed receipt of items delivered with two categorically denying receipt of the items distributed. The Barangay Captains of Northern Samar informed the Team that the listed four recipients were not residents of their respective barangays. Twenty-one other recipients are unknown at their given addresses. The distribution lists did not indicate the items and quantity received by each recipient.
				Of the total reported expenses, P0.739 Million was used for administrative expenses representing procurement of fuel and office supplies.
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (iM P) Legislator Results of Confirmation
				08-03828 4.850 Emil L. Ong Still checking records on file to properly address the concern.
				08-03725 4.850 Reno G. Lim Did not reply to the team's request for 07-07743 4.850 Teodulo M. Coquilla confirmation confirmation confirmation
				TRC
				 The projects covered conduct of various livelihood trainings. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons: The liquidation reports were not supported with receipts/invoices and the expenses allegedly incurred were indicated only in the Reports of Independent Accountant in lump sum amounts as follows:
				Items Amount
				Balloon Craft ₽ 3,190,000 Food & Hand Massage 450,000
				Hair Cutting 1,150,000
				Hair Perming & Dyeing 1,400,000
				Hair Spa 1,248,000 Hand & Foot Spa Massage 750,000
				Massage & Aroma Therapy 350,000
				Meat Processing 3,458,500 Pastry Making 250,000
				Shiatsu 850,000
				Thai Massage 750,000
				Whole Body Massage 2,353,500 Total ₽ 16,200,000
				 Funds transferred for the implementation of six projects in the amount of P66.132 Million remained unliquidated. The submission of these documents was requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012 but remained unacted upon as of audit date. The project components cannot be established.
				The project components cannot be established.

	Pi	ojects	No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remarks				
				were confir on the val participation addresses. The Team the benefic listed benef The identitie Confirmation	med. Co lidity of n in trai also req iaries. Ir ficiaries, es of all on by the	onfirmation from the purport the transfers. None of the nings with 18 unlocated as uested the assistance of EC normation gathered from 4 only 498 are registered vote other participants are, there e Team from the sponsorin	by 2,486 individuals, of which 165 ted beneficiaries further casts doubt as beneficiaries, so far, confirmed as they were unknown at their given Do to further establish the identity of EOs also disclosed that of the 940 ers of their respective municipalities. fore, totally unknown. Ing legislators on the validity of their ream yielded the following results:			
				SARO	Amt	Legislator	Results of Confirmation			
				(ROCS) 07-08762	(M ₽) 3.600	Eduardo C. Zialcita	Confirmed authenticity of signatures in all documents except for Accomplishment Report Form and Certificate of Acceptance of Training Kits which he neither confirmed nor denied			
				07-00172	3.600		Confirmed authenticity of signatures in the documents requested for confirmation.			
				08-00296		Eufrocino M. Codilla, Sr.	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.			
				Unliquidate 07-03383		Jurdin Jesus M. Romualdo	Claims that signatures appearing in the			
							documents submitted by the NGO were all forgeries.			
				07-03046 07-00447 07-03646		Eufrocino M. Codilla, Sr. Clavel A. Martinez	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.			
				07-03040	8.820	Nerissa Corazon C. Soon-Ruiz Uliran T. Joaquin	-			
				Total	82.332					
			Dr. Ro	odolfo A. Igna	acio, Sr.	Foundation, Inc. (DRAISF	1)			
NABCOR	1	9.700	1		was not	registered with SEC but is	sued permits			
TRC	24	154.922	15	by the City	Govern	ment of Quezon. It was op				
Total	25	164.622		following ac	dresses	5:				
				 34 Rical Toro, Qu 	rdo St. Iezon Ci	Hayville Subdivision, Projecty (as reflected in 2007 MO	ect 6, Bahay A)			
				The confirmation letter being served at this address was not received by the present occupant as they were not aware of such NGO.						
				93 Celery St., Valle Verde 5, Brgy. Ugong, Pasig City (as reflected in the NGOs 2009 OR)						
				Million and selection of	d comple f project		tof P164.621 ned that the manner and criteria for ficiaries are in accordance with the			

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				They further claimed that documents such as receipts and Invoices were forwarded to TLRC upon liquidation.
				NABCOR
				 The project covered the conduct of livelihood training with distribution of financial assistance. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable as the very existence of the suppliers cannot be established as discussed below: V. Dela Cuesta Enterprises, PDL Screen Printing, Accutech Communications and VCM Bytes Computer cannot be located at their given addresses as they have reportedly moved out from the place. These suppliers were also not issued business permits to operate by the City Government of Quezon. PDL Screen Printing was using receipts not prescribed by the BIR as the ATP and authorized series of numbers to be printed were not indicated therein. The very existence of the 270 individual beneficiaries from the 3rd Congressional District of Bohol, cannot also be established for the following reasons: The specific addresses of the alleged beneficiaries were not disclosed: Of the 19 Municipal Mayors and EOs requested to provide the complete address of the listed beneficiaries, only two Municipal Mayors replied, all denying that the alleged beneficiaries, only 72 were found registered voters in their respective localities. The Team also noted that the training materials, for a training presumably conducted within the 3rd Congressional District of Bohol, were reportedly provided by establishments allegedly operating within Quezon City. The projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Adam Relson L. Jala covered by SARO No. ROCS 08-04380 amounting to P9.700 million. The legislator has yet to reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				TRC
				 The projects covered livelihood trainings with distribution of financial assistance. Documents disclosed that the validity of these transactions are questionable for the following reasons: The NGO's disbursement vouchers were not supported with receipts, SIs, and DRs. These were merely supported with Acknowledgment Receipts (ARs) purportedly issued by the payees without indicating the establishments they are representing and their respective addresses; Payments for various types of expenses to different payees amounting to P45.312 Million and materials amounting to P45.312 Million were acknowledged received by only two persons; Around P624,000 was allegedly paid for training venues when, the attached liquidation reports disclosed that the venues were Municipal/City Multi-Purpose Gym, City Hall, Schools and other Municipal government-owned facilities; and Trainings undertaken in Oriental Mindoro and within the 6th District of Manila were supported with the same pictures.

	Pro	ojects	No.									
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks								
				 financial assis The amou indicated in Two thousa list of partic participants Five thousa addresses. Out of 5,32 	and one-hundred-seventy-nine cipants while two other projec s; and five-hundred-twenty-five s	question eceived e alleged cts were alleged 1,736 ar	able for the folk by each partic participants did not even support beneficiaries h e registered vo	owing reasons: cipant was not d not sign in the orted with list of ave no specific				
				SARO	Area		No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voter				
				07-02885	Malabon		396	1				
					Navotas		296	5				
				07-03098	5th District of Manila		123	0				
				07-04162			124	0				
				07-03228	4th District of Cebu		434	12				
				07-03251 07-07221	4 th District of Bulacan Agoo, Luna, & San Juan, La Unio Calapan & Victoria, Ori. Mindoro	on; Baco,	62 1,512	0 1,153				
				07-07381	Taguig City		171	71				
				08-00191			274	104				
				07-08631	Malasiqui		70	Cannot provide				
				07-08978	San Carlos City 6th District of Manila		67 221	<u>35</u> 0				
				07-08978	Dimiao, Loboc		330	177				
					Prov. of Surigao del Norte		255	No data				
				08-03024	Prov. of Oriental Mindoro		291	82				
					Naval, Culaba, and Caibiran, Biliran		264	52				
				08-03129	Pasig		431	14				
					5th District of Manila			13				
					Taguig City Total		5,321	1,736				
				Biliran, info conducted b out of 264 I Naval and r Of the 37 cc attendance in livelihood kits and receipt of	pal Mayors of Naval, Culaba rmed the Team that they wer by this NGO within their respe isted beneficiaries from these one from the two other Munici infirmation replies received b the training, of which 33 de One reported participant der the livelihood kits. Considerin tions, the 35 trainings attend	e not av ective ten Municip ipalities. by the T enied re- nied both ug, howe	vare of any live ritories. They a alities, only 52 Geam, only 36 ceiving financia h his attendanc ver, the questio	elihood trainings lso claimed that are residents of confirmed their al assistance or e in the training nable validity of				
				been funded f Of the total expenses. Co of their signat results: SARO (ROCS) (rom other sources. reported expenses, ₽7.906 nfirmation by the Team from the ures on the documents subm Amt M ₽) Legislator	Million he spons litted to t	was used for soring legislator	miscellaneous son the validity ed the following				

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Rema	arks
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				07-06623	4.000	Manuel M. Lapid	confirmation on documents kept by the IA, except those with records. originated from his Office. Did not specify which documents originated form his Office
				07-07221 08-03024	28.350 7.200	Juan Ponce Enrile	Confirmed authenticity of signatures of his authorized representatives in all documents submitted by the NGO
				07-07446	4.500	Alvin S. Sandoval	Confirmed authenticity of signatures in all documents. The signed Project Proposal amounting indicated SARO No. ROCS-07- 07450 while it was intended for another legislator.
				07-07648	1.350 3.600	Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr.	Confirmed signature in all documents submitted by the NGO.
				07-02885	10.560	Eederico S. Sandoval II	
				08-00488	3.600	Adam Relson L. Jala	Did not reply to the team's request for
				07-03228 08-00784	14.400 9.000	Clavel A. Martinez	confirmation.
				07-07423 08-00772	4.500	Francisco T. Matugas Glenn A. Chong	
				08-00191	1.800	Honny M. Duoñas Ir	
				07-07381 07-08631	1.800 2.430	Ma. Rachel J. Arenas	
				08-00189 07-07450	3.600	Mar-Len Abigail S. Binay	
				07-03251	3.430		
				07-04136	3.920 3.832	Uliran T. Joaquin	
				07-03098	4.800 4.800		
				D 07-04162 Total	4.800 154.922	-	
				Aaron Fo	undatio	n Phils., Inc. (AFPI)	
NABCOR	4	48.500	4	This NGO v	vas not i	ssued business permit	s by the BPLO of the City Government of
TRC Unliquidate	18 d in Eul	288.720	14	Manila sinc	e CYs 2	004 to present, howeve	er, registered with SEC.
TRC	16	187.690	10		1	2346 Juan Luna Sl	t., Gagalangin, Tondo, Manila
Total	38	524.910	10	1 Martin	34	Increation at nor	anted address on Fahrwary 4 2011
		02					ported address on February 4, 2011 is a vacant lot storing MWSI equipment.
						It did not also cor the additional docu	firm its transactions and did not submit ments being requested by the Team.
				NABCOR			
				liquid fertiliz	zers from	n MJ Rickson Trading (
							actions are questionable as the very ished as discussed in the next page:

	Pro	ojects	No.								
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			F	Remarks				
				 Malabon City; Interviews with the residents within the area disclosed that they never heard of this establishment; Verification from the list of establishments maintained in the barangay hall disclosed that this establishment was not listed therein; This supplier was also not issued business permits by the City Government of Malabon in CY 2008 and is not among the handler of fertilizer licensed by the Fertilizer and Pestecide Authority. Its last business permit issued by the City Government was only for the 2nd Quarter of 2007. The procured items were reportedly distributed to 3,740 individual beneficiaries whose existence was also questionable for the following reasons: None of the selected beneficiaries so far confirmed receipt of the items; Four-hundred-twenty-eight beneficiaries are unknown at their given addresses; Sixty-seven recipients were confirmed by the Barangay Chairmen to be unknown in their respective barangay; and While the projects were allegedly implemented in the 3rd District of Negros Occidental, all beneficiaries are reportedly residents of Camarines Norte as their address and not Negros Occidental. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results: 							
				SARO	Amt	Legislator	Results of Confirmation				
				(ROCS) 08-05174	<u>(M₽)</u> 9.700	Jose Carlos V. Lacson	Confirmed authenticity of signature in request for release of fund to NABCOR but denied having signed the request for release of retention, acceptance report of livelihood materials and list of beneficiaries of livelihood materials. He also clarified that he has no staff in the name of Marianne Ancheta and the Municipality Barangays listed in the List of Beneficiaries are not located in his District.				
				08-05177	9.700	Prospero C. Nograles	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.				
				08-05175		Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation				
				08-05173 Total	19.400 48.500	Eduardo R. Gullas					
				TRC							
				distribution P187.690 M Team for th Documents reasons: • These w P291.75	of livel Million r e submis disclose vere not D Millior	ihood kits. On t emained unliquida ssion of the same. ed that these trans fully documenter	 Million covered livelihood trainings and he other hand, 16 other projects costing ated as of audit date despite request by the sactions are questionable due to the following d. Of the reported procurement of around int from Felta Multi-Media, Inc. amounting to 				

	Pro	ojects	No.										
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks									
				 While Felta Multi-Media, Inc. confirmed its transactions with various NGOs covered in the audit which amounted to P206.1 Million in CY 2008 alone, these transactions were not reported in full to the City Government of Quezon as this supplier reported gross sales of only P25.641 Million in the same year. 									
				• Among the reported expenses, which were, likewise, not documented, are administrative expenses in the amount of P7.700 Million . This is not allowed under existing regulations. These expenses were only supported by undated certificate of Services Rendered.									
				 Funds transferred for 16 development projects in the The submission of liquidation May 30, 2012 but such required 	amount n docun	of ₽18 nents w	7.690 Mi /as reque	Ilion remained unliquidated ested under our letter dated					
				The livelihood trainings were r municipalities and 7 province questionable as tabulated below	s. The (
				Eight-hundred-seventy-five t	peneficia	ries hav	ve no spe	ecific addresses;					
				• Out of 7,524 beneficiaries were, so far, confirmed by 3 their respective municipalitie	7 Munici	pal/City	Mayors	or EOs/PES as residents of					
				Municipalities		No. of							
				with Replies	Benef.	Mun.	Resi- dents	Remarks					
				SARO No.: 08-00618				-					
				Tagum City	450	6	2	Denied attendance and signature in the list					
				Asuncion & Talaingod, Davao del Norte				Not aware of training distribution of livelihood kits					
				Laak & Mabini,Compostela, Compostela Valley			2						
				Davao del Norte			16						
				Municipalities		No. of		Demerler					
				with Replies	Benef.	Mun.	Resi- dents	Remarks					
				SARO No.: 08-00446	1		1						
				Bogo City	576	9	0	Denied the participation in the selection of the beneficiarie and claims that the list of beneficiaries is fictitious.					
				Medellin, Cebu			-	Aware of training but no lisprovided					
				Madridejos 0 Mayor provided diff. list									
				Tabogon			0						
				Cebu Province			1						
				SARO No.: 07-07445 Kidapawan City	720	2	45						
				Matalam, North Cotabato Some Residents denied receip									
				M'lang, N. Cotabato 0									
				Magpet, North Cotabato			0	Aware of the project but denier residency of lister beneficiaries.					

IA	Pro	ojects Amt	No. Legis-				Ren	narks					
	No.	Amt (M P)	lators			Ren	lands						
					SARO No.: 08-00147								
						7		45					
				Solano, N	,		446	15	0				
				Aritao, N.	Vizcaya				-	No participation in selection of beneficiaries			
				SARO No	0.: 07-1077	2				1			
				Buenavist	abonga ar a, Agusan an, Butuan	del Norte,	1,162	5	0	Legislator's coverage is 1 st Dist. of Surigao del Sur but listed recipients are from Mun. of Agusan del Norte			
				Cebu Pro	vince				1				
				SARO No	0.: 07-0877	6							
				Kapataga del Norte			100	2	0	Municipality under another legislator			
					0.: 08-0144								
				Linamon, & Bacoloo del Norte	Kolambuga I, Lanao	an	93	12	0				
					0.: 08-0262	9							
				Dinagat Is			449	5	1				
					s.: 08-033	78	,	U					
				Dinagat Is		10	722	5	0				
					.: 08-0449	6		-	-				
				Dinagat Is		-	699	5	1				
				Calamba.	Mis. Occ.				37				
					.: 08-0144	2							
				Bauang, I	a Union		239	2	0				
				SARO No	.: 07-0740	6							
				Batanes			711	5	1				
				Nueva Viz	zcaya				15				
				SARO No	.: 08-0044	1							
				Composte	ela, Cebu		143	2	62				
					Total		6,510	75	186				
				only for t the other Confirmatio	heir atten hand, 36 n by the	dance in tr other bene Team from	raining bu ficiaries a n the spo	ut denie are unki insoring	ed recei nown a g legisla	hy 2, so far, confirmed and iving any livelihood kits. On t their given addresses. ators on the validity of their elded the following results:			
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legis	lator		Res	sults of Confirmation			
				08-00618	7.200	Arrel R. Olai	ño			s signature in the endorsement			
							proposal, work a certificate of full the release of release of re- distribution of li denied having aut Magbanua as his r		sal, wo cate of lease of tribution d having anua as				
				08-00147	6.300	Carlos M. Pa	M. Padilla Denied his and his authorized is signatures in the endorsemen proposal, work and financial pli full implementation, request for retention fee, list of barangay b certificate of distribution of livel			the endorsement letter, projec k and financial plan, certificate o lation, request for the release o list of barangay beneficiaries and istribution of livelihood materials the barangay beneficiaries listed			

	Pro	jects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Rema	arks
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
							having appeared before Notary Public Omadto.
				07-07406 08-00405		Ernesto C. Pablo	Denied signatures in 17 out of 23 documents. Documents denied; endorsement letter,
				07-03545	8.100 7.680*		project proposal, MOA, request for release of retention fee, monitoring and disbursement reports and certificate of distribution of livelihood materials. He claimed he has no representative or staff by the name of Nestor Alcantara.
				D-08-01440	5.400	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	Confirmed signature in the endorsement letter to TRC but categorically denied signing project liquidation report, MOA, Project Proposal and WFP.
				07-08776	4.500	Vicente F. Belmonte, Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of signature in all documents.
				08-00441		Ramon H. Durano VI	Requested additional time to reply but did not submit their comments.
				08-00446 07-07445		Benhur L. Salimbangon Bernardo F. Piñol, Jr.	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				D-08-01441		Faysah RPM Dumarpa	
				D-08-03378	45.000	Philip A. Pichay	
				D-07-10772	27.000		
				D-08-04496 D-08-02629	45.000		
				D-08-02629 D-08-05169		Prospero C. Nograles	
				D-08-01442		Thomas L. Dumpit, Jr.	
				D-08-01438	5.400	Marina P. Clarete	
				D-08-01439 D-07-05540		Guillermo P. Cua Danton Q. Bueser	Deceased. Denied signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.
				D-07-05540	9.800*	Jurdin Jesus M. Romualdo	Claims that signatures appearing in the documents submitted by the NGO were all forgeries.
				07-05416 07-03536	4.900* 9.600*	Gregorio T. Ipong	Confirmed authenticity of signature in all documents submitted by the NGO.
				07-03008 07-02030	9.600* 9.600*	Jose C. De Venecia, Jr.	Requested additional time to reply but did not submitted their comments.
				07-02030 07-03000 D-07-05540		Aurelio M. Umali	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				D-07-05540 D-07-05540 07-03051	9.800* 9.800* 14.400*	Eduardo K. Veloso	commutor.
				07-03051		Marcelino C. Libanan	1
				07-03548	14.700*	Rolex T. Suplico	1
				D-07-05540	9.800*		
				07-03586 D-07-02072	16.320* 24.050*	Prospero A. Pichay, Jr.	No documents signed.
				Total	476.410		No documenta signed.
				*Unliquidate		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Of the total	reported	expenses, ₽7.700 Mil	llion was used for administrative cost.
	·			Uswag Pilipi	inas Fou	Indation, Inc. (UPFI)	
NABCOR	5	37.054	5	THE MOST			
Unliquidated	l in Full				5		not issued business permits during CYs
TRC	2	14.400	1	2007 10 200	a nà rue	City Government of Ile	JIIU.
Total	7	51.454	· ·	It was repor	tedly ope	erating at the following	addresses:
				• 192 Jard	eleza Bld	lg., Plaza Jaro, Iloilo C	City;

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				 No. 8, Project 6, Quezon City. This address cannot be located by the Team. The Foundation was also unknown within the vicinity; and San Miguel Jordan, Guimaras It was using two different ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR but covering the same series of numbers which is very unlikely. It did not also confirm its
				transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team.
				NABCOR
				The projects covered trainings, and procurement of LTKs, seedlings and farm implements from eight suppliers.
				Documents disclosed that these transactions were questionable for the following reasons:
				 Three suppliers, Screenmark Printing & Advertising, JR & JP Enterprises and B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery with P15.918 Million transactions denied having transacted business with this NGO, issuing invoice/receipt and receiving payments. Screenmark Printing and Advertising, further claimed that the receipt allegedly issued to this NGO in the amount of P7,017,500.00 was actually issued to Z-zone on June 11, 2009 in the amount of only P1,473.21;
				 There was no distribution list for the procured LTKs. The suppliers are also based in Quezon City, Rizal and Batangas which are far from the project sites which are Bukidnon, Zamboanga, Sibugay and Albay;
				 While Agri Component Corporation confirmed the validity of transactions amounting to P4.850 Million, information from the City Government of Valenzuela disclosed that this supplier was not issued business permits to operate during CYs 2007 to 2009. The Team futher noted that while this establishment was purportedly operating in Valenzuela City, its receipts were still printed by a printing press based in Cauayan City, Isabela;
				 LR Services has no permits from the City Government of Quezon and cannot also be located at its given address. It has two different proprietors under its transactions with NABCOR and ZREC and was using two different ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR with overlapping series of numbers which is very unlikely. Its ATP under its transactions with ZREC was also being used by B.B. Vergara Plant Nursery, one of the suppliers under these projects, which denied the transactions;
				 Grayline Enterprises was issued business permits by the City Government of Caloocan during CYs 2008 to 2010. However, this supplier did not declare to the City Government any transaction during this period and cannot be located at its given address; and
				• The existence of two other suppliers: LR Services, 2B Enterprises and Mr. Michael Leonidas, cannot also be established as these suppliers cannot be located at the given addresses.
				The items procured were reportedly distributed to 8 barangays and 4,477 individual recipients. Confirmation further casts doubt on the validity of these transactions for the following reasons:
				 Four individual recipients categorically denied receipt of the items allegedly distributed;
				 Two-hundred-eighty other individual recipients are unknown at their given addressees. Of the unknown recipients, 22 were attested by the concerned Barangay Officials as non-residents of their respective barangays;

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				While 13 recipients acknowledged receipts of the seedlings allegedly distributed, the items confirmed received was considered to have come from other fund sources as suppliers of seedling denied the transactions; and Nine recipients of LTKs confirmed receipt of the items allegedly delivered by Agri Component Corporation. However, as discussed above, this supplier has no permit to operate and issued questionable receipts. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results: SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation
				(ROCS) (M ₽) Legislator Results of Confirmation 08-05200 7.954 Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr. Confirmed authenticity of their signatures
				08-04436 9.700 Belma A. Cabilao in all documents submitted by the NGO. 08-00420 4.850 Florencio T. Miraflores Did not reply to the team's request for 07-00405 9.700 Edgar T. Espinosa confirmation. 08-06608 4.850 Joseph A. Santiago 37.054
				TRC
				As may be noted, funds transferred to this NGO in 2007 for the implementation of two revenue generating projects in the amount of ₽14.400 Million remained unliquidated. As discussed earlier, this NGO did not submit liquidation documents requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012. These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Edgar T. Espinosa covered by the following SAROs:
				SARO Amount
				ROCS 07-03168 ₽ 9,600,000.00 ROCS 07-05551 4,800,000.00 TOTAL 14,400,000.00
				The said legislator did not reply to the team's request for confirmation on the authenticity of his signatures in the documents submitted by the NGO.
		Econo	omic and	Social Coop'n for Local Devt Foundation, Inc. (ECOSOC)
DSWD-CO	6	36.025	6	This NGO is not registered with SEC and was not issued business permit for CYs 2007 to 2009 by the City Government of Mandaluyong.Unit 104 – A, Conservatory Bldg., 605 Shaw Blvd., Mandaluyong City.Upon inspection by the Team, the unit was being occupied by GLOCK Security Systems, Inc.Per interview, this Security System was occupying the place since 2007. The
				building administrator though issued certification on February 2, 2011 that ECOSOC terminated its lease contract effective January 30, 2007. This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents being requested by the Team.

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				DSWD-CO
				 DSWD-CO The projects covered: Grant of financial assistance ranging from P25,000 to P120,000 each; Purchase of medicines from Ace Pharma and t-shirts from Polycare International Trading; and Payment of hotel accommodation to Quezon Margarette Hotel and AJ Hi-Time Hotel. Of the total releases, five projects were not fully liquidated with unliquidated balance of P20.705 Million. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable due to the following reasons: None of the four suppliers confirmed the validity of these transactions. Queen Margarette Hotel categorically denied issuance of receipt to this NGO on March 7, 2007 in the amount of P450,000 for hotel accommodation. The proprietor informed this Office that such receipt was issued only on February 12, 2008 and only in the amount of P410,000 for hotel accommodation. Ace Pharma cannot be located as it reportedly moved out from its given address. It has no permit to operate during that period. Polycare International Trading cannot be found at its given address. This supplier was also using an ATP being used by this NGO and has no permit to operate business during this period. AJ Hi-Time Hotel has yet to reply to the Team's confirmation. The establishment was, however, using invalid receipts. The receipts issued did not indicate the ATP. This establishment was also registered as a catering / restaurant and retailer of beer and wine. Confirmation from the beneficiaries further casts doubt in the validity of these transactions for the following reasons: None of the recipients so far confirmed receiving the alleged assistance; and e fifty-four other beneficiaries are unknown at their given addresses. Information from two EOs also disclosed that out of 45 listed beneficiaries, only 8 are registered voters within their respective municipalities. Three other EOs are yet to reply to the Team's confirmation letter. Confirmation
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation
				07-00436 6.500 Proceso J. Alcala Confirmed authenticity of their signatures 07-00537 9.400 Gregorio T. Ipong in all documents submitted by the NGO. 07-07784 4.000 Emil L. Ong Did not reply to the team's request for 07-03365 9.000 Lorna C. Silverio confirmation. 08-01807 5.000 Paul R. Daza 07-07885 07-07885 2.125 Alfonso V. Umali, Jr
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	Pr	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks			
			Ant	ipolo Social Alliance for Progress, In	ic. (ASAP)			
NLDC	4	16.238	4	This NGO is registered with SEC an	d was issue	ad husiness no	armit from CVs 200	
TRC	7	24.300	6	to 2009 by the City Government				
Unliquida	ated in F	ull		Sumulong Farm Estate, Antipolo City			,	
TRC	1	4.800	1	It, however, did not confirm its	transactions	and did no	t submit additiona	
Total	12	45.338		documents being requested by the T				
				NLDC				
				The projects covered training and p establishments for distribution to 1,49 Documents disclosed that these tran	94 individua	l beneficiaries.		
				 Seven establishments categorical shown below: 	lly denied th	neir transactior	ns with this NGO a	
				Establishment	т	ransactions	Amount	
				Xoom Auto Gaz Inc.		2	7,744,00	
				Marikina Sports Complex		2	191,80	
				Carchimillican Gen. Mdse. 1 LTC Enterprises & Gen. Merchandise 1		205,87 80,00		
				Ma. Amelia Food Services		1	500,00	
				Jereca Petron Station		2	8,00	
				Twenty seven other establishmer	nts cannot h	a located as	they were unknow	
				 Twenty-seven other establishmer within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number 	insufficient ousiness per ported with	addresses. Of mits from the c	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. eceipts. The receip	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also sup reflected the same ATP number tabulated below: 	insufficient iusiness per ported with (is being us	addresses. Or mits from the o questionable re ed by various	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. eceipts. The receip	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: 	insufficient ousiness per ported with	addresses. Or mits from the o questionable re ed by various	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. eceipts. The receip	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs 	insufficient usiness per ported with 's being us ATP No 9AU0000-	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various Date Issued	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. eccipts. The receip establishments, a Series	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: 	insufficient iusiness per ported with s being us ATP No	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. eceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700	
				within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b • These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500	
				within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b • These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000	
				within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b • These transactions were also supp reflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing 	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000	
				within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b • These transactions were also supp reflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000	
				within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b • These transactions were also supp reflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. - Sta. Cruz, Manila	insufficient usiness per ported with o s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000 203923	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna 	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000 203923	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina 	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000 203923	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna 	insufficient usiness per ported with s being us ATP No 9AU0000 108797 1AU0000 203923	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina San Juan Tondo, Manila Tanay, Rizal 	insufficient iusiness per ported with or s being us ATP No 9AU0000- 108797 1AU0000- 203923 1AU0000- 822277	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re by various Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000 200001-2000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina San Juan Tondo, Manila Tanay, Rizal Chowking 	insufficient iusiness per ported with 0 s being us 9AU0000- 108797 1AU0000- 203923 1AU0000- 822277 9AU000-	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various D. Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000 200001-2000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Pillpinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina San Juan Tondo, Manila Tanay, Rizal Chowking Tutuban Mall 	insufficient iusiness per ported with or s being us ATP No 9AU0000- 108797 1AU0000- 203923 1AU0000- 822277	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re by various Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a Series 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000 200001-2000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina San Juan Tondo, Manila Tanay, Rizal Chowking 	insufficient iusiness per ported with 0 s being us 9AU0000- 108797 1AU0000- 203923 1AU0000- 822277 9AU000-	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re by various Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina San Juan Tondo, Manila Tanay, Rizal Chowking Tutuban Mall Marikina Riverbank Sta. Cruz 	insufficient iusiness per ported with 0 s being us ATP No 9AU0000- 108797 1AU0000- 203923 1AU0000- 822277 9AU000- 274455	addresses. O mits from the c questionable re ed by various Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03 03/08/04 10/02/06	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a <u>Series</u> 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000 200001-2000	
				 within the area, or have given establishments, 23 have also no b These transactions were also suppreflected the same ATP number tabulated below: Supplier Hans Party Needs Xtreme Store Wholesale and Retail Loly Ely's Tapsilog Petron Corp. Riverbank San Mateo Caltex Center Tondo Caltex Center Xiamen Marketing CB & JC Motorist Center Pilipinas Shell Petroleum Corp. Sta. Cruz, Manila Bonifacio Ave., Mkna Concepcion, Marikina San Juan Tondo, Manila Tanay, Rizal Chowking Tutuban Mall Markina Riverbank 	insufficient iusiness per ported with 0 s being us 9AU0000- 108797 1AU0000- 203923 1AU0000- 822277 9AU000- 274455 3AU0000-	addresses. Or mits from the c questionable re ed by various Date Issued 11/28/02 08/19/03 03/08/04 10/02/06	ut of the unlocate concerned LGUs. ecceipts. The receip establishments, a <u>Series</u> 12001-1700 510001-52500 0100-1000 100001-30000 200001-2000	

	Pro	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks			
				Supplier	ATP No.	Date Issued	Series	
				Villaflor Balloons and Tables Nazarenus Market and Sari-Sari	4AU0000- Store 327654	02/23/05	A0001-A10000	
				Tabora Store and Gen. Mdse.		00/04/00	002E01 00/00	
				Mini-Store & Gen. Mdse McDonald, Juan Luna	IAU0000- 007825	08/04/00	003501-006000	
				Savemore Supermarket Sean Gen. Mdse				
				Goodwill Bazar	SAU0000-	10/10/07	40001 425	
				Pandayan Bookstore UBX (U-Bix Gen. Mdse)	075968	10/18/06	A0001-A250	
				Rodriguez Petroleum Dealer Concepcion I Petroleum Dealer	3AU0000- 601906	04/14/08	AB70000 AB8000	
				Del Pan Petroleum Tondo Caltex Center	IAU0000-	05/07/01	100001-3000	
				CB & JC Motorist Center New Marikina Caltex Center	001877			
				 The conduct of trainings was training materials including veother related expenses such denied by the suppliers or s given insufficient address. T beneficiaries during validation non-existent. The training and distribution a 2009 when the kits and instruction November 5 to 6, 2009. These The beneficiaries who confirm 	enue for training all as food and gasol uppliers unknown a The Team could n /inspection at their of kits were purport ictional materials we e suppliers were not	denied these ine expenses at their given of also find given address edly conduct rer reportedly issued busin	e transactions. A s were also eith a address or ha any of the list s or given addre ed on October 3 d delivered only of	
				 From that stated in the liquidat could have been referring to a None of the selected recipien Team during inspection at th other beneficiaries cannot evo as shown below: 	ion report and the ro nother training cond ts from Brgy. Taño eir given addresses	eccipts. The t lucted by the ng, Marikina s while the ac ere was no s	peneficiaries, the government. were found by the ddresses of seven uch street numb	
				from that stated in the liquidat could have been referring to a • None of the selected recipien Team during inspection at th other beneficiaries cannot even as shown below: Beneficiary	ion report and the re nother training cond its from Brgy. Taño eir given addresses en be located as the Given Address	eceipts. The t ducted by the ng, Marikina s while the ac ere was no s	beneficiaries, the government. were found by th ddresses of seve uch street numb Last house No. in the St.	
				from that stated in the liquidat could have been referring to a • None of the selected recipien Team during inspection at th other beneficiaries cannot even as shown below: Beneficiary Jack P. Uson	ion report and the re nother training cond its from Brgy. Taño eir given addresses en be located as the Given Address 21 Tavera St.	eceipts. The t ducted by the ng, Marikina s while the ac ere was no s	beneficiaries, the government. were found by th ddresses of seve uch street numb Last house to. in the St. 17	
				from that stated in the liquidat could have been referring to a • None of the selected recipien Team during inspection at th other beneficiaries cannot even as shown below: Beneficiary Jack P. Uson Daniel Vergara	ion report and the re nother training cond its from Brgy. Taño eir given addresses en be located as the Given Address	eceipts. The t ducted by the ng, Marikina s while the ac ere was no s	beneficiaries, the government. were found by th ddresses of seve uch street numb Last house No. in the St.	
				from that stated in the liquidat could have been referring to a • None of the selected recipien Team during inspection at th other beneficiaries cannot even as shown below: Beneficiary Jack P. Uson Daniel Vergara Raymund A. Dacuma Bernard Dolores	ion report and the re inother training cond its from Brgy. Taño eir given addresses en be located as the Given Address 21 Tavera St. 774 Tavera St. 56 St. Joan St. 35 St. Domitilla St.	eceipts. The t ducted by the ng, Marikina s while the ac ere was no s	beneficiaries, the government. were found by th ddresses of seve uch street number Last house No. in the St. 17 17 9 27	
				from that stated in the liquidat could have been referring to a • None of the selected recipien Team during inspection at th other beneficiaries cannot even as shown below: Beneficiary Jack P. Uson Daniel Vergara Raymund A. Dacuma	ion report and the re inother training cond its from Brgy. Taño eir given addresses en be located as the Given Address 21 Tavera St. 774 Tavera St. 56 St. Joan St.	eceipts. The t ducted by the ng, Marikina s while the ac ere was no s	beneficiaries, the government. were found by the ddresses of seve uch street number Last house No. in the St. 17 17 9	

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks			
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the vali- signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the followin			
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmat	ion		
				08-08892 7.508 Marcelino R. Teodoro Did not reply to the team's 08-08895 4.850 Del R. De Guzman confirmation. 09-02402 1.940 Adelina R. Zaldarriaga	request for		
				08-04827 1.940 Jaime C. Lopez Deceased. Total 16.238			
				TRC			
				The projects costing P24.000 Million covered trainings and dis computer units by 51 suppliers. Of the amount liquidated, P5.141 Milli documented. Another project costing P4.800 Million released by the T remained unliquidated in full. As discussed earlier, this NGO did liquidation documents requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012.	<mark>on</mark> was not RC in 2007		
				Documents disclosed that the transactions of the 51 purported suppliers are questionable as discussed below:			
				None of the suppliers, so far, confirmed the validity of their transaction	IS;		
				 Six suppliers categorically denied their purported transactions with M.C. Barretto Enterprises, Inc., the establishment existing at 386 M. Tondo, Manila, the purported address of Pilipinas Shell Petroleum denied the issuance of the ORs being confirmed. The existence of 45 other suppliers was also unlikely, as discussed being b	oriones St., Corporation		
				Status	No. of Suppliers		
				Cannot locate from records the lump sum transactions covered by the ORs being confirmed by the Team	1		
				Did not reply to the Team; four of which has no permit to operate while one was issued permit only in 2008	5		
				Status	No. of Suppliers		
				Returned to the Team as the establishments were either unknown, Unclaimed, Moved out, cannot be located at the given address or was already closed. All of which were also not issued permit to operate	39		
				Total	45		
				• Thirty seven suppliers were using ATPs being used by as many suppliers;			
				 Twenty seven suppliers were issuing Official Receipts with numbers the authorized series of numbers to be printed The receipts issued by seven suppliers did not bear ATP issued by the The four printing houses denied printing the receipts issued by four while three other printing houses are unknown and unlocated. 	e BIR; and		
				Confirmation from 117 selected participants further casts doubt on the the transactions due to:	e validity of		
				While seven confirmed attendance in the training, five of them denie computer sets;	d receipt of		

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Rem	narks
				sets; and Thirty-tw addresse The seven been refer confirmed Confirmation	d io other es or hav i benefic ring to the trans on by th	recipients are unknow re given insufficient ad ciaries that confirmed another project of the actions. e Team from the spor	on in the training and receipt of computer wn or have moved out from their given dresses. their attendance in trainings may have e government as none of the suppliers nsoring legislators on the validity of their o the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO	Amt	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				(ROCS) 07-07660	(M P) 3.600	Zenaida B. Angping	Confirmed authenticity of signatures in 5 out of 6 documents submitted by the NGO. She denied authenticity of signature in the WFP. She, however, acknowledged correctness of document and assumed NGO may have erroneously submitted the draft copy.
				08-00278	1.350	Magtanggol T. Gunigundo I	Confirmed authenticity of signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.
				07-08774 07-07931 08-01923	2.250 4.500	Del R. De Guzman Marcelino R. Teodoro	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				07-07056 07-07930	3.600	Ronaldo B. Zamora Jaime C. Lopez	Deceased
				Unliquidate	24.300 ed in Full		
				07-04161 Total		Del R. De Guzman	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
NLDC	5	33.465	3	aganang mg	ja Bukir	in Foundation, Inc. (N	/IBFI)
TRC	7	61.798	6	This NGO	was reg		I was issued business permit by the City
Unliquidat	ed in Fu					Government of Q	uezon for CYs 2007 to 2010.
TRC	5	68.695	4			No. 82 Biak na Ba	ato, Sto. Domingo, SMH, Quezon City.
Total	_17_	163.958		This NGO	did not o	that the unit is a signage and the N	during inspection on February 14, 2011 high-end residential house without NGO NGO is unknown to the caretaker.
						the Team.	
				NLDC			
				establis	and pr	ocurement of livelihoo	od kits and training materials from nine riduals.
				Documents reasons:	s disclos	sed that these transac	ctions are questionable for the following

	Pro	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks				
				 J-Chaper Enterprises and Essang Eatery, the suppliers of livelihood kits and training requirements reportedly moved-out from their given address or unknown at its given address. J-Chaper Enterprises was also not issued business permits for CYs 2007 to 2009 as its permit was issued only for CY 2006; 				
				Village Zamboanga denied transacting with this NGO;				
				 Four other suppliers did not reply to the Team while another one has reportedly moved out from its given address; and 				
				• HUB Trading confirmed its transaction but has no business permit from CYs 2007 to 2009. Its business permit was issued for CY 2006.				
				Confirmation from selected recipients further casts doubt on to the validity of these transactions for the following reasons:				
				 Eighteen of the 22 Barangay Captains, who so far replied, denied receipt of the kits and manuals allegedly distributed. On the other hand, four confirmed lesser number of VCDs and manuals received than that stated in the distribution list. 				
				 Of the 13 individual beneficiaries, so far replied, ten denied participation in the trainings and receipt of kit/manuals while the 3 others did not confirm nor deny receipt of kit. 				
				• Two-hundred-twenty-seven other recipients are unkown, unlocated or have moved out from their given addresses or have given insufficient addresses.				
				• To further establish the identity of reported beneficiaries, the Team requested assistance from the COMELEC EOs. Confirmation from 3 Municipal EOs and one City EO, disclosed that only 729 out of 6,146 beneficiaries are registered voters in their respective municipalities.				
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:				
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation				
				08-09474 8.730 Erico Basilio A. Fabian Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.				
				08-09733 4.850 Mariano U. Piamonte 09-03602 2.910				
				08-09797 7.760 Elias C. Bulut, Jr. Total 33.465				
				TRC				
				The seven projects covered procurement of computer system and livelihood manuals/kits for distribution to 862 individual recipients and 54 barangays. Funds transferred in 2007 for the implementation of five other livelihood programs in the total amount of P68.695 Million remained unliquidated. As discussed earlier, this NGO did not submit liquidation documents requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012.				
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:				
				• Procurement amounting to P35.000 Million were not supported with receipts or any proof of payments. These were only disclosed in the narrative				

	Pr	ojects	No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks	
				accomplishment report without a	ny indicated suppliers;	
				 While HUB Trading confirmed its supplying livelihood manuals an during CYs 2007 to 2009 with its of HUB Trading was also the sa cheques from the TRC; and The 679 listed beneficiaries ha concerned EOs disclosed that o voters within their respective cities tabulated below: 	d computer system, it has a last permit issued in CY me person authorized by ve no complete address ut of 219 listed beneficiar	s no permit to operate 2006. The proprietress the NGO to receive its Information from the ies who are purported
				City/Province	No. of	Registered
				Dagupan City	Beneficiaries 140	Voter 6
				Benquet	33	0
				Occ. Mindoro/Cam. Norte	46	0
				Total	219	6
				 Twenty-eight beneficiaries were addresses; and One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. 	egorically denied attendi	ng the seminar and
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, P0 . Confirmation by the Team from the signatures on the documents subm	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for ne sponsoring legislators	ng the seminar and administrative cost.
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO. Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl (ROCS) M P)	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators atten the Team yielded ator Results	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO. Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legist 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Ve 07-03008	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators itted to the Team yielded ator Results enecia, Jr. Request addition	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Ve 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators hitted to the Team yielded tator Results enecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of thei the following results: of Confirmation
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, ₽0. Confirmation by the Team from the signatures on the documents submode the documents submode the documents and the documents submode the documents are submode to the document of the document submode the document s	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators itted to the Team yielded ator Results enecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t lograles confirmation.	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of thei the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Ve 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators nitted to the Team yielded the Results enecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t confirmation.	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of thei the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legist 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vi 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da	agorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators nitted to the Team yielded ator Results anecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t confirmation.	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of thei the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vo 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliguidated in Full	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators attend to the Team yielded ator Results enecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t lograles Jr	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of thei the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legist 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vi 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators itted to the Team yielded ator Results enecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t lograles amonte Jr. angwa Vacnang	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl (ROCS) M P) Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vo 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliquidated in Full D-07-05068 2.855 Laurence B. V 07-03015 14.400 Elias C. Bulut	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators nitted to the Team yielded teator Results enecia, Jr. Request addition ay Did not reply to t confirmation. amonte Jr. angwa Vacnang Jr. Jr. Ingwa	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply he team's request for
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Ve 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Phillip A. Piche D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliquidated in Full D-07-05068 2.855 Laurence B. V 07-02971 14.400 Laurence B. V 07-03015 14.400 Elias C. Bulut, 07-0856 28.400 Ralph G. Rec	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for as sponsoring legislators nitted to the Team yielded ator Results andor Results angwa Did not reply to t Vacnang Jr. to No documents si	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply he team's request for
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl (ROCS) M P) Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vo 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliquidated in Full D-07-05068 2.855 Laurence B. V 07-03015 14.400 Elias C. Bulut	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for as sponsoring legislators nitted to the Team yielded ator Results andor Results angwa Did not reply to t Vacnang Jr. to No documents si	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of thei the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply he team's request for
				addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl (ROCS) M P) Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vi 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Pichz D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliquidated in Full D-07-05068 2.855 Laurence B. V 07-02971 14.400 Elias C. Bulut, 07-03015 14.400 Elias C. Bulut, 07-0356 28.400 Ralph G. Rec 07-02996 8.640 Eduardo V. R	agorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators nitted to the Team yielded ator Results and Results and Request addition ay Did not reply to t confirmation. angwa Jr. angwa Jr. bo Vacnang Jr. bo Vacnang Jr. bo No documents si boquero Deceased,	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply he team's request for
DSWD-CO_	4	14.500	3	addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO. Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vo 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Picha D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliquidated in Full D-07-05068 2.855 Laurence B. V 07-03015 14.400 Elias C. Bulut 07-0856 28.400 Ralph G. Rec 07-02996 8.640 Eduardo V. R Total 130.493 Unliad Pinoy Organization (UP	agorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators itted to the Team yielded itted to the Team y	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply he team's request for
DSWD-CO DSWD-NCR	4	14.500 20.800	3	addresses; and • One of the beneficiaries cate receiving materials. Of the total reported expenses, PO . Confirmation by the Team from th signatures on the documents subm SARO Amt (in Legisl 06-04737 12.298 Jose C. De Vi 07-03008 D-07-10772 18.000 Philip A. Pichz D-07-09517 18.000 Prospero C. N 08-00754 7.200 Mariano U. Pi 08-00503 4.500 Elias C. Bulut, 07-08638 1.800 Samuel M. Da Unliquidated in Full D-07-05068 2.855 Laurence B. V 07-02971 14.400 Elias C. Bulut, 07-03015 14.400 Elias C. Bulut, 07-02966 28.400 Ralph G. Rec 07-02996 8.640 Eduardo V. R Total 130.493	egorically denied attendi 380 Million was used for the sponsoring legislators itted to the Team yielded itted to the Team y	ng the seminar and administrative cost. on the validity of their the following results: of Confirmation al time to reply he team's request for gned.

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remar	ks			
				DSWD-CO					
				Of the 10 projects costing a fully liquidated with P1.500 conduct of livelihood train distribution of capital assista) Million unliqu hings purported	idated balance	e. The proje	cts covered	
				Funds transferred by DSWD-Central Office in 2007 for the implementation of Pamaskong Pangkabuhayan at Tulong Pinansyal in the amount of P1.000 Million also remained unliquidated in full. As discussed earlier, this NGO cannot be located by the Team, hence, demand for liquidation cannot be served.					
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:					
					 None of the suppliers so far confirmed the validity of their transactions; Nine suppliers are unknown at their given addresses, or have given non-existent 				
				Seven BPLOs categorical to 17 establishments;					
				A number of these supplies as in these cases:	ers were also us	ing ATPs being	g used by oth	er suppliers	
				Supplier		ATP No.	Date Issued	Series	
				Salo-Salo Catering Services	3AL	1000066787940	4/27/05	001-500	
				Cristy Babes Foods Aim High Learning Ctr. New Ent., Inc.	3.	AU0000327890	none	001-500	
				Dolores Skills Learning Center Unlad Pinoy Organization	er 3.	AU0000172894	6/20/06 6/20/05	001-500	
				• Two other establishment 331-908-645-000. The pro in the receipts:	s with different oprietors of the e	addresses we establishments	ere using the s were also n	e same TIN ot disclosed	
				Supplier		Addres	s		
				Axtra Tours	59 San Joaquin S	t., Plain View, Ma			
				Bagong Kinabukasan, Inc.	12th Ave., Project	4, Cubao, QC			
				 All beneficiaries have no barangay addresses; 	o complete addi	resses as the	list indicate	d only their	
				 None of the Barangay (following 14 categorically such trainings and that th of their respective barange 	declared that t beneficiaries l	hey were not isted therein a	aware of the ind purported	conduct of	
				SARO No.	Brgy.		City		
				07-00556 07-02886	60 141		Manila		
				01-02000	146 44				
				07-02353 07-02353	52 14 22 72		Pasay		
				07-02353	72 75				

	Pr	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remarks			
				SA	RO No.	Brgy.	City		
					-02353	76	Pasay		
					-02353	56	,		
						62			
				07	-02946	San Antonio	Makati		
				- Out of th	o 0 770 li	Sta. Cruz	Muntiplung and Decay only 04 or		
						their respective baranga	Muntinlupa and Pasay, only 96 ar ys:		
					eclared sidence	No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voters		
				Muntinlu		700	31		
					P	600	20		
						330	12		
				Pasay		1,142	33		
					Total	2,772	96		
				assistance • Thirty-one addresses Confirmation	e; and e other be s or have n by the	neficiaries are unknown given insufficient address Team from the sponsorii	aries, 10 denied receiving financia or have moved out from their give ses. ng legislators on the validity of the Team yielded the following results:		
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (in M P)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation		
				DSWD-CO	1	-	.		
				07-02946		Teodoro L. Locsin, Jr.	Denied his signatures in the project proposal.		
				07-00555 07-03099	3.000 3.500	Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.		
				07-03077		Vincent P. Crisologo	commutori.		
				07 00110	14.500				
				DSWD-NCR			•		
				07-00556		Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon	Did not reply to the team's request for		
				07-03089		Vincent P. Crisologo	confirmation.		
				07-02353 07-02886		Consuelo A. Dy Ernesto A. Nieva	Deceased		
				07-02886	3.800	LINGSID A. MIGA	Deceased.		
				07-02886	2.000				
					20.800		İ.		
				Total	35.300				
			Agricult	ura Para sa M	lagbubuk	id Foundation, Inc. (AP	MFI)		
NLDC	3	82.450	2		vas roalst	ared with SEC and was i	issued permit to operate business b		
rrc	1	22.500	1				issued permit to operate business b 9. It is reportedly operating at Blk. 23		
Total	4	104.950		Lot 24, Dur Foundation,	naguete S	St., Biñan, Laguna. It is	using ATP being used by CAREI s transactions and did not subm		
				NLDC					
				The projects	s covered:				
				Trainings by Ditchon Trading;					

	Pro	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Rema	rks			
				Procurement of farmProcurement of othe		packages from MMRC Trading; and Sim-Gum Trading.			
				Documents disclosed reasons:	that these transact	ions are questionable for the following			
				permit from the City	Government of Ca	am's confirmation and has no business loocan. It was also not licensed by the tilizer handlers and is using TIN being			
				 Sim Gum Trading did not also reply to the Team and was a new busines registered in CY 2009 with P500,000 capitalization. 					
				 These suppliers issued questionable receipts and have been using the sa ATP with No. 4AU0000208905, issued on March 19, 2004 for printing num series 1251-3251 which is also being used by MAMFI. 					
				 Ditchon Trading confirmed its transactions for providing meals, venue, and oth training requirements for a training conducted in Tayug, Pangasinan when it based in Laguna. 					
				 One Municipal Mayor confirmed receipt of only liquid soil implements just one of the components of 226 sets of Farm Initiative Production Pa He denied receiving the other components of the package such granulated soil conditioner, working gloves, poncho, lightweight k sprayer, heavy duty shovel and pick mattock. Considering the ques status of these transactions, the items received may have come from government projects. One Municipal Mayor could not confirm or deny receipt of the kits investigation but noted that some of the supporting documents are not a and the letterhead used did not match the official letterhead of the LGU. Three Municipal Mayors denied receipt of the items allegedly distribut one of them denying affixing the signature in the Acknowledgement Receipt Receip					
				Mayor	Municipal	ity Address			
				Teofista Jauod	Montevista	Compostela Valley			
				Armando Elarmo Antonio Cardona	Alubijid Llorente	Misamis Oriental Eastern Samar			
				 cannot be validated I While the NGO alleg were covered by dist Of the total reported e Confirmation by the Te 	by the Team. Redly procured 11,00 ribution list with und xpenses, P1.200 M eam from the spons	s have no specific addresses, hence, 00 sets of livelihood kits, only 5,581 sets locumented distribution of 5,419. illion was used for administrative cost. soring legislators on the validity of their the Team yielded the following results:			
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (in M P)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation			
				09-04952 24.250	Juan Ponce Enrile Ramon B. Revilla Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of the signatures of their authorized representatives in the documents submitted by the NGO.			
1									

	Pro	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
	_			TRC
				The project covered procurement of agricultural livelihood starter kits from TNU Trading for distribution to five municipalities.
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:
				 TNU did not confirm these transactions. As discussed under SDPFFI, the validity of the invoices issued by this supplier is questionable as it was using three ATPs purportedly issued by the BIR but covering the same series of numbers which is not allowed. Moreover, these items were reportedly procured in CY 2009 when the TNU Trading's license to operate as Area Distributor of fertilizers expired on February 7, 2007.
				 Of the five municipal recipients, only two have, so far, replied with the MAO of San Juan, La Union categorically denying receiving any item from this NGO. The other recipient, a former Mayor, who confirmed receipt of the items, could not provide distribution lists of the items received. He claimed that the file was lost due to flood and that the list was earlier forwarded to DA-RFU III and this NGO. DA-RFU III was, however, not a party to this particular transaction. Hence, the possibility of the recipient Mayor referring to another transaction handled by DA- RFU III.
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Juan Ponce Enrile covered by SARO No. D-09-00847 amounting to P22.500 million . The legislator confirmed the authenticity of signatures of his authorized representatives on the documents submitted by this NGO.
				Gintong Pakpak Foundation, Inc. (GinPFI)
DSWD-NCR Unliquidated DSWD-NCR Total	4 l in Ful 1 5	30.450 I 1.950 32.400		The Team is yet to receive reply from Malabon BPLO on the permit issued to this NGO. It was SEC registered. It was reportedly operating at 20-B Andres Bonifacio St., Tugatog, Malabon City. Upon confirmation, the GinPFI confirmed receipt of P32.400 Million and claimed that liquidation documents were already submitted to DWSD-NCR.
				DSWD-NCR
				The above projects costing P30.450 Million covered granting of financial assistance, conduct of anti-rabies vaccination, and distribution of medicines and other goods procured from 14 suppliers to 12,842 residents of Malabon and Navotas Cities. Of the total amount liquidated, liquidation documents amounting to P5.600 Million were not made available to the Team during the Audit while P 1.950 Million remained unliquidated.
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:
				Generic Pharmacy categorically denied supplying medicine to this NGO;
				• A. Fajardo Trading confirmed supplying tents, tables/chairs and medicines. However, these transactions were not reported to the concerned LGU. It reported gross sales of only £500,000 in CY 2009 when its transactions with this NGO alone already amounted to £1.500 Million ;
				• Eight suppliers were either unknown at their given address or did not reply to the Team's confirmation, of which, two were also not issued business permits to

	Projects		No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks					
				operate by the concerned	d BPLO;					
				 The submitted lists of beneficiaries were not signed by the beneficiaries and in most cases, have no indicated addresses. Hence, only 288 were sent confirmation letters; 						
				 The recipient of medicines and beneficiaries of anti-rabies vaccinations were not disclosed. Only the list of recipient barangays were among the documents submitted; 						
				with 56 recipients catego	rically denying rece	med receipt of assistance or goods ipt of the items allegedly distributed. iknown at their given addresses;				
					• Out of 10,910 recipients, only 873 were registered voters of Malabon and Navotas Cities, hence, identities of 10,037 others cannot even be established:					
				Declared Residence	No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voters				
				Malabon	2,765	872				
				Navotas	8,145	1				
				Total	10,910	873				
				SARO No. ROCS-08-07045 ROCS-09-04542		Amount 9,500,000.00 8,000,000.00				
				SARO No.		Amount				
				ROCS-09-01762		5,500,000.00				
				ROCS-08-04349		7,450,000.00				
				Unliquidated in Full		30,450,000.00				
				ROCS-08-00247		1,950,000.00				
				Total		32,400,000.00				
				The legislator confirmed submitted by the NGO.	the authenticity of	his signatures on the documents				
		Ag	ri & Ecor	nomic Program for Farmers	Foundation, Inc. (A	AEPFFI)				
NLDC	4	121.250	3	This NGO was issued by	isings normit to o	perate by the City Government of				
Unliquidated	d in Ful			Taguig for CY 2009 and re		perate by the City Government Of				
TRC	1	24.000	1		-					
Total	5	145.250		ARI & ECONOMIC PROCESA	24, Lot 9, Phase 1,	EP Housing Village, Taguig City				
				Inspection disclosed that the unit is a mere residential house. There were no documents provided during inspection.						
				It did not also confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team.						
				NLDC						
				The projects covered:						
				Procurement of agricult	tural livelihood kits	s from MMRC Trading and other				

	Pro	ojects	No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Re	emarks			
				livelihood • Training b		Sim-Gum Trading n Trading.	j; and			
				The agricultural kits were reportedly distributed to 11 Municipalities while other livelihood kits were reportedly distributed to 10,550 individual beneficiaries.						
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:						
				 MMRC Trading and Sim-Gum Trading did not reply to the Team's request for confirmation. They were also using the same ATP being used by another NGO; MMRC Trading is not licensed by the Fertilizer and Pesticide Authority as fertilizer handlers and has no business permit to operate; and Of the training materials acquired from Sim-Gum Trading under SARO No. G-09-07065 in the amount of ₽7.146 Million, only ₽3.000 Million was supported with receipts. 						
						he recipients furt ollowing reasons:	ther casts doubt on the validity of these			
				 beneficiar addresse Municipal Union cat affidavit d The OIC- Agustin, s reports o distributer Of the total 	ies were s, or have Mayors tegoricall enying the Municipa Surigao f training d. reported	e unknown, unloca e given insufficient of Pikit, North Co y denied receipt o te authenticity of th I Planning Develop del Sur claimed th s conducted in th d expenses, P1.65	otabato, Lantauan, Basilan and Santol, La f agricultural packages with one issuing an the signature affixed in the documents; and coment Coordinator of the Municipality of San that based on their records, there were no neir Municipality where kits were allegedly 60 Million was used for administrative cost			
							ponsoring legislators on the validity of their d to the Team yielded the following results:			
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation			
				G-09-07065 09-04973	38.800 43.650	Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of his authorized representative's signature in the documents			
				09-04952	24.250	Juan Ponce Enrile	submitted by the NGO. Confirmed authenticity of his signature and that of his authorized representative in the documents submitted by the NGO.			
				09-04894	14.550	Gregorio B. Honasan II	Confirmed signature in the letter authorizing Mr. Michael Benjamin, Chief Political Officer, to sign, in his behalf and the MOA covering SARO No. ROCS-09-04894 but did not comment on the other documents.			
				Total	121.250					
				TRC						
				amount of	24.000	Million remained u	the implementation of one project in the inliquidated. As discussed earlier, this NGO equested by the Team in a letter dated May			

	Pr	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks					
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Ramon B. Revilla, Jr. covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-05486 amounting to P24.000 Million . He, likewise, confirmed the authenticity of his signature and that of his authorized representative on the documents submitted by the NGO.					
Commoners Foundation, Inc. (CFI)									
NLDC	5	21.146	2	This NGO was issued business permit by the City Government of Lucena, Quezon for CY 2009 and registered with SEC. There was no confirmed permit for CYs 2007 and 2009. It was reportedly operating at No. 11 Granja St., Barangay 1, Lucena City. It did not also confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team.					
				NLDC					
				The projects covered trainings and procurement of farm tools, planting materials and various livelihood kits and books from at least 36 suppliers/providers to be attended by, and distributed to 5,453 individual recipients.					
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:					
				• Five suppliers of livelihood kits and training materials, Elinas Enterprises, Iriman Prints and Grafix, Metro Shirts, Inc., Spices Foodmix House, and Windream School and Office Supplies denied transacting with this NGO, issuing the receipts and receiving payments;					
				 Ariel's Catering was not issued business permits by the concerned LGU and cannot be located at its given address. This supplier was also using two ATPs with overlapping series of numbers; Emelia's Kitchenette is also yet to confirm its transactions amounting to P2.121 Million. This establishment also issued receipts no longer within the purportedly authorized series to be printed; While Ferdinand Fernandez's Digital Photo and Video confirmed its transactions, it has no business permit to operate and issued five invalid receipts as the ATP and printer of the receipts were not printed thereon; Six other suppliers, who were issued permits to operate, either did not confirm their transactions or cannot be located as they have given non-existent addresses; and Of the four other suppliers that confirmed their transactions, one has no business permit to operate. 					
				Confirmation from beneficiaries further casts doubt on the validity of these transactions for the following reasons:					
				 Twenty-five beneficiaries categorically denied receiving the livelihood kits; Ninety-four other beneficiaries are unknown, have moved out, or unlocated at their given addresses, or have given insufficient addresses; Six suppliers of seedlings for distribution to reported beneficiaries were either unknown at their given addresses or have given non-existent addresses. Eleven respondents denied attending the training, while some claimed that they attended training conducted at the Pastoral Center, Bulanao for two days when the training was purportedly conducted for five days at Emilia's Kitchette; and Three respondents who allegedly received two kits confirmed receipt of only one item, while 53 others confirmed receipt of livelihood kits. The items received may have come from other sources as the suppliers of livelihood kits and training materials denied these transactions. 					

	Projects		No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks						
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:						
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmatio	n					
				G-09-08386 0.485 Manuel S. Agyao Confirmed authenticity of signatures in the doc submitted by the NGO. 09-01716 3.880 submitted by the NGO. submitted by the NGO. 08-07510 7.275 Magtanggol T. Gunigundo I submitted by the NGO. Total 21.146	f their cuments					
				Public Deeplors Support Foundation (SDDSE)						
NLDC	1	24.250	Sagi	Buhay People's Support Foundation (SBPSF)						
				 This NGO was issued business permit to operate by the City Governm Quezon for CY 2010 and registered with SEC. It was reportedly operating following addresses: 210 Reza Bldg., Quezon Avenue, Quezon City Rm. 410, The One Executive Bldg., West Avenue, QC The Team's confirmation letter was not delivered as the NGO already move from its given address at Quezon Avenue, Quezon City. The project covered: Procurement of livelihood technology kits from Screenmark Printing Advertising; and Training by Horizon Research Company. 	at the ed out g and					
				 The livelihood technology kits were reportedly distributed to seven Mur Mayors while trainings were reportedly participated by 323 individual recip Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the foll reasons: Screenmark Printing denied issuance of invoice and receipt and transactin the NGO. The supplier also submitted to the Team duplicate copy of its r which was issued only in the amount of P1,473.21. Horizon Research Company was not issued business permit by the Quezo Government and the Team's confirmation letter was returned due to insuf address. This supplier was also using ATP No. 9AU0000974249 issued o 8, 2008 for series covering 001-500 which is also being used by the foll eight other establishments: Livelihood Research Corp. Me-ann's Car Rental Services WWD General Merchandise Blue Crystal Services Center Calpito Agri-Farm & Mach. Ent. Generic Project Research, Inc. Baguna Restaurant and Catering Services Confirmation from the recipients further casts doubt on the validity of transactions as discussed below: Of the seven Municipal Mayors, one, Mayor Ingatun G. Istarul of Tipo 	pients. lowing ng with receipt on City fficient n May lowing these					

IA		ojects Amt	No. Legis-	Remarks
	No.	(M P)	lators	
				 listed beneficiaries; None of the 204 selected recipients confirmed receipt of the kits alledgedly distributed; Of the 81 individual beneficiaries being confirmed, only one replied to the Team denying participation in the training. On the other hand, 117 other beneficiaries are unknown or cannot be located at their given addresses or have given insufficient addresses; and The EO of Lantawan and Basilan denied residency of the 93 reported beneficiaries from their locality. The Team also noted that the reported beneficiaries were allegedly given seven kits each with some beneficiaries receiving 14 kits as their names appeared twice in the list but indicated different addresses. These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Jinggoy Ejercito Estrada covered by SARO No. F-09-09580 amounting to P24.250 Million. However, he did not reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO.
				,
NLDC	3	11.931	A	sia World Sanctuary and Dev't, Inc. (AWSDI)
TRC	2	27.900	2	This NGO was registered with SEC as ELM MILOV II Foundation, Inc. on August
Unliquidate				21, 2001. It was renamed as Los Suriganeous Foundation, Inc. on March 14, 2005 and as AWSDI on August 23, 2007. It was issued permit to operate by the City
TRC	3	9.000	1	Government of Quezon.
Total	8	48.831		It reportedly operated at the following addresses:
				 Block 3 Lot 2, Veronica Court, Sta. Lucia, Quezon City (CY 2008 OR) Inspection disclosed that the unit is a residential house. Interviews with the neighbors disclosed that this unit is owned by Ms. Palacio, the Foundation's authorized representative and President. This house is for rent at the time of inspection. Unit C, GED Bldg., 2164 Primo Rivera St., La Paz, Makati City (CY 2007 OR) Room 205, Aguirre Bldg., Commonwealth Avenue, Quezon City (CY 2007 and 2008 OR)
				TRC/NLDC
				The liquidated projects covered various livelihood seminars with training requirements provided by 11 suppliers. Evaluation of documents, however, disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons: • Three establishments were owned by the NGO President while the 2 others were owned by the NGO Treasurer. • The establishments owned by the NGO Treasurer were located within the same business place of one of the businesses of the NGO President, as tabulated below: Proprietor/ Address TIN Series Date Total
				Supplier Address Till Series Issued (in M) Rosemarie V. Palacio Address Addres Address Address <

	Pro	ojects	No.										
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks									
				Proprietor/ Supplier		Address		TIN	Series	Date Issued	Total (in M)		
					B3 L2 Ve Lucia, No	ronica Court, Sta. valiches		222-521- 002-000	001-500	Feb.13, 2003	₽ 2.40		
					188 lmma	188 Immaculate Conception S Brgy. Holy Spirit, QC		222-521- 002-001	001-500	Nov.10, 2006	7.3		
				Rosamia Tours and	Rm 215 A	Aguirre Bldg., wealth Ave., Holy	Spirit, QC	222-521-	001-500	Sept.25, 2007	0.2		
				Analyn S. Flores Rhea Jane Printing		aculate Concepctio	on St.,	250-191-	001-500	Feb.14,	1.9		
				Press RJT Catering & Video Coverage	Brgy. Hoi	y Spirit, QC		450-000 250-191- 450-001	001-500	2007 Feb. 14, 2007	9.8		
				coverage		Total		430 001		2007	₽21.8 ⁻		
				The results of con	firmatio	n with the five		hments t					
				Proprietor		NLDC		TRC			Amt (in M		
				Rosa "Mia" Trading Russrearafy Catering		nfirmed all nsaction with the		No Reply Confirmed	l transactio	ns	1.04		
				and Party Needs	NG	NGO		2 nd letter was returned to the team-reason not stated		3.15			
				Rosamia Tours and Travel Services		t Applicable	1 447		to the team as there		0.27		
				Rhea Jane Printing Press	trai	nfirmed all nsaction with the		was no or	to the team as there ne to receive		0.86		
				RJT Catering& Video Coverage	NG	υU	6.187	2 nd letter	d transactions was returned to the son not stated		1.91 1.75		
				T	OTAL		11.589		on not oldi	, and a second se	10.23		
				 Their transactions this NGO alone Government of Q ranged only from I The existence of reasons: 	during uezon. 250,000 the six Amt (M P)	CYs 2008 to The declared to ₽970,000 . other suppliers	o 2009, gross s s are al	were n ales of tl so quest Reman	ot decla nese five ionable f	red to the establish for the fo	ne Cit <u>i</u> nment		
				Agape's Catering & Video Coverage	 2.050 This is registered in 2007 as a new business with c of ₽30,000. There were no data on business permit CYs 2008 and 2009. The supplier, however, cannol at its given address as it reportedly moved out from 0.300 This supplier was using two different TINs and has i operate business. This supplier is, however, unkn given address. 			s permits i r, cannot b	issued i ie locat				
				Des Marie Canteen & Catering Services				ver, unkno					
				Jenedie's General Merchandise		This supplier w			-				
				A. A. S. M. S.	0.070	078 This supplier reportedly moved out from its given addre							
				Monica Publishing Corp. SPAKS Company,		This supplier re This supplier	. ,			0			

IA		ojects Amt	No. Legis-	Remarks						
	No.	(M P)	lators							
				Supplier	Amt (M ₽)	Remarks				
				Video Options	0.150	This supplier was reportedly issued permit only in 2005 and did not reply to the Team's confirmation.				
				NLDC Beneficiaries	5					
				These trainings were reportedly participated by 13,883 individuals who were purportedly residents of San Jose Del Monte, Bulacan. Evaluation of the submitted lists further casts doubt on the validity of the transactions for the following:						
				 The specific addresses of the participants were not indicated in the list; Trainings, which were purportedly conducted within one day to 39 days, or between November 28, 2008 to August 23, 2009, were participated by almost the same constituents with a number of beneficiaries attending such seminars a number of times; Six of the seven beneficiaries with replies denied receipt of kits allegedly 						
				were forged; and	aries ar	e to trainings and further claimed that their signatures e either unknown at their given addresses, or have				
					e implen	nented out of the allocation Cong. Arturo B. Robes Os:				
				SARO No		Amount				
				ROCS-08-06		₽ 4,850,000.00				
						2,231,000.00				
				ROCS-08-08 Total	8831	4,850,000.00 ₽ 11,931,000.00				
				received a letter from authenticity of his sig TRC Beneficiaries These projects were	n PNP C inatures reporte	to the team's request for confirmation. However, we ime Lab that Cong. Robes sought assistance to verify in all documents requested for confirmation.				
				 results of questionab The specific address Out of 3,626 beneficial 	le implei esses of eficiaries	 Evaluation of the submitted lists yielded the same nentation of the project as discussed below: he participants were not indicated; and of one project, only 15 were registered voters of San 				
				established.		. The identities of the rest could, therefore, not be				
				P23.400 Million rem 2012, the Team req P48.831 Million and AWSDI confirmed r projects in the 2 nd unliquidated projects	nained un puested a d to sub receipt o Distict o s in the	trainings, released in 2007 in the total amount of nliquidated as of audit date. In its letter dated June 1, AWSDI to confirm the receipt of the total amount of mit liquidation report. In its reply to the Team, the f P48.831 Million and the implementation of the of Surigao del Norte and claimed that the alleged amount of P23.4 Million were already submitted to to proof of submission submitted.				
				submitted to the T	Team, fo	SARO No. ROCS-07-04710 were reproduced and or verification and analysis, while the documents is were claimed to have been damaged by flood.				
				Analysis of the sub	mitted p	hotocopied documents, however, also revealed the				

	Pr	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks					
				same documentary deficiencies as discussed below:					
				 The three suppliers, Monica Publishing Corporation, Jenedies's General Merchandise and Video Options, are the same suppliers which cannot be located at their given addresses or have no existing permit from CYs 2007 to 2009. Other suppliers are not among those earlier validated by the Team. 					
				• The items distributed and received by the beneficiaries were not indicated in the submitted list of beneficiaries.					
				Some beneficiaries included in the list did not affix their signatures.					
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:					
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation					
				07-07533 4.500 Arturo B. The legislator did not reply to the team's request for confirmation. However, we received a letter from PNP Crime Lab that Cong. Robes sought assistance to verify authenticity of his signatures in all documents requested for confirmation.					
				07-04710 14.400 Robert Ace S. Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation. Unliquidated in Full Barbers 07-00614 4.500 07-00614 4.500					
				Total 36.900					
			-	c Filipino Citizen Civic Organization, Inc. (DFCCOI)					
DSWD-CO DSWD-NCR	13 7	58.500 35.500	5	This NGO has no business permit from the City of Government of Manila and was					
Unliquidate			5	not registered with SEC. It was reportedly operating at Rm. 501 Burke Building,					
DSWD-CO	1	2.000	1	Escolta cor. Burke Sts., Binondo, Manila. Confirmation with the Building Administrator disclosed that they do not have and never had a tenant in the name					
DSWD-NCR	1	2.000	1	of DFCCOI. It did not also confirm its transactions and did not submit additional					
Total	22	98.000		documents requested by the Team under our letter dated May 30, 2012. The very existence of the NGO is, therefore, questionable					
				DSWD-CO					
				The projects covered various livelihood trainings with grant of financial assistance of \clubsuit 5,000 each participant or plain grant of financial assistance to selected beneficiaries. In addition, of the 20 projects implemented, 7 projects were not fully liquidated with unliquidated balance of \clubsuit 21.00 Million. Two other projects released to this NGO in 2007 and 2009 in the amount of $\clubsuit4.000$ Million for Skills Training program remained unliquidated in full.					
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons: • Of the 10 suppliers of NCR, 9 were not issued business permits. The status of					
				 These establishments were using ATPs being used by other establishments, as illustrated below: 					
				Supplier ATP No. Date Issued Series					
				Aim High Learning Center 3AU0000327890 Not indicated 001-500					
				Dolores Shell Learning Center 3AU0000172894 06/20/06 001-500					

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Remark	(S		
				S	upplier	ATP	No.	Date Issued	Series
					Organizatin, Inc. ering Services	3AU000066	787940	04/27/05	001-500
				South Cost Er DPL Solutions	nterprises	3AU000045	5461	3/16/05	3401-4400
				 transactions as The complete Of the 5,431 of significant below: 	rom the beneficia discussed below: e addresses were beneficiaries, only number of benefi	not indicate y 368 were	d in the lis registere not even	st; d voters. Th	us, the identities
				ROCS	Area			ciaries	Voters
				07-00556	Muntinlupa			100	2
				07-03099	4			850	33
				08-005583 08-07451	-			290 426	<u>89</u> 22
				07-03259	Calasiao			150	4
					Mangaldan			150	1
				08-07437	Paranaque Brgy. 44, Manila			2466 111	<u>131</u> 0
				07-02886	Brgy. 36, Manila			53	0
				0, 02000	Brgy. 41, Manila			49	0
				07-02886	Brgy. 111, Manila			112	1
					Brgy. 91, Manila			115	0
					Brgy. 32, Manila Brgy. Magsaysay, 0	Juezon		49 52	0
				07-07476	Brgy. Salvacion, Qu			50	7
				08-04875-4	13 brgys of Manila			24	14
				08-01853	25 brgys of Manila			249	34
				08-07404	27 of Manila			52	5
				08-04835-2	21 brgys of Manila Total			83 5,431	25 368
				 cannot be loc The 50 unk confirmed by respective ba Twenty-two b that these tra been funded Confirmation b 	neficiaries denied cated as they are u known beneficiarie y the Barangay arangays; and beneficiaries confir ansactions were q from other program y the Team from he documents sub	inknown at es from C Captains of med attend uestionable ns of the go the sponso	their giver Calasiao concerned ance to trans the train overnmen oring legis	n addresses and Manga I as non-re aining. Howe ings attende t. lators on the	, among others Idan were als isidents of the ever, considerir ed to could hav e validity of the
				SARO (ROCS) (M F DSWD – CO/NC		r	Re	sults of Confi	irmation
				08-07437 10.0 08-07025 7.5	00 Eduardo C. Zialci 00	requ und whice	uested for er ROCS- ch he neithe	confirmation e 08-07437 and er confirmed r	
				07-03259 5.0	00 Generoso DC Tul	5	5	ne authenticity	MOA but did r of his signature

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation
				07-03099 3.500 Biazon confirmation. 07-03099 3.000
				08-05583 1.500 08-07451 3.000 07-00555 3.500
				09-02723 2.000* 07-07849 2.000 Ma. Theresa Bonoan-
				08-04875 5.000 David 08-04875 5.000 09-01853 14.000
				08-07404 9.000 07-03113 3.000 Vincent P. Crisologo
				07-07476 2.000 07-03089 3.500 07-00667 4.000 Ernesto A. Nieva Deceased
				07-00667 4.000 Ernesto A. Nieva Deceased 07-02886 5.000
				07-02886 2.000* Total 98.000
				*Unliquidated in Full
TRC	46	248.400	27	Farmerbusiness Development Corp (FDC)
				was registered with SEC. It was reportedly holding office at NAPC-FSC, 4/F Main Bldg., DA Compound, Elliptical Road, Quezon City It did not also confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team.
				TRC
				The projects covered various livelihood trainings with procurement from 3 to as much as 60 training packages per training course or a total of 831 units costing P124.650 Million . The trainings were reportedly participated by 7,186 beneficiaries from various parts of the country.
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:
				• The suppliers/providers of training requirements, such as venue, meals, transportation, and materials in the amount of P51.374 Million were not disclosed. The liquidation reports were not supported with receipts but by mere certificate of services rendered issued by the purported payees/suppliers without indicating the establishments they are representing and their complete addresses.
				 Around P72.376 Million were used for administrative expenses and salaries and wages of NGO personnel which are not allowed under existing regulations. These expenses, which were, likewise, supported by mere certificates of services rendered, should have been shouldered by the NGOs. These payees, likewise, did not indicate their addresses.

	Pr	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks		
				transactions wer P206.100 Millic P25.641 Million Confirmation from transactions as dis • Out of 4,571 pr respective district	the recipients further casts dou	hent of Quezon orted gross sa ubt on the va	City. Out of ales of only lidity of the sters of their
				SARO Area		No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voters
				07-07444	Sultan Kudarat	130	2
				07-07488	Cagayan de Oro City	299	0
				07-07554	Alubijid, Claveria, Initao, Jasaan,	107	2
				08-01443	Libertad, Lugait, Manticao, Naawan,	120	2
				08-00632	Tagoloan and Villanueva, Misamis	78	57
				07-07558	Oriental Province of Negros Occ.	80	2
				07-07564	Digos City & Santa Cruz, Davao del Sur	59	44
				07-07707	Province of Siquijor	94	2
				07-09314		127	0
				07-07960	Рауао	108	7
				07-08787	Baungon	11	6
				08-00402	Province of Negros Occidental	161	3
				08-00638 08-01203	Padada Mabuhay & Payao, Zamboanga Sibuqay	95 164	15 11
				08-01436	Mabuhay, Olutanga & Payao, Zamboanga Sibugay	211	7
				08-01437	Digos City & Hagonoy, Davao del Sur	103	45
				08-01445	Esperanza, Kalamansig & Sen. Ninoy Aquino, Sultan Kudarat	97	1
				07-07062	Hadji Panglima Tahil, Indanan, Jolo,	230	97
				08-01446	Maimbung, Parang & Patikul, Sulu	175	81
				08-01447	Prov. Davao del Norte	111	50
				08-01444	Province of Siquijor	150	0
				08-01450	Libona	56	41
				08-01453 08-01455	Clarin City of Cagayan de Oro	105 116	47
				08-02605	Libona & Manolo Fortich, Bukidnon	168	95
				08-03234	2nd Dist.of Cebu City	98	1
				08-05054-866775	Laak, Mabini, Maco, Mawab, Nabunturan & Pantukan, Compostela Valley	154	68
				08-05054-889972	Compostela & New Bataan, Compostela Valley	150	2
				08-05054-890008	Alabel, Glan, Kiamba, Maitum, Malapatan & Malungon, Sarangani	182	136
				08-05054-890055	Sierra Bullones, Bohol	209	0
				08-05054-890056	2nd District Davao City, Gov. Generoso & San Isidro, Davao Oriental	144	2
				08-05054-890065	Dumingag & Labanga, Zamboanga del Sur	143	0
				08-05054-890002	Roseller Lim, Siay, Tungawan & Kabasalan Zamboanga Sibugay	30	6
				08-05054-890001	2nd Dist. of Zambo. City	306	157
					Total	4,571	991

IA No. No. Amt (M P) Legis- lators • Forty-seven beneficiaries denied receiving livelihood kits/trainin packages but confirmed their attendance in the training, with eight both attendance to training and receipt of livelihood training course The trainings attended may have been funded from other progra government as the transactions of these suppliers are questionable; ar • One hundred five other beneficiairies are unknown at their given addre Of the total reported expenses, P61.566 Million was used for administr Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the valid signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following 07.08788 SARO Amt (ROCS) Legislator Results of Confirmation 07.08788 07.08788 18.000 Isidor T. Ungab Denied having signed the F Report. Monitoring Report and Mc. Enurable and request for th funds while confirmed his signature endorsement letter. 07.087940 1.350 Confirmed and enduest for funds while confirmed his signature endorsement letter. 0.08.05054 5.400 Thelma Z. Almario Confirmed authenticity of his the documents submitted by th governents submitted by th for 0.08.01445 0.08.05054 5.400 Glorigid B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of signature in the las MOA but denieted authenticity of signature for 0.08.01445 0.08.01445 5.400 Gorigid B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of signature in the documents submitted by th goverorind authenticity of signatore in th	confirming packages. ms of the nd esses. rative cost.
Forty-seven beneficiaries denied receiving livelihood kits/trainin packages but confirmed their attendance in the training, with eight both attendance to training and receipt of livelihood training course The trainings attended may have been funded from other progra government as the transactions of these suppliers are questionable; an • One hundred five other beneficiairies are unknown at their given addre Of the total reported expenses, P61.566 Million was used for administr Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the valid signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following SARO Ant Legislator Results of Confirma 07.08788 18.000 Isidro T. Ungab Denied having signed the F D-08-01454 5.400 Arrel R. Olano Confirmed his signature or 0.707940 1.350 Confirmed his signature in the las dorsement letter. D-08-0554 5.400 Arrel R. Olano Confirmed his signature or 0.0707940 1.350 Confirmed authentic madorsement letter. D-08-0554 5.400 Thelma Z. Almario Confirmed authenticity of his documents submitted by the documents submitted by the Or-07444 4.500 Arrulfo F. Go D-08-01435 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Or-077444 4.500 Arrulfo F. Go D-08-01435 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Or-0770760 4.0550 08-01203 3.600 08-02004 9.000 Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	confirming packages. ms of the nd esses. rative cost.
(ROCS)(M P)LegislatorResults of Confirma07-0878818.000Isidro T. UngabDenied having signed the FD-08-014545.400Report, Monitoring Report, auti Mr. Enurable and request for th funds while confirming his sig endorsement letter.D-08-014495.400Arrel R. OlañoConfirmed his signature endorsement letter.D-08-014495.400Arrel R. OlañoConfirmed his signature endorsement letter.D-08-050545.400Thelma Z. AlmarioConfirmed signature in the las MOA but denied authentiti marginal signatures.D-08-050545.400Giorgidi B. AggabaoConfirmed authenticity of his the documents submitted by th 07-0744407-074444.500Arnulfo F. Go D-08-01436Confirmed authenticity of signature the documents submitted by th 07-0746007-07604.050Belma A. Cabilao 07-0764Confirmed authenticity of signa the documents submitted by th 07-07010	
07-08788 18.000 Isidro T. Ungab Denied having signed the F D-08-01454 5.400 Report, Monitoring Report, and Mr. Enurable and request for th funds while confirming his signendorsement letter. D-08-01449 5.400 Arrel R. Olaño Confirmed his signendorsement letter. D-08-01449 5.400 Arrel R. Olaño Confirmed his signendorsement letter. 07-07940 1.350 endorsement letter but de signed the project proposal, Monitoring Report and author certain Mr. Rodolfo Limchaco. D-08-05054 5.400 Thelma Z. Almario Confirmed signature in the las MOA but denied authentitim marginal signatures. D-08-05054 5.400 Giorgidi B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of his the documents submitted by th 07-07444 4.500 Arnulfo F. Go Confirmed authenticity of signative for the signative of the documents submitted by th 07-07404 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Confirmed authenticity of signative of the documents submitted by th 07-07060 4.050 08-01436 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao 07-07960 4.050 08-01203 3.600 08-02604 9.000	tion
D-08-01449 5.400 Arrel R. Olaño Confirmed his signature endorsement letter but de signed the project proposal, Monitoring Report and autho certain Mr. Rodolfo Limchaco. D-08-05054 5.400 Thelma Z. Almario Confirmed signature in the las MOA but denied authentic marginal signatures. D-08-05054 5.400 Giorgidi B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of his the documents submitted by th D-08-05054 5.400 Giorgidi B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of signatures. D-08-05054 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Confirmed authenticity of signatures. D-08-01436 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Confirmed authenticity of signatures. D-08-01203 3.600 08-01203 3.600 Confirmed authenticity of signatures.	thorization of he release of
D-08-05054 5.400 Thelma Z. Almario Confirmed signature in the las MOA but denied authentic marginal signatures. D-08-05054 5.400 Giorgidi B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of his the documents submitted by th 07-07444 0-08-01445 5.400 Anulfo F. Go Confirmed authenticity of signatures. D-08-01445 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Confirmed authenticity of signatures. D-08-01436 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Confirmed authenticity of signatures. D-08-01436 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao Confirmed authenticity of signatures. 08-01203 3.600 08-02604 9.000 Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	nied having WFP, MOA
D-08-05054 5.400 Giorgidi B. Aggabao Confirmed authenticity of his the documents submitted by the documents submitted by the documents submitted by the D-08-01445 D-08-01445 5.400 Confirmed authenticity of signation of the documents submitted by the documents submitted by the D-08-01445 D-08-01445 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao 07-07960 4.050 08-01203 3.600 08-02604 9.000	
07-07444 4.500 Arnulfo F. Go Confirmed authenticity of signa D-08-01445 5.400 Delma A. Cabilao D-08-01436 5.400 D-08-01436 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao D-08-01203 3.600 08-01203 3.600 08-02604 9.000 Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	
D-08-01436 5.400 Belma A. Cabilao 07-07960 4.050 08-01203 3.600 08-02604 9.000 Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	atures
08-02604 9.000 Candido P. Pancrudo, Jr.	
08-02605 4.500 07-08787 18.000 D-08-01450 5.400	
D-08-01453 5.400 Herminia M. Ramiro	
07-07062 3.600 Yusop H. Jikiri D-08-01446 5.400	
07-07664 1.800 Marc Douglas C. Cagas 08-00638 2.700 IV	
D-08-01437 5.400 D-08-01452 5.400 Roberto V. Puno Request additional time to repl	y.
07-07558 3.600 Julio A. Ledesma IV Did not comment on the docum submitted by the NGO.	
D-08-01448 5.400 D-08-05054 5.400 Adam Relson L. Jala Did not reply to the team's requ	uest for
D-08-01447 5.400 Antonio F. Lagdameo, Jr. confirmation.	
08-03234 3.600 Antonio V. Cuenco D-08-05054 5.400	
D-08-05054 5.400 Erico Basilio A. Fabian	
D-08-05054 5.400 Erwin L. Chiongbian 07-07419 0.900 Jose S. Aquino III	
D-08-05054 5.400 Rommel C. Amatong	
D-08-01451 5.400 Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr. D-08-05054 5.400 Wilfirdo Mark M. Enverga	
07-09314 4.500 Orlando B. Fua	
07-07707 2.250	
D-08-01444 5.400 07-07488 4.500 Rolando A. Uy	

	Pr	ojects	No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks	5
				SARO (ROCS) Amt (M P) D-08-01455 5.400 Rolar D-08-05054 5.400 Victo D-08-05054 5.400 Manu 07-07554 4.050 Yevg 08-00632 8.100 Emar D-08-01443 5.400 D-08-05054 Total 248.400 248.400	r J. Yu iel E. Zamora eny Vincente B. no	Results of Confirmation Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				Bantayog Kalinga Foundati	on, Inc. (BKFI)	
TRC	1	2.700	1		,	
Unliquidate						s issued business permit by the City
TRC	2	12.400	2	Government of Caloocan f No. 27 Pilar St., Morningbre		2009. It was reportedly operating a Caloocan City.
Total	3	15.100				-
				This NGO was, however, covering overlapping series		purportedly issued by the BIR and is very unlikely.
				ATP No.	Date Issued	Series
				4AU000-0254272	06/23/03	001-1500
				4AU000-0442872	05/22/07	001-1000
				implementation of agribus	iness assistance	funds transferred in 2007 for th program in the amount of ₽12.40 ed earlier, this NGO did not subm
				liquidation documents requi The liquidated funds of P2 seedlings and fertilizers fro Sta. Catalina Farm Supply of Masbate.	ested by the Team .700 Million cover m Zynmil Agriscie for distribution to s	in a letter dated May 30, 2012. red procurement of vegetable seeds nce, Inc., Popoy's Plant Nursery an selected farmers within the 1st Distric
				reasons:		is are questionable for the following
				 The liquidation reports w Team could not validate 		I with list of beneficiaries. Hence, then of the project;
					with the seeds, s	lasbate was implemented by an NGC seedlings and fertilizers provided b npanga; and
				with this NGO with the Farm Supply, not reply while two of them have	two others, Popo ing to the Team's business permits to	ience, Inc. confirmed its transaction y's Plant Nursery and Sta. Catalin s confirmation. Apparently, however o operate during this period, they di erned LGUs, as tabulated in the nex

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators	Remarks					
				Supplie	r	Items Supplied	Amt (in M)	Remarks	
				Sta. Catalina Farm Supply, <u>Pampanga</u> Zynmil Agri-science Inc., Pampanga		egetable eds		Declared gross sales of only £50,000 in CY 2008 and submitted affidavit of no operation for CY 2009.	
						rtilizer	1.500	Declared gross sales of P2.227 Million in CY 2008. In addition to the transactions, this supplier had also transacted with DA- RFUs III and V during this year in the amount of P19.850 Million .	
								soring legislators on the validity of their the Team yielded the following results:	
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M P)		gislator	Results of Confirmation	
				08-00134		Narciso R.	Bravo, Jr.	Confirmed authenticity of signatures ir all documents submitted by the NGO.	
				Unliquidated 07-09803 07-07898 Total	11.200	Carlo Olive Florencio C		Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.	
			Philipp	oine Social Deve	lopmen	t Foundat	ion, Inc. ((PSDFI)	
TRC	7	47.700	7			red bureles		e during CV/s 2007 to 2000 and uses not	
Unliquidate	d in Ful	I						s during CYs 2007 to 2009 and was not ne registered entities. It was reportedly	
TRC	9	73.910 121.610	7	holding office	at Bloc	k 23, Roa	ad Lot 18	3 Street, AFPOVAI, Phase 2, Western nowever, not able to locate the given	
				Team. TRC The seven prostarter sets, lin that these tra- supported with were merely indicated supp • Certification implementai • DRs manifer representati These items w individual recip	ojects cc velihood ansactio receipts disclose diers. Th is purpo tion of pr esting th ves of th vere purp oients. C	sting P47 kits and c ns are q s, SIs, or [d in the e liquidatio rtedly iss roject by th e purporte e items pr ortedly dis confirmatio	.700 Milli computer uestionab DRs to sup narrative on reports ued by en NGO w ed receip ocured ur stributed to n with se	on covered procurement of agricultural sets with printers. Documents disclosed le. The liquidation reports were not pport procurement. These procurements accomplishment reports without any were supported with the following: the legislators to the effect that the as completed; and t by the legislators or their authorized ider the program. 0 31 barangays, 3 municipalities and 390 lected recipients further casts doubt on owing reasons:	
				alleged distrOut of 157 p	ributed it	ems; d recipient	s, only 99	ients categorically denied receipt of the are registered voters in their respective ecipients could not even be established:	

	Pro	ojects	No.						
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remark	(S		
				SARO		Area		No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voter
				07-08553		ni, Isabela		50	24
				07-07407		cal, Madrid & Socorro, Surigao d		53 38	37
				07-07940		ja & San Luis, Agusan del Nor n City, Davao del Norte	le		26 12
				0/ 0//10	rugun	Total		157	99
				received procurem confirmat unknown, As may be nine liveliho remained un under our le of audit da administrativ	were in ion letti , unloca noted, fi bod and nliquida etter dat te. Of ve cost.	ms delivered. There is, l deed funded from the pr the items reportedly ers returned to the te ted at their given address unds transferred by the d development projects ted. The submission of ed May 30, 2012 but su the total reported expe	ojects due distributed. am as the ses. TRC in 200 in the an liquidation ch request enses, P1 .	to the abser On the oth e addressees 7 for the imp mount of P7 documents v remained un 592 Million	ce of proof of her hand, 43 s were either lementation of 3.910 Million vas requested acted upon as was used for
						e Team from the sponso ocuments submitted to th			
				(ROCS)	(M ₽)	Legislator	Re	esults of Confi	mation
				07-07940 07-03495	2.250 3.830*	Arrel R. Olaño	letter but proposal, \ implementa retention fe livelihood n	denied signi WFP, MOA, c tion, request ee, certificate c	the endorsemen ng the projec ertificate of fu the release c of distribution c uthorization of a co.
				07-03351	7.680*	Douglas R.A. Cagas	Confirmed	signature in t 10A but denied	he endorsemen signing schedul
				07-08553		Ramon B. Revilla, Jr.	Confirmed a		nis signature an sentative
				07-09395 07-02974 07-00671	3.600 9.600* 4.800*	Arthur Y. Pingoy, Jr.	Cannot vali		y of his signatur
				07-02964 07-02116	14.400* 4.800*	Rizalina L. Seachon- Lanete	not submit h	ner comments.	comment but di
				07-07433 07-08672 08-01365		Rozzano Rufino B. Biazon Rufus B. Rodriguez	Did not re confirmatior		m's request fo
				07-03418	4.800*				
				07-00710	9.600*	Salacnib F. Baterina			
				07-03546		Edgar L. Valdez			the addressee
				07-07407 Total	4.500 121.610		unknown at	given address.	
				*Unliquida			1		
			Partido			nt Cooperative, Inc. (PD	DCI)		
TRC	1 d in Ful	9.000	1	This Cooper	rative w	as reportedly operating in	n Abo, Tiga		
Unliquidate						BPLO of the Municipal C			
TRC	2	9.600	1			firm its transactions and Team. Hence, the ve			
Total	3	18.600		questionable		ream. nence, the ve	iy existen	LE UI LIIIS C	ooperative is

14	Pr	ojects	No.		Domorko				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks				
				TRC					
				to the proposed extension promotions and developm	n of farmer's livelihoo ent program. The re	d summit and survey in relation d training and entrepreneurial quired materials and supplies ere reportedly provided by three			
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:					
				 Almost Constuction Supply has no permit to operate business and did not reply to the Team's confirmation. It was also issuing invalid SIs as there was no BIF authority printed thereon; 					
				 Synetware Computer Center claimed that the corresponding receipt for the submitted SI was issued only on July 10, 2010 and not on March 22, 2008 and not in the amount indicated. The BIR ATP was not also printed in the submitted SI; 					
				 Goa Dairy Cooperative did not report these transactions to the Municipal Government of Goa, Camarines Sur as it reported sales in CY 2008 of only P90,000 when its transactions with this NGO alone already amounted to P300,000; 					
				merely supported with	cash advance vouche	honoraria of enumerators were ers drawn by the Cooperative led payees were not submitted;			
						ndicated, hence, all confirmation e payees were unknown and/or			
				address of participants, the EOs to provide the Team v	Team also requested vith complete address of losed that out of 801,	individuals. Due to incomplete assistance from the concerned of 601 beneficiaries. Information only 481 are registered voters			
				Area	No. of Beneficiaries	Registered Voter			
				Caramoan	25	21			
				Garchitorena	20 139	18 77			
				Goa Lagonoy	139	138			
				Presentacion	155	109			
				Tigaon	264	118			
				Total 801 481 Of the participants, only 28 were selected for confirmation, of which five confirmed attendance in the summit. The summit attended to by the five participants may have been funded from other sources on account of questionable status of these transactions.					
				funds in the total amount of	₽9.600 Million . This was bject. As discussed earliers	NGO has still two unliquidated as released in 2007 intended for arlier, this NGO did not submit letter dated May 30, 2012.			

	Pr	ojects	No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Remarks				
				SARO (ROCS) A (M 07-09379 9.0 07-02942 5.1	mt 1 2 000 Confirm 280	emented out of the allocation of Cong. Arnulfo P. iollowing SAROs: Result of Confirmation ned signature on all documents requested for confirmation. ned signatures on MOA only but did not comment on the				
				Total 18.	Project	Proposal and WFP.				
				Kaloocan Assistar	nce Counc	il Inc (KACI)				
DSWD-CO	8	66.000	6							
DSWD-NCR	10	51.600	5			ith SEC and has permit to operate business for CYs				
Unliquidate	ed in F	ull				tedly operating in PNR Compound, Torrres Magallanes t, however, confirm its transactions and did not submit				
DSWD-NCR	3	16.000	2			sted by the Team.				
Total	21	133.600								
				 The projects, except for one under SARO No. B-08-01484 in the amount of P20.000 Million, covered grant of financial assistance and procurement of various sports, livelihood supplies, medicine, anti-rabies, training materials and assorted reading glasses from 14 suppliers/providers. Of the total funds released for the implementation of 9 projects, P28.800 Million remained unliquidated as of audit date. In addition, funds transferred by DSWH-NCR to this NGO for the implementation of three CIDSS programs in the total amount of P16.000 Million also remained unliquidated. The submission of liquidation documents was requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012 but such request remained unacted upon as of audit date. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons: Of the 14 suppliers, only 4 have, so far, confirmed the authenticity of their respective transactions with this NGO. These suppliers, however, either did not report their transactions to the concerned City Government as it reported lower sales or, otherwise, have no business permit to operate, as tabulated in the next page: 						
				Supplier Farmer's Vet	₽ 0.750	Remarks Reported gross sales in 2008 and 2009 of #50,000 and				
				Trading		E80,000, respectively				
				M Sakay Priority Pass	1.061	of Caloocan on the issuance of business permit to this supplier for CY 2007.				
				Uno Sporting Goods	0.250	No records on issuance of permits from the City Governmen of Manila.				
				The existence reasons:	of the 11	other suppliers cannot be established for the following				

	Pro	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Re	marks		
				Supplier	Amt (in M ₽)	Re	marks	
				4-Life Medical Supplies	0.250		he Team's request for	
				Genell Bags and Luggage	0.250	confirmation.		
				Accent Pharma	1.450	5		
				CA Layesa Printers Co.	0.250		le C.A Layesa, Captain's Niko Trading have no	
				Captain's Catering Services	2.171	permits to operate.		
				Kim Niko Trading	0.225			
				A&M Gen. Products & Sports Supply	1.250		s of only £50,000 in CY t of Caloocan and did no is.	
				Ascent Instruments Corp.	0.125	either moved out or	at their given addresses address non-existent. No	
				Silver A. Ent.	3.856	Dusiness permits from Quezon.	n the City Government of	
				Lucky Four Angel Gen. Merchandise	0.500	Cannot be located as there was no su address. Reported gross sales of only P50,0 in 2009 and P150,000 in 2008 to the City Go of Caloocan.		
				Central Vision Care Center	1.250			
				The financial/educational/n assistance, including trainin recipients and 1,596 barar	ngs were ngays. Cor	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cash reportedly provided firmation with the	8. h for work progran l to 15,461 individua selected beneficiarie:	
				assistance, including training	ngs were ngays. Cor lidity of the: e beneficia 20 Million ; e beneficia at their give ents, only entities, the hairpersons program a d assistance that the N ncial/educa	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cast reportedly provided firmation with the se transactions for th aries denied receivi uries with reported en addresses; 2,045 are registered en, of significant num s of Caloocan, deni nd informed the e of ₽401,600 are no IGO used around 1	 8. h for work program to 15,461 individua selected beneficiaries ing assistance in the assistance of ₽1.159 ed voters within their mbers cannot even be ied awareness on the Team that the listed their residents. ₽5.593 Million for its 	
				 assistance, including training recipients and 1,596 barar further casts doubt on the varian aggregate amount of P1.2 Two-hundred-seventy-ning Million cannot be located Out of the 7,231 reciping respective district. The id established; and Forty-seven Barangay C implementation of the beneficiaries with reported operation or to grant final assistance to its employees: 	ngs were ngays. Cor lidity of the: e beneficia 20 Million ; e beneficia at their give ents, only entities, the hairpersons program a d assistance that the N ncial/educa	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cash reportedly provided firmation with the se transactions for th aries denied receivi aries with reported en addresses; 2,045 are registerr en, of significant num s of Caloocan, deni nd informed the e of £401,600 are no IGO used around 4 tional assistance/ca	 8. h for work program to 15,461 individual selected beneficiaries ie following reasons: ing assistance in the assistance of ₽1.159 ed voters within their mbers cannot even be been that the listed their residents. P5.593 Million for its 	
				 assistance, including training recipients and 1,596 barar further casts doubt on the value of the casts doubt on the c	ngs were ngays. Cor lidity of the: 20 Million; e beneficia at their give ents, only entities, the hairpersons program a d assistance that the N ncial/educa	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cash reportedly provided firmation with the se transactions for th aries denied receiving aries with reported en addresses; 2,045 are registered en addresses; 2,045 are registered en, of significant num s of Caloocan, deni nd informed the e of P401,600 are no IGO used around I tional assistance/can Admin Expenses (in Million)	 8. h for work program to 15,461 individual selected beneficiaries the following reasons: ing assistance in the assistance of £1.159 ed voters within their mbers cannot even be their residents. £5.593 Million for its sh for work program FA to KACI Employees 	
				 assistance, including training recipients and 1,596 barar further casts doubt on the value of the casts doubt on the	ngs were ngays. Cor lidity of the: e beneficia 20 Million ; e beneficia at their give ents, only entities, the hairpersons program a d assistance that the N ncial/educa	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cash reportedly provided firmation with the se transactions for th aries denied receivit aries with reported en addresses; 2,045 are registered en, of significant num s of Caloocan, deni nd informed the e of P401,600 are no IGO used around I tional assistance/ca Admin Expenses (in Million) P 0.600	 8. h for work program to 15,461 individual selected beneficiaries te following reasons: ing assistance in the assistance of P1.15⁴ ed voters within their mbers cannot even being the listed their residents. P5.593 Million for itsish for work program 	
				assistance, including training recipients and 1,596 barar further casts doubt on the value of the casts doubt on the ca	ngs were ngays. Cor lidity of the e beneficia 20 Million ; e beneficia at their give ents, only entities, the hairpersons program a d assistance that the N ncial/educa	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cash reportedly provided firmation with the se transactions for th aries denied receivit aries with reported en addresses; 2,045 are registered en, of significant num s of Caloocan, deni nd informed the e of E401,600 are no IGO used around I tional assistance/ca Admin Expenses (in Million) P 0.600 0.300 0.180	 8. h for work progran to 15,461 individual selected beneficiaries the following reasons: ing assistance in the assistance of £1.154 ed voters within their mbers cannot even be address on the lister their residents. £5.593 Million for itsish for work program FA to KACI Employees 	
				assistance, including training recipients and 1,596 barar further casts doubt on the value of the casts doubt on the value of t	ngs were ngays. Cor lidity of the: 20 Million; e beneficia at their give ents, only entities, the hairpersons program a d assistance that the N ncial/educa	sales data for CY 200 sistance and cast reportedly provided firmation with the se transactions for th aries denied receivit aries with reported en addresses; 2,045 are registered en, of significant num s of Caloocan, deni nd informed the e of P401,600 are no IGO used around I tional assistance/ca Admin Expenses (in Million) P 0.600 0.300	8. h for work program to 15,461 individual selected beneficiaries te following reasons: ing assistance in the assistance of £1.150 ed voters within their mbers cannot even be ted awareness on the Team that the listed their residents. £5.593 Million for it: ish for work program FA to KACI Employees £ 0.105	

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators	Remarks					
				ROCS No.	Total Project Cost	Admin Expenses	FA to KACI Employees		
				07-05504	5.000	(in Million) 0.058	0.100		
				08-04588	10.000	0.038	0.100 0.155		
				08-04599	5.000	0.014	1.400		
				08-00213	3.000	0.014	0.200		
				08-04358	3.000	0.014	0.300		
				07-07612	0.300	-	0.271		
				09-04957	10.000	-	0.257		
				Total	₽ 67.300	₽ 1.788	₽ 3.805		
				 On the other hand, the project costing £20.000 Million was intended for the installation of modular information system including provision of compute hardware. Of the amount released by DSWD-CO to this NGO, only £6.240 Million was liquidated leaving £13.760 Million unliquidated. Based on liquidation reports, the £6.240 Million was used to install the information system in 13 barangays of Malabon at £480,000 per barangay. Such procurement of information system was not documented. The status and/or utilization of the system which was reportedly installed in 12 ou of 13 barangays covered by the Team follows: Seven recipients claimed that they already have existing information management systems when the projects were implemented. Of the 12 recipients, only 4 could identify some of the items being confirmed were indeed installed. Some even claimed that the information systems were not at all installed. Most of the recipients were not aware of the reports being generated by the information systems and the purpose and users of such reports. Of the 12, only 3 categorically claimed that they used the information systems are and have trained personnel, 4 claimed that they did not use the systems at a and have no trained personnel, while the rest do not even know if the systems were at all used. 					
				required maintenan The installation of menu of projects el necessary.	information system	at barangays is n der PDAF and car	not even included in the nnot be considered at all		
							s on the validity of their of the following results:		
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M ₽) DSWD-CO/NCR	Legislator	Results	s of Confirmation		
				B-08-01484 20.00	0 Alvin S. Sandoval 0 Oscar G. Malapitan	documents submitt	nticity of signature in the ted by the NGO. ewing the documents and		
				09-04634 3.00 09-04634 3.00 07-00546 3.30 07-07638 2.00	0		atures affixed in documents		

	Pr	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators			Rem	narks
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				08-00213	3.000		
				08-04358 08-07751	3.000 6.000*		
				09-04957		Juan Ponce Enrile	Confirmed authenticity of the signature of his authorized representative in the documents submitted by the NGO.
				09-01825	10.000	Mary Mitzi L. Cajayon	Did not reply to the team's request for
				08-04588	10.000		confirmation.
				07-07612 08-07472	0.300		
				08-07472	4.000		
				07-02349		Luis A. Asistio	
				09-01838		Vincent P. Crisologo	
				09-04691	3.000		
				08-04599	5.000		
				08-07484 07-05504	5.000	Manuel M. Roxas	4
				07-00561		Luis A. Asistio	-
				Total	133.600		
			Já	acinto Castel E	Borja Fo	undation, Inc. (JCB	FI)
TRC	1	18.000	1				Team is still awaiting reply from the City of business permits to this NGO. It was
				Legaspi Villa not submit ac The project three supplie	ige, Mak dditional covered ers and prise. Do	ati City. It did not, I documents requeste conduct of training procurement of ag ocuments disclosed	d Office Condominium, 109 Gamboa St., however, confirm its transactions and did ed by the Team. g with training requirements provided by ricultural kits from Calpito Agrifarm and that these transactions are questionable
							eir given addresses. Of the four, three as tabulated below:
				Supp	lier		Remarks
				Calpito Agri Mach. Ent.	farm &	was not a licensed har	ng ATP being used by eight other suppliers and ndlers of fertilizers.
				Pan Asiatic D' Venue	Travel		re using the same ATP No. 89-29-1387 different dates, July 26, 1989 for Pan Asiatic 07 for D'Venue.
							pants have no specific addresses, thus, ing cannot be confirmed.
				covered by S	SARO N		the allocation of Cong. Alvin S. Sandoval unting to ₽18.000 million . He confirmed I by the NGO.
		Infinite Co	ommunity	y Integrated De	evelopm	ent Support Found	lation, Inc. (ICIDSFI)
TRC	1	9.000	1				
				was, howeve SEC website	er, not ir . It was i	ncluded in the list o reportedly operating	e by the City Government of Angeles. It f registered corporation published in the at Unit 1, DS Reyes Bldg., Don Bonifacio ot, however, confirm its transactions and

	Pr	ojects	No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks		
				did not submit additional documents requested by the Team.		
				The project covered procurement of livelihood packages, brochures and CDs from New Sin Kian Heng Corporation and Concept One Management Services for distribution to 168 beneficiaries.		
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:		
				• The receipt and cash invoice issued by these suppliers did not indicate the contents of the packages as well as the quantity of the brochures and CDs procured. These information were also not indicated in any of the documents submitted by the NGO. Thus, the items procured could hardly be accounted for;		
				 New Sin Kian Heng did not reply to the Team's confirmation and has business permit to operate from the City Government of Manila. On the of hand, Concept One Management Services cannot be located at its of address as it reportedly moved out from the place; 		
				 The project was purportedly implemented in Cebu by an NGO based in Ang City, Pampanga with livelihood packages and brochures supplied establishments based in Metro Manila; 		
				• The quantity and items distributed to each beneficiary and their respective addresses were not also indicated in the list. Hence, the difficulty of accounting the items distributed and validation of their participations; and		
				• The Provincial EOs of Cebu disclosed that none of the 129 listed beneficiaries are registered voters of Cebu. The identities of the listed recipients could, therefore, not even be established.		
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Eduardo R. Gullas covered by SARO No. D-08-09885 amounting to P9.000 million . He requested for a copy of the list of beneficiaries to assist him in determining the authenticity of the documents submitted by the NGO. As of audit date, his comments on the said documents are yet to be submitted.		
		Philippi	ine Envir	onment and Economic Development Association (PEEDA)		
TRC	2	5.400	2			
Unliquidate	ed in Fu	II		This NGO was registered with SEC. It was reportedly operating at the following addresses:		
TRC	6	67.240	6	• 4/F, State Condo-minium Bldg., Saludo St., Legaspi Village, Makati City (MOA)		
NLDC	1	2.697	1	• Suite 101, 3/F, Press Club Bldg., cor. A. Luna and A. Velez Sts., Cagayan de		
Total	9	75.337		Oro City The Team is still waiting for the reply of the concerned LGUs as to issuance of		
				business permits to this NGO. This NGO was also reported to have moved out from its given address in Makati City and did not confirm its transactions and submit additional documents requested by the Team.		
				TRC/NLDC		
				The liquidated funds amounting to P5.400 Million covered procurement of various livelihood kits in the amount of P4.800 Million for distribution to 466 individual beneficiaries. The items were reportedly provided by CCCC General Merchandise and Rapper-Gen. Merchandise. The balance of P600,000 remained unliquidated.		

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Rem	arks			
				amount of £6 remained unl	7.240 M iquidate as requ	Aillion and by NLDC ed in full as of au uested under our lett	in 2008 in the amo dit date. The subr	n of six projects in the unt of P2.697 Million nission of liquidation 012 but such request		
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:						
				 The owner of CCCC General Merchandise categorically denied transacting with this NGO. He further claimed that his establishment is not selling manicure sets and that the subject receipts remained unissued. The supplier also noted that the proprietor printed in the subject receipt and SI is incorrect; 						
				• The existence of Rapper-Gen. Merchandise cannot be established. It has no business permit to operate since CY 2007 and cannot be located by the Post Office and was reported to have moved out from its given address;						
				 The quantity of items received by each beneficiary was not indicated in the list. Hence, the quantity of kits distributed cannot be established; 						
				 Of the 21 beneficiaries, 20 categorically denied receiving livelihood kits. They, however, confirmed their participation in the training. The conduct of training cannot, however, be established due to the absence of documents; and Information from the concerned EOs dislosed that out of 321 purported beneficiaries, only 171 were registered voters of their respective municipalities as tabulated below: 						
				SARO		City/	No. of	Registered		
					Tanai	Municipality Jan, Leyte	Beneficiaries 44	Voters 30		
						Aiguel, Leyte	17			
						ingon, Leyte	29	15		
				08-00286		ban, Leyte	29	19		
						alang, Leyte	50	23		
						Fe, Leyte	24	22		
				·		a, Leyte Surigao dol	15	7		
				08-00862		Surigao del (SDN)	39	36		
				00 00002		o, SDN	74	12		
						TAL	321	171		
				established Confirmation	l. by the	-	nsoring legislators c	erefore, not even be on the validity of their he following results:		
				SARO (ROCS) TRC	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of	f Confirmation		
				08-00862		Francisco T. Matugas	confirmation.	the team's request for		
				08-00286	2.700	Ferdinand Martin G. Romualdez	did not comment	tation of the project but on the authenticity of were already in the		

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remarks					
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M P)	Legislator		Results of	Confirmat	ion
				Unliquidate	d in Full					
				07-03217	9.600	Danton Q. Bueser		authenticity ts submitted		
				07-03379		Alipio Cirilo V. Badelles	documen	ts submitted	by the NG	0
				07-00696		Leovigildo B. Banaag			he team's	request for
				D-07-05540 D-07-05540		Prospero A. Pichay Marcelino C. Libanan	confirmat	lon.		
				D-07-05540		Prospero S. Amatong	Decease	d.		
				NLDC	71000	i respore or runatorig	Dooodoo			
				08-08411		Jaime C. Lopez	Decease	d.		
				Total	75.337					
				Hand-Made Liv	ina Foi	undation, Inc. (HMLF	D			
DSWD	38	10.518	2							
NLDC	2	7.760	2			ed permit to operate by	y the City	y Governme	ent of Que	ezon. It was
TRC	1	2.250	1	reportedly op	erating	dl.				
Unliquidate	d in Ful			No. 8 Alle	ey, Proje	ect 6, Quezon City.				
DSWD	10	2.498	2				Insp	ection by th	e Team (of the place
TRC	4	20.680	3	4	A.					idential unit
QC Gov't	1	2.500	1	1111111111	1111					ge. Nobody
Total	56	46.206	11				ente		ne Tea	
				reportedly m	oved ou	o not serve the confirm the from this address. It onal documents reque	mation le	tter to this also confirn	address a	
				DSWD						
				The projects Various livand Grant of fir 	velihood	trainings and procur	rement o	f computer	s from 1	7 suppliers;
				Documents reasons:	disclose	d that these transac	tions are	e questiona	ble for th	ne following
				 Three sup same plac below: 	pliers, v :e, using	which were owned by g the same TIN and	the sam ATPs of	e person, v questional	vere oper ble validity	ating in the y as shown
				TIN		Supplier		ATP No.	Series	Date Issued
				104-043- 869-000	ANV E	Ent., Marimar Village, Par		9AU00- 00275791	95001- 96000	10/12/06
				247-045- 118-000		Trading Bldg., So. SHW I / Marimar Vill., Par. City	Varcelo	9AU00- 00275995	0001- 1000	10/13/06
				104-043- 869-000				1AU00- 00036243	001A- 500A	02/26/99

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remarks				
				TIN		Supplier	ATP No.	Series	Date Issued
				247-045- 118-000 104-043- 869-000	Star Anvil Tra Bldg., South S Parañaque, C	SHW Marcelo Green,	9AU00- 00275995 9AU00- 0096887	0001- 1000 2501- 5000	10/13/06 06/30/02
				is located in has also n	the same bu o records o	nat confirmed its tra uilding occupied by A n file with the City wo TINs: 340-102-0	Anvil Trading ar	nd Star Ar of Parañ	vil Trading,
				 Soledad Lee Eatery, with total transaction amounting to P450,000, ceased to operate on January 14, 2008, but has transactions amounting to P324,592.50 until December 18, 2008. This was also unlocated and reportedly moved out from its given address; 					324,592.50
				 Pilaring's Catering issued receipt Nos. 253 to 297 which are no longer within its purportedly authorized series to be printed under ATP No. 3AU0000558139 of S001-250; 					
				 Plastar Sign Creative Services was purportedly issued by the BIR two ATPs within two days, which is unlikely, and was authorized to print higher series ahead of lower series: 					
				A	TP	Date		Series	
				3AU000	0309043	12/10/03		5271-7250	
				3AU000	0309594	12/12/03		2251-2750	
				 The 13 other suppliers reportedly moved out from, or were unknown at, their given addresses. Out of 1,573 selected beneficiaries, only 60 confirmed their attendance to the livelihood trainings/seminars. On the other hand, 79 other beneficiaries are unknown, or have moved out from their given addresses. Considering, however, the questionable status of these transactions, the trainings attended to by 60 beneficiaries may have been funded from other sources. To establish the identities of the beneficiaries, the Team also requested assistance from the concerned EOs. Information provided by the COMELEC-ITD disclosed that the identities of a great number of beneficiaries could not even be established. Out of 6,360 beneficiaries from the City of Valenzuela, only 1,349 were registered voters. On the other hand, funds released by DSWD to this NGO in 2007 and 2008 for the implementation of 10 projects in the amount of P2.498 Million remained unliquidated as of audit date. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their 					
				signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:					
						Legislator	Results of	of Confirm	ation
				SARO (ROCS) 07-03459 08-00213 07-03459 08-00213 07-00559	(M ₽) 3.250 Osca 0.918 0.475 1.023	V	Results of still checking/rev erifying signature ubmitted for confi	iewing do es affixed	cuments and

IA		ojects	No.			
	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks		
		Amt	Legis-	Subscription Second State NLDC The projects covered livelihood training with distribution of livelihood kits. The trainings and materials were reportedly provided by 16 suppliers and participated by 2,152 participants. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons: Seven suppliers are either unknown at their given addresses or reportedly moved out from their given addresses, or have given non-existent addresses and no business permits to operate, shown as follows: Xantae Trading 426,866 No business permits to operate. Moved BSB Gen. Merchandising 88,580 Anotae Trading 426,866 No business permits to operate. Moved BSB Gen. Merchandising 88,580 Annia Trading 122,850 No business permits to operate. Moved BSB Gen. Merchandising 88,580 Annia Trading 124,6864 No business permits to operate. Moved BSB Gen. Merchandising 172,850 Annazuged Enterprises 436,442 ATP being used by Star Anvil Trading. P.R. Gonzalgo Celiphone Accessories 43,680 Numzuged Enterprises 43,680 Did not reply to the Team and has no Estanisalo Trading 172 with operate. • The receipts issued by Annabelle's Meat Shop were not valid as there was no BIR authority to print indicated thereon. • Of the six suppliers that confirmed their transactions with this NGO, three has confirmed business		

	Pr	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks				
				TRC				
				The project costing P2.250 Million reportedly covered training of 1,869 beneficiaries.				
				Documents disclosed that the implementation of this project was questionable for the following reasons:				
				 Of the total reported expenses of P2.250 Million, P1.756 Million was totally undocumented. Only photocopy of receipt purportedly issued by Dynamic Educational System Corporation in the amount of P494,055.00 was attached to the report. Dynamic Educational System Corporation, however, cannot be located at its given address. Hence, its physical existence is questionable; and 				
				• The listed beneficiaries have no indicated addresses. Thus, actual participation cannot also be validated.				
				Funds transferred by the TRC to this NGO in the amount of #20.680 Million , intended for the implementation of four livelihood projects remained unliquidated despite request by the Team. The NGO did not submit the requested liquidation documents.				
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:				
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation				
				07-07514 2.250 Isidro T. Ungab Unliquidated in Full Confirmed authenticity of signature in the 07-03359 07-04704 5.760 Bienvenido M. Abante, Jr. 07-02903				
				07-03314 11.640 Antonio M. Serapio Deceased.				
				Total 22.930				
				City Government of Quezon				
				Funds released to this NGO by the City Government of Quezon amounting to P2.500 Million for the implementation of Kaligtasan ng Pamayanan Laban sa Krimen, Sakit, Sakuna at Kahirapan also remained unliquidated. The submission of liquidation documents was requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012 but such request remained unacted upon as of audit date.				
				This project was implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Mary Ann L. Susano covered by SARO No. ROCS-07-00803 amounting to P2.500 million . She did not reply to the team's request for her to confirm the authenticity of her signature on the documents submitted by the NGO.				
				CPEF Caring Foundation, Inc. (CCFI)				
DSWD-NCR	14	40.500		This NGO was not issued permit to operate by the City Government of Manila. It was registered with SEC. It was reportedly operating at 2325 Revellin St., Sta. Ana, Manila. It did not, however, confirm its transactions and submit additional documents requested by the Team.				

	Pr	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt	Legis-	Re	emarks		
	NO.	(M P)	lators				
				These projects covered.			
				These projects covered:			
				 Grant of burial, medical, financial ar situation; and 	nd livelihood assistar	ice to families in crisis	
				 Provision of day care program and disaster relief operation participated by 2 suppliers/ establishments. 			
				Documents disclosed that funds for nine projects were not fully liquidated wit unliquidated balance of P11,150,581 . The submission of liquidation document was requested under our letter dated May 30, 2012 but such request remaine unacted upon as of audit date.			
				On the other hand, analysis of the submitted liquidation documents revealed th the implementation of the projects is questionable for the following reasons:			
				 Three suppliers are either unknown at, or reportedly moved out from their give addresses, or closed its business. Of the three, one has no permit to operal from the City Government of Makati. Other suppliers have yet to confirm the transactions, one of which was also not issued permit to operate by the Ci Government of Makati; and 			
				 The liquidation reports were either not supported with distribution list or supported with list of recipients without any indicated addresses. 			
				 Out of 165 selected beneficiaries with complete addresses, 50 replied with 2 denying receipt of assistance amounting to P57,650. On the other hand, 1 other beneficiaries of assistance costing P39,400 cannot be located at the given addresses. 			
				 Six suppliers confirmed their transact of funds was not in accordance with released under SARO No. 08-07891 0683298, dated 10/29/09 and 03/12 assistance were used for the Tean following reported expenses: 	n the purpose. The a , covered with check 2/09, respectively, for	mount of P3.0 Million numbers 0720723 and financial and medical	
				Supplier	Amount	Purpose	
				Tanchuling Hotel	₽ 68,700	00	
				Caliraya Recreation Realty, Inc. Gennext Foods Corpo / Jollibee	962,050 265,463		
				Great Adriana Food Exchange, Inc.	58,800	00 Accommodation/	
				Philippine Colombian Assoc'n	282,140		
				Makati Hope Christian School, Inc Ezer Foundation, Inc.	90,680		
				Aristocrat Restaurant	22,794		
				Max's Food Services, Inc.	29,097	66 Accommodation/	
				Puregold Price Club, Inc.	65,997		
				Pandacan Transport Services and MP Co Expo Tours, Phils.	240,000		
				Ma-Cor Bags & Wallets Mfg.	250,000	00 Materials	
				Total	₽2,442,422	32	
				These projects were implemented out Abante, Jr. covered by the the following		Cong. Bienvenido M.	
				SARO No.	Amo	unt	
				ROCS-07-02902		7,000,000.00	
				ROCS-07-04705		6,500,000.00	

	Pro	ojects	No.		
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks
				SARO No.	Amount
				ROCS-07-07654	2,000,000.00
				ROCS-07-09328	500,000.00
				B-07-07185	1,500,000.00
				ROCS-07-09328	3,000,000.00
				ROCS-08-07891 B-07-07185	3,000,000.00 1,500,000.00
				ROCS-08-04509	2,000,000.00
				ROCS-08-04509	2,000,000.00
				ROCS-08-04509	2,500,000.00
				ROCS-08-00250	3,000,000.00
				ROCS-08-07891 ROCS-09-03010	4,000,000.00 2,000,000.00
				Total	40,500,000.00
			Ton	The legislator confirmed his signa	tures on the documents submitted by the NGO.
DSWD-NCR	2	4.500	1		is registered with SEC but was not issued with
				permit by	City Government of Manila.
Unliquidate				CCCC TRA	,
DSWD-NCR	2	12.000	1	No. 551 P	ampanga Street, Gagalangin, Tondo, Manila
Total	4	16.500			
				with one categorically denying	ed their respective transactions as of audit date
					Status of Darmit
				Supplier Raffy Sia Pest Control	Status of Permit Renewed for January 2008 only
				Promo Print	None
				B. Gen. Marketing	
				C & A Medical Suppliers	
				Sun Quality Bags Factory	
					of medicines, printed test manuals and bags for e not supported with list of beneficiaries.
					portedly distributed to 964 beneficiaries of which hplete address. Of the reported beneficiaries, 217 h the results tabulated below:
					lies, 1 denied receipt of the assistance. nknown or have moved out from their given y.
				CIDSS projects in the amount of 09-02500 remained unliquidated liquidation documents under our	2008 and 2009 for the implementation of two P12.000 Million under SARO Nos. 08-04820 and . Thus, the Team requested for submission of letter dated May 30, 2012. In its reply, the NGO s who received educational assistance and all

	Projects	No.					
IA No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	R	emarks			
			DSWD PDAF Office. The documents SARO No. 07-07619 and not the two S Of the total reported expenses, P0.3 8	rial, and cash for work were forwarded to the claimed to have been submitted referred to AROs being questioned by he Team. 85 Million was used for administrative cost t of the allocation of Cong. Jaime C. Lopez Amount			
			ROCS-07-07619	2,500,000.00			
			ROCS-07-02992	2,000,000.00			
			Unliquidated in full	2,000,000.00			
			ROCS-08-04820	9,000,000.00			
			ROCS-09-02500	3,000,000.00			
			Total	16,500,000.00			
	Impr	ove Heal	th, Education and Livelihood in the Ph	ilippines (I-HELP)			
LDC 1	2.910	1	 receive information to the issuance to the issuance 43 llocos Sur, E Inspection discipled of the issuance 43 llocos and the issuance 40 llocos	sactions are questionable for the following ken by Sky Park Hotel based in Zamboang d by Arrex Industrial Marketing and Arger			

	Projects _{No.}		No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks		
		(IVI #)				
				Confirmation from the beneficiaries futher casts doubts on the validity of these transactions as discussed below:		
				 The purchase of 9,000 pattern papers is also doubtful as the respondents received only two to three pieces. One Barangay Captain received only 30 pieces for distribution to 10 participants. Of the five barangays, only one, so far, confirmed receipt of only 2 sewing machines and 10 sets of few items, forming part of the starter kits. It is informed that there were 15 sewing machines and 90 sets of starter kits consisting of 16 items procured, among others. Seven beneficiaries are either unlocated or have moved out from given address. Confirmation from the EOs disclosed that only 31 of the 90 reported beneficiaries are registered voters. 		
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Erico Basilio A. Fabian covered by SARO No. ROCS-09-04810 amounting to P 2.910 Million. He has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO.		
	Kalusugan ng Bata, Karunungan ng Bayan, Inc. (KBKBI)					
DSWD-CO	1	14.000	1	This NGO was registered with SEC on January 11, 2001 with the legislator itself as		
				one of the incorporators, but there was no data issuance of business permit. It was reportedly operating at 1405 Marbella I Condominium, Roxas Boulevard, Pasay City. The confirmation letter sent to this NGO was returned to the Team as there was no such tenant within the area and no unit with such number. This is also the same address being used by READ Foundation. The SAROs were merged into one project, the National Feeding Program/School Feeding Program. Of the total releases of P14.000 Million, only receipt for P5.000 Million issued by Jeverps Enterprise for the procurement of assorted food packages at P100/pack was submitted, leaving P9.00 Million unliquidated.		
				These transactions are also considered questionable for the following reasons:		
				 The distribution of the food packages could hardly be accounted as discussed below: 		
				 Of the total procurement of 50,000 packs, only 29,375 packs were accounted from the documents submitted as distributed to various locations leaving 20,625 packs unaccounted; 		
				 Only 3,800 packs have identified recipients which can be confirmed/validated as there were no specific beneficiaries for the 25,575 packs. The list only enumerated the Municipalities where the food packages were allegedly distributed which were sometimes reportedly represented by the Municipal Mayors, Barangay Captains or Social Work Officers; 		
				 The food packages were reportedly distributed to the Municipalities of Ilocos, Pampanga, Samar, Pangasinan, Camarines Norte, Abra, Aklan and Marikina City; 		
				• Two of the recipient MSWDO so far replied, confirming the receipt of 900 packs and submitted distribution lists. The 200 beneficiaries from the submitted list were confirmed, of which 18 denied receiving any food packs while 60 others are unknown at their given addresses.		

		ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks				
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Edgardo J. Angara covered by the following SAROs:				
				SARO No.	Amount			
				ROCS-07-05095	4,000,000.00			
				ROCS-07-05496	6,000,000.00			
				ROCS-07-06896	4,000,000.00			
				Total 14,000,000.00				
				The legislator confirmed his signatures a all the documents submitted by the NGO	nd that of his authorized representatives in .			
			G	lobal Support Link Foundation, Inc. (GSI	_FI)			
NLDC 1 0.9					on March 24, 2008 but was not issued tt of Quezon during CYs 2007 to 2009. It r CY 2010.			
				with HMLFI. At the time of in receive the confir	Is. Mary Ann A. Exito was also connected respection, the person on site refused to mation letter. Hence, the same was sen am has yet to receive reply from this NGO.			
				training materials and other requirement	on slippers making in four batches. The s for the 3-day seminar was provided by 4 aining was reportedly participated by 345			
				Documents disclosed that these transareasons:	actions are questionable for the following			
				 The venue of the said training was not One of the suppliers/establishments cannot be located while the other one The complete addresses of the 15 train 	has no business permit to operate, one was already closed; and			
				Confirmation from the beneficiaries further transactions for the following reasons:	ther casts doubt on the validity of these			
				 denied receiving the complete set of ki Five participants claimed that the sem days as reported; and Nine other participants are uknown, given addresses. 	inar lasted only for two days and not three or have moved out or unlocated at thei			
				Susano covered by SARO No. ROCS-09	of the allocation of Cong. Mary Ann L 9-06416 amounting to P0.970 Million . She o confirm her signatures on the documents			

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				Kabaka Foundation, Inc. (KFI)
DSWD-NCR	5	4.800	1	
NABCOR	1	1.940	1	This NGO was registered with SEC with the
Unliquidat	ed in F	ull		legislator himself as one of the incorporators. Verification with BPLO of Manila disclosed that
DSWD	5	15.000	1	there were no permits issued to this NGO as of
Total	11	21.740	3	March 2011. This was reportedly operating at cor. Jesus cor. Nagtahan Sts., Pandacan, Manila.
				The NGO claimed that all required documents such as receipts/invoices and list of beneficiaries have been submitted to DSWD-NCR.
				DSWD-NCR
		financial, educational, medical, food for work and burial reportedly supplied by Seng Huat Canvass Trading w from the National Food Authority (NFA). The differen reportedly granted to 3,311 beneficiaries with a assistance. Of the total amount of P4.800 Million fi	The liquidated projects covered procurement of tents and rice, and grant of financial, educational, medical, food for work and burial assistance. The tents were reportedly supplied by Seng Huat Canvass Trading while the rice was procured from the National Food Authority (NFA). The different types of assistance were reportedly granted to 3,311 beneficiaries with a number receiving multiple assistance. Of the total amount of P4.800 Million for five projects, liquidation documents for two projects amounting to P1,283 Million were not submitted to the Team.	
				Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:
				• While Seng Huat Canvass Trading was issued business permits during CYs 2007 to 2009 and confirmed the issuance of receipts, its transactions were deficient as discussed below:
				• Two projects were supported by the same OR No. 13793 dated May 2, 2008. This OR, in the amount of P200,000 , was used to liquidate SARO Nos. ROCS-07-07629 and ROCS-08-00199.
				 The receipts being issued by the supplier were not in chronological order. Receipt No. 13807 was issued on April 17, 2008 while receipt No. 13793 was issued on May 2, 2008.
				 There were no distribution lists submitted for the 30 tents procured under the 3 projects costing P20,000 each or a total of P600,000.
				 Procurements costing P363,200, reportedly from JEMMS Sports Center and NFA were also not supported with distribution lists. The items procured from JEMMS Sports Center was not even supported with receipt.
				Confirmation from the beneficiaries and analysis of documents further casts doubt on the validity of these transactions on account of the following:
				 Substantial number of beneficiaries have no complete addresses. Information received from the EO of the 5th District of Manila disclosed that out of the 1,864 beneficiaries listed therein, only 587 were registered voters. The identities of a great number of beneficiaries cannot even be established;
				• One of the Barangay Captains claimed that out of 170 listed beneficiaries purportedly residents of his barangay, only 34 were confirmed residents.
				• Out of 348 confirmation letters, 76 have, so far, replied with 5 denying receipt of

	Pr	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks			
				the total amount of P10,000 . On the other hand, 101 beneficiaries cannot be located as they are either unknown at their given address or addresses given are insufficient or non-existent.				
				reported projects, the liquidation do Million were not made available to submission of liquidation document claimed that all documents have b was no proof of submission attach made available to the Team by the	As noted, in addition to unsubmitted liquidation documents pertaining to the five reported projects, the liquidation documents of another five projects costing P 15.0 Million were not made available to the Team. In reply to the Team's request for submission of liquidation documents under our letter dated June 1, 2012, the NGO claimed that all documents have been forwarded to DSWD-NCR. However, there was no proof of submission attached to the reply and there were no documents made available to the Team by the DSWD for these projects. These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Amado S.			
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Amado S. Bagatsing covered by the following SAROs:				
				SARO No.	Amount			
				ROCS-07-07629	1,400,000.00			
				ROCS-08-04815	1,800,000.00			
				ROCS-07-07629	300,000.00			
				ROCS-07-07629	300,000.00			
				ROCS-08-00199	1,000,000.00			
				Unliquidated in full	5 000 000 00			
				ROCS-08-07848	5,300,000.00			
				ROCS-08-07848	700,000.00			
				ROCS-09-04643	3,500,000.00			
				ROCS-09-04643	2,500,000.00			
				ROCS-09-04521	3,000,000.00			
				Total	19,800,000.00			
			-	signatures on the documents subm	eam's request to confirm the authenticity of his itted by this NGO.			
				NABCOR				
				The project covered training coupled with distribution of financial assistance. The training and financial assistance were allegedly distributed to 310 members of KABAKA Chapters. The facilities, venue and items needed for food processing were reportedly supplied and provided by six suppliers. Documents disclosed that these transactions are questionable for the following reasons:				
				One supplier is unknown at its business permit to operate; and None of the 14 Kabaka Chapter receipt of financial assistance.	ned their respective transactions with this NGO. given address, while the other was not issued Presidents confirmed attendance to training and Two categorically denied receipts of assistance, etter, while one is unknown at its given address. n's request for confirmation.			
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Amado S. Bagatsing covered by SARO No. ROCS 08-07849 amounting to P1.940 Million . He has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signature on the documents submitted by the NGO.				
				READ Foundation, Inc. (RF	()			
DSWD-CO	7	81.550	1	The NGO was registered with SE was reportedly operating at:	EC with authorized capitalization of P100,000. It			

IA	Pro No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators		Remarks				
				• 1405 Marbella I Condominium	n, Roxas Blvd,	Pasay City			
				This is the same address address was returned as there		BI. Confirmation letter sent to the tenant and no such number.	nis		
				• 704 EDSA Cor. New York Str	eet, Cubao, Qu	uezon City.			
					The Office at Cubao was found with only one staff during inspection. The Team was informed, though, that they have satellite offices, the locations of which were not disclosed.				
				This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional document requested by the Team.					
				As reflected in the MOA, the funds were intended as <u>financial assistance to suppor</u> the expanded operation of the foundation. Based on the liquidation reports, the funds were used to procure medicines, assorted groceries, blankets, materials for livelihood training and conduct research and documentation for the Philippin Manuscript Maps and Baler Books from 22 suppliers/providers.					
						s are questionable for the following	ng		
				remained unliquidated. The	liquidation of dated June 6,	18.585 Million covering five project documents was requested to 1 , 2012 but such request remained	be		
				Alis Dengue amounting to a addresses of the listed benefic	₽931,028 was ciaries;	ving of financial assistance for Opla s not confirmed due to insufficie r transactions, 4 have no permits	ent		
				Supplier		BPLO			
				Asia-Pacific Policy Center		(Business Permit) With Permit only for CY 2006	_		
				Ralph's Wines and Spirit UP College of Arts and Letters For Pediapharma, Inc.	oundation, Inc.	No Permit			
				Ten other suppliers have either could not be located at the given by the second s		operate during CYs 2007 to 2009	or		
				0		Confirmation Replies			
				Supplier	Suppliers	BPLO (Business Permit)			
				Cordillera News Agency Foundation Inc.		No Business Permit			
				Des Merie Canteen & Catering Services	Unknown at given address	With Permit issued only for CY 200 and using 2 different TINs)4		
				Dulay Peanut Butter & Chips	Unlocated	No Reply Yet	_		
				J. Luna Marketing	Moved out	With Permit issued only for 2004	-		
				JCS Chemical Supply	No Reply	With Permit only for 2006 and using different TINs and ATPs purported issued authorizing the printing of higher series ahead of lower series	ly		

Projects No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remarks		
						Confirmation Replies	
				Supplier	Suppliers	BPLO (Business Permit)	
				Jun Enterprises	Not at given address	With Permit issued only for 2004	
				Richmond Plastic World			
				Serbisyong Pagmamahal Viva Communication Inc.	No Reply	With Permit only for 1999	
				Confirmation from the recipient transactions as discussed below:		its doubts on the validity of these	
				 Three recipients denied receivi Sixty-two others cannot be loca Only 115 recipients confirmed a 	ated or unknor	wn at their given addresses; and	
				These projects were implemente covered by the following SAROs:		allocation of Sen. Edgardo J. Angara	
				SARO No.		Amount	
				ROCS-09-04225 ROCS-07-05095		<u>48,500,000.00</u> 4,000,000.00	
				ROCS-07-05095		5,000,000.00	
				ROCS-08-09193		6,500,000.00	
				G-09-07097		8,250,000.00	
				ROCS-07-06896	4,300,000		
				ROCS-07-05496 Total		5,000,000.00 81,550,000.00	
NLDC Unliquidate	1 d in Ful			all documents submitted by the N Sulong Bayan Foundation, Inc This NGO was registered with	IGO. (SBFI) SEC and wa	as issued permit to operate by the of Nueva Ecija. It was reported	
TRC Total	45	19.872 29.572	<u>1</u> 2		Rita, Quezor	n, Nueva Ecija. It did not confirm it	
				NLDC			
				NLDC The project involved procurement of 120 IT packages for distribution Member-Cooperatives of Coop-NATCCO. The liquidation report was sup only with receipt amounting to ₽7.700 Million. The amount of ₽2.0 I however, still remained undocumented. The IT packages were reportedly s by Helsinki Trading with business permits during CYs 2007 to 2009 issued City Government of Pasig. The supplier also confirmed the issuance of recei Confirmation from 49 out of 120 purported cooperative beneficiaries ar validation on the other 10 cooperatives, however, disclosed that the transaction is guestionable for the following reasons:			
				 Fifteen listed beneficiaries deni While 14 confirmed receipt o receive the complete compute 	ied receiving t f the IT pacl r package. O		

	Pr	ojects	No.		
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	R	emarks
				as cooperatives are private institution. allocation of Cong. Jose R. Ping-ay	perative may not even be considered proper These projects were implemented out of the covered by SARO No. ROCS-08-09700 jislator confirmed his signatures and that of cuments submitted by the NGO.
				TRC	
				amount of P19.872 Million remained u did not submit liquidation report reques This project was implemented out of	the implementation of four projects in the unliquidated. As discussed earlier, this NGO sted under our letter dated May 30, 2012.
				under the following SAROs:	
				SARO No. ROCS 07-00612 ROCS 07-03311 ROCS 07-03591 ROCS 07-06503 Total	Amount 5,472,000.00 4,800,000.00 4,800,000.00 4,800,000.00 19,872,000.00
				Todo Foundation, Inc. (TFI)	
DSWD- RFO III	9 10.736 2		2	This NGO is registered with SEC P50,000 but has no business per	with declared authorized capitalization of rmit from the Municipal Government of y operating at Cortez St., San Nicolas,
				The projects covered the grant of vario medical, burial and educational assista	bus types of assistance for purposes such as ince to 8,238 beneficiaries.
				no established criteria for the grant of much as P45,000.00 . These were gra	and assistance are questionable. There were assistance which ranged from P20.00 to as anted without any case study/evaluation by cument to support the emergency situation.
				Confirmation further casts doubt on the discussed below:	e authenticity of the submitted documents as
				denied receipt of any assistance fromFifty other recipients of assistance	assistance in the amount of ₽106,029.00 m the NGO; and, in the amount of ₽252,864.00 are unknown en insufficient or non-existent addresses.
				assistance and beneficiaries are subjurned were, however, not documented. The	ng certain criteria in the release of financial ected to sort of screening. The procedures e grant of assistance, as discussed earlier, wort and any proof of indigency or emergency
				death certificate for burial assistance assistance. There were even no writte beneficiaries. As further discussed, receiving any of the purported assistan	ct or hospital bills for medical assistance, or or school records/contract for educational en requests for assistance from the reported a number of beneficiaries even denied nce granted while the existence of a greater tionable. They are unknown and cannot be

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Re	marks	
				located at their given add	Iresses.		
				Information provided by requested to be validated of the respective municip	d, only 805, rep	presenting 46 percent,	
				Municipality/ Barangay	Listed Recipients	No. of Registered Voters	%age of Reg. Voters
				Bamban	55		60.33
				Capas		16 2	4.35
				Concepcion Total	1,16		40.52 45.79
				Confirmation by the Tea signatures on the docum			
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M ₽) G-09-07578 0.500	Legislator	Results of C Not included in the reque	
				08-00569 2.200 08-04262 2.956		Confirmed his signature a	and that of his authorized
				08-07067 3.001 09-01789 1.255		representative in the doc NGO.	uments submitted by the
				07-00718 0.452 Jesli		Confirmed authenticity authorized representative	
				07-03402 0.056 07-03402 0.130 07-03402 0.186		Confirmed authenticity authorized representative	of signature of his
				Total 10.736	- (1)[5 4)		
DOWD				Nueva Ecija 4, In	c. (NE4)		
DSWD- RFO III	14	33.130	1	This NGO is reportedly registered with SEC but Government of Jaen, Nue These projects represent medical, livelihood, ec beneficiaries. While all th liquidated, liquidation do available to the Team. Documents disclosed th P10,000.00 . The actual there were no case eva condition of the beneficia list of beneficiaries. The Team further noted complete address of th accuracy of the reported Confirmation from 725 r addresses provided by th casts doubt on the validit • Out of the 143 bene	has no record eva Ecija. It grant of variou Jucational, an hese releases bouments amou- hat the grant re grant of assis needs of the luation reports aries. The liqui that the list of he beneficiarie information and reported benefi he EOs of Pena y of the reporte	I of issuance of perm us types of assistance d sports and dev were recorded in the unting to ₽17.706 Mil were, apparently, re stance in amounts ran beneficiaries were no submitted to demons dation reports were m of beneficiaries did n s; hence, the difficu d the existence of the I iciaries, including tho aranda, San Isidro, Ge d transactions on acco	it from the Municipal in the form of burial, elopment to 7,107 subsidiary ledger as lion were not made eleased without first ging from P100.00 to be even assessed as strate the emergency herely supported with ot even indicate the ty of validating the beneficiaries. se beneficiaries with en. Tinio and Cabiao, bunt of the following:

	Projects							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remark	S		
				assistance amounting	g to ₽63,000 ; and			
				 Fifty-four other ben- unlocated at their g address. Their existe Information gathered fro the listed beneficiaries a 	iven addresses or r nce then can not even om the EOs also disc	eportedly moved n be established. closed that only a	out from the given round 67 percent of	
				Municipality/	No. c	of	0/	
				Municipality/ Barangay	Listed	Registered Voters	%age of Reg. Voters	
				General Tinio	Recipients 281	222	79	
				San Isidro	454	267	59	
				Cabiao	745	505	68	
				Total	1,480	994	67	
				SARO N ROCS-07-0 ROCS-07-0	0613	Amo	6,600,000.00 4,950,000.00	
				ROCS-08-0			3,856,404.00 5,280,000.00	
				ROCS-09-0	0768		4,194,000.00	
				ROCS-09-0 Total	4857		8,250,000.00 33,130,404.00	
				The legislator did not re documents submitted by		quest to confirm h	is signatures on the	
				Kabalikat sa Kabuha	yan, Inc. (KKI)			
DSWD-CO	4	10.131	2	This NGO is not register	ed with SEC and has	s no confirmed per	mit vet from the Citv	
Unliquidated	l in Full			Gov't of Makati. It is r				
DSWD-NCR	1	1.000	1	Magallanes, Makati Cit			actions and did not	
Total	5	11.131	3	submit additional docum	ents requested by th	e Team.		
				The funds for four pro- individual recipients. Of remained unliquidated costing P1.000 Million (the total project cos as of audit date. Ir	st of P10.131 Mill addition, funds	ion, P7.000 Million for another project	
				Documents disclosed that the assistance was granted without first establishing criteria for the grant of assistance which ranged from P2,000.00 to P12,000.00. The complete addresses of the listed beneficiaries were not also indicated in the list, hence, the difficulty of validating the legality of the transactions.				
				Confirmation from the to submitted documents du		casts doubt on the	e authenticity of the	
				 All six beneficiaries reported assistance; Twelve other recipie unknown or unlocated 	and nts of assistance in	the total amount	of ₽82,000.00 are	
				Information gathered fr	om the EO of Pasig	City also disclos	sed that of the 169	

	Pro	ojects	No.				
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators		Remar	KS	
				beneficiaries, only 48 existence, then, of a gr	eat number of recipie	nts cannot even be	established.
				Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following result			
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M ₽) DSWD-CO	Legislator	Results of C	confirmation
				07-00566 0.131 07-02345 2.500	Robert Vincent Jude B. Jaworski, Jr. Rene M. Velarde	Confirmed authenticity documents submitted I Did not reply to the tea	by the NGO.
				07-03288 2.500 Unliquidated in full DSWD-NCR		confirmation	•
				07-03236 1.000 Total 11.131	Alfonso V. Umali, Jr	Did not reply to the confirmation	e team's request for
			D	istrito 2 Mahal Ko Found	dation, Inc. (D2MKF)	
DSWD- RFO III	6	36.993	1	This NGO is reportedly is registered with SE Municipal Gov't of Balin The projects involved g the total releases, P1. remained unliquidated Documents disclosed criteria for the grant of There were even no w case study or evaluation The financial assistance emergency situation, assistance and project Confirmation from the submitted documents, amounting to P270,280 have given insufficient The Team also reque recipients, only 58 per	C but has no confir uag, Bulacan. grant of various types 750 Million , intended as of audit date. that the assistance which ritten requests for ass in declaring the benef was not supported such as, medical r proposal for livelihood beneficiaries further . Fifty-six reported 0.00 are unknown, or addresses.	med permit to ope of assistance to 6,52 for the implementa vere granted withou ranged from P200 . istance from the rec iciary as indigent. with any proof that t ecords or hospital d assistance. casts doubt on the beneficiaries of fir unlocated at their g erned EOs the statu	Prate yet from the 27 beneficiaries. Of tion of one project, ut first establishing 00 to P 30,000.00. ipients and DSWD he beneficiary is in bills for medical authenticity of the nancial assistance iven addresses, or us of the reported that of the listed
				Bocaue	Listed Recipients 6	Registered Voters 0 35	Reg. Voters
				Paombong		5 3	60
				Total In reply to the Team's information, the Progra documentary requiren Volunteers assessed th hospital bills, certificat	am Coordinator claim nents. She also cla ne needs of the benet	on of liquidation do ed that the NGO ha aimed that the So iciaries using certific	s complied with all ocial Worker and cation of indigency,

	Pr	Projects No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Re	emarks		
					as well as the documents used in the wever, not among those included in the		
				Of the total reported expenses, ₽1.240	Million was used for administrative cost		
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Pedro M. Pancl covered by the following SAROs:			
				SARO No.	Amount		
				ROCS-07-08657	3,500,000.00		
				ROCS-08-07051	8,780,000.00		
				ROCS-08-03931	1,500,000.00		
				ROCS-08-00747	4,500,000.00		
				ROCS-09-04577	9,523,000.00		
				ROCS-09-01790	9,190,000.00		
				Total	36,993,000.00		
DSWD-	3	12.900	1	Jose Sy Alvarado Foundation, Inc. (JS			
RFO III				incorporators but has no confirmed Government of Calumpit, Bulacan. It v Calumpit, Bulacan. Of the three projects, only liquidation d were made available to the Team. Th letter dated June 1, 2012, to submit liqu and provide additional information. In JSAFI submitted subsidiary ledger (St releases of #12.900 Million . The SL photocopy of documents. Hence, the sa The liquidated project costing #8.700 assistance such as educational, buria 2,446 beneficiaries, and installation of v Documents disclosed that various type establishing criteria for the grant of #100,000.00 . These were not supporte records for educational assistance, d document to support emergency situat abstracts and hospital bill for medical the beneficiaries was not indicated. existence of the recipients. The expense not also documented as this was merefi	Million involved grant of various types of al, financial, medical and transportation to vater system in the amount of P245,000.00. es of assistance were granted without first assistance which ranged from P50.00 to ed with case studies as to indigency, school leath certificate for burial assistance, medical assistance. Even the complete address of Thus, the difficulty of even validating the es of P245,000.00 for the water system was y supported with receipt.		
				 of the liquidated reports on account of the liquidated reports on account on the liquidated reports on the liquidated	he following: 51 beneficiaries denied receiving assistance		
					ious type of assistance, in the total amount nnot be located at their given addresses or		

	Pr	ojects	No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks						
				reportedly moved out	from the given a	ddresses.				
				The Team also reques gathered from various beneficiaries are register	EOs disclosed	I that only 42 per o				
				Manual a list of		No. of	0/ and af			
				Municipality/ Barangay	Listed Recipients	Registered Voters	%age of Reg. Voters			
				Bocaue	23	12	52			
				Balagtas	26	8	31			
				Hagonoy	224	100	45			
				Marilao	25	7	28			
				Meycauayan	44	11	25			
				Pandi Paombong	20 30	17	45 57			
				Plaridel	21	17	52			
				Total	413	175	42			
				SARO No ROCS-09-01 ROCS-08-03	796	Amou	8,700,000.00			
				ROCS-08-03			1,200,000.00			
				ROCS-09-04	578		3,000,000.00 12,900,000.00			
				authorized representative Community Resource		nts submitted by the NC	jŪ.			
DSWD-	2	35.500	2	Community Resource	Center (CKC)					
CO/NCR	3		3	This NGO is registered Government of Caloocan Caloocan City with capita This NGO did not confirm requested by the Team u	n. It is reported alization of £5.00 n its transaction:	y operating at No. 54 F 10 Million. s and did not submit ad	Reposo St., Baesa			
				The first two projects in program to 800 particip Computer Education for P30.000 Million , P21.0 report amounting to P7 . available documents, th computer package for Da	bants while the Day Care Lear 00 Million rem 000 Million wa he P 7.000 Mill ay Care Centers.	other one was inten rners Program. Of the valued unliquidated wh s not supported with r ion was used for th	ided for the Early project amount on hile the liquidation receipts. Based on the procurement of			
				Documents disclosed th ranging from P2,000.00 t of assistance. The grants to manifest immediate a neither proof of the eval accomplishment report t work program. The liste difficulty of validating the	o P25,000.00 wis were not support nd emergency r uation undertak o document the ed recipients ha	thout first establishing or orted with written reque- leed of the beneficiarie en to assess the need activities undertaken ve also no specific ac	criteria for the gran st or any documen s. There was even for assistance no under the cash fo			

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				Confirmation from the beneficiaries further casts doubt on the authenticity of the submitted liquidation documents:
				 Of the 34 beneficiaries with replies, 29 recipients denied receiving financial assistance amounting to P56,000.00; Sixty-six other recipients of assistance in the amount of P132,000.00 cannot be located or unknown at their given address; Two of the reported beneficiaries that allegedly received the amount of P25,000.00 each, denied receiving the amount in full. They claimed that they received only P11,250.00 each. Of the 12 recipients of computer package interviewed, 7 claimed that they used the system, while the rest cannot even determine if they used it at all. Five of them also claimed that they cannot boot the computer as they have forgotten the password. As of inspection date, only 4 categorically answered that the computer hardware is still in good condition. Apparently, none of them are still using the system which was allocated P30.000 Million. The Team also requested assistance from the EO. Information gathered from the EO of Caloocan City disclosed that out of 750 recipients requested to be validated, only 380 are registered voters of Caloocan City. The existence and identities then of 370 recipients cannot even be established. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation
				(ROCS) (M P) Legislator Results of commutative B-09-06062 30.000 Alvin S. Sandoval Confirmed authenticity of signature in all documents submitted by the NGO. 07-00546 1.500 Oscar G. Malapitan Did not reply to the team's request for G-09-07648 Total 35.500 State State
				Golden Palmdale Foundation Inc. (GoIPFI)
DSWD-NCR	2	15.000	1	This NGO was issued business permit in 2007 by the City Government of Manila but has no records on file for CYs 2008 and 2009. It was also registered with SEC. It is reportedly operating at No. 666 D. Santiago St., Sampaloc, Manila. The Team's letter dated May 30, 2012 to the GoIPFI requesting to confirm its transactions and submit liquidation documents and other information remained unserved as the Foundation reportedly moved out from the given address a long time ago without leaving any forwarding address. Of the total project cost of £15.000 Million, only liquidation documents amounting to £4,500.00 was made available to the Team. The utilization of £10.500 Million cannot therefor be established. Based on the documents submitted, the projects involved grant of financial assistance.
				criteria for the selection of beneficiaries in amounts ranging from P300.00 to P15,000.00 . There were even no request from the beneficiary and case study/evaluation establishing the status of the recipients and/or document to manifest emergency situation.
				Liquidation reports were merely supported with list of beneficiaries indicating therein names and amounts received by the beneficiaries. The specific addresses of the recipients were not also indicated. Hence, the validity of the transaction cannot be confirmed.

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators	Remarks
				The Team also requested from the concerned EOs the status of the reported recipients. However, the request of the Team to the concerned EOs also remained unacted upon as of audit date.
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Rodolfo C. Bacani covered by SARO No. ROCS-07-04158 amounting to P15.000 million . The legislator has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signature on the documents submitted by the NGO.
				Pamamalakaya Foundation, Inc. (PFI)
DSWD-NCR	1	20.000	1	
DSWD-NOK		20.000		This NGO is reportedly operating at 992 M. Naval St., San Jose, Navotas City. It is registered with SEC with the relative of the legislator as one of the incorporators but has no confirmed permit to operate yet from the City Gov't of Navotas City.
				This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated May 30, 2012.
				As described in the project proposal, this is a cash-for-work program covering environmental rehabilitation project for the Cities of Navotas and Malabon. The fund was released in the form of financial assistance of P2,500.00 for each individual participant for a 10-day work.
				Documents disclosed that the implementation of this project is questionable for the following reasons:
				• The liquidation report was supported only with the payroll of beneficiaries of the program. Such payroll did not even indicate the complete address of the recipients.
				• There was no accomplishment report to document the activities undertaken under the cash for work program.
				• Around 2,975 beneficiaries reportedly participated twice to as much as four times in the program.
				• The liquidation documents amounted to P21.395 Million , which is even more than the amount being liquidated.
				Confirmation from 1,014 beneficiaries further casts doubt on the authenticity of the submitted document for the following reasons:
				 Of the 117 beneficiaries with replies, 103 denied receiving the purported assistance in the amount of P258,000.00;
				 Two hundred ninety four other recipients of assistance in the amount of P732,500.00 are unknown or cannot be located at their given addresses or reportedly moved out from such address.
				The Team also requested assistance from the concerned EO. Information gathered from the EO of Navotas City disclosed that out of 5,583 recipients requested to be validated, only 2,715 are registered voters of Navotas City. The identities of all others then cannot even be established.
				These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Federico Sandoval covered by SARO No. ROCS-07-03021 amounting to #20.000 million . He

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators	Remarks			Remarks		
				confirmed the authenticity of his signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.					
				The Likhaan Group, Inc. (TLGI)					
NABCOR	2	9.215	2				-		
				This NGO is registered with SEC but no record of permit issued by the C Government of Quezon. It was reportedly operating at 54A Main Avenue, Quez City. This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documer		prtedly operating at 54A Main Avenue, Quezon			
							ctions and did not submit additional documents tter dated May 30, 2012.		
				The project, with funds released in 2007, was intended for the preparation of feasibility study on the viability and sustainability of agribusiness development plan for Northern Samar. The liquidation report, however, was not supported with fund utilization report and corresponding receipts/invoices as proof of fund utilization. It was only supported with the results of evaluation, research and study. The results of study, however, which costs P9.215 Million were merely filed by NABCOR upon receipt which indicates the absence of need for the same.					
							e sponsoring legislators on the validity of their ted to the Team yielded the following results:		
				SARO (ROCS)	Amt (M ₽)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation		
				07-07549	4.850	Jeci A. Lapus	Confirmed his signature and that of his authorized representative in the documents submitted by the NGO.		
				07-07797 Total	4.365 9.215	Paul R. Daza	Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.		
			St. Ja	mes the Apost	le Mult	i-Purpose Coo	p. (SJAMPC)		
NABCOR	1	9.700	1	Pampanga a Municipality o did not confir	nd regi of Guag m its tra	stered with CD ua, Pampanga	erating at St. James Parish, Betis, Guagua, DA. The Team is still awaiting reply from the as to issuance of permit to this Cooperative. It did not submit additional documents requested May 30, 2012.		
				Provinces Na fund utilizatio funds. It was The results of	ationwic in repor s only s of study	le. The liquidat t and correspor supported with t , however, whit	of Agricultural Livelihood Projects in Strategic tion report, however, was not supported with nding receipts/invoices evidencing utilization of the results of evaluation, research and study. ch costs P9.700 Million , were merely filed by tes the absence of need for the same.		
				This project was implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Ramon B. Revilla, Jr. covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-08555 amounting to P 9.700 million. He confirmed the authenticity of his signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.					
		(Center fo	r Mindoro Integ	grated I	Dev't Foundatio	on, Inc. (CMIDFI)		
NABCOR	2	9.700	2	by the City G at Brgy. Sto. and did not s	overnm Niño, submit a	ent of Calapan Calapan, Orien additional docur	ith SEC and was not issued permit to operate for CYs 2007 to 2009. It is reportedly operating tal Mindoro. It did not confirm its transactions ments requested by the Team under the letter y existence of the NGO is questionable.		

	Pr	ojects	No.		
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remark	S
				The project was intended to conduct evaluat agribusiness opportunities within the fisherie value chain in Batangas. The liquidation reput fund utilization report and corresponding utilization. Rather, it was only supported w and study. The results of study, however, receipt which indicates the absence of need Confirmation by the Team from the sponso signatures on the documents submitted to the SARO Amt (ROCS) (M P) 07-07405 4.850 07-07981 4.850 Marc Lleandro L. Mendoz	es supply chain in Aklan and livestock orts, however, were not supported with g receipts/invoices evidencing fund th the results of evaluation, research were merely filed by NABCOR upon for the same. ring legislators on the validity of their e Team yielded the following results: Results of Confirmation Did not reply to the team's request for
			Kaling	a sa Kapwa at Kalikasan Foundation, Inc. (K	KKEI)
TRC	3	12.540	3		
				Government of Pasig. It is reportedly op Condominium, Brgy. Ugong, Pasig City. This and did not submit additional documents re dated May 30, 2012. Hence, the very existen The projects, with funds released in 2007, v economic standard through various livelihor remained unliquidated as of audit date. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoo signatures on the documents submitted to the	s NGO did not confirm its transactions quested by the Team under the letter ce of the NGO is questionable. were intended for the upgrading of the iod projects. The releases, however, ring legislators on the validity of their
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M ₽) Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				07-00428 4.800 Antonio C. Alvarez	Did not reply to the team's request for
				07-03004 2.940 Arthur F. Celeste 07-00394 4.800 Ignacio T. Arroyo, Jr.	confirmation. Deceased .
				Total 12.540	
				Pusong Makabayan Foundation, Inc. (PMFI)	
TRC	3	20.580	3		
				This NGO is reportedly operating at No. Greater Lagro, Novaliches, Quezon City. It i permit to operate from the City Gov't of Que and did not submit additional documents re dated May 30, 2012. Hence, the very existen The projects, with funds released in 2007, w Technology Transfer Workshops, Efficien Programs. These releases, however, remain Confirmation by the Team from the sponso signatures on the documents submitted to th	s not registered with SEC and has no zon. It did not confirm its transactions quested by the Team under the letter ce of the NGO is questionable. there intended for Livelihood Programs, ncy Training and Skills Enhanced ed unliquidated as of audit date. tring legislators on the validity of their
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M P) Legislator	Results of Confirmation
				07-03583 3.920 Emilio C. Macias II	Deceased.
				07-02991 1.960 Jaime C. Lopez	

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation
				07-03090 14.700 Jose Emmanuel Bobbit L. Did not reply to the team's request for Carlos confirmation.
				Total 20.580
		Phil	ippine A	gri & Social Economic Dev't Foundation, Inc. (PASEDF)
TRC	2	10.570	2	This NGO is registered with SEC and was issued by the City Government of Caloocan with business permit to operate. It is reportedly operating at 121 General Miguel, Sangandaan, Caloocan City. This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated May 30, 2012. The projects, with funds released in 2007, were intended for the implementation of livelihood programs. These releases, however, remained unliquidated as of audit date. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO (ROCS) Amt (M ₽) Legislator Results of Confirmation
				07-00655 8.680 Conrado M. Estrella III Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation.
				07-00520 1.890 Ernesto A. Nieva Deceased Total 10.570
		Soci	ally Ecol	ogically Responsible and Viable Endeavor (SERVE), Inc.
		3.360		 This NGO is registered with SEC but has no business permit to operate for CYs 2007 to 2009 from the City Gov't of Quezon. This NGO was reportedly operating at Cefame Bldg., Ateneo de Manila University, Katipunan Avenue, Loyola Heights, Quezon City. It did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated May 30, 2012. The project, with funds released in 2007, was intended for the implementation of various priority projects. Despite request by the Team, the fund transfers remained unliquidated as of audit date. This project was implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Rodante D. Marcoleta covered by SARO No. ROCS-07-00604 amounting to P3.360 million. He confirmed the authenticity of his signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.
				Molugan Foundation, Inc. (MFI)
TRC	4	38.400	4	This NGO is not registered with SEC but with business permit to operate. It was reportedly operating at Rm. 403, 72 ACL Suites, 13 th Avenue, Murphy, Quezon City. This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated May 31, 2012.
				The projects, with funds released in 2007, were intended for the implementation of livelihood programs in the depressed barangays of the following areas:
				• 3 rd District of Cebu

	Pr	ojects	No.	
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				 2nd District of Masbate 3rd District of Negros Occidental Region 1 These releases, however, remained unliquidated as of audit date despite request by the Team for the submission of liquidation documents under our letter dated May 31, 2012. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation
				07-05497 9.600 Edgardo J. Angara Confirmed signature in all documents 07-00663 9.600 Herminio G. Teves submitted by the NGO.
				07-03230 9.600 Antonio P. Yapha Did not reply to the team's request for 07-03181 9.600 Emilio R. Espinosa, Jr. confirmation.
				Total 38.400
		T	he Assen	bly of Gracious Samaritans Foundation, Inc. (AGSFI)
			(abalibat	CY 2007 only. It was reportedly operating at 1616 Theseus St., North Olympus, Novaliches, Quezon City. It did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated June 1, 2012. The project, with funds released in 2007 was intended for livelihood development projects. This releases, however, remained unliquidated as of audit date despite request by the Team. It was implemented out of the allocation of Sen Edgardo J. Angara covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-05092 amounting to #24.960 Million . He confirmed the authenticity of his signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.
TDC	2			sa Kalusugan at Kaunlaran Foundation, Inc. (KKKFI)
TRC	3	35.276	3	 This NGO is registered with SEC and was issued business permit to operate by the City Gov't of Pasig. It was reportedly operating at Unit 131, Chateau Verde Condominium, Valle Verde 1, Ugong, Pasig City. This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated May 30, 2012. The projects, with funds released in 2007, were intended for the implementation of various livelihood projects. These releases, however, remained unliquidated as of audit date despite request by the Team. Confirmation by the Team from the sponsoring legislators on the validity of their signatures on the documents submitted to the Team yielded the following results:
				SARO Amt Legislator Results of Confirmation (ROCS) (M P) Legislator Results of Confirmation
				07-02344 10.976 Robert Vincent Jude B. Confirmed signature in all documents Jaworski, Jr. submitted by the NGO.
				07-04214 9.600 Joey D. Hizon Did not reply to the team's request for 07-03158 14.700 Leovigildo B. Banaag confirmation.

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators	Remarks			
Antipolo Philanthropy Foundation, Inc. (APFI)							
TRC		28.620	3	Gov't of Antipolo. It v Quezon Extension, Ai submit additional docu 30, 2012. These projects, with fu of various livelihood of unliquidated as of audi Confirmation by the T	was reportedly open ntipolo City. It did uments requested I unds released in 20 development project it date despite require eam from the spor	was issued permit to operate by the City erating at Ground Floor, STI Bldg. M.L. not confirm its transactions and did not by the Team under the letter dated May 07, were intended for the implementation ts. These releases, however, remained est by the Team. nsoring legislators on the validity of their o the Team yielded the following results:	
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M P)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation	
				07-03258 4.800 G 07-02952 4.900 R 07-02962 9.120	Seneroso DC. Tulagan Reynaldo B. Aquino Victor R. Sumulong	Confirmed signature in endorsement letter, MOA, and WFP. Did not reply to the team's request for confirmation. Deceased	
	1]	Share A-Joy Found	ation, Inc. (SJFI)		
TRC		4.700		This NGO is not registered with SEC and has no business permit to operate from the City Gov't of Baguio. It was reportedly operating at Camp John Hay Development Corp., Loakan Road, Baguio City. It did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated May 30, 2012. Hence, the very existence of the NGO is questionable. The project, with funds released in 2007, was intended for the implementation of various livelihood projects. The release, however, remained unliquidated as of audit date despite request by the Team. This project was implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Mauricio G. Domogan covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-04138 amounting to P4.700 million . He confirmed the authenticity of his signatures in all documents submitted by the NGO.			
				Aksyon Makamasa Fou	Indation, Inc. (AMF	-I)	
TRC	2	20.060	1	incorporators but has Santiago. It was report It did not confirm its requested by the Tean The projects, with func various livelihood dev unliquidated as of audi	no confirmed busir tedly operating at N s transactions and n under the letter da ds released in 2007 velopment projects it date despite requi implemented out ne following SARO:	, were intended for the implementation of . These releases, however, remained est by the Team. of the allocation of Cong. Anthony C.	
				ROCS 07-00 ROCS 07-00 Total		9,500,000.00 20,060,000.00	

Projects No.		No.		
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks
				The legislator has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO.
		1		Fair Trade Alliance (FTA)
Quezon City	1	1.000	1	This NGO is not registered with SEC and has no confirmed business permit to operate. It is .reportedly operating at 56 Mother Ignacia Ave., cor. Dr. Lascano St.,
				Quezon City. This NGO did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated June 7, 2012. Hence, the very existence of the NGO is questionable.
				Quezon City
				The project, with funds released in 2008, was intended to support the Philippine Film Industry Road Mapping Project, which is not also in the GAA menu.
				This project was implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Ramon B. Revilla Jr. covered by SARO No. ROCS 08-00654 amounting to P1.000 million . He has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO.
	I :I		Central D	istrict Fire and Rescue Volunteer Brigade (CDFRVB)
Quezon City	1	5.000	1	This Organization is registered with SEC but has no permit to operate. It was reportedly operating at 8E Daily Mirror Street, West Triangle, Quezon City. It did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated June 7, 2012. The project, with funds released in 2007 was intended for Disaster Mitigation and
				Rescue Capability Building Program of Fire and Rescue Volunteer Brigades of Quezon City and NCR. It was implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Gregorio B. Honasan II covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-09265 amounting to P5.000 million. He has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO.
			Life	e Giver Development Foundation, Inc. (LGDFI)
Quezon City	1	1.000	1	The Foundation is registered with SEC but has no record of issuance of permit from the City Govt of Quezon. It was reportedly operating at 511 Quirino Highway, Talipapa, Quezon City. It did not confirm its transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Team under the letter dated June 7, 2012.
				The project, with fund released in 2007, was intended for the implementation of various projects for indigents. It was implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-08706 amounting to P1.000 million . He has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO.
				Rotary Club of New Manila East (RCNME)
Quezon City	1	2.000	1	The organization is not registered with SEC and has no record of permit issued by

	Projects		No.			
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	I	Remarks	
				the City Government of Quezon. It Roces Avenue, Quezon City.	was reportedly operating at Rotary District,	
				literacy programs particularly expan children in QC. The release, howe despite request by the Team und submission of liquidation documents.	2009, was intended to support health and ded milk feeding program for malnourished ver, remained unliquidated as of audit date ler our letter dated June 7, 2012 for the In their email to this Office, the past President ice on the manner such request should be ocumentation.	
				The requested liquidation documents The project was implemented out of covered by SARO No. ROCS 09	s Office under our letter dated July 18, 2012. were, however, not submitted as of to date. of the allocation of Sen. Juan Ponce Enrile P-04961 amounting to P2.000 million . He gnatures in all documents submitted by the	
			Nagkak	aisang Manggagawa ng Pelikulang Pi	lipino (NMPP)	
Quezon City	2	6.500	1	This NGO is registered with SEC but has no permit issued by the City Gov Quezon. It is reportedly operating at Unit 209, Cabrera 2 Bldg., Timog A Quezon City. The projects, with funds released in 2008 and 2009, were intended to support housing and educational assistance programs for movie workers, which are even within the GAA menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF.		
				audit date. Moreover, while #831,36 not supported with receipts issued payments of tuition fees. Thus, the	P3.699 Million remained unliquidated as of 6.00 were considered liquidated, these were by the concerned schools acknowledging e Team, in its letter dated June 7, 2012, idation reports, duly supported with pertinent	
				they are still waiting for the final report	e Chairman, NMPP, informed this Office that rt pertinent to the liquidation of ₽4.500 Million speedy release of the liquidation reports. The unsubmitted as of audit date.	
				These projects were implemented out under the following SAROs:	t of the allocation of Sen Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	
				SARO No.	Amount	
				ROCS 08-06615	3,000,000.00	
				ROCS 09-6807 Total	3,500,000.00 6,500,000.00	
				The legislator has yet to reply to the Team's request to confirm his sign the documents submitted by the NGO.		
			Ma	atias C. Defensor, Sr. Foundation, Inc.	. (MDSF)	
Quezon City	9	99.500	1	This Foundation is registered with S	EC with the legislator himself as one of the	
				incorporators and was issued business permit by the City Government of for CYs 2007 to 2008. It was reportedly operating at 4th Floor, Temps I		

IA	Pr No.	ojects Amt (M P)	No. Legis- lators			Remarks
		(WI+)		cannot, however		Diliman Quezon City. The Team's confirmation letter, ed as the Foundation reportedly moved out from the
						eased from 2007 to 2009, were intended to support the sistance to individual beneficiaries.
				The implementat the utilization sci this NGO, only unliquidated. Of liquidation docum	ion of the p hedule sub y ₽91.319 the total nents amo	projects was, however, not fully documented. Based on ponitted to the Team, of the total amount transferred to P Million was liquidated, leaving P8.181 Million recorded liquidated amount of P91.319 Million , only unting to P41.319 Million were submitted to the Team manner of utilization of P50.000 Million cannot also be
				for various purpo funding under P proof of proo	oses which DAF. Thes curement nization c	to the Team further disclosed that the funds were used are no longer within the menu of projects eligible for se expenses were also not supported with receipts as and acknowledgment receipts issued by the or individual beneficiaries. These expenses are ion as follows:
				Nature/ Category	Amount (in M)	Remarks
				Financial Assistance/ Barangay Community	17.192	Granted for trainings and various sponsorships programs such as fiestas, festivals, meetings, Christmas parties and gift giving activities, anniversaries and other activities including salaries and allowances of staffs and volunteers. In some cases, the
				Livelihood Projects	6.886	reasons/purposes for such expenses were not even indicated. Specific projects were not indicated. Only the nature of expenses is indicated, among which are training, seminar, general assembly, transportation, various allowances, materials and brochures/ leaflets. There were also no established guidelines or criteria for selection of beneficiaries.
				Cultural and Sports Activities	3.179	Sponsorship in various tournaments such as basketball, chess, badminton, wellness and fitness, and for payment of trophies, medals, uniforms and repainting of courts, among others. In some cases, the purpose for granting financial assistance was not also indicated.
				Environmental	0.986	For expenses such as volunteer allowances, meals for various activities, repairing of courts, calendars and tarpaulins and the like.
				Developmental Support Activities Foundation's	1.471 2.921	Expenses for foods and hotel accommodation without indicating the program and activities undertaken. Included salaries, office supplies, repairs and maintenance,
				Operational Expenses		transportation, seminars, utilities and the like.
				Educational Assistance	1.851	These are reported assistance for school activities such as Tagisan ng Talino, Christmas parties, Alumni Homecoming, books, assessment test, medals, graduation, gifts for outstanding students and teachers, computers, uniforms, and the like. All these expenses were also not supported with proof of procurement and duly signed distribution list. There was no evaluation report to determine the need of the beneficiaries or any established selection criteria for the selection of outstanding students.
				to individuals in r report/case studi manifest the eme	need, the s es to estat ergency sit	that may fall under the category of financial assistance chedules submitted were not supported with evaluation olish the eligibility of the beneficiaries, any document to uation, and proof of receipt by the beneficiaries or duly se expenses follow:

	Pr	ojects	No.					
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks			emarks	
				Nature/ Category	Amount (in M ₽)			Remarks
				Medical Assistance	5.206	hosp purp any proc fiest miss the unde lists	oital bills a pose/reason document curement of a celebrati sions. There items pur ertaken such	e not supported with medical records/abstract or nd even request from the beneficiaries, the for medical assistance was not even indicated in supporting payments. They also included medicines, allowances for conducting mission, on, and chairs and streamers for medical was, however no proof of procurement of any of portedly paid, no reports on the activities a smedical mission with indicated dates, venue, ants and records of distributed of any items ured.
				Funeral Assistance	1.048	The: were any	se represer e likewise, n	It funeral flowers and cash assistance. These ot supported with duly signed distribution list and cument such as request from individuals in need
				Confirmation from selected recipients with complete addresses also dist the submitted list of beneficiaries is questionable. Of the 64 replies received Team, 15 beneficiaries under the program denied receiving any assis the NGO while 7 others cannot be located and unknown at their given ac These projects were implemented out of the allocation of Cong. Defensor, Jr. covered by the following SAROs:			estionable. Of the 64 replies received by the gram denied receiving any assistance from ted and unknown at their given address. Pout of the allocation of Cong. Matias V.	
					SARO No.			Amount
				ROCS 07-	-00828			10,000,000.00
				ROCS 07- ROCS 07-				10,000,000.00 15,000,000.00
				ROCS 07-				5,000,000.00
				ROCS 08-				10,000,000.00
				ROCS 08-				8,000,000.00
				ROCS 08- ROCS 09-				13,500,000.00 20,000,000.00
				ROCS 09-				8,000,000.00
				1100007	Total			99,500,000.00
				The legislator documents su				n's request to confirm his signatures on the
			Sei	rbisyong Pagma	amahal Fo	ound	lation, Inc	. (SPFI)
Quezon City	9	52.750	1					out has no business permit from the City rted operating at Gate 6, MMDA Compound,
Unliquidate	ed in ful			Kalayaan Ave	. Quezon	City	. It did no	t confirm its transactions and did not submit
Quezon City	1	5.000	1	additional doc				
Total	10	57.750	1	The nine projects, with funds released from 2007 to 2009, were intended to support various programs such as food for work, emergency assistance to underprivilege constituents, medical and financial assistance and other programs, among others, had reportedly granted various types of assistance to 1,807 individual beneficiaries.				
				Foundation, as				mounts were used for the operations of the
				Nature/ Category		mt I₽)		Remarks
				Car Repair and Maintenance and Service Vehicle	l 0 nd	.386		ment of expenses for car repairs and maintenance es and car battery and motorcycle amortization

IA	Pro No.	Djects Amt	No. Legis- lators			R	emarks	
		(M P)						
				Nature/	Amt		Remark	S
				Category Communication	(M ₽) 0.155			ar, PLDT, Infotech Support
				Expense Financial Assistance	5.884	Provider, I Procureme		lamp, medical expenses,
							sh incentive, meetings, shirts, tree planting activ	books, Pabingo, basketball
				Furniture & Fixtures	0.155	Computer	table, office table and w	ires, bed for senior citizens
				Gas & Oil	0.538		and similar products u of materials, equipment,	ised during eye check-up, etc.
				Light & Water	0.313		o Meralco and Manila W	
				Meals & Subsistence	0.267		t, pack lunch, meals dur	ocuring supplies, materials, ing meeting, etc.
				Miscellaneous Expenses	0.130		during meetings, es, aircon repair, etc.	supplies, transportation,
				Office equipment	0.095	Purchase	of monitor, printer,	adding machine, water
				Office expenses and	2.002		<u>, camera, etc.</u> 5. wall signs, tarpaulins	, t-shirt prints, printer inks,
				supplies		notebooks	, pad paper, envelope, e	etc.
				Professional fee, Taxes and Licenses	0.132		fee, annual preparation registration fee.	n and certification of ITR,
				Repair & Maintenance and	0.696			ries, construction materials, ric Const. and Ind. Supply,
				Tools		Inc.		
				Salaries and wages Transportation	1.482 0.034		repair of office, meetings ation during purchase of	
				expenses	0.001	Transport	allori daning paronacco or	
				any proof of payment SARO No.	s and co Amo (in Mi	unt	With receipts/	No receipts and List of
				07-07715		P 1.000	Invoices	Beneficiaries P 1.000
				08-00183		7.900		7.900
				08-04348 08-05629		4.200 0.500	1.000	<u>3.200</u> 0.500
				08-07483		3.750		3.750
				08-09941 08-04901		15.000		<u> </u>
				09-01813		6.000	2.000	4.000
				09-06774 Total		4.500 43.050	0.500 3.500	4.000 ₽ 39.550
				and associations for / celebrations / med and complete addr	various ical / ed resses.	reasons s ucational These w	such as meetings / ar / sports / fiestas with	en to various individuals niversaries / foundation out any proof of receipt orted with request for s are in dire need.

	Projects No.							
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Remarks				
				signatures on the doo	cuments submitted to	the Team yielded the following results:		
				SARO Amt (ROCS) (M P)	Legislator	Results of Confirmation		
				08-00183 9.000 08-04348 6.200 08-07483 5.000 08-09941 15.000		Did not reply to the team's request for confirmatio		
				09-01813 8.100 09-06774 4.500 07-02350 5.000				
				08-04901 0.200	Edgardo J. Angara Loren B. Legarda	No documents signed Confirmed authenticity of signature in the document sent for confirmation		
				Total 57.750				
				Philippine National	Red Cross (PNRC)			
DSWD	1	52.100	1	The projects, with fu programs of the P assistance, funeral	inds released in CY NRC such as sch assistance and ei	ential Decree No. 1643, as amended. 2007, were intended to support various olarship grants, social medical/welfare mergency rescue operations including		
				as ambulance were nationwide.	e reportedly distribu	al items. The 17 motor vehicles intended ted to various local government units 100 Million, only liquidation documents		
				amounting to P30.80 was partly liquidated Team, under its let liquidation reports du	0 Million were subm d with unliquidated ter dated June 6, 3 ly supported and the funds transferred in	itted to the Team for evaluation. The fund balance of P21.300 Million . Thus, the 2012, requested for the submission of list or inventory of all equipment/vehicles ndicating their location, status/condition,		
				already preparing a documents including audit date, however,	Il the required lique the list of beneficia the requested liquida nted out of the alloca	PNRC informed the Team that they are idation documents with all supporting ries for submission to this Office, As of ation reports remained unsubmited. This ation of Sen. Richard J. Gordon covered to P52.100 million .		
		Quez	on City P	erforming Arts Develo	pment Foundation,	Inc. (QCPADFI)		
Quezon City	1	0.500	1	Government of Que Complex, Scout Chu	zon. It is reportedly atoco St., Quezon C	has no permit to operate from the City operating at Gate 4, Amoranto Sports ity.It did not confirm its transactions and ested by the Team under the letter dated		
				of various priority p	rojects. The release	007, was intended for the implementation , however, remained unliquidated as of ion of liquidation documents.		
					No. ROCS 07-0407	llocation of Sen. Ramon B. Magsaysay, 5 amounting to ₽0.500 million . He did project.		

	Pr	ojects	No.		
IA	No.	Amt (M P)	Legis- lators	Rem	arks
			M	anila Seedling Bank Foundation, Inc. (MSI	BFI)
Quezon City	2	1.000	1	This NGO is not registered with SEC and Government of Quezon. It is reportedly Santos Ave., Diliman, Quezon City. It did submit additional documents requested by 2012. Hence, the very existence of the NG The projects, with funds released in CY construction of Manila Seedling Bank submitted liquidation documents were r disbursement of funds. Moreover, the purpose for the release of to of programs eligible for funding under PD/ This project was implemented out of th covered by the following SAROs: <u>SARO No.</u> <u>ROCS 07-06887</u> <u>ROCS 08-09195</u> <u>Total</u>	operating at Quezon Ave. cor E. delos I not confirm its transactions and did not y the Team under the letter dated June 7, GO is questionable. Ys 2007 to 2008, were intended for the Foundation Road Nos. 1 and 2. The not supported with receipts manifesting funds may no longer fall within the menu AF.
				Immaculate Heart of Mary Parish (IHMP)	
Quezon City	1	0.300	1	Immaculate Heart of Mary Parish (IHMP) This NGO has no record of registration with SEC and has no permit to opera from the City Government of Quezon. It is reportedly operating at Mahinhin co Mayumi Streets, UP Village, Diliman, Quezon City. It did not confirm it transactions and did not submit additional documents requested by the Tea under the letter dated June 7, 2012. Hence, the very existence of the NGO questionable. The project, with funds released in 2007, was intended to support the Paris Leadership Training. The fund transfer remained unliquidated as of audit da despite request for the submission of liquidation documents. The purpose of th grant may not also fall under the projects eligible for funding under PDAF. The project was implemented out of the allocation of Sen. Miriam Defensor Santiag covered by SARO No. ROCS 07-08819 amounting to P0.300 million.	
Total	772	6,155.751			

Annex D

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Funds Transfers to NGOs Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007 to 2009

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided by TRC	
On IA's Role in the implementation	
• The Center's intervention in the projects tended to be more recommendatory in nature. The projects were endorsed by the sponsoring legislators as full programs and the Center, in almost all cases, did not have a hand in selecting the NGO partners.	Under Section 2 of P.D. No. 1445, all resources of the government shall be managed, expended or utilized in accordance with law and regulations and safeguarded against loss or wastage through illegal or improper disposition, with a view of ensuring efficiency, economy and effectiveness in the operations of the government. The responsibility to take care that such policy is faithfully adhered to rests directly with the chief or head of the government agency concerned. This provision applies to all funds received by the TRC including those released out of PDAF. It cannot, therefore, be said that the TRC's role is merely recommendatory in nature as it is considered primarily responsible.
The TRC followed procedures spelled out in Office Circular 00OP0099 issued in 2007 by the Director General Antonio Ortiz.	The guidelines on NGO's participation in government transactions is prescribed under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007 issued on June 29, 2007 and COA Circular No. 2007-001 issued on October 25, 2007. The mode of selection of NGOs including the responsibilities of IAs is clearly defined in the said issuances. These provisions would prevail over any issuances by the TRC which may not be consistent therein.
On Selection of NGOs	
• The NGOs were selected by the sponsoring legislators. However, funds were not released unless they comply with the documentary requirements set forth in Office Circular 000P0099. Individual verification of the submitted documents was not conducted in favor of the random verification by our resident COA Auditor and the few staff assigned to the task.	The selection of NGO is still the responsibility of the TRC. As discussed in the abovementioned GPPB Resolution, the NGO shall be selected through public bidding and/or negotiated procurement in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the IRR-A of R.A. No. 9184. Likewise, mere submission of documentary requirements by the NGO cannot be considered sufficient compliance with existing regulations. The submitted documents need to be evaluated and validated. Moreover, the TRC's responsibility to monitor the implementation of the project and assess the performance of the NGOs cannot be relegated to any Office or Sector. It cannot be dependent upon the actions and reports of other offices as it is primarily responsible.
 Without defending the NGOs, it may be fair to consider: the length of time between project implementation (2007) and the actual COA Special Audit (2010/2011) may have contributed to the NGOs no longer in their original given addresses with the possibility of them having folded up or moved to other areas; 	The Team conducted the Audit from CYs 2010 to 2011 covering programs implemented from CYs 2007 to 2009. The folding up of NGOs within only one to two years after the implementation of the project is an indication of their instability. Moreover, as the Audit disclosed, the building administrators and/or residents within the declared addresses of the NGOs certified and/or declared that these NGOs have not been or never been a tenant of the building or never existed within the declared area/district. This manifest that these NGOs on the first place, never existed at their original given addresses.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 the Eligibility requirements for NGOs (Circular 000P0099 or COA Circular 2007- 001) did not include possession of business permits; 	The COA Circular did not include business permit since it is a basic requirement for the existence of any establishment/ organization and this is already specifically required under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007. Nonetheless, the requirements under COA Circular No. 2007-001 such as SEC or CDA registration were, likewise, not observed in a number of cases when these are already specifically required under the said Circular.
• TRC did not assess the impact of the interconnectedness of the NGO officers. It is not uncommon in the private sector for one person to be involved in more than one venture.	This is very critical considering that incorporators of NGOs with substantial unliquidated balances or poor performance can easily form another NGO and enter into contract with any government agency under a new name.
On Selection of Suppliers	
 TRC required the NGOs to present the original receipts to account their utilization of funds. Beyond that, the NGOs had the latitude to select the best supplier or vendor for their specific purposes without interference or influence from TRC. 	The original receipts should not only be presented but submitted to TRC to form part of the liquidation reports. Moreover, mere presentation and submission is not enough. The documents should be validated.
 In the case of Infinite Community Integrated Development Support Foundation, Inc. (ICIDSFI), materials purchased from Metro Manila for a project in Cebu did not compromise any violation of TRC Circular 000P0099 per se. 	As discussed under COA Circular No. 2007-001, the very reason for requiring the NGOs to submit SEC, CDA or DOLE registration is to ensure that the NGO has legal personality, has officers who are responsible and accountable for its operations, and is based in the community where the project shall be implemented. ICIDSFI is based in Angeles City, Pampanga and implementing projects in Cebu. The very existence of the suppliers based in Manila was even questionable.
 Nonetheless, we agree with not only blacklisting but also penalizing erring suppliers once proven guilty 	The principle of giving preference to NGOs is to provide an opportunity to the constituents of the locality where the project is to be implemented to group themselves as one and participate in the implementation of the project which was not attained in this case.
On Selected Beneficiaries	
 Beneficiaries are not limited to registered voters or residents of a particular voting district. The Center intends to conduct an inquiry into cases where named beneficiaries have expressly denied having been benefited. 	As discussed in the report, the existence of beneficiaries cannot even be established as they have no complete addresses. Hence, the Team resorted to requesting the assistance of the EOs to provide complete address of the listed beneficiaries for confirmation. Unfortunately, however, these beneficiaries were also not registered voters within their voting districts. Thus, their very existence is questionable.
On Liquidation of Fund Transfer	
 Upon takeover of the present management in 2010, NGOs with unliquidated funds were notified individually. A TRC blacklist (submitted to the Team), containing NGOs with derogatory records, was also issued. 	The Team appreciates the actions taken by the TRC officials.
• A few of these NGOs have now complied	Mere submission of liquidation report is not sufficient to consider an NGO compliant. The TRC should thoroughly evaluate the validity of

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
with the requirements and some have indicated their intention to follow suit.The list included NGOs which have liquidated their accounts such as Partido District Development Cooperative.	submitted documents. As discussed in the report, a great number of these documents were denied even by the legislators. The unliquidated fund transfers of PDDC pertain to funds transferred under SARO Nos. ROCS-07-02942 and ROCS-07-00737 amounting to ₽9.6 Million with liquidation documents not submitted to the Team.
Concerns about Policy	
 The Center agrees with this observation and as such, in 2010, we issued Office Circular 00OP0100 to supersede 00OP0099, which was further amended to fully integrate the provisions of COA Circular 2007-001. The Center has also created an internal committee to draft new accreditation requirements for NGOs. 	The Team appreciates the efforts being exerted by the TRC to address the issues raised in the report.
Response provided by NLDC	
On Interconnected Officers of NGO	
The NLDC does look into the incorporators of the NLCO's being endersed by the Logislators	The Team appreciates the actions taken by the NLDC to validate the qualifications of NGOs. As disclosed in the report however, a number

- The NLDC does look into the incorporators of the NGO's being endorsed by the Legislators precisely to check on interlocking personalities as part of its evaluation process. From 2007, a number of NGOs have already been blacklisted with the concerns directly raised for the concerned Legislator to address.
- The interlock of the three GPMFI incorporators and their involvement with KKAMFI was noted. The satisfactory performance of KKAMFI strengthened the qualification of GPMFI. The NLDC, therefore, has no reasonable basis to deny the will and endorsement of the legislators to have GPMFI implement their projects.
- Maryann Exito was the project coordinator for projects implemented by HMLFI in 2008 and 2009 before being incorporator and President of Global Support Link Foundation (GSLF) in 2010. HMLFI has no more PDAF engagement when the GSLF was endorsed and engaged by the concerned Legislator/s.
- The initial task that the NLDC does whenever an NGO is endorsed by a Legislator is to establish the legality of organization's juridical personality and business operation. The proofs of official and updated registration as well as the permits and licenses submitted by the NGO are validated with the issuing government agency. This is accompanied by an actual visit of the NGO's office validated

The Team appreciates the actions taken by the NLDC to validate the qualifications of NGOs. As disclosed in the report, however, a number of NGOs approved by the NLDC have interlocking personalities based on the very documents submitted by the NLDC and were not legally and physically existing as discussed below:

NGO	Total (M ₽)	Team's Validation
KKAMFI	308.218	This NGO was using different addresses which were all inspected by the Team. The address indicated in the receipt which is 12 C Aguilar Street, Brgy. Bungad, Quezon City is non-existent as the apartment has only 2 units- 12 A and 12 B. Two of the three suppliers and a great number of beneficiaries denied their transactions and/or receiving any items purportedly distributed by this NGO.
ITONAMI	84.192	This NGO has no business permit to operate during CYs 2007-2009. It is using Rm 904/912 City Land Plaza, ADB Avenue, Ortigas Center, Pasig City as an address. As certified by the Building Administration Officer, ITONAMI was never a tenant of City Land Mega Plaza. Six suppliers of this NGO also denied their transactions while a number others are unknown at their given addresses or have issued questionable receipts.
SDPFFI	182.845	This NGO is using B40, L28 Iligan St., South City Homes, Brgy. Sto. Tomas, Binan, Laguna as address. During inspection, the Team was entertained at the garage of the said unit. There were no staff, documents and office equipment. As certified by the Secretary of the Association, this unit is owned by another person since 1985 and they were not aware of the existence of any NGO within the subdivision. Two Municipal Government Officials reported as beneficiaries also denied receiving the agricultural packages distributed by this NGO.
CARED	101.850	This NGO is using 14-0 Samson St., Brgy. Baritas, Malabon City as its address. Inspection disclosed that this unit is a mere sharty occupied by the mother of one of the incorporators. Five Municipal Government Officials.

Managements' Comments		Team's Rejoinder
on the basis of office's existence in the address indicated in the legal papers submitted, the NGO's signboard and its actual use of the office as may be ascertained by those residing or operating in the area. This, however, is regardless of scale most especially for foundations.	MBFI	also denied receiving the items purportedly distributed by this NGO. 33.465 This NGO is reportedly operating at No. 82 Biak na Bato, Sto. Domingo, SMH, Quezon City. It's a high end residential area and the NGO is unknown to the caretaker. There was also no signage to signify the existence of an NGO. Six recipients also denied receiving kits and attendance to trainings distributed and conducted by this NGO.
	I-Help	 2.910 This NGO is reportedly operating at 43 llocos Sur, Bago Bantay, Quezon City. The unit is a warehouse reportedly owned by one of the incorporators. It has also no permits during CYs 2007 to 2009 from the City Government of Quezon.
	HMLFI	7.760 This NGO is reportedly operating in a residential house at No. 8 Alley, Project 6, Quezon City. A number of suppliers of this NGO are unknown at their given addresses while a number of beneficiaries denied their participation in the reported trainings conducted by this NGO.
	and unkr and HML GSLF be as well a and/or d undertake	ted in the tabulation, a number of NGOs cannot be located nown within the vicinity. It cannot also be said that KKAMFI FI are performing well to warrant acceptance of GPMFI and ing run by the same personalities. The results of the Audit, as the actual condition of the NGOs visited by the Team ifficulty of locating them, would attest that the activities en by the NLDC were not sufficient to ensure that the NGOs nate and indeed implementing the projects.
On Supervision of NGOs		
 NLDC fully relies on the Office of the Legislator to supervise and ascertain project implementation premised on their moral and social obligation to protect the interest of their constituents. 	responsit properly head of th out of F responsit	issed earlier, under Section 2 of P.D. No. 1445, the polity to ensure that all resources of the government are and efficiently managed, expended and utilized, rests on the ne agency. This provision, likewise, applies to funds released PDAF. The NLDC, therefore, cannot merely transfer its polity to ensure that funds are fully utilized for the purpose to any office or personality including the legislators.
 NLDC started to impose stricter project implementation monitoring policy in 2010 by requiring all NGO Implementers to submit the scheduled date and specific locations where the projects will be implemented. NLDC officers were thereby randomly sent to witness actual implementation. It was required that the NLDC Officers be reflected in the background of the photo documentation of the implementation of the particular project being witnessed. 	However, emphasiz randomly would de cannot si requested	Im appreciates the actions being taken by the NLDC, the importance of validation of submitted documents is red considering that witnessing of implementation is only undertaken by the NLDC. Projects in substantial amounts finitely include a wide range of beneficiaries which the NLDC ignificantly cover as witness. Hence, the NGOs should be d to submit sufficient documentation of activities for by the NLDC.
On Submission of the Same Official Receip	ot	
 It is almost physically impossible to immediately detect the submission of the same official receipts supporting separate transactions given the volume of the transactions and documents submitted 	NGOs ar the docu However	ecisely the reason why selection of NGOs is stringent. If the e properly selected, the NLDC can, to a great extent, rely on ments submitted with minimal validation to be undertaken. , the results of the Audit would show the need for close on and monitoring of NGOs' activities. This being the case,

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 especially in the years 2008 and 2009. The NLDC can only rely on the certification issued by the Offices of the Legislator and documentation since they are the ones directly supervising project implementation The manpower complementation rendered by the staff of the legislator is the most feasible and appropriate delegation of task required of a sound PDAF monitoring system. 	the NLDC should only implement projects that are within its capability to manage and handle and should not be dependent on the actions of other offices.
• The mandate of the NLDC to prudently manage its regular funds to sustain the pursuit of its mandated task without receiving any regular additional appropriations from the National Government remains to be its foremost priority. It is for these reasons that the NLDC has initially expressed hesitancy to be part of the PDAF implementation. As early as November 2008, a letter was sent requesting DBM to desist from including NLDC in PDAF transactions since this would ran counter to our mandate to provide credit to micro-entrepreneurs.	The Team noted that during CYs 2008-2009, the DBM released around P1.057 Billion to NLDC. Programs of such magnitude would indeed require additional resources to manage and handle. However, if indeed NLDC is serious in its move not to implement PDAF projects, then it should desist from receiving the SARO being issued by the DBM by returning the same, together with its justification.
 NLDC was obliged to devise implementing guidelines in handling, releasing and utilizing PDAF. Our office likewise designed and conceived a tripartite MOA defining the role and accountability of each partner. The Legislator - who shall initially identify the project and designate beneficiaries providing the necessary endorsement and program support services at the local level. Guarantees the legitimacy and capability of the NGOs and authorizes the release of funds; 	The legitimacy and capability of the NGO to implement the project are assessed during the selection process. The NLDC as the implementing agency, and primarily responsible in the proper handling and management of funds cannot relegate this duty to the legislator, or NGO, or anybody for that matter. It also cannot limit or escape responsibilities by entering into MOA.
 The NGO - identified the designated recipient by the legislator and implement the undertaking; and The NLDC - will provide the necessary assistance in terms of documentation and liquidation of the project. 	
We have claimed substantial grounds in shifting the paradigm of enterprising poor towards government assistance and the development of the rural microenterprise sector. It being the backbone of our local economy, the success and efficacy of the micro-lending strategies has proven its worth of our national economy in the midst of the world financial crisis. We can only ask that your honors trust and respect our humble and prudent ways of managing the risks of	

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
that which was tasked of us.	
Response provided by NABCOR	
I have no firsthand knowledge of the PDAF transactions contemplated in above-stated report/or observation since I only assumed the position of President last October 9, 2011.	In view of such comment, the Team forwarded a copy of the draft report to Mr. Allan Javellana, former President of NABCOR for comments. As of audit date, no comments were received.
Response provided by DSWD-CO	
On the Effective Project Implementation	
 Prior to the effectivity of COA Circular 2007- 001 dated October 25, 2007, the requirements of COA Circular 96-003 dated February 27, 1996 were considered on the release of fund transfers to NGOs summarized as follows: The NGO shall be accredited by the GO. 	 These are only some of the criteria used to assess the legal and physical existence of the NGOs prior to the release of funds. However, the IAs have other responsibilities defined in the said Circular, among which follow: Accredit the NGO after proper verification and validation of required documents guided by the selection criteria, created by the Bid and Awards Committee (BAC), among others;
 For NGO/PO to be in operation for at least three (3) years. 	 Develop systems and procedures for project implementation such as, but not limited to, procurement and distribution of goods;
 The GO and PO to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) 	 Require monthly or periodic financial and physical status reports as it deems necessary; and
 In the course of implementation, amendments of MOA shall be made for any changes in the provisions The funds released may not be used for money market placements or any other forms of investment For projects of P300,000 or less, the fund assistance may be released in full and for projects of more than P300,000, the 	 Monitor and inspect project implementation and verify financial records and reports of the NGO. It is very clear then that the functions and responsibilities of the DSWD is more than just accrediting of and releasing of funds to NGOs.
 The following are the factors before effectivity of COA Circular 2007-001: There was no prohibition for NGO's to request/propose project proposals covered by distinct Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). These MOA serves as the basis for the release of funds derived from the fund support of the different legislators/solons. The legislators/solons identified programs and services that will address the needs of their constituents. These NGOs were identified by legislators/solons. 	It is true that any NGO can submit project proposals but it is incumbent upon IAs to enter into MOA only if so authorized by the GAA and with NGOs selected in accordance with the prescribed selection process. The Team recognized that the legislators are identifying priority programs and projects. However, the IAs are equally under obligation to assess the eligibility of the project, and the NGO as well, taking into consideration appropriate guidelines. The menu of projects eligible for funding is clearly defined in the GAA while selection of NGOs is governed by GPPB and COA issuances.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
The creation of committee for selection of NGO's was not required by the Commission and the Committee was only created in CY 2009 at DSWD.	The creation of a committee for the purpose of formulating selection criteria is provided under COA Circular No. 2007-001. It follows then that the NGOs shall be selected using the formulated criteria. As the records would show, the NGOs were not evaluated on the basis of any formulated criteria but merely based on purported endorsement by the sponsoring legislators.
• The devolution of programs and services to the LGUs and partner organizations facilitates the delivery of needed basic services and promotes governance. Thus, the DSWD engage NGO's as partners is in line with the concept of devolution.	As discussed in the Report, there is no amount out of PDAF earmarked for the implementation of NGOs.
 Under the paradigm of good governance, the partner organization such as NGO's or the people themselves are given opportunity to participate and capacitate/empower them in the implementation of the basic programs and projects. 	Unfortunately, however, the NGOs implementing the projects are not from the localities where the projects are to be implemented depriving the legitimate constituents the opportunity to participate in the implementation of the basic services.
 DSWD deems that the results of monitoring, inspection and validation had been adequate to conclude that the liquidations submitted are valid and acceptable. 	The results of Audit, however, showed otherwise. A number of suppliers, beneficiaries and even legislators denied the validity of the submitted documents.
• Who shall be accountable for irregularities committed by NGOs? The Department is merely facilitating the release of fund transfers to the NGO and is neither implementing the projects/programs proposed nor involved in the disbursement of the transferred funds.	As discussed above, the head of the agency is responsible for ensuring that government resources are properly and efficiently managed and expended. This responsibility applies to all funds received by the agency including PDAF. The agency cannot just keep on releasing government funds entrusted to it to NGOs or anybody for that matter without assuming responsibility and accountability.
Response provided by DSWD-NCR	
 The management has sufficiently complied with the process of reviewing and accounting the submitted liquidation report by NGOs/POs ensuring the completeness of documents. The conduct of validation of supporting documents submitted for liquidation is beyond the functions of the accounting unit of the region (e.g. official receipts, transactions with the suppliers, etc.) 	It is the responsibility of agency officials to validate the authenticity of the documents before accepting, much more recording the same in the books of accounts. It cannot be said that validation of supporting documents is beyond the functions of the accounting unit. It is informed that the accountant certifies, not only on the completeness of the documents, but on their propriety as well.
 The identified NGOs/POs have valid Registration and/or License to Operate with the DSWD. 	The responsibility of the IAs does not end in ensuring that the NGOs are registered and licensed. More than that, the IA is responsible in ensuring that the projects are implemented as planned. As discussed in the report, a number of suppliers and beneficiaries denied transacting with, and receiving the items reportedly distributed by the NGOs while a number others cannot be located and unknown in their given addresses.
 All registered NGOs have the manual of operation which stipulates the criteria of selecting beneficiaries among others. The Field Office has continuously provided 	Apparently, NGOs were not using the manual of operations as there were no documents submitted to manifest the evaluation conducted in selecting beneficiaries. As disclosed in the report, in a great percentage of replies received by the Team, the recipients even

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
technical assistance to ensure that the operation is within their mandate and policies and procedures are complied.	denied receiving the reported cash assistance. In addition, substantial number of beneficiaries were also unlocated or unknown at their given addresses.
 Voter's registration is not a requirement for the provision of assistance as long as they are qualified for assistance based on the criteria. 	The Team is not suggesting to consider voter's registration as one of the requirements in the grant of assistance. The Team is merely illustrating that the recipients, who are unknown at their given addresses, are also not registered voters. Hence, their identities cannot at all be established. There were also no documents provided to the Team defining the criteria used in the selection of beneficiaries.
 Most indigent individuals/families availing Assistance in Crisis Situation belong to informal settlers, hence, the mobility of the individuals and families from one place to another. 	It is true that informal settlers are mobile and therefore could no longer be located in their given place over time. This does not mean though that the address at the time of receiving the assistance should not be reflected in the list. As it is, the few with given addresses and located by the Team even denied receiving the reported assistance.
• Liquidation report forwarded by the Department considered the acknowledgment receipts signed by the beneficiaries as proof that assistance were availed/received.	As discussed earlier, upon confirmation, the beneficiaries denied receiving the assistance. The documents submitted should then be subjected to validation before accepting the same as valid.
On the Physical Existence of NGO	
 COA conducted Audit/validation years after the funds were transferred to the NGOs. It is possible that some NGOs may have moved offices, or even closed down entirely. 	As discussed in the report, the building administrators and residents within the vicinity certified that the unlocated NGOs never existed at the given addresses.
 We are not aware of any law, rule or issuance that requires NGOs to have business permit in order to be recipients of funds. Further, Business permit does not apply either as these NGOs are categorized as Social Welfare and Development Agency. 	The submission of valid and recent business permit is one of the requirements under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007 to establish the legal existence of an NGO.
 DSWD takes steps to validate the existence and operation of NGO. Absence of a business permit per se is not conclusive proof of the non-existence or non-operation of an NGO. 	As discussed in the report, the NGOs without business permit are the same NGOs that cannot be located at their given addresses. Their legal and physical existence, then, cannot be established.
On the interconnection of NGOs	
 We are not aware of any law, rule or issuance that prohibits NGOs with interlocking boards of directors/trustees and/or officers from being recipients of funds. 	The Team agrees that there is no law prohibiting NGOs with interlocking officials to participate in government projects as long as they are compliant with all government requirements and the prescribed criteria for the project. In this case, however, these interconnected personalities are managing NGOs of questionable existence with equally questionable transactions. As discussed in the report, a number of NGOs with interlocking personalities have questionable transactions.
Directors/trustees and officers are generally selected from persons with knowledge and experience in the field where an NGO operated. Because the nature of the	The results of Audit showed otherwise.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
operations of most of these NGOs are similar, and because there are only a handful of experts in these fields, it is unavoidable to be expected that some individuals would be connected with more than one of these NGOs.	
 Having a person connected with several NGOs would enhance the possibility of cooperation among these NGOs, rather than detract from the performance of these NGOs. 	It can also work otherwise as manifested in the results of Audit. As it is, these NGOs are submitting the same list of beneficiaries, dealing with the same questionable suppliers and submitting the same spurious documents.
On the suppliers and recipients	
 Documentary proof of transactions (e.g. official receipts) should be given more weight than the oral representation of suppliers denying these transactions. 	Any documents presented, which are being denied by the purported issuers or signatory, is considered spurious and invalid. Such document is, therefore, considered fabricated or falsified and of no value at all. It is, therefore, wrong to presume that such documents should still be given any weight. On the contrary, the presence of receipts or any document being denied by the very person who purportedly issued the same is an indication of irregularity.
• COA conducted its validation years after the benefits were given to the beneficiaries. There is a great possibility that several beneficiaries would already have changed residences.	As discussed in the report, the residents within the given addresses certified that the listed beneficiaries have never been and were not residents within the given area/district.
• Persons not in the voters list does not necessarily mean not residents of a certain place.	Ironically, the beneficiaries that cannot be located at their given addresses or have given fictitious or non-existent addresses are also not registered voters. Their very existence, then, cannot be established.
 Absence of business permits, use of ATPs and TINs of other establishments, and the failure to report the proper income (and pay the corresponding taxes thereon) are faults attributable to the suppliers (and <u>not</u> to the NGOs or the DSWD) 	In this case, however, the same cannot be attributed to suppliers as the very suppliers who purportedly issued these receipts either denied the issuance of the same or non-existent. The responsibility then rests on the NGOs which submitted the same and the DSWD which accepted the same without validation.
• These facts cannot be readily gleaned from mere examination of the official receipts submitted to the DSWD by the NGOs during liquidation.	Thus, the need for validation and thorough analysis of facts and circumstances.
 If official receipts appear irregular on their face (which is the case here), the DSWD has no duty to inquire beyond them. (To do so is already part of audit). 	The DSWD is not obliged to accept liquidation documents which by itself are already considered irregular or appearing to be irregular. It is also wrong to assume that the validation should only be conducted by the auditors as the DSWD is primarily responsible in protecting and safeguarding all funds and properties under its jurisdictions.
Response provided by DSWD-RFO III	
 The submitted project proposals were found complete and feasible as per review of the previous PDAF focal person. 	The submitted project proposals cannot be considered complete as the target beneficiaries, feasibility studies, risk assessment, designs, plans, development charts, among others, were not indicated.
While we provide technical assistance to the	While the NGO is responsible for the disposition of the fund, the

Managements' Comments		Team's Rejoinder	
NGO, they have the full responsibility in the proper disposition/ disbursement of funds per MOA.		in ensuring that such disposal of funding rules and regulations.	ds is in
 The list of beneficiaries with their complete addresses is now being required by the Regional Office to be submitted including photo documentation during the actual implementation of the proposed projects. Further, the Region's Management Audit Analyst is now conducting validation for the first tranch and Internal Audit Service (IAS)- Central Office for the second tranch. 	We appreciate the in that the funds are prop	novations undertaken by the DSWD to berly utilized.	ensure
 The four NGOs are registered and licensed NGOs of the DSWD Regional Office 3 with Social Workers responsible in the assessment of needs of their beneficiaries based on the Foundation's eligibility requirements or criteria. 	As discussed in the earmarked for the imp	Report, there was no amount out of lementation of NGOs.	f PDAF
The submitted project proposals were found complete and feasible per review of the previous PDAF focal person.		t proposal did not even indicate the cril iciaries and the intended/ expected effect n of the beneficiaries.	
While we provide technical assistance to the NGOs, they have the full responsibility in the proper disposition/disbursement of funds based on the Memorandum of Agreement	The DSWD should happroject.	ve at least monitored the implementatio	n of the
 The list of beneficiaries with complete addresses is required by the Regional Office for submission including photo documentation during the actual implementation of the proposed projects. Further, the Region's Management Audit Analyst is now conducting validation for the first tranche and the Internal Audit Service (IAS) of Central Office for the second tranche. 	ensure the validity of	s the actions now being taken by the D the documents submitted by the NGC complete addresses is of no value if th DSWD.	Ds. The
 May we be furnished with the list of 57 beneficiaries who denied receiving assistance from the 4 NGOs including their 	Actually, there were financial assistance:	129 beneficiaries who denied receipt	of the
respective addresses for us to conduct our counter checking or verification process.	Name	Address	Amt (P)
counter encoding of verification process.	JSAFI (Bulacan)		-
	Ernesto Cabildo, Jr.	16 Sampaguita St., RMB Subd., SJ, Balagtas	3,000
	Heidi Torrepalma Dela Cruz Maritess Dela Cruz	0325 Ma. Jose St., San Juan, Balagtas 105 Flerida St., Panginay, Balagtas	1,000
	Riel Anthony Pacheco	0159 P. Atienza St., Balagtas	1,000
	Concepcion Ponce	A430 Borol 1st, Balagtas	200
	Salome Mariano	0117 L. Tongco St., Barangca, Baliwag	2,000
	Lazaro Logo	699 Campupot St., Concepcion, Baliwag	1,000
	Shiela Cruz Myrna Dela Cruz	396 Lopez Jaena St., Sto. Cristo, Baliwag 145 Gitna St., Duhat, Bocaue	700 5,000
	Miguela Lopez	San Jose, Hagonoy	7,000
	Aurora Reyes	San Nicolas, Hagonoy	3,000
	Virgilio Evangelista	Tampok, Hagonoy	1,000
	Armando Agulto Carmelino F. Raymundo	Pugad, Hagonoy Santa Cruz, Hagonoy	2,000
	Carmenno F. Kaymundo	Sania Gluz, Hayulluy	1,000

Managements' Comments		Team's Rejoinder	
	Name	Address	Amt (₽)
	Melanie Del Rosario	San Agustin, Hagonoy	1,000
	Nenita Drueco	San Sebastian, Hagonoy	1,000
	Virgilio Lopez	San Pablo, Hagonoy	1,000
	Henry Mercado	97 Abangan Sur, Marilao	2,500
	Dina Verdida	53 Pook Hulo, Loma de Gato, Marilao	2,350
	Mary Jane Cortez	19 A, Ma. Socorro Subd., Abangan Norte, Marilao	1,000
	Ildefonso Magkasi, Jr.	94 Villano St., Zamora, Meycauayan	10,500
	Erlinda Vergel	C. Pascual St., Bayugo, Meycauayan	3,000
	Hilda Francisco	Block 14 Lot 11, Phase 1, FVR, Norzagaray Salver Subd., Bunsuran III, Pandi	2,000 8,000
	Marilyn Aragon Victoria M. De Guzman	Bunsuran II, Pandi	1,000
	Lourdes Aquino	54 Cofradia, Santo Rosario, Paombong	1,500
	Renato Santos	#115 El Dulo, San Vicente, Paombong	1,000
	Dennis Santos	425 Sayo Subd., Banga I, Plaridel	2,000
	Jose Santiago	039 Avendaño St., Poblacion, Plaridel	300
	Francisco Hipolito	Coronel St., Peregrina, Pulilan	500
	Gemma Manalastas	Salangan, San Miguel	13,650
	Emily Pineda	Sta. Ines, San Miguel	7,800
	Ma. Cecilia Bernardo	Biclat, San Miguel	5,250
	Alicia Pascual	Labne, San Miguel	3,000
	Cecilia De la Cruz	Pulong Bayabas, San Miguel	3,000
	Victor Lopez	Sibul, San Miguel	2,000
	Erlinda Catiis	Bulualto, San Miguel	1,500
	Marcelo Delos Reyes	Mahabang Parang, Sta. Maria	5,000
	Janette Mendoza Teresita Victoria	107 Marian Subd., Poblacion, Sta. Maria Panducot, Calumpit	3,000
	Precila Crisostomo		7,000
	Susan Simbilio		7,000
	Agnes Cristal		7,000
	Marina Egnal	Mercado, Hagonoy	7,000
	Perlita Quezon		7,000
	Aida Gregorio	Sagrada Familia, Hagonoy	7,000
	Candelaria Atienza		7,000
	Teresita Agulto		7,000
	Melanie Ramirez		7,000
	Arcenia Santos		7,000
	Daisy Vicente	San Miguel, Hagonoy	7,000
	NE4, Inc. (Nueva Ecija)	Dray Longlo Joon	1 000
	Eulalia Crisostomo Nida Parce	Brgy Langla ,Jaen	1,000
	Gina Mempin	Brgy Malapit ,San Isidro	1,000 2,000
	Susan Pamiloza Dayno	Brgy Dampulan ,Jaen	1,000
	Anita Tuazon	Brgy San Roque, San Isidro	1,000
	Vivian Dela Cruz	Brgy Mabuga ,Gapan City	1,000
	Marietta Baylon	Brgy Sto. Cristo, Gapan City	1,000
	Andres Caingat	Brgy San Roque ,Cabiao	1,000
	Lydia Esquivel	Brgy Dampulan, Jaen	1,000
	Antonio Clemente, Sr	Brgy Sinipit ,Cabiao	2,000
	Marcelino Galvez	Brgy Tabon ,San Isidro	1,000
	Nida Parce	Brgy Langla , Jaen	2,000
	Jason Dela Cruz	326 Maligaya St., Sto. Cristo ,San Isidro	2,000
	John Jefferson Dulay	Purok 1, Pulo ,San Isidro	2,000
	Rosemarie Bulatao	256 Purok 5, San Roque ,San Isidro	1,000
	Romeo De Guzman	Hilerang Katuray, Pulo ,San Isidro	1,000
	Remedios Dimalanta	534 Rizal St., Purok 1, Malapit, San Isidro	1,000
	Corazon Fernandez Angelito Gabriel	765 Plaza Santos, Poblacion, San Isidro	1,000
	ADDENIO GADHEI	99 Prk 2, Calaba, San Isidro	
		272 Dolicarnio St. Doblacion, San Isidro	1 ()()()
	Angelita Ilagan	272 Policarpio St., Poblacion, San Isidro	1,000
		272 Policarpio St., Poblacion, San Isidro 143 Calumpit St., Sto. Cristo, San Isidro 720 Rizal St., Malapit, San Isidro	1,000 1,000 1,000

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder		
	Name	Address	Amt (P)
	Obdulia Abesamis	Brgy. Concepcion,Gen. Tinio	1,000
	Rolando Aquino	Brgy. Bago, General Tinio	1,000
	Alexander Barlis	Brgy. Padolina, General Tinio	1,000
	Eleazer Bote	Brgy. Padolina, General Tinio	1,000
	Irenea Bote	Brgy. Pulong Matong, General Tinio	1,000
	Norma Bote	Brgy. Poblacion West, Gen. Tinio	1,000
	Roberto Bote	Brgy. Rio Chico, General Tinio	1,000
	Anicia Bulacan		1,000
	Ramon Busog		1,000
	Gloria Caba		1,000
	Porfirio Castro		1,000
	Julieta De Guzman	Brgy. Pulong Matong, General Tinio	1,000
	Rogelio Del Valle	Brgy. Padolina General Tinio	1,000
	Rhodora Delos Santos		1,000
	Daisy Fajardo	Brgy. Poblacion West, General Tinio	1,000
	Rigor Gamayao	Draw Conconcion Correct Tinta	1,000
	Josefina Gonzales	Brgy. Concepcion, General Tinio	1,000
	Divina Hidalgo	Brgy. Pias, General Tinio	1,000
	Apolonia Constantino	San Vicente, Cabiao	1,000
	Lanie Flores Jerwin Galang	Sta. Rita, Cabiao San Fernando Norte, Cabiao	1,000
	Joel Galang	Entablado, Cabiao	1,000
	Aloha Jimenez	Maligaya, Cabiao	1,000
	Allan Macapagal	Palasinan, Cabiao	1,000
	Angel Manalastas	Sta. Rita, Cabiao	1,000
	Jose Manalus	Entablado, Cabiao	1,000
	Eugenia Legaspi	Sto. Tomas, Peñaranda	1,000
	Palermo Crisostomo	Callos, Peñaranda	1,000
	Victor Lahom	Las Piñas, Peñaranda	1,000
	Emelyn Leabres	Poblacion II, Peñaranda	1,000
	Arnel Leodones	Callos, Peñaranda	1,000
	Ofelia Mabalay	San Josef, Peñaranda	1,000
	Gaudencio Madrid, Jr	Poblacion I, Peñaranda	1,000
	Elvira Magulabnan	Sinasajan, Peñaranda	1,000
	Maricris Marcelo	Las Piñas, Peñaranda	1,000
	Todo Foundation (Tarla		
	Jenery Victoria	Calangitan, Brgy Cut-cut 2, Capas	3,000
	Danilo Lagazon	Brgy Dumarais, La Paz	3,000
	Agrifina Palasigue	Brgy Sta. Lucia, Capas	3,000
	Rebecca Sablan	Brgy San Francisco, Concepcion	3,000
	Ben Sebastian	Brgy Caluluan, Concepcion	10,000
	Domingo Wage Orlando Caguiat	Brgy Parulung, Concepcion Brgy San Francisco, Concepcion	3,000
	Nicolas C. Gapay	Brgy San Francisco, Concepcion Brgy Mayang, La Paz	3,900
	Clarita Macaraig	Brgy Mayang, La Paz Brgy Manlapig, Capas	3,900
	Caloy Pangilinan	Brgy Talaga, Capas	3,880
	Rogelio Pingol	Brgy San Isidro, La Paz	3,900
	Nicasio Sampang	Corazon De Jesus, Concepcion	3,900
	Bartolome Santos	Brgy San Agustin, Concepcion	4,290
	Rodolfo Burgos	Brgy Lapurisima, La Paz	3,900
	Jelly Castaneda	Brgy Laungcupang, La Paz	4,400
	Bartolome Santos	Brgy San Agustin, Concepcion	3,000
	Fronda Benjamin	Brgy Patling, Concepcion	3,000
	Lagason Dionisio	Brgy Dumarais, La Paz	3,000
	Mercado Clement	Brgy Sta. Juliana, Capas	3,200
	Palangdao Maximo	Brgy Parulung, Concepcion	30,655
	Total	Bigg i dididing, o'onoopoion	364,779

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder	
Response provided by DSWD-RFO V		
 Management provided the addresses of six beneficiaries from the Province of Masbate who were not confirmed/ validated due to unknown/unlocated/ insufficient address. 	The Team was no longer able to confirm the authenticity of these documents which were recently submitted.	
Response provided by DA-RFU III		
 PDAF are released by DBM upon the instance of the Proponent congressmen and senators. Upon release of SARO/funds to the DA RFU's, DBM informs the office of the legislator in writing of the allotment released to the IA. In most cases, the Proponent Congressman or Senator communicates with IA and identifies the NGO. In other cases, the IA communicates with the Proponent Congressman or Senator the project details. This is a matter of course as the funds are with the direct control of the Proponent Congressman or Senator and agency heads rely on the instructions given them. 	As discussed earlier, the IA is responsible for the effective and efficient utilization of the funds including funds transferred to the NGOs endorsed by the legislators. The responsibilities of the IAs on the funds transferred to NGOs are defined in various issuances particularly COA Circular No. 2007-001 and GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007. The IAs cannot assume lesser responsibilities than those provided for under the law. It is also incumbent upon the IAs to verify and validate from the concerned legislators the documents submitted by the NGOs. As discussed in the report, a number of legislators themselves denied their signature on the documents submitted by the NGOs and their participation in the implementation of the projects.	
 The project proposal approved/signed by the Proponents is the basic document submitted to commence the project details. In the past, the Office of the Proponent legislator endorses the NGO of his own choice and identifies the farmer beneficiaries. 	The Team is not questioning the prerogative of the proponents but the failure of the DSWD to conduct the selection process prescribed in the guidelines and to monitor the implementation of the project.	
 The function of DA RFO III is to transfer the fund to the NGO chosen by the Proponent Congressman or the Senator most often immediately, or bear the brunt of the Proponent, or be subjected to ridicule if not immediately processed. 	It is a wrong perception that the responsibility of the IA is merely to transfer funds to the NGO selected by the Proponent. The IA is responsible for every fund received irrespective of fund source. It is expected to utilize and disburse such funds in accordance with prescribed rules and regulations particularly COA Circular No. 2007-001 and GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007.	
 DA-RFO III required documents to prove the legal personality of the NGO such as SEC Registration. At the time of fund transfer to BTLFI, it was with legal personality to transact business with the government. Only after the identification of their legal personality can a MOA be executed between the NGO and the agency, defining their respective roles and responsibilities. Funds were released in three tranches. 	The responsibility of the IA is not limited to the determination of legal existence of NGOs. More than that, the IAs are responsible in ensuring that the funds are used by the NGOs for the purpose intended.	
 Subsequent releases are subject to the favourable evaluation of the agency's monitoring Team. The monitoring teams were under advised to witness or document the distribution and or deliveries of materials and other supplies procured by the NGO and assess its compliance with the project specifications. The result of the monitoring or the project status are communicated with the 	The monitoring activities being undertaken by the RFU may not be sufficient. As discussed in the report, the supplier of fertilizers was not licensed handlers of fertilizers and has no permit to operate from the concerned LGU while the existence of the beneficiaries was questionable as the parties were unknown at their given addresses.	

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder	
Office of the proponent Congressman or Senator and be able to recommend measures to ensure the success of the project.		
 The PDAF of the Proponent Congressmen in the instant special audit were implemented by BTLFI with addresses at 5F Lansberg Place, Morato, Quezon City and No. 21 Malunggay St., Project 7, Quezon City. 	As discussed under COA Circular No. 2007-001, the NGOs legal documents are required to establish, among others, the location of the NGO as the NGO is required to be based in the community where the project shall be implemented. In this case, however, the NGO was based in Quezon City when the project was intended to be implemented in Region III. Besides, it is evident that under its contract with DA RFU III alone, the NGO was already using two different addresses.	
 There was no MOA executed to any NGO, PO or Cooperative whose declared office address is 2346 Juan Luna St., Gagalangin, Tondo, Manila, contrary to the findings of the special audit Team. 	This address is used by BTLFI in its MOA with NABCOR. As discussed in the report, this address is a vacant lot being used to store MWSS equipment.	
 These livelihood projects are with the direct participation by the Proponent Congressman or Senator or their staff or authorized representatives. IAs are only conducting monitoring activities prior to fund release and during validation/ inspection of the needed supplies and materials of the project. 	It is informed that the two concerned legislators did not even confirm their signatures on the documents submitted by the NGO and their participation on these projects. They did not reply to the Team's request for confirmation. There is, therefore, no assurance that the documents submitted by the NGO are even authentic.	
 Thank you very much and we hope we have supplied the necessary data needed with the hope that the Team takes note of the unusual nature of PDAF funds in so far as IAs are concerned having limited participation during implementation phase of the PDAF Funded livelihood Projects. 	Again, it is emphasized that there are no rules and regulations limiting the participation or role of the IAs in the implementation of PDAF. On the contrary, IAs are held responsible for all funds received irrespective of fund source.	
Supplier – DA RFU III		
• The NGO A need not be a licensed handler of fertilizer. It is the supplier of the BTLFI who is a licensed dealer of fertilizer.	As discussed in the report, neither the NGO nor its suppliers are licensed handler of fertilizers. The supplier has also no business permit to operate.	
Receipts and Recipients		
• RFO-3 monitors the implementation of the projects. The result of the monitoring or the project status is communicated with the Office of the proponent Congressman.	As discussed in the report, significant numbers of confirmation letters were returned to the Team as the beneficiaries are unknown at their given addresses. In one case, out of the five barangays identified as beneficiaries, only three are existing. The two other barangays are even non-existent.	
Response provided by DA RFU V		
Introduction		
 In view of the number of transactions involved covering the years from 2007 and 2009, comment will be initial at most and 	The observations are presented in as detailed as possible to enable the IAs to comment thereon. Except for this RFU, however, all other IAs covered in the report were able to submit and comment on all	

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
general approach to the equally general and, unfortunately, sweeping statement of audit findings. Management reserves the right to submit, in the future, an amplified, amended, and/or supplemental comment when warranted by the circumstances.	observations presented in the audit highlights in a rather detailed manner.
On the implementation of Livelihood Proje	cts by NGO
• The livelihood project was implemented by Sunshine Development Cooperative, an NGO duly accredited and qualified by the agency under COA Circular No. 2007-001 dated 25 October 2007. Having gone through the mandated accreditation process, the engagement of the NGO cannot be simply referred to as "unlikely" or in the worst case, thanks it is not, "undeserving" as to its relevance and in relation to the project implemented.	There were no documents submitted to the Team to support the claim that the NGO was selected and qualified in accordance with the provisions of COA Circular No. 2007-001 dated October 25, 2007. As provided therein, the IA shall accredit the NGO project partners through the BAC, or a committee created for the purpose, which shall formulate the selection criteria. The BAC shall perform the selection process, including the NGO/POS business site, and evaluation of the technical and financial capability of the NGO/PO, among others. Based on the documents submitted, the NGO endorsed by the legislator was outright considered without observing the required selection process.
	Likewise, granting for the sake of argument that the NGO was selected in accordance with the Circular, that alone is not a sufficient basis to assume that the project is well implemented. Unless the implementation is documented and the documents submitted are validated and confirmed, there is no assurance that the projects were at all implemented.
The implementation of the project was considered "unlikely" based on the circumstances of the issued official receipt, non-disclosure of the source of the seeds distributed to beneficiaries, or the address of the NGO being located in another Congressional District. These do not warrant or justify to call the participation or performance of the NGO as "unlikely" implementor of the project.	As a general rule, each transaction should be completely documented. In this case, documents are needed to establish the actual activities undertaken, to account the reported expenditures and to validate the beneficiaries of the projects. Considering, however, the absence of documents to prove the actual implementation of this project, the same is considered unlikely together with all other projects similarly situated.
• Compliance with applicable COA Circular in the award to the NGO is an indication of the legitimate existence and qualification to implement the livelihood project in the Second District of Sorsogon.	Again, compliance with applicable COA Circular should be documented. A mere statement of compliance without supporting evidence is not accepted in Audit.
• The deficiency in the issued official receipt or circumstances of the source of seeds or the location of the NGO cannot obliterate the very essence of its engagement and legitimate implementation of the project but instead may be considered glitches or minor imperfections which no thing or man can evade.	In the absence of documents to support the full utilization of the funds, the Team has no reasonable basis to conclude that the projects were indeed implemented.
Applicable COA Circular needs review and amendment to sanction matters pertaining to sources of items to be procured, BIR	There is no need to amend COA Circular to cover these matter since all these concerns were already duly covered by existing regulations. To establish the propriety and validity of disbursements, it is required

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
compliant official receipts, and the point- blank proximity of the implementing NGO to the target project beneficiaries and making convenience of beneficiaries.	under Section 4 (6) of PD 1445, that all claims against government funds shall be supported with complete documentation. Any document not compliant with government regulations, and that include BIR issuances, is not considered valid and acceptable.
	It is informed that under BIR Revenue Memorandum Order No. 56- 2000 dated December 1, 2000, the issuance of receipts that did not contain all the information required to be shown in the receipts or invoices and without authority to print from the BIR have corresponding penalty. Likewise, as discussed in the report, NGOs are required to submit legal documents under COA Circular No. 2007- 001 to ensure that the NGO is based in the community where the project shall be implemented.
 The office has learned well the lessons in the matter of fund transfer to NGOs. Distribution was conducted and the projects were implemented with legitimate beneficiaries. Proofs of which were all attached in the disbursement vouchers now in the custody of our COA Audit Team. 	Again, it is emphasized that mere submission and/or presence of documents is not an assurance that the transaction is legitimate. There is a need to analyze, evaluate and validate the submitted documents before these can be considered valid and legitimate.
 The legal and physical existence of the supplier or the capability to supply the requisitioned items at most is brought by the lack of material time to verify the location or address of the suppliers during the field examination. Management assures the Special Audit Team that the listed suppliers were all legitimate entities not on-flight suppliers. 	It is not the lack of time that hinders the Team to validate the physical existence of the suppliers but the very lack of information on the name of the suppliers. It is again emphasized that the documents provided to the Team were not supported with receipts, DRs or SIs. The names of the suppliers were not disclosed in any of the documents submitted to the Team and even in the comments herein submitted by the RFU. Considering, then, that the names of suppliers cannot even be identified by the RFUs, the RFU's assurance is of no value. Moreover, as a matter of procedure, the Team is validating the authenticity of documents including the basis of implementation by the management.
 This agency is ever committed to the effectiveness of COA Circular No. 2007-001 which governs the engagement of an NGO to implement a worthy government project. 	The commitment of the RFU to comply with the cited Circular is not evident in the documents evaluated by the Team.
 All transactions had a distribution activity conducted and were documented. Distribution is after payment. Management does not know what happened during the audit examination of the Auditors from COA National Office who conducted the review of the transactions that resulted in such finding. If we have more time, we could have accessed the files of our COA Audit Team and prove that the priority project was implemented accordingly. 	As discussed in the report, the items actually procured and for distribution cannot even be established due to the absence of DRs, SIs and receipts issued by the suppliers and Inspection and Acceptance Report by the NGO. Thus, even if the RFU look into the files in the possession of the Audit Team, this issue cannot be resolved.
 If it were otherwise, we could have earned and experience the wrath of different congressmen who monitored their projects. But such was the case here. Management is disposed to submit proofs of distribution conducted and the list of beneficiaries for all projects implemented given the appropriate time and opportunity. At any rate, we have 	The Team evaluated projects implemented in CYs 2007 to 2009. It is ironic then if these projects will be documented only in CY 2011 during the Audit and only for the purpose of the Audit. It is the responsibility of the RFU to ensure that all these projects are documented and be able to present all documents anytime it is demanded to be presented.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
made our reservations in the first part of this Management Comment, in the interest of service.	
Any concern or issue on official receipt pertains to compliance of the supplier with the BIR regulations. While it affects the over- all legitimacy of a supplier, it has nothing to do with the effectiveness of the project as far as the constituents are concerned. This office will advise suppliers to comply with pertinent BIR regulations as regards the printing of their official receipts.	Under COA Circular No. 2007-001, the validity of documents submitted by the NGO shall be verified by the internal auditor or equivalent official of the implementing agency to be used as basis in recording the fund utilization in the IA's books of accounts. Apparently, however, the NGOs' documents were accepted and recorded in the books of accounts without validation which again manifest non-compliance with the above cited COA Circular. It is emphasized that any document submitted not compliant with the regulations of any regulatory office of the government is not considered valid. It cannot also be said that projects which were not completely documented were implemented anyway as in the absence of documents, there are no proof of project implementation.
Epilogue	
 This agency is the COA's partner in the effort of utilizing scarce government resources at its most efficient way while observing accountability and compliance with existing laws, rules and regulations. 	The efficient use of scarce resources is belied by the documents submitted to the Team for examination which disclosed a number of violations of existing rules and regulations.

Annex E

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Absence of Planning in the Implementation of Livelihood Projects Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007 to 2009

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided by NLDC	
On Monitoring of Projects	
 On the IAs' non-monitoring of the implementation of the project, it beckons on the legislator to ask their constituencies if they benefited or profited from the grants to support any livelihood projects they have identified. 	As discussed earlier, it is the responsibility of the IA to ensure that the funds are efficiently and effectively managed and this responsibility cannot be transferred to anybody. The monitoring activities, if at all undertaken by the legislator, should only be considered in addition or supplemental to the monitoring activities to be undertaken by the IA. A number of legislators themselves denied the authenticity of their signatures on the documents submitted by the NGOs.
 We would like to state here that we opted not to conduct actual inspection and an immediate visitation to assess the impact of the livelihood intervention in the lives of the recipients as the real impact is determined not on the immediate but to that which was sustained. While it is physically impossible and costly for the NLDC to monitor individual recipients of the PDAF funded livelihood interventions, we keep note of the areas where these were implemented. Time must be provided primarily for the political influence to fade and to allow the good seeds to survive the real harsh nature of the business environment. The NLDC Program Conduits operating in these areas are readily advised of the said interventions. 	The assessment of the impact of projects, such as hairdressing, manicure and the like, or distribution of seedlings and various agricultural kits could be undertaken after a few months and the results could easily be evaluated. The projects evaluated by the Team were implemented in CYs 2007 to 2009. As discussed in the report, the Team was able to assess the impact of the projects by sending confirmation letters which revealed that a number of projects were either not at all implemented or were implemented but covered relatively smaller beneficiaries than reported. None of the sampled beneficiaries who claimed to have attended and received livelihood kits used the acquired knowledge in establishing a business. Those who initially tried, did not at all succeed. Project implementation without monitoring and assessment is practically a waste of government resources.
Response provided by DSWD-Main	
Project Assessment	
There may be no evaluation of the livelihood projects but its continuous implementation indicated favorable results.	The implementation of the same or similar projects with almost the same beneficiaries conducted in almost the same location is definitely not an indication of favorable results but irregularity and a waste of government resources.

Annex F

Schedule of Projects with Deficiencies Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Project Description/Location/	Amo (in M F					Remarks					
Contractor	Cost	Diff.									
Implemented by DPWH-N	CR										
Rehab/Asphalt Overlay of P1 Araneta Ave., QC (S. Bound)	19.317	2.390	installation DO No. 1	n of RPS o 57, series	n asphalt pa of 2000. <i>I</i>	ived roads w As stated t	vas initially pr herein, RPS	excessive RPS. The ohibited under DPWH installed on asphalt			
P2 Araneta Ave., QC (N. Bound)	19.317	1.588	pressed/p	ushed do	wn by he	avy vehicl	es, which	during hot weather, or leads to decreased			
P3 Gen. T. de Leon St., Val. City (Phase 1) - RPS - Warning & dir. signs	19.310	1.743 0.036	dilapidatio DPWH DC pavement	n of asph D No. 36, s studs on	alt paveme series of 20 asphalt pav	nt. This pol 09, which a red roads pi	licy was, how llowed the us rovided the s	ession and eventual wever, relaxed under se of broad-based flat suppliers will extricate			
P4 Gen. T. de Leon St., Val. City - RPS - Warning & dir. signs	19.310	1.609 0.024	and reinstall the same should there be overlay/rehabilitation works on the said pavement. Records disclosed that the DPWH-NCR included in the program the installation								
P5 Maysan Rd.,Val. City - RPS - Warning & dir. signs	19.290	1.601 0.024	of 5,121 RPS in 6 rehabilitation/asphalt overlay projects. All these items were reportedly installed by the contractors. The team, however, also noted that								
P6 Maysan Rd. and Exit - RPS - Warning & dir. signs	19.287	1.331 0.024	and Pave placed in	ment Mark the gaps r	ing, marker nidway betv	s suppleme	nting center e segments a	or lane lines may be at a spacing of 9.0 m Inspection, however,			
Norhern Builders			significant installed c 5,121 R	number o or already PS, only	of RPS wer dislodged fi 2,554	e no longer	r in place. T ition. Out of e found a	eam also noted that hese were either not the reported installed at site manifesting			
			Proj.			No	o. of RPS				
					Reported		spected	Unaccounted/ Missing			
			P1 P2		920		920		36 313	884 587	
	- 51		P3			00	717	183			
			P4		8	371	604	267			
	1		P5			90	414	376			
	1		P6 Total		5,1	21	470 2,554	270 2,567			
			units whic				s tabulated be	S has a total of 3,786 elow: Deficiencies			
	10000		Project	Installed	@ 9.0m	Est. RPS	Unaccounted	Qty Amt (in M)			
	the second		P1	920	410	510	884	884 ₽ 2.390			
			P2 P3	900 900	400	500	587	587 1.588			
Number of Street of Street of Street			P3 P4	900	264 276	636 595	183 267	636 1.743 595 1.609			
THE BUT PREMA			P5	790	198	592	376	592 1.601			
	M.		P6	740	248	492	270	492 1.331			
1			Total	5,121	1,796	3,325	2,567	3,786 P 10.262			
11			0			,	,	3, 2011, it was also along Araneta Avenue			

Project Description/Location/ Contractor	Amo (in M F Cost				Rema	rks			
		Diii.	South-Bound v any, were not DPWH-NCR of extrication and The team also	reinstalled as contract for t reinstallation	required und the rehabilita of the RPS.	er DP tion (WH DO N of this pro	o. 36. A oject dio	pparently, the d not include
			directional sigr	ns which were			nted for as		ed below:
BAWAL	-		Project	Reported	Inspected		ccounted	3	Cost
AND MAY 6	Carlo Carlo		P3	18	15		3	ŧ	<u>⊇</u> 36,038.70
A MARTIN AND			P4	14	12		2		24,025.80
The second secon			P5	24	22		2		24,035.92
	Margaret -		P6	22	20		2		23,922.50
	No. of Concession, Name		Total	78	69		9	ŧ	[⊇] 108,022.92
C-5 Ext. Proj. (fr SLEX/West Service Rd to Sucat Rd) CA-P	172.999	0.867	The deficiencie street light as o			guardr	ails consis	sting of	112.2 m and 1
Contractor:			Item		iantity		Unaccoun	het	Cost
E. Gardiola Construction a	and		nem	Reported	Inspecte	d			(in T P)
Readycon Trading &			Guardrails	112.2		-	112.		744.843
Corporation (Joint Ventur			Street lights	78.0	0 7	7.00	1.	00	122.236
1 · ·	and the second s				Total				867.079
Widening/Construction of	39.370	1.507	The guardrails accounted gua under Phase II	ardrails were I.	only sufficien	t to co	over the p	ogramn	ned guardrails
C-5 (C.P. Garcia to Commonwealth Sect.) Phase II, Katipunan Road, CY 2009			on portland ce RPS installed inspection.	ment concrete	e pavement (I	PCCP). As repoi	ted, the	re were 1,175
Contractor: Northern Builders					TELE	L			
C.P. Garcia to Magsay- say Ave., Phase III incl. const'n of Pedestrian Overpass, C.P. Garcia, Katipunan, CY 2009	22.185					7			N.
Contractor: Northern Builders									
Implemented by SMMDE	0		1						
Improvement of Ninoy Aquino Avenue (SB) from Kabihasnan to Pasig- Parañaque Boundary with exception	14.313	1.095	As reflected in covered three disclosed actu sq.m This res	locations with al accomplis	h a total area hment of only	of 5, / 4,95	680 sq.m. 8 sq.m. o	Inspec	tion, however,

Project Description/Location/ Contractor	Amo (in M P Cost		Remark	S						
Contractor: Solid Rock Construction			As reflected in the documents submitted to the team, the asphalt patching							
Improvement of Ninoy Aquino Avenue (NB) from Pasay-Parañaque Boundary to Kabihasnan	14.313	0.217	As reflected in the documents submitted covered seven locations with a total area of disclosed actual accomplishment of only sg.m. This resulted in cost difference of P2	of 5,677 sq.r 5,564 sq.m.	n. Inspec	tion, however,				
Contractor: Solid Rock Construction				- 70 - 1	9 ₆₋₁ 7.					
Implemented by Tarlac 1s	t DEO									
Construction of 2-Storey Bldg., Library at the Tarlac State University Contractor: Chaina Construction	14.753	0.469	Records disclosed that the inspectorate te assessment report on February 24, 2009 were not yet installed and directing the con final inspection report is issued. On Februar final inspection report declaring the proje- accordance with plans and specification: complete on February 26, 2009, the contr 12, 2009 to the effect that the uninstalled nr care and will remain therein until these are costing P468,872.04, using the Program of absence of contractor's detailed bid pro inspection on November 10, 2010:	indicating t htractor to in any 26, 2009, ct to be sat s. While the ractor issued naterials wer installed. Th f Works (PC	hat a nur stall the s , the same isfactorily e project d certificat re still at t he uninsta DW) as th	nber of items ame before a e team issued completed in was certified ion on March he company's alled materials e basis in the				
Non.			Item	Qty	A	mount				
			Electrical Works Fire alarm System	1	₽	47,260.91				
			IF Wire	150		7,089.14				
			Sub-Total		₽	54,350.05				
	111		Pedrollo Italy HF6A 3.0 HP 3 Phase 220-Volts, 60 Hertz	1		56,409.09				
			Sub-Total		₽	56,409.09				
			Switches and Outlets 1 Gang Switch Wide	22		3,846.33				
And the second second second			2 Gang Switch	22 15		3,846.33 3,933.63				
THE REAL PROPERTY AND	the second		1 Gang 2-way	6		2,098.00				
The second se			2 Gang Outlet Universal Outlet	92 7		24,126.97 1,958.13				
			Sub-Total	/	₽	35,963.06				
			Telephone System							
	-		Telephone Outlet TTC Box	3 1		1,257.12 13,664.31				
			Tel Jacketed Wire	30		1,366.43				
			Sub-Total		₽	16,287.86				

Project Description/Location/ Contractor	Amo (in M F Cost		Remark	S					
			Item	Qty	Amount				
			Plumbing Fixtures Kitchen Sink Wall Hung Lavatory Faucet Check Valve Gate Valve Accessories Sub-Total Total	72 1 32 6 16 1	199,079.92 2,186.29 1,821.91 8,745.16 36,984.74 38,824.87 18,219.08 ₽ 305,861.98 ₽ 468,872.04				
The project was started on June 4, 2008 and was reported completed on February 26, 2009. The building was not yet completed as of inspection date as the project scope was not sufficient to complete the building and there were no subsequent releases thereafter for the completion of the building. The railings are already rusty, the storeroom beneath the staircase was under water and some portions of the eaves were already destroyed by typhoon.									
Implemented by the DPWF	I-RO V								
Asphalt Overlay of Andaya Highway Del Gallego-Ragay Sect. (Sta. 287+129 – Sta. 296+219)	96.500	0.517	These represents Bituminous Seal Coat (I in addition to Bituminous Tack Coat (Item 3 310). Item 303 is described in the DPWH for roads and bridges as application of bitu application of aggregates on an existin	802) and Bitu Bluebook or uminous mat	iminous Concrete (Item standard specifications erial with or without an				
 Ragay-Sipocot Sect. (Sta. 316+000 – Sta. 325+700) 	96.500	0.543	accordance with the plans and specification in these projects as sealant for the longitud inclusion of this item as sealant, ins	n. This item linal joints ar pection cor	was, however, included ad plane joints. Despite aducted by the team				
 Del Gallego-Ragay Sect. (Sta. 297+989 – Sta. 310+838) 	171.400	0.875	disclosed breaks between PCCP blocks at centerline casting doubt on the applic of this item as sealant was also not cons	and cross- ation of this	section, and potholes item. The application other asphalt projects				
 Lupi Sipocot Sect. (Sta. 325+700 – Sta. 341+637) 	145.350	0.707	of the ROs and DEOs which use asph same as a separate item.	alt as seala	nt without billing the				
 Del Gallego-Ragay Sect. (Sta. 281+084 Sta. 319+000 w/ exception) 	143.550	0.433							
 Ragay-Sipocot Sect. (Sta. 310+838 – Sta. 341+637) Contractors: NFH Construction & Supply Persan / GCI Const. (Joint Venture) 	161.800	0.474	74 K0 338 + 100 K0 303 * 93						
Implemented by the Albay	1 st DEO								
Asphalt Overlay Washington Drive Road Contractor: Hi-Tone Const. & Dev. Cor	19.210 p.	4.111	As reflected in the POW, this project was 635 m. with 12 m. width or an area of 7,6 the actual length accomplished was only 5 9.75 m. to 11.0 m. or an average of 10.375 of 1,654.37 sq.m. costing ₽ 4.111 million	20 sq.m In 75 m. at vari 5 m. resulting	spection disclosed that able width ranging from				

Project Description/Location/	Amo (in M P				Rem	arks			
Contractor	Cost	Diff.							
Asphalt Overlay from Lapu-Lapu St. to Elizondo St. Contractor: Sunwest Const. & Dev. Co	19.206 prp.	6.194	This project was re width or an area of attained at any po average of 10.133 be only 5,167.83 so million.	7,650 s oint wit m. The	sq.m. As in: h width var total area a	spected, th ying from accomplish	e reporte 9.0 m. to ed was t	ed width o 11.60 i hen com	was not m. or an puted to
Implemented by the City G			Piñas						
Construction of 2-storey Livelihood Center Contractor: Excel Concepts Corp. Macopa St., Pamplona 3	4.192	0.662	The project may be for non-installation lights cannot be p plan, the estimates were no as-built plan P0.662 million, as t	n of sola resente for con- ns subm	ar lights and ed to the tea creting work hitted. These	d replacem am. In addit s may be c	ent of wi ion, consi onsidered	ndows. T dering th excessiv	he solar e original /e. There
	77-		Items of Work	Unit	Quar	ntity	Cost (i	n Million F	Pesos)
	1			Unit	POW	COA	POW	COA	Diff
			Concreting Concrete Class A	cu.m.	84.50	40.50	0.716	0.343	0.373
			C-Joist w/	sq.m.	63.00	90.00	0.129	0.343	(0.056)
			ConcreteTopping Reinforcing Steel	kilos	6,048.65	5,113.00	0.464	0.392	0.072
			Windows	KIIUS	0,040.00	3,113.00	0.404	0.392	0.072
			W-1 (1.20mx2.10m Steel Casement)	sets	8.00	7.00	0.058	0.051	0.007
			W-3 (0.50mx4.00m Steel Casement) Solar Lights	sets	0.00	1.00	0.000	0.006	(0.006)
			Cons'n of Pedestal	job	1.00	0.00	0.016	0.000	0.016
- 201	11/02/02		Inst. of Solar Lights T	job otal Am	1.00 ount	0.00	0.256 1.639	0.000 0.977	0.256 0.662
Improvement of Road leading to S. Marquez Street Contractor: E. M. Angeles Enterprises Barangay Manuyo 2	3.228	0.710	This project may be the non-installation the plan. The contra costs applied varie accordance with D difference of P0.710	and fab act cost d from PWH-D	rication of G may also b 10.57% to OO No. 57.	Guardhouse le considere as high a These de	Barrier w ed excess is 72.22%	hich is in ive as th 6 which	icluded in le indirect is not in
barangay manayo z						Amount (In	Million Pe	esos)	
			Pay Item		POW		COA		rence
			Road Impact						
	-		Agg. Base Course PCCP			011	0.012	<u> </u>	(0.001)
			Bit. Prime Coat			128 418	0.129		(0.001) 0.172
			BCSC			115	1.640	1	0.475
	1/02/02		Guard House						
			Street Lights			454	0.427		0.027
labored by the	,		Pedestal		-	031	0.026		0.005
the second is shall be stored as the second s	Services and		Guard House Guard House Barri	er		049 022	0.038	+	0.011 0.022
20	1702702		Total			228	2.518		0.710
Supply and Installation of	1.113	0.171	Inspection disclosed						

Project Description/Location/	Amo (in M P	esos)			Remark	s			
Contractor	Cost	Diff.							
Traffic Signages			 Materials used and pa 	citioning	of the ci	anagos y	voro not i	n accord	lanco with
Contractor: Traffic Supplies & Const'n	Corp.		 Materials used and po the requirements pr Standards. The imita 	escribed	under	DPWH	Highway	y Safet	y Design
Various locations			Engineer Grade on C	G.I. Plain	Sheet	ga. 16	As presc	ribed th	erein, the
			signages should be maSome of the signages						
IS EXTENSION			are vandalized, and inSome signs were co			shruhher	v manife	stina ur	controlled
	11/02/02		vegetations. The signs						
			• Of the 76 reported s						
To C-5 Extension via Naga Road	-		project site during ins P170,618 , as compute		rith unad	ccounted	12 signa	iges am	ounting to
AP. In			ignage Size	Quan			ost (in mil		
	501 50 102		(w/ post and installation)	POW	COA	POW	CC		Diff.
	and the second second		60 x 90 cm	19	19	0.2		0.252	0.000
5-55-55	ALABANG PO		60 x 110 cm 1.10 x 0.60 m	1 50	0 39	0.0		0.000	0.017
			2.0 x 1.20 m	6	59	0.0	-	0.344	0.134
			Total	76	64	1.1		0.942	0.000
Supply of Materials for the Concreting of Road	0.368	0.137	While the project may						
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office	0.368	0.137	While the project may specifications, the quant ₽137,326.00, as compute	ities prog	rammec	l may be	e conside	ered exc	essive by
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste		0.137	specifications, the quant	ities prog	rammec		e conside		essive by
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra		0.137	specifications, the quant #137,326.00, as compute	ities prog d below:	rammec Qua	i may be intity COA	e conside	ered exc	cessive by
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor:		0.137	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute	ities prog d below: Unit	rammec Qua POW	antity COA 433	e conside Cost POW	ered exc (in M Pe COA	sos)
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra		0.137	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel	ities prog d below: Unit bags	Cua POW 797 29 58	i may be ntity COA 433 24 48	Conside Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055	sos) 0.070 0.003 0.012
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra		0.137	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course	ities prog d below: Unit bags m ³	Qua POW 797 29 58 58	i may be ntity COA 433 24 48 24	Conside Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017	sos) 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra		0.137	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course	ities prog d below: Unit bags m ³	Cua POW 797 29 58	i may be mtity COA 433 24 48 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra		0.137	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course	ities prog d below: Unit bags m ³	Qua POW 797 29 58 58	i may be ntity COA 433 24 48 24	Conside Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra	iding		specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A	ities prog d below: Unit bags m ³	Qua POW 797 29 58 58	i may be ntity COA 433 24 48 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II	iding		specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Ulig The project as inspected	tities prog d below: bags m ³ mount may be co	Qua POW 797 29 58 58 70	antity COA 433 24 433 24 48 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 0.324	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137 except for
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II FRV, Talon II FRV, Talon U FRV, Ta	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A	tities prog d below: Unit bags m ³ mount may be cc ative ste	POW POW 797 29 58 58 70 70	antity COA 433 24 48 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 0.324 0.324	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.015 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II FRV, Talon II Implemented by the City I Completion of Signal Multi-Purpose Building	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Total A The project as inspected the swing door, decor loudspeakers which wer loudspeakers were found	Unit bags m ³ a may be coative stere not for at site on a	Cua POW 797 29 58 58 70 0 nsidere el door und dui ut of fou	antity COA 433 24 433 24 48 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 Illy accom two uni two uni tection. C cluded ir	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015 0.187 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Total A The project as inspected the swing door, decor loudspeakers which wer	Unit bags m ³ a may be coative stere not for at site on a	Cua POW 797 29 58 58 70 0 nsidere el door und dui ut of fou	antity COA 433 24 433 24 48 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 Illy accom two uni two uni tection. C cluded ir	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015 0.187 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II Willi-Purpose Building Contractor: ABN Construction	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Uig The project as inspected the swing door, decor loudspeakers which wer loudspeakers were found uninstalled items resulte	Unit bags m ³ a may be coative stere not for at site on a	Cua POW 797 29 58 58 70 0 nsidere el door und du ut of fou ect defic	antity COA 433 24 433 24 48 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015 0.187 0.187 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II With Purpose Building Contractor: ABN Construction	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Unite The project as inspected the swing door, decor loudspeakers which wer loudspeakers were found uninstalled items resulte below: Item Doors	Unit bags m3 m3 amount amount	Cua POW 797 29 58 58 70 0 nsidere el door und du ut of fou ect defic	antity COA 433 24 433 24 48 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.195 0.187 0.195 0.197 0.015 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II EFRV, Talon	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Item Item Doors Supply & Installation of Supply & Installation of	tities prog d below: Unit bags m ³ mount may be cc at site o at site o d in proje POW ₽ 135,	Cua POW 797 299 58 58 70 0 nsidere el door und dui ut of fou ect defic	antity COA 433 24 433 24 433 24 43 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.055 0.017 0.015 0.187 0.1187 0.	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137 except for community o units of W. These computed rence
the Concreting of Road leading to BFRV Waste Management Office Contractor: Jadeant Const'n and Tra BFRV, Talon II With Purpose Building Contractor: ABN Construction	Iding	nt of Tag	specifications, the quant P137,326.00, as compute Items of Work Portland Cement White Sand Gravel Aggregate Base Course Aggregate Sub-base Course Total A Unite The project as inspected the swing door, decor loudspeakers which wer loudspeakers were found uninstalled items resulte below: Item Doors	tities prog d below: Unit bags m ³ mount may be cc at site o at site o d in proje POW ₽ 135,	POW POW 797 29 58 58 70 0 58 58 70 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	antity COA 433 24 433 24 433 24 43 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Cost POW 0.154 0.019 0.067 0.041 0.043 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.324 0.325 0.32 0.325 0.3	(in M Pe COA 0.084 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.015 0.187	sos) Diff 0.070 0.003 0.012 0.024 0.028 0.137 except for community o units of W. These computed rence 67,779.60

Description/Location/	Amo (in M F					Remarks			
Contractor	Cost	Diff.							
Electrical works, ventilation system, and construction of basketball wood flooring at the Multi- purpage Building	9.997	0.305	This project as ins for the following d below:	eficienc	cies which	n amount			
Purpose Building			Description	An POW	ount (in N	lillion) Diff		Remarks	
Contractor: Grandline Engineering Sei Signal Village	rvices		Electrical Works & Ventilation System	₽ 4.385		₽ 0.188		or presented m is not con dy included	sidered as
			Asphalting / Leveling of Floor	0.738	0.730	0.008		g calculations	on detailed
	ALLER		Concreting of Perimeter & Equip/ Utility Room	0.238	0.129	0.109	No MS plate installed.	and square tu	be
	anne Vere		Tot	al		₽ 0.305			
Concreting of Levi Mariano Avenue		7.620	These two project	ts wer	e adiace	nt without	ut anv dem	and all and the	
 Bantayan (Monolith) to Palingon Creek Ususan 	27.279 24.980		accounted as on accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These c computed below:	der the f 2,289 m. as r leficier	of the two pro sq.m. The ported.	total are jects, on he team In additio	ea of 18,501 Iy 16,212 so was able to on, there we	I sq.m. PC j.m. was co measure o ere no road	CP to b onstructer only 1,54 marking
to Palingon Creek	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These o	der the f 2,289 m. as r leficier	of the two pro sq.m. Th eported. ncies res	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o	a of 18,501 ly 16,212 so was able to on, there we cost differe	I sq.m. PC q.m. was co o measure o ere no road nce of P 7,6	CP to be onstructed only 1,544 markings 20,000 as
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These o	der the f 2,289 m. as r leficier	of the two pro sq.m. Th eported. ncies res	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o ntity	a of 18,501 ly 16,212 so was able to on, there we cost differe Amo	I sq.m. PC q.m. was co o measure o ere no road nce of ₽7,6	CP to be onstructed only 1,544 markings 20,000 as
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These o computed below:	der the f 2,289 m. as r leficier Unit	of the two pro sq.m. Th eported. ncies res	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o	a of 18,50 ly 16,212 so was able to on, there we cost differe <u>POW</u>	I sq.m. PC q.m. was co o measure o ere no road nce of P 7,6	CP to boostructed only 1,54 marking 20,000 a
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished unwith deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course	der the f 2,289 m. as r deficier Unit m ³	of the two pro sq.m. Th eported. ncies res Quar POW	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in tity COA	a of 18,50 ⁻ ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe <u>POW</u> ₽ 8.078	I sq.m. PC j.m. was cc measure (ere no road nce of P7,6 punt (in millio COA	CP to be onstructer only 1,54 marking 20,000 a 20,000 a <u>Diff.</u> ₽ 1.138
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Base Course	der the f 2,289 m. as r deficier Unit m ³ m ³ m ³	c of the etwo pro sq.m. Ti eported. ncies resu Quar POW 16,270 5,072 3,700	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o htity COA 13,981 4,499 3,242	a of 18,50 ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe POW P 8.078 5.183 4.261	I sq.m. PC j.m. was cc p measure (pre no road nce of ₽7,6 punt (in millio COA ₽ 6.940 4.597 3.733	CP to b onstructer only 1,54 marking 20,000 a n) <u>Diff.</u> ₽ 1.138 0.586 0.528
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Base	der the f 2,289 m. as r leficier Unit m ³ m ³	c of the sq.m. Ti eported. ncies resu <u>Quan</u> <u>POW</u> 16,270 5,072	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in htity <u>COA</u> 13,981 4,499	a of 18,50 ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe	I sq.m. PC j.m. was cc p measure (pre no road nce of ₽7,6 punt (in millio COA ₽ 6.940 4.597	CP to bonstructer only 1,54 marking 20,000 a n) Diff.
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Base Course PCCP	der the f 2,289 m. as r deficier m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ² m ² pc.	c of the e two pro sq.m. Ti eported. ncies resu <u>Quan</u> <u>POW</u> 16,270 5,072 3,700 18,501	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o htity COA 13,981 4,499 3,242 16,212	a of 18,501 ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe POW ₽ 8.078 5.183 4.261 33.523 0.877 0.337	I sq.m. PC g.m. was cc pre no road nce of ₽7,6 punt (in millio COA ₽ 6.940 4.597 3.733 29.369 0.000 0.000	CP to b instructer instruct
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Base Course PCCP Road Marking	der the f 2,289 m. as r deficier Unit m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ² m ²	Of the two pro- sq.m. Ti eported. eported. ncies result 0uar POW 16,270 5,072 3,700 18,501 600	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o <u>coa</u> 13,981 4,499 3,242 16,212 0.000	a of 18,50 ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe	I sq.m. PC j.m. was cc preasure (pre no road nce of ₽7,6 punt (in millio COA ₽ 6.940 4.597 3.733 29.369 0.000	CP to b instructe instructer instructe
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor: Carjen Construction and T	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Base Course PCCP Road Marking	der the f 2,289 m. as r deficier m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ² m ² pc.	Of the two pro- sq.m. Ti eported. eported. ncies result 0uar POW 16,270 5,072 3,700 18,501 600	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o <u>coa</u> 13,981 4,499 3,242 16,212 0.000	a of 18,501 ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe POW ₽ 8.078 5.183 4.261 33.523 0.877 0.337	I sq.m. PC g.m. was cc pre no road nce of ₽7,6 punt (in millio COA ₽ 6.940 4.597 3.733 29.369 0.000 0.000	CP to b instructer instruct
to Palingon Creek Ususan Contractor:	24.980		accomplished un with deficiency o m. and not 1,762 installed. These of computed below: Items of Work Roadway Excavation Aggregate Sub-base Course Aggregate Base Course PCCP Road Marking Balling of trees	der the f 2,289 m. as r deficier m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ³ m ² m ² pc.	Of the two pro- sq.m. Ti eported. eported. ncies result 0uar POW 16,270 5,072 3,700 18,501 600	total are jects, on he team In additio ulted in o <u>coa</u> 13,981 4,499 3,242 16,212 0.000	a of 18,501 ly 16,212 sc was able to on, there we cost differe POW ₽ 8.078 5.183 4.261 33.523 0.877 0.337	I sq.m. PC g.m. was cc pre no road nce of ₽7,6 punt (in millio COA ₽ 6.940 4.597 3.733 29.369 0.000 0.000	CP to b instructer instruct

Project Description/Location/ Contractor	Amount (in M Pesos) Cost Diff.			Rem	arks							
			Start P			February 10, 2011 reflected v e no completion and accepta						
Construction/Improv't. of C.P. Tiñga Gym Contractor: Carjen Construction and Tra Hagunoy	22.696 2.105		only 9 to the excep	91.10%. There team. The p	were no project ma	completi y, howe	on and ver, be	acceptance considered				
TERENE		Items of Work		Per Plan	r i	Pe	r Inspect	ion				
States and States		Viewing Glass			5 sq.m.			33 sq.m.				
		Windows			4 sq.m.			87 sq.m.				
The second se		Bleachers flooring		0.30 x	0.80 m.		0.2	0 x 0.50 m.				
2033/	102/0 2	These defficiecies follows:		ed in cost diffe								
		Items of Work	_	t Breakdown	CO			erence				
		Viewing Glass	₽	1,870,275	₽	575,230	₽	1,295,045				
	1W	Replacement of		1,452,105		997,029		455,076				
		Window Cost Bleachers Flooring		1,496,515	1	141,964		354,551				
	102-0 9	Total	₽			,714,223	₽	2,104,672				
Concreting of Tinio and	4.995 0.651	In addition, there ar to incomplete detai be accounted for.	led pla	ans. Thus, any	deficienc	y for suc	h work i					
Ledesma Streets with Drainage System			h of the	e road to be co	onstructed	and the	design o	f the PCCP				
	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as	h of the e not i covere	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads,	onstructed by of the	and the documen	design o ts prese na Stree	f the PCCP inted to the				
Drainage System Contractor:	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as Street	h of the e not i covere	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: Length	onstructed by of the	and the documen	design o ts prese	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> Tinio	h of the e not i covere	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: Length 186.0 m.	onstructed by of the	and the documen	design o ts prese na Stree	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m.				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan EVENT	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> Tinio Ledesma	h of the e not i covere inspective the of disclo	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents sosed a numb	submitted,	and the odocumen d Ledesn Wi partic iscalculat	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion and	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> Tinio Ledesma Evaluation of t unit-cost analysis, computation which follows:	h of the e not i covere is inspective the of disclo resulte	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents cosed a numt ed in total cos	onstructed by of the Tinio and submitted, ber of m t difference	and the documen documen d Ledesn wi partic iscalculat e of P65 mount	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion anc 0,659, ta	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan EVENT	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> <u>Tinio</u> <u>Ledesma</u> Evaluation of t unit-cost analysis, computation which follows: <u>Item Description</u>	h of the e not i covere inspection the of disclo resulte	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents cosed a numb ed in total cos	onstructed by of the Tinio and submitted, ber of m t difference A SWA	and the documen d Ledesn d Ledesn d Ledesn d Particiscalculat e of P65	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion and 0,659, ta	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as Diff.				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan Exposed of the system of the system System of the system of	tion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> <u>Tinio</u> Ledesma Evaluation of t unit-cost analysis, computation which follows: <u>Item Description</u>	h of the e not i covere inspect the discle resulte Unit	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents cosed a numt ed in total cos Quantity 498.00	submitted, ber of m t difference A SWA P 323,6	and the documen d Ledesn wi partic iscalculat e of P65 mount CC 13 P	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion anc 0,659, ta DA 117,066	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as Diff. # 206,547				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan EVENT	etion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> <u>Tinio</u> Ledesma Evaluation of tunit-cost analysis, computation which follows: <u>Item Description</u> <u>Excavation rine</u>	h of the e not i covere inspect the discle resulte Unit m ³ m3	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents cosed a numt ed in total cos Quantity 498.00 41.50	nstructed ny of the Tinio and submitted, per of m t difference A SWA ₽ 323,6 58,8i	and the documen documen d Ledesn partic iscalculat e of P65 mount CC 13 P	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion anc 0,659, ta DA 117,066 32,278	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as Diff. ₽ 206,547 26,606				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan EVENT	etion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> Tinio Ledesma Evaluation of tunit-cost analysis, computation which follows: <u>Item Description</u> <u>Excavation Fill</u>	h of the e not i covere inspect the of discle resulte Unit m ³ m ³ m	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: Length 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents ssed a numt ed in total cos Quantity 498.00 41.50 372.00	submitted, submitted, per of m t difference BWA 5888 920,51	and the documen documen d Ledesn yeartic scalculat e of P65 mount CC 13 P 7 34	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion anc 0,659, ta DA 117,066 32,278 720,627	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as Diff. ₽ 206,547 26,606 199,926				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan EVENT	etion	and manholes were team. This project following lengths as Street Tinio Ledesma Evaluation of the unit-cost analysis, computation which follows: Item Description Excavation fill Foundation Fill RCCP I Manhole	h of the e not i covere inspect the discle resulte Unit m ³ m3	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> 186.0 m. 145.2 m. documents cosed a numt ed in total cos Quantity 498.00 41.50	nstructed ny of the Tinio and submitted, per of m t difference A SWA ₽ 323,6 58,8i	and the documen d Ledesn d Led	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion anc 0,659, ta DA 117,066 32,278	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as Diff. ₽ 206,547 26,606				
Drainage System Contractor: Jaylet Trading and Construct AFPOVAI, Western Bicutan EVENT		and manholes were team. This project following lengths as <u>Street</u> Tinio Ledesma Evaluation of tunit-cost analysis, computation which follows: <u>Item Description</u> Excavation fill RCCP Manhole Backfilling f	h of the e not i covere i inspect disclor resulted Unit m ³ n3 m units	e road to be co indicated in ar ed two roads, cted: <u>Length</u> <u>186.0 m.</u> <u>145.2 m.</u> documents <u>soed</u> a numt ed in total cos <u>Quantity</u> <u>498.00</u> <u>41.50</u> <u>372.00</u> <u>43.00</u>	submitted, submitted, per of m t difference A SWA P 323.6 58.8 920.5 746.7	and the documen documen d Ledesn b Ledesn d Lede	design o ts prese na Stree dth ularly ion anc 0,659, ta 117,066 32,278 720,627 746,853	f the PCCP nted to the ets with the 6.00 m. 6.20 m. contractors I errors in abulated as Diff. ₽ 206,547 26,606 199,926 (143)				

Project Description/Location/ Contractor	Amo (in M P Cost					Ren	narks			
(Ledesma Street)			Item Description	Unit	0	antity		Amount		Diff.
				onin	20	anny	SWA	1	COA	Dill:
	~		Aggregate Base Course	m3		186.97	22	1,012	221,012	0.00
	8		PCCP	m2		1,752.20			,929,348	157,064
	/07/09			otal			₽ 4,83	7,030 ₽4	1,186,977	₽ 650,659
Implemented by the City G			la							
Water Pipe Laying at Tondo, Manila	6.899	0.578	The programmed accomplishment. T included in the sco as computed below	here pe of	were a	also wor	k items i	ncluded ir	the estir	nate but not
Trilex Builders						0				
			Items of Work	:	Unit	POW	ntity COA	POW	nount (in COA	M¥) Diff.
	5		Overestimated Qua	ntities	;	100	COA	100	COA	Dill.
	TE		Concrete Pavement (75mm < t ≤ 50mm)		m ³	75.00	30.00	0.183	007	3 0.110
72			Concrete Sidewalk		m ³	73.00	28.00	0.467	0.17	9 0.288
	E.		Steel bars alreally in Reinforcing Steel Ba		d in th pcs.	e item Su 52.00	Irface Res 0.00	storation 0.015	0.00	0 0.015
			Not included in the				0.00	0.015	0.00	0.013
TIME	M		Maint.lof work area	Tot	LS al	1.00	0.00	0.165	0.0	0 0.165 0.578
Construction of New Vertical Wall Niches Contractor: E. P. Cornejo Construction Manila North Cemetery			The project may I team noted that th portion of the vertii newly constructed submitted to the beneficiaries. Morr P588,790.78 as co	e insta cal wa niche tean eover,	allatior II nich s wer n to the c	n of railir es at the e not ye determir contract	ngs was e 2nd floo et in use ne the	obstructing or. At the t and there qualification	g the use ime of ins were no ons of i	of the lower spection, the documents ts intended
					<u> </u>					-
			Project Phase I		Conti	ract 93,912.98		COA 2,278.20		ference 471,634.78
	2 6		Phase II	- '		9,041.69		2,276.20 1,161,885.6		117,156.00
			Total	P	2,272,9	954.67	<u>Þ</u>	1,684,163.8	9 🗜	588,790.78
			The big difference concrete without a					he replace	ment of s	teel stairs to
			Items			POW		COA		Diff.
			Phase I							
			Concrete and Mas			₽352,9		₽201,6		₽151,267
			Grills and Metal W Phase II			137,5	005	54,5	05	83,000
			Concrete and Mas			482,8		526,9		(44,106)
			Grills and Metal W	/orks		147,7		60,4		87,281
			Total			₽1,120,9	73	₽ 843,5	51	₽277,442
Implemented by Barangay	of Manila		·							
Construction of Multi-	1.500	0.521	The project calls							
Purpose Hall			component underta	iken u	nder p	akyaw c	contract.	As planne	a, the pro	ject will use

Description/Loodulon/	Amount (in M Pesos)	Remarks						
Contractor	Cost Diff.							
Contractor: Jayzelline Enterprises Brgy. 310, Zone 31, Manila		lumber materials as trusses. However, as contructed, the project used trusses. Despite such changes in the plans, substantial quantities of lumb trusses were still purchased. The estimates for RSB were also found excoresulting in cost difference of P0.521 Million computed as follows:						
Bara		Items of V	Vork Unit	Q	uantity	A	mount (ii	n M)
Barangay 30 7 Ante 3		Items of v		POW	COA	POW	COA	Diff.
	5. S	16mm dia. X 6.		414.0	123.0	0.206	0.061	0.145
	A.	10mm dia. X 6. 12mm dia. X 6.		1,335.0 300.0	35.00 67.0	0.313	0.082	0.231
		2" x 2" x 12' , S		16.0	07.0	0.006	0.002	0.006
241111111	1	2" x 8" x 20' , S		22.0	0.0	0.025	0.000	0.025
-A +		2" x 3" x 12' , S		65.0	0.0	0.020	0.000	0.020
		<u>2" x 11" x 12' , 3</u>		7.0	0.0	0.007	0.000	0.007
		Ga.26 Corrugat G.I. Sheets (4'		44.0	0.0	0.008	0.000	0.008
	1	<u>G.I. SHEELS (4)</u>	(0)	Total				₽ 0.521
Implemented by Various Bara Repair/Renovation of main barangay hall Contractor: CB Tampengco Construction	0.103							
Supply		computed belo	DW:					
Brgy. UP Village				Qty. (m ²)		Cos	st Differe	
RUAL AND		Items of	Work	Bid	COA	Qty.	1	nce
							Am	nce nt (in M)
	-	Plastering Work		267.21	211.73		.48	
		Plastering Work ground floor ceil	s for	267.21	211.73	55	.48	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works	s for	267.21 349.14	211.73	55 55 49	.48 .37	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089
		ground floor ceil	s for ing only	267.21	211.73	55 55 2 49 2 28	.48 .37 .74	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works	s for	267.21 349.14 2,411.36	211.73 299.77 2,382.62	55 55 49	.48 .37 .74	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c	s for ing only Total	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated	211.73 299.77 2,382.62	55 49 228 133	.48 .37 .74 .59	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c	s for ing only Total computation are	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated	211.73 299.77 2,382.62 below:	55 49 28 133	.48 .37 .74 .59	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006 ₽ 0.103
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c	s for ing only Total computation are <u>P(</u> A1 = 7.43 x 24 = ⁻ A2 = 0.35 x 95.46	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated DW 178.32 sq.m = 33.76 sq.	211.73 299.77 2,382.62 below: m A1 = A2 =	2 28 49 2 28 133 7.43 x 24 = 0.35 x 95.44	.48 .37 .74 .59 	nt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006 ₽ 0.103 q.m
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c Item Plastering	s for ing only Total computation are PC A1 = 7.43 x 24 = 7 A2 = 0.35 x 95.46 Total = 267.21 sq A1 = 5.87 x 28 = 7	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated DW 178.32 sq.m = 33.76 sq. m 164.36 sq.m	211.73 299.77 2,382.62 below: m A1 = Total A1 =	C 7.43 x 24 = 0.35 x 95.44 = 211.73 sc 4.72 x 25.72	.48 .37 .74 .59 .59 	tt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006 ₽ 0.103
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c Item Plastering Works	s for ing only Total computation are A1 = 7.43 x 24 = 7 A2 = 0.35 x 95.46 Total = 267.21 sq A1 = 5.87 x 28 = 7 A2 = 7.43 x 24.87	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated DW 178.32 sq.m = 33.76 sq.m 164.36 sq.m = 184.78 sc	211.73 299.77 2,382.62 below: m A1 = A2 = 1.m A2 =	C 7.43 x 24 = 211.73 sc 4.72 x 25.77 7.43 x 24.00	.48 .37 .74 .59 	tt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006 ₽ 0.103
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c Item Plastering Works Ceiling Works	s for ing only Total computation are M1 = 7.43 x 24 = 7 A2 = 0.35 x 95.46 Total = 267.21 sq A1 = 5.87 x 28 A2 = 7.43 x 24.87 Total = 349.14 sq	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated DW 178.32 sq.m = 33.76 sq.m 164.36 sq.m = 184.78 sc.m	211.73 299.77 2,382.62 below: m A1 = m A2 = Total A1 = ,m A2 = Total A1 = Total	C 7.43 x 24 = 0.35 x 95.44 = 211.73 sc 4.72 x 25.77 7.43 x 240 = 299.77 sc	.48 .37 .74 .59 	tt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006 ₽ 0.103 q.m sq.m 5 sq.m 5 sq.m
		ground floor ceil Ceiling Works Painting Works The errors in c Item Plastering Works Ceiling	s for ing only Total computation are A1 = 7.43 x 24 = 7 A2 = 0.35 x 95.46 Total = 267.21 sq A1 = 5.87 x 28 = 7 A2 = 7.43 x 24.87	267.21 349.14 2,411.36 illustrated DW 178.32 sq.m 164.36 sq.m = 184.78 sc.m = 121.45 sc	211.73 299.77 2,382.62 below: m A1 = Total A1 = 1,m A2 = Total 4,m A6 =	C 7.43 x 24 = 211.73 sc 4.72 x 25.77 7.43 x 24.00	.48 .37 .74 .59 .59 .59 .59 .59 .59 .59 .59 .59 .59	tt (in M) ₽ 0.008 0.089 0.006 ₽ 0.103 q.m sq.m 5 sq.m 5 sq.m sq.m

Project Amount Description/Location/ Contractor Cost C	s) liff.	Remarks									
						Quai	ntitv	ĺ	Cost	(in Millior	ນ
A CARLES AND A COMPANY		Items	of Work		Unit	POW	COA	PO		COA	Diff.
		Roadway & Excavation	-	е	m ³	212.85	209.32	₽ ₽ 0.	144 🖡	₽ 0.142	₽ 0.002
(and the second		Aggregate S Base Cours	е		m ³	138.82			152	0.149	0.003
		Aggregate E	Base Co	urse	m ³	138.82	136.51		171	0.168	0.003
		PCCP		To	m ²	925.44	910.08	5 I. ₽1.	385 F	1.362 2 1.821	0.023 ₽ 0.031
Repair of SK Bldg. (SKB), 2.000	.650	The proje	cts as	s con	struct	ed were	not in	acco	rdance	with p	lans and
Health Sta. Bldg. (HSB), Brgy. mini-plaza, street lighting, Materials		specificatio	ons with	n the f	ollowii	0					
Recovery Bldg. (MRB)		Proje	ct	Unit		Descripti	ion		lan		ection
and improvement of		MRB		m		area			0 x 4.30		.20 x 3.20
drainage system		HSB Drainage		m m		area d Canal		9.5	0 x 4.40 796		.60 x 6.20 I – 192.30
Contractor:		Improveme	ent	111	LINC	Callai			770		I = 192.30 I = 160.60
2H2L Construction			-								I = 352.90
Brgy. Kalusugan				m ²		rete Sidew	ıalk		597		206
Digy. Reidougun		Painting of	Ctract	tin		Paint			14		4
		Broadway	Sireei	tin	Sanc Q.D.				10		
					Chocolate Brown			6		6	
			gal.		Acri-	Acri-color			10		-
						Thinner			3		-
		In addition following re			iation	s, the foll	owing wo	orks w	ere not	consider	ed for the
		Project					Remar	ks			
		SKB		re wer bidated		ew installa			ms to be	e replaced	are still
and the second s						tic tank.	1.1				
		MRB HSB				already fac R, hence,		sinhoni	na of sa	ntic tank	was not
		These defi	cons ciencie	sidered					5		
		Ito	ms of V	Vork		Unit	Quant			t (in M Pe	
								COA	POW	COA	Diff.
		Repair/Reh Demolition of				all Buildin	ig 1.00	_1	0.004		0.004
and the second s		Roofing Wo		ญ วแน	ciui C3		59.95	-	0.004		0.004
		Materials R	ecovery		ties B	uilding					
		Roofing/Tin		rks		l.s.	62.40	30.00	0.071		
For the		Painting Wo		dina		l.s.	1.00	0.00	0.017	0.000	0.017
and the second		Health Stat Roofing/Tin				l.s.	51.45	25.00	0.063	0.031	0.032
		Siphoning o				I.S.	1.00	0.00	0.003		
		Drainage In									
		Declogging			CP			57.00	0.082		
		Declogging		Canal				52.90	0.207		
		Concrete Si	uewalk			m ²	597.00 2	06.00	0.346	0.119	0.226

Project	Amount								
Description/Location/ (in M Pesos) Cost Diff.			1	Remarks				
		Items of Work		Unit	Qua POW	ntity COA	C POV	Cost (in M I N COA	
		Improvement/Painting of Broadway Street						Dill.	
		Painting	Tota	l.s.	1.00	1.00		086 0.03	
			TOTA				0.5	07 0.3	0.030
Construction of ground 12 floor interior finishing of barangay hall	2.000 1.243	The project as constru- tabulated below:	ucted o	did not fi	ully comp	ly with	the P	OW with o	deficiencies
Contractor:		Description	Set		r Plan nension (i	m)	Sets	Per Inspec	tion sion (m)
Cresta Mgt & Construction		Entry Room (Foyer)	36		6.32 X no		3612		20 X 11.90
Brgy. South Triangle		Back Rooms		-	16.00 X 1				10 X 10.50
		Height of G/F CHB wall				data			2.80
LAGNAN HALL		Window – 2	2		1.50 X		2		.20 X 2.10
- Est		Window – 4	6	_	1.80 X		5		.80 X 1.60
		Window – 5	1	_	1.60 X		1		.00 x 2.40
		Window – 6			1.20 X	2.00	2		.20 X 1.60
The state		These deficiencies re tabulated below:							
	100	Items of Work	Unit	POW	ntity COA	POW		nt (In Millic COA	Diff.
		Earthworks	m ³	4.72	0.00	₽0.		₽ 0.000	₽ 0.003
	dies.	Masonry Works		350.00			179	0.677	0.502
		Finishes	m ²	402.87	300.05	1.	194	0.889	0.305
		Carpentry Works		1.00	232.33	0.	461	0.353	0.108
		Doors and Windows	sets	35.00	35.00	0.	768	0.672	0.096
		Electrical Works		1.00	0.00	0	000	0.000	0.000
		a. Rough-in installation	l.s. tal	1.00	0.00	0. ₽3.	229	0.000 ₽ 2.591	0.229 ₽1.243
		The lump sum alloca P0.229 million was conduits which is in P451,641.50.	ation f not c cluded	onsidere under	ed as thi electrica	Illation s is de I work:	under eemec s in t	r electrica d part of the total	I works of wires and amount of
Renovation of Multi- purpose hall	2.000 0.439	In view of the absence of approved plans and specifications, the project cannol be assessed as to compliance with plans and specifications. Thus, the team computed the value of accomplishments as validated and inspected. The team also noted that the project as constructed was not in accordance with the contractor's bid estimate. The items found deficient are evaluated as follow:						s, the team I. The team ce with the	
Contractor:		Description			Per Bid			Per Inspe	
SPNR Builders		•		Sets	Dimensio		Sets		nsion (m)
Brgy. Kristong Hari		Open Room (m ²) 1 st & 2 ⁿ	a floor			o data			5.30 x 6.00
brgy. Kitstong Hall		Veranda (2 nd floor) C.R.		$\left - \right $		o data			2.10 x 1.20
		Steel Casement Window		4		o data x 1.50	3		.10 x 1.70
		Steel Casement Window		4		x 1.50 x 1.50	3 1		.80 x 1.20
		The deficiencies rest below:		in cost			439,0		

	ount Pesos) Diff.	Remarks								
				r	Quar	ntity	T	Со	ost (In Millior	is)
		Items of Work	Unit	PC	W	COA	PC		COA	Diff.
		Concrete & Masonry	m ³		24.17	21.44	1 ₽	0.302	₽ 0.268	₽ 0.034
- 0		Works (fc 3000psi) Slab on Fill	m ³		5.60	4.92)	0.070	0.061	0.009
THE DEPARTMENT OF ADDITIONAL FLOOR OF		Reinforcing Bars	kg	6,1	32.06	4,000.80		0.955	0.623	0.332
Ath FDCEL R LAGMAN -L		Fabricated Materials Iot 1.00 0.0117 0.053 0.064 Total ₽ 0.439								
		As revealed duri neighborhood as: being used as a storage of sofa-m	sociati carpe	ion a entry	nd th work	e baran shop a	gay co	ouncil	The grou	nd floor is
Construction of extension office and additional comfort room of the barangay hall Contractor:	0.238	installed instead of wall with steel grill	The project was not constructed as planned. Sliding glass windows were installed instead of steel casement, one concrete wall was replaced with open wall with steel grills, and columns were reinforced to fully support the second floor. In addition, the following deviations on areas accomplished were noted:						l with open the second	
JYBL Construction & Trading		Item of Work U	Jnit S	iets		Plan		Sets	Inspe	ction
Brgy. Paligsahan		G/F Area	m ²		11.3	0 x 3.50 =	= 39.55		10.90 x 3	.30 = 35.97
Digy. I digsarian		2/F Deck Area		_	11.3	0 x 3.50 =				.30 = 35.97
hereinerten der die berrich die für alle		Posts steel Casement	iets	8			0.30 m 1.50 m	8		35 x 0.35 m 40 x 1.50 m
		These changes computed below:	resulte	d in			amou	5		
		Items of Work	Ur	nit –	POW	antity COA	PC		ost (in Millio COA	n) Diff.
		Concrete & Masonry	1.5	S.	1.00	1.00	-	1.094	₽0.889	₽0.205
		Fabricated Materials			10.00		_	0.072	0.044	0.027
		Water Proofing	m Total	2	51.50	46.00	(0.054	0.048	0.006 ₽0.238
		The evaluation wa estimate prepared				approve	ed plar	ns, P(OW and d€	etailed cost
Renovation/improvement of barangay hall 2.000 Contractor: 2H2L Construction 2.000	0.703	The project as constructed may be considered excessive by P702,991.68 due to inclusion of some items which were not included in the plans an specifications, and deficiencies in the construction of ramps and steel gates, a tabulated below:						plans and		
Brgy. Teachers' Village East		Items of Work	Unit		Quant				Cost (In M)	
				PO		COA	POW	Ι	COA	Diff.
		Repair/Rehabilitation	m of Ba m ³		ay Hal	0.00	₽0	.010	₽0.00	₽0.010
		Concrete &	l.s.		.00	0.00		.319	0.00	
LICCL LIGHT 28		Masonry Works Construction of Ra							0.00	0.319
		Construction of Rai								0.045
		Masonry Works	l.s.		.00	1.00		.157	0.114	0.043
		Tile works	l.s.	1	.00 Total	1.00	0	.434	0.104	0.330
					TOTAL					₽0.703
		There were no prop	osed	altera	ations	on the e	xisting	buildi	ng.	

Project Amount Description/Location/ Contractor Cost Diff.	Remarks						
Construction of roofing of half-covered court 0.13	7 The project as construct with the following devia		as not in a	ccordan	ce with p	lans and sp	ecifications
Contractor: 2H2L Contractor	Items of	Work		Pla	n l	nspection	Diff.
	Height of concrete column		ed court) (i		data	1.00	Dini.
Brgy. Immaculate Conception	Declogging of RCCP (In.r		cu courty (i		7.00	84.00	33.00
55	Concrete Curb & Gutter(I				5.00	23.20	21.80
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²)			5	4.00	32.48	21.52
				5		L = 23.20m	21.52
				5			21.52
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu	ted be	low: Quan	ent may		L = 23.20m W = 1.40m	cessive by
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²)		low:	ent may		L = 23.20m W = 1.40m asidered exe	cessive by
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered	ted be Unit court	OW: Quan POW	tity COA	y be cor POW	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m Isidered exe Cost (In M) COA	cessive by Diff.
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works	Unit court m ³	low: Quan POW 10.75	tity COA 8.55	y be cor POW ₽0.18	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m sidered exe Cost (In M) COA	Diff.
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars	ted be Unit court	OW: Quan POW	tity COA	y be cor POW	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m sidered exe Cost (In M) COA	Diff. <u> P0.038</u> 0.048
	Concrete Sidewalk (m²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total	Unit court m ³	low: Quan POW 10.75	tity COA 8.55	y be cor POW ₽0.18	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m sidered exe Cost (In M) COA	Diff.
	Concrete Sidewalk (m²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement	Unit Court m ³ kgs.	OW: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00	y be cor POW ₽0.18 0.17	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m Isidered exe Cost (In M) COA 6 P 0.148 3 0.125	Diff. <u> <u> </u> </u>
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure	ted be Unit m ³ kgs. m ³	OW: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23	y be cor POW ₽0.18 0.17 0.02	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m sidered exe Cost (In M) COA 6 = 0.148 3 0.125	Diff. ₽0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure Declogging of RCCP	ted be Unit court m ³ kgs. m ³ ln.m.	low: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50 117.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23 84.00	y be cor POW PO.18 0.17 0.02 0.02 0.06	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m Isidered exe Cost (In M) COA 6 P 0.148 3 0.125 0 0.019 1 0.044	Diff. P0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001 0.017
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure Declogging of RCCP Concrete Curb & Gutter	ted be Unit court m ³ kgs. m ³ ln.m. ln.m.	low: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50 117.00 45.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23 84.00 23.20	y be cor POW POW 0.17 0.02 0.06 0.04	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m isidered exc Cost (in M) COA 6 #0.148 3 0.125 0 0.019 1 0.044 1 0.021	Diff. P0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001 0.017 0.020
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure Declogging of RCCP Concrete Curb & Gutter Concrete Sidewalk	ted be Unit court m ³ kgs. m ³ ln.m.	low: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50 117.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23 84.00	y be cor POW PO.18 0.17 0.02 0.02 0.06	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m isidered exc Cost (in M) COA 6 #0.148 3 0.125 0 0.019 1 0.044 1 0.021	Diff. P0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001 0.017 0.020 0.012
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure Declogging of RCCP Concrete Curb & Gutter Concrete Sidewalk Sub-total	ted be Unit court m ³ kgs. In.m. In.m. m ²	low: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50 117.00 45.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23 84.00 23.20	y be cor POW ₽0.18 0.02 0.06 0.04 0.03	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m Sidered exection Cost (In M) COA 6 + 0.148 3 0.125 0 0.019 1 0.044 1 0.021 1 0.019	Diff. ₽0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001 0.017 0.020 0.012 0.051
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure Declogging of RCCP Concrete Curb & Gutter Concrete Sidewalk Sub-total	ted be Unit court m ³ kgs. m ³ ln.m. ln.m.	low: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50 117.00 45.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23 84.00 23.20	y be cor POW POW 0.17 0.02 0.06 0.04	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m Sidered exection Cost (In M) COA 6 + 0.148 3 0.125 0 0.019 1 0.044 1 0.021 1 0.019	Diff. P0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001 0.017 0.020 0.012
	Concrete Sidewalk (m ²) In view of such devia P137,179.50 as compu- Items of Work Roofing of Half-covered Concrete Works Reinf. Steel Bars Sub-total Drainage Improvement Excavation for Structure Declogging of RCCP Concrete Curb & Gutter Concrete Sidewalk Sub-total	ted be Unit court m ³ kgs. In.m. In.m. m ²	low: Quan POW 10.75 1,147.00 31.50 117.00 45.00	tity COA 8.55 829.00 29.23 84.00 23.20	y be cor POW ₽0.18 0.02 0.06 0.04 0.03	L = 23.20m W = 1.40m Sidered exection Cost (In M) COA 6 + 0.148 3 0.125 0 0.019 1 0.044 1 0.021 1 0.019	Diff. ₽0.038 0.048 0.086 0.001 0.017 0.020 0.012 0.051

Annex G

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Projects with Deficiencies Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided by DPWH-NCR	
• The accomplishments had been diminished by wear and tear, not to mention the torrential rains as the asphalt patching projects subject of the audit were implemented during the period 2007-2009 and inspected by the audit team.	The team no longer questioned the condition of the asphalted road implemented by DPWH-NCR at the time of inspection. The reported deficiencies focused only on unaccounted and excessive programming of RPS and guardrails, among others.
 The team failed to appreciate actual accomplishments because there was no one to pinpoint or identify the actual accomplishments and portions of the roads that vary in width and thickness. These were appropriately reflected in the As Built Plan and SWA Accomplished and payments were based on the actual accomplishments. 	The COA team inspected the project in the presence of the responsible Project Engineers precisely for the purpose of identifying the exact limits / boundaries of the projects. The varying widths of the inspected roads were also considered in the computations.
 Broad-based flat pavement studs were installed from P1 to P6 in compliance with the DPWH DO No. 36 series of 2009 and these were installed on the edge lines only. 	As inspected by the team, the installed items are RPS-22 and not broad-based flat pavement studs. This is also the one reflected in the as-built plans provided to the team. As defined under p.167 of the DPWH Highway Safety Design Standards (Part 2: Road Signs and Pavement Markings Manual February 2004), Type RPS-22 is a raised pavement studs with dimension of 100 x 100 x 20 mm.
 The interval of 3.0 to 4.0 meters was used to ensure visibility especially during night time and warn motorists from dangerously driving close to the edge of the pavement. The 9.0 meters interval prescribed under DPWH Standards is applicable to center line with a speed exceeding 60 kilometers per hour (kph). In Metro Manila, 9.0 meters interval is inapplicable because vehicle speed is generally limited only at 60 kph. Considering that the 9.0 meters interval is inapplicable, there could have been no overestimation of the pavement studs. Moreover, what were installed were RPS and not raised pavement studs. 	Under DPWH Manual on Safety Standards on Road Sign and Pavement Marking, markers supplementing center or lane lines may be placed in the gaps midway between the line segments at a spacing of 9.0m where fog or heavy rains occur in the built-up areas. It is very clear that the spacing of 9.0m is not only applicable on center lines but in all lane lines which include edges. Moreover, if the 9.0m spacing is designed for vehicle speed exceeding 60 kilometers per hour where fog and heavy rains occur, the spacing within Metro Manila where vehicle speed is limited to 60 kilometers per hour should be more than 9.0m and definitely not less. It is informed that this item merely supplement the lane markings installed which is also part of the contract. Considering that these roads were narrow, mostly two lanes, the lane markings may even be considered sufficient. Besides, there was no basis for installing at an interval of 3.0m to 4.0m as there was no regulation to this effect.
• For some projects, the extrication and reinstallation of studs were not included in the scope of works because they involved installation of new studs. It was only in the projects where studs were already installed on the roads that the contractors were required to extricate and reinstall them.	The team specifically questioned the asphalt pavement project along Araneta Avenue South-bound which includes RPS. Upon inspection by the team, the road was already rehabilitated and there were no RPS reinstalled.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
The reinstalled studs were stolen. Theft of installed road materials of value has always been the perennial problem of the Department. The area inspected by the team is inhabited by informal settlers who are notorious of petty crimes such as theft.	This being the case, the installation of RPS in those areas is a waste of government resources and an exercise in futility. These concerns should have been taken into account in the planning and design.
• All signs included in the contracts were duly installed. Some later disappeared due to theft and vandalism which were reported to Valenzuela City Police Station and Quezon City Police District, Police Station 8.	The DPWH should then consider designing signages that could not easily be stolen or installing materials that could not be easily dislodged to minimize, if not totally eliminate, waste of government resources.
 No portion of the guardrails programmed for Phases III and IV is unaccounted or missing. Per memorandum report of Engr. Reynaldo V. Rosario, Project Engineer III, all guardrails for Phase III and for Phase IV with a total length of 112.2 meters were fully accounted for. 	These guardrails were twice inspected by the team. However, despite repeated inspection, together with the DPWH representative, the location of the missing guardrails could not be pinpointed. There were no detailed plan or location maps provided to the team during inspection and attached to this comment to support the claim that all items were installed. The team, together with the DPWH Representative, was able to account only guardrails accomplished under Phase III with guardrails reported accomplished under Phase IV of 112.20m remaining unaccounted.
All RPS were installed in time with the completion of the projects. The reported missing RPS can only be attributed to vandalism.	The team considered in the computation only installed RPS found at the project site during inspection conducted in the presence of DPWH Representative. It is unfortunate, however, that within two to three years, millions of pesos are lost from theft. This may have been the rationale for the prohibition to install RPS on asphalt paved roads as these can easily be stolen and dislodged. The DPWH-NCR then should consider instead applying lane markings sufficient to meet the requirement without the need to reinforce with RPS.
Response provided by SMMDEO	
Measurement varies as to width and thickness and it is reflected on As-Built Plan or SWA. Payments were based on actual accomplishment.	The submitted as-built plan did not reflect the varying width and thickness of asphalt overlay and asphalt patching within a particular area. The team's computation was based on actual measurement during inspection as directed by the accompanying DPWH project engineers and guided by the as-built plan.
Response provided by Tarlac 1 st DEO	
The installation of the electrical and plumbing works were held in abeyance while awaiting the bidding for the next phase of the project because the structure then is open and small items like switches, outlets, floor drains, hose bibs and the like might get lost or break and that the plumbing and electrical fixtures such as water closets, lavatories, urinals, switches, outlets etc. cannot as yet be installed because other finishing materials such as floor tiles, wall tiles, plasters and paints are not yet installed as these are not included in the Phase I plans but in the next phase of the project. Uninstalled items were under the care of the contractor and for said contractor.	The plumbing and electrical works which amounted to P468,872.04 should not have been included in Phase I if these cannot yet be installed. Moreover, even if the same were initially included, for one reason or the other, these should not have been paid to the contractor since these were not installed on the first place. At the least, the TFDEO could have revised the contract cost deleting such items. In this case, however, the project was considered completed in accordance with plans and specifications as of February 26, 2009 when electrical and plumbing materials amounting to P468,872.04 remained uninstalled as of inspection date on November 10, 2010. The alleged completion of the project and installation of the electrical and plumbing fixtures can no longer be validated by the team and there were no proof submitted to manifest completion of the project. The team cannot also assess

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder						
Phase II of the project was done by administration of the Tarlac Provincial Government and coordination between the Province and the Phase I contractor was made. All the items mentioned in this COA report had been installed. The Library is now complete.	at this point if these items were installed at no cost to government.						
Response provided by DPWH-RO V							
Asphalt Overlay of Andaya Highways							
 Item 303 - Bituminous Seal Coat was included for the sealing of the joints of existing concrete pavement. (Annex I) 	As discussed in the report, Item 303 is described in the DPWH bluebook as application of bituminous material with or without an application of aggregate in an existing bituminous surface course in accordance with the plans and specifications. This is therefore not intended for sealing of joints. Besides, during inspection, the team noted breaks between PCCP blocks, and cross section and potholes at centerlines casting doubt on the application of this item. The pictures attached as Annex I is not reflective of the road condition at the time of inspection.						
Response provided by Albay 1 st DEO							
Asphalt Overlay Washington Drive and Lapu- Lapu St., Elizondo St., Legazpi City	The inspection of these projects were conducted together with the District's Representative which disclosed the following:						
Actual measurements of these projects was done by our Office on August 13, 2012. Washington Drive	Location Dimension (in M) Area(m ²)						
has a total length of 7,626.59 sq.m. while as per POW, the total area was only 7,620 sq.m. Lapu- Lapu St., Elizondo St. has a total length of 7,651.85 sq.m. while as per POW, total area was 7,650. Hence, no disrepancy was committed.	Decention POW COA POW COA Diff. Washington L 635 575 7,620 5,965.63 1,654.37 Drive W 12 10.375 5 1,654.37 Lapu-Lapu L 510 510 7,650 5,167.83 2,482.17 St. W 15 10.133 - - - -						
Response provided by the City Government	of Las Pinas						
Construction of 2-storey livelihood center / P							
 Some items were deleted to give way for additional materials for reinforcement of the structure. The solar light is undergoing some electrical rewiring / repair during the time of inspection. Rest assured it will be reinstalled. Defective fixtures will be replaced upon completion of the repair works. 	Any revision or change in the plans and programs should be covered by duly approved change orders and revised program of works. As discussed in the report, the programmed concrete works was already excessive. There were also no documents presented						
Improvement of road leading to S. Marquez /	Manuyo II (implemented 2009)						
• Construction of guard house was implemented as planned. Unfortunately, homeowners association did not consider the structure. Instead, they construct their own guardhouse with two barrier to identify the coming in and going out of passing vehicles.	There were no documents presented to the team to prove the construction of the barrier. The guardhouse was found beside the newly constructed guardhouse not being used. This manifests that the project constructed is not acceptable to end user.						

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Supply and installation of traffic signages/va	rious locations (implemented 2009)
 The DPWH requirements prescribed under Highways Safety Design Standard were intended for national highways. The subject areas are only secondary roads. 	The Manual for Road Signs and Pavement Markings prepared and prescribed by the DPWH is also designed for compliance by the LGUs. Moreover, there were no separate issuances prescribing specifications for secondary roads. The use of Diamond Grade is proposed by the DPWH as this is of better quality and reflectorization than the engineer grade.
 Proper maintenance of the signages will be implemented to maintain its visibility to the commuters. Defective signages will also be taken into consideration. 	The team appreciates the concern of the City Government to address this problem.
 Sixty-four signages were confirmed and verified installed in the specific locations as per inspection of the engineer assigned in the project. The 12 unlocated signages were suspected stolen. 	Stolen road signages should be reported to proper authorities to document the incident. Besides, during inspection, this issue was not raised by the City representatives.
Response provided by Taguig City	
Concreting of Levi Mariano Avenue	
Signal Multi-Purpose Building	
• The audit team reported that some projects funded from PDAF by the City Government were similarly covered and reported as projects and accomplishments of the DPWH FMMED. It then recognized and credited in favor of the City Government only the portions or parts of the completed projects which were not included or covered by FMMED's scope of work. This translated into cost reduction of #33.817 million.	In view of the certification issued by the FMMED that the projects covered by their contracts were practically replaced by the City Government, the team considered the reported accomplishments of the City Government as pinpointed and presented by the City Engineer. However, the presented projects were not in accordance with plans and specifications, hence, the reported deficiencies. The items being questioned by the team cannot be presented by the City Project Engineer.
 Changes in the specifications of certain items such as the viewing glass, windows and bleachers flooring were appropriately documented. Additional works were likewise implemented within the project cost limit. Hence, the equivalent value of the computed deficiencies was actually utilized for other additional work changes. Documentation of these changes should be available for verification at the City Auditor's Office. 	The alleged changes were not reflected in the documents submitted to the Office of the Auditor and to the team. The payments were not supported with any change or variation order. In fact, the SWA manifesting 91.10 percent completion reflected all items indicated in the original project plan. This signifies that there were no changes as the original scope of work were reported accomplished.
 The City Government affirms the accomplishments reported in the SWA for the concreting of Tinio and Ledesma Streets and takes exception to the result of the evaluation and reserves its right to submit further justifications after the details and reasons for the computed differences are provided. 	This project was also not covered with detailed plans and specifications. Even the length and width of the road to be constructed and designs of PCCP and manhole were not indicated in the documents forwarded to the team. The evaluation was then based on the inspection conducted by the team in the presence of Engr. Jericho Flores and Engr. Jeoffrey Cariaga, representatives of the City Government of Taguig. The computation of deficiencies was presented in the draft report forwarded to the concerned City Officials for comment.
• On the construction/concreting of Levi Mariano	The team considered all accomplishments as pinpointed by the representatives of the City Government of Taguig. However, the

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Avenue, documents obtained from the FMMED (attached as Annex "A") show that the City Government constructed PCCP for a total of 18,010.665 sq.m. or 1,715.3 LM from sta. 0+210 to sta. 1+760, and sta. 1+594.70 to sta. 1+760.	total inspected PCCP was only 16,212 m ² or short by 2.289m ² as the reported accomplishment is 18,501 m ² . Granting that the City Government constructed PCCP of 18,010.665 m ² , this is still short of the reported accomplishment of 18,501 m ² . The team also noted that the projects have no markings when this was included in both projects in the total amount of P877,000 .
Response provided by Barangay 310, Zone 3	1, District III, Manila
 The lumber was really needed in the construction especially in the formation of corner posts of the building and other miscellaneous needs of the workers in moving the materials up to the second floor and for cabinets, dividers and the ceiling of the building. Although materials were canvassed and quotations opened on February 23, 2009, the payroll reflected the laborers working as early as February 16, 2009 because clearing activities for the base and foundation of the building should be prepared earlier to cope up with the target date of completion, thus, the laborers started working as early as February 16, 2009. 	The team considered all accomplishments including dividers, ceiling and cabinets, still there are excess materials computed. There is also a separate provision for form lumber.
Response provided by Barangay Sacred Hea	rt, District IV, Quezon City
• Barangay Council has no technical capability in determining, evaluating methodological procedure so we seek assistance from the DPWH-NCR for the preparation of Plans, Programs of Work and Detailed Estimates for the proposed Improvement/Rehab of Drainage System.	In such case, it should refrain from accepting funds for the implementation of infrastructure projects.
 Barangay received letter from C.B. Tampengco Construction & Supply requesting payment for the revised changes for Item 504-1, de-clogging of 610 mm. dia RCCP, with additional cost of ₽84,544.56. The Barangay did not pay the said additional work as this was already included in the program of DPWH-NCR and was already part of the agreed contract. 	The inclusion of declogging activities for a project calling for a replacement of RCCP is already questionable. There is no need to declog the RCCP as this is for replacement under the project.
Response provided by Barangay Santol, Dis	trict IV, Quezon City
• We requested the City Engineer of Quezon City to prepare the plan, work program and cost estimates of the proposed construction for the improvement of the drainage system.	The team is not questioning the plan and the POW. The noted deficiencies resulted from non-compliance with the desired dimensions prescribed in the POW.
• It is the authority of the Engineers of the City Government to check and inspect the progress and status of the project. Barangay Officials	Considering that the fund was transferred to and duly accepted by the Barangay, the responsibility to ensure that the project is constructed in accordance with plans and specifications is shifted

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
have no technical capabilities to determine the correctness of the works done by the contractor.	to the barangay officials. There were also no documents presented to the effect that the Barangay Officials requested assistance from the City Government to validate the accomplishment of the contractor. In the first place, the Barangay should not have accepted funds transferred for the implementation of projects which are not within its technical capability to implement.

Annex H

Schedule of Projects Constructed on Private Properties Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M P)	Remarks
Implemented by SMMDEO		
Construction of Multi-Purpose Buildings San Antonio Valley 14, Brgy. San Isidro, Paranaque City 	4.291	
 San Antonio Valley 12, Brgy. San Isidro, Paranaque City 	1.428	
 San Antonio Valley 15, Brgy. San Isidro, Paranaque City 	1.428	
 Aratiles, Brgy. BF Homes, Pque 	3.815	
 Cul de Sac, Brgy. Sun Valley, Paranaque City 	3.816	
 Clinic Site, Brgy. BF Homes, Paranaque 	3.816	
 Villanueva Village, Brgy. San Dionisio, Paranaque City (Phases 1 & 2) 	4.766	
 Lim Compound, Brgy. San Dionisio, Paranaque City 	4.291	

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M ₽)	Remarks
 Reyes Compound, Brgy. San Antonio, Paranaque City (Phases 1 & 2), 	3.815	
 United Paranaque Subdivision 5, Area 3, Brgy. San Isidro, Paranaque City 	1.430	ATER 3 MULT PURPOSE HALL
 Landscape, Brgy. Marcelo Green, Paranaque City 	3.816	
 Brgy. Sto. Niño, Paranaque City (Phases 1 & 2) 	3.811	
 Camella Homes, Brgy. San Antonio, Paranaque City 	3.817	
 Riverside, Brgy. Sun Valley, Paranaque City 	1.906	
 Parkview, Brgy. Sun Valley, Paranaque City 	3.817	
 Countryside, Brgy. Sun Valley, Paranaque City 	3.815	

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M ₽)	Remarks
 Sampaguita Hills, Brgy. Marcelo Green, Paranaque City 	3.837	
 Armela, Brgy. Marcelo Green, Paranaque City 	3.815	
 Classic Homes, Brgy. BF Homes, Paranaque City 	3.815	
 Seacom, Brgy. San Antonio, Paranaque City 	3.815	
 Goodwill, Brgy. BF Homes, Paranaque City 	3.816	
 Target Site, Brgy. BF Homes, Pque 	1.907	
 Levitown, Brgy. Don Bosco, Paranaque City 	3.817	
Camachile, Brgy. Sun Valley, Paranaque City	3.814	
 Garcia Heights, Brgy. San Antonio, Paranaque City 	3.816	

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M P)	Remarks
 Jackielou Ville, Brgy. BF Homes, Paranaque City 	3.814	
 Ipil Site, Brgy. BF Homes, Paranaque City 	3.816	
 Sampaloc II-B, Brgy. BF Homes, Paranaque City 	1.907	
 United Paranaque Subdivision 5, Area 1 (Phase 3), Brgy. San Isidro, Paranaque City 	0.666	EDZAHALL Thru the effort of: Area I UPS-5 Homeowner Associated in
 United Paranaque Subdivision 5, Area 7, Brgy. San Isidro, Paranaque City 	1.429	A RANKER OF THE REAL PROPERTY
 San Antonio Valley 8, Brgy. San Antonio, Paranaque City (Phases 1 & 2) 	4.407	
Implemented by First Metro Manila Engi		(FMMED)
Repair/Rehab. of MPBs/ Roads Cinco Hermanos (Phases I-V), Industrial Valley Complex, Marikina City 	6.500	

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M ₽)	Remarks
 Hacienda Hts., Brgy. Concepcion Dos, Marikina City 	2.500	
 Rancho Estate Phase III, Concepcion Dos, Marikina City (Rancho Estate II in the report of FMMED), Marikina City 	4.428	
 Aguinaldo St., Industrial Valley Complex, Marikina City 	3.000	
 Parkland Subd. II, Brgy. Malanday, Marikina City 	3.000	
 St. Benedict, Brgy. Nangka, Marikina City 	5.200	
 Rodeo St., Rancho II, Concepcion Dos, Marikina City 	3.000	
 Monte Subdivision, Brgy. Industrial Valley Complex, Marikina City 	4.500	
Implemented by TMMDEO		
Sta. Lucia, Phase 6, Brgy. Punturin, Valenzuela City	3.000	

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M P)	Remarks			
Implemented by the City Government of	Las Piñas				
Supply of Materials for the Fabrication of Steel Gate / Along Ligaya Pascual St. BF Resort Village (BFRV), Talon II Image: Image of the fabrication of the fabric	0.050	The steel gate was not constructed as planned. The desired length of the main gate which is 9.50 meters was not attained. As inspected, the length is only 7.20 meters. As planned, each panel of steel matting shall be framed with flat bar and angle bar. As inspected, only one panel was framed with flat bar. For the other, the steel matting was directly welded to the G.I. Pipe Rod. These resulted in cost difference of P5,118.00, as computed below: 1100000000000000000000000000000000000			
Supply of Materials for the Construction of Multi Purpose Pavements at BF Resort Village BFRV, Talon II	0.440	The project was not implemented as planned. Of th programmed thickness of 5 inches, only 3.5 inches was constructed resulting in deficiencies amounting to ₽187,77 computed as follows: Items of Work Unit Quantity Cost (in M) Work Unit POW COA Prog. COA Diff Portland bags 1,016.00 371.00 0.197 0.072 0.12 White Sand cu.m. 63.00 21.00 0.042 0.014 0.02 Total Amount 0.187 0.037 0.037			
Const. of MPB (Gazeebo 2)	0.200	The project may not be considered a priority project. This was constructed in the recreational area or park of a private subdivision. The City Government of Las Pinas did not categorically answer the Team's inquiry on the status of turn over of this property to the City Government. Instead, the City Government claimed that the area is useful for recreational activities especially of the elderly citizen.			
Implemented by Various Barangays of C	Quezon City				
Improvement / Rehabilitation of drainage systems		The project as constructed was not in accordance with plans and specifications with the following deviations:			
South J Street, Brgy. Sacred Heart	2.000	Items of Work Plan Inspection Diff. Removal of Concrete 166.5 [41.0 m. 2.5 m. 102.5 64.0 Driveway 159.0 138.0 Concrete Curb & Gutter 297.0 159.0 138.0 Concrete Sidewalk 101.0 1.18 [19.4 59.0 1.3 76.7 42.7 Concrete Driveway 166.5 41.0 2.5 [102.5 64.0 L L W H A A A A The declogging of 610mm diameter Reinforced Concrete Cement Pipe (RCCP) was also not considered as there was A			

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M P)			Rem	narks			
		no need for the replaced and th These deficienc million, compute	iere a ies re	are no esulted i	other F	RCCP w	vithin th	ie area.
		Items of Work	Unit	Quar			st (In Millio	
		Removal of		POW	COA	POW	COA	Diff.
		Concrete Driveway	m ²	166.50	102.50	P 0.038	P0.024	P0.015
		610mm dia. RCCP Declogging of	In.m. lot	94.00 1,489.00	94.00 0.00	0.233	0.233	0.000
		610mm dia. RCCP Concrete Curb &						
		Gutter	lm m2	297.00		0.236	0.126	0.110
		Concrete Sidewalk Concrete Driveway	m ² m ²	119.40 166.50	76.70 102.50	0.069	0.044	0.025
and a second			Total			₽1.512	₽0.525	₽0.988
	Horseshoe	prescribed in the program length of meters were con- meters. There were deviations result computed as followed	reflect instrui as als ted ir	ted in th cted or so no ret	e detail a diffe aining v differen	ed estir rence i wall con ce of [nates, c n lengti structec 20.477	only 216 h of 24 J. These million ,
		Item of Work	,	Unit		ntity		rence Amt.
				Unit	POW	COA	Qty.	(In M)
		610mm dia RCCP (24 CIM for 610mm dia R		Im	244.00 17.00	241.00 13.00	3.00 4.00	P 0.007 0.070
		Concrete Curb & Gutt		each Im	243.00	216.00	27.00	0.070
		Concrete Sidewalk Removal of Conc. Dri	NOMON	m ² m ²	291.60 150.00	260.00 54.30	31.60 95.70	0.018
		Concrete Driveway		m ²	150.00	54.30	95.70	
		Embankment (select. Column Post (Retaining) m ³ lot	121.50 1.00	108.86 0.00	12.64	0.013
		Wall) & Restoration of		101	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.234
		Concrete Pavement Tota	al					₽ 0.477
Rosal Street and basketball court, Brgy. Old Capitol Site	2.000	The improvement the amount of f inspected is 4.7 3.0 meters widt removal of existi houses built with work was not of Considering the quantities may difference of P23	2300,0 mete h. Th ng sic nin the consic e pav be co	000 was rs. How he proje lewalk/di e sidewa dered by vement onsideree	deficie ever, th cted so riveway ilk/drive dimens d exce	ent. The ne proje cope of . There way. Th ream as rion, the ssive, h	e road w ct cover work i were, h nus, this s imple e prog ience, t	vidth as red only included iowever, is item of mented. rammed
	Items of Work Removal of existing Sidewalk/ driveways Excavation for struct Aggregate base cour	ures se Total proj	m ² 54 m ³ 40 11		A POW 0 0.008 0 0.035 0 0.01 0.05 Consid	3 0.000 5 0.022 1 0.009 3 0.031 ered g	Diff. 0.008 0.013 0.002 0.023 enerally	
		completed though basketball court						

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M P)	Remarks					
		fluorescent lamps were busted. properly maintained.	These projects	were also not			
Brixton Hill St., Brgy. Santol	2.00	The project as constructed did not attain the desired dimensions prescribed in the POW as illustrated below:					
		Description (Im) POW 610mm dia. RCCP 208 Concrete Curb & Gutter 208	194 (208 m - 14	ection I m CIM) 3.30 m driveway)			
		The deficiencies resulted in cos tabulated below:	t difference of	₽72,225.21 as			
1		Items of Work Unit Quar POW Aggregate Base Course m³ 52.00 610mm dia. RCCP In.m 208.00		Million Pesos) COA Diff. 0.040 0.010 0.326 0.023			
		Concrete Curb & Gutter 208.00 Total	175.00 0.246	0.207 0.039 0.072			
N. Ramirez St. at Brgy. Don Manuel	1.649	The exact location and scope of works undertaken along the road cannot be established due to the absence of detailed project plan. Inspection of the project site disclosed sidewalk w/ curb, gutters, and manholes which are in good condition.					
T.Pinpin St. (from Sanciangco to Batanes St.) and Bagong Buhay St. (from T. Pinpin to Mindanao Avenue), Brgy. Sto. Niño	1.914	The project could not be evalua absence of detailed plans a proposals. Inspection of the proj sidewalk and manhole in areas this project.	and specificati	ons and bid ed constructed			
Inst. of gates & desilting of drainage system, Brgy. Teachers' Village West	2.000	The construction of steel fences the POW as presented below:	s was not in ac	cordance with			
		Description Column Height Gate Height Gate Width, side gates Gate Width, main gates This resulted in cost difference below:	POW 2.40 m 1.90 m 2.50 m Varying of ₽267,416.1	Inspection 1.90 m 1.60 m Varying Varying 9 as tabulated			
		Items of Work (Lot) Concrete Works/form works/rebars	POW C	(In M) COA Diff. 0.171 ₽0.010			
		Steel Fence Total	1.034 ₽ 1.215 ₽	0.777 0.257 0.948 ₽0.267			

Project Description/Location	Project Cost (in M P)	Remarks						
Construction of Multi-purpose Complex, Brgy. Blue Ridge A	4.000	The project, undertaken in 2009, remained unusable as this covered only column posts and steel frames. Moreover, as inspected, the work accomplished is less than the reported accomplishment resulting in cost difference of around P0.789 million, as tabulated below:						
		Items of Work	Unit	Qua			Cost (In M)	
		Consumables	lot	POW 1.00	COA 0.00	POW P 0.030	COA P 0.00	Diff. P 0.030
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		Roof Beam	m ²	13.90	5.41	0.083	0.033	0.050
		Reinforcing Steel Bars	kgs.	15.80	10.50	1.264	0.840	0.424
		Steel Trusses	kgs.	21.00	18.00	1.992	1.707	0.285
		Total						₽ 0.789
Repairs of clubhouse at Mapayapa Village III, Brgy. Pasong Tamo	0.999	The fund was		for the p			e followii	ng:
			ms		Qty.		Amour	
		Computer set Sports Equip			3			0,000 7.020
		Billiard table	neni		1			5,000
and a state of the same		Construction		als				7,250
		All these eq amounting t barangay, w Village III, materials we clubhouse.	o [`] ₽2/ vere f a pr	00,000 ound at ivate s	which a the clubdivisi	ire beir ubhous ion. Th	ng used e of Ma e cons	ter sets by the apayapa truction
Asphalt overlay of the 11th St. (Broadway - Gilmore Avenue) Brgy. Mariana	2.000							
mplemented by DPWH-RO XI								
Concreting of road, Alpha Homes, Brgy. Matina Aplaya, Davao City	2.834	With minor s concrete road the RPG road joints of the p	l, two d, and	transvei peeled	se cracl off aspl	ks in va	rious loca	ations at
						NA HOMES	MATIN	
						ALI	g nd.	site

Annex I

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Excessive Cost Due to Erroneous Rate Application Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder				
On erroneous rate application of Item 302					
esponse provided by DPWH-NCR					
The prevailing rate application of Item 302 (Bituminous Tack Coat) for roads in the Metro Manila is within the range of 0.75 to 1.5 liters / square meter (sq. m.). The rate prescribed under Volume II of the Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways of 0.20 to 0.70/sq. m. had already been superseded by later issuances of the DPWH. The Engineering Districts were guided by the approved Updated Direct Unit Cost for Different Pay Items of Work for National Roads and Bridges. This updated costing incorporates a Detailed Unit Price Analysis (DUPA) for Item 310-1: Squaring Patching of Asphalt Pavement. Under the approved DUPA, the application rate of Item 302 is within the range of 0.75 to 1.5 liters / sq. m.	The DPWH-NCR did not specify and provide the team with the latest issuances superseding the Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways. Hence, this comment cannot be considered. The Updated Direct Unit Cost being used as guide was therefore not in accordance with the standards. This updated costing cannot supersede the Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways.				
The rate prescribed by the Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways had to be revised because of the heavy volume of motor vehicles that pass through the roads being asphalted. The MMDA and LGUs in Metro Manila prohibited the closing of to motorists during asphalt overlay. The tacking had to be repeated to replenish those removed or run over by the motor vehicles.	Unless the Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways is revised, it remains in effect and should therefore be observed. It is suggested then for the DPWH- NCR to make representation with DPWH-CO to revisit the existing standards, if indeed the same is no longer applicable. It is also informed that the TMMDEO applied the standard of within 0.20 to 0.70/sq. m. in all its estimates when its projects are also located within Metro Manila.				
Allowance for waste and/or loss shall always be considered. Thus, it is certainly well within this policy if the range of 0.20 to 0.70 /sq. m. is increased in the preparation of quantity estimates.					
esponse provided by SMMDEO					
The standard costs prescribed under DO No. 40, Series of 2009 are utilized by the Regional and District programmer in the preparation of agency estimates and program of works. Price of asphalt materials from three suppliers namely SOCOR CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, READYCON TRADING AND CONSTRUCTION, READYCON TRADING AND 310 CONSTRUCTION SPECIALIST CORPORATION for 2008 and 2009 were the reference in the preparation of Agency Estimates for Project to be undertaken thru Administration. Due to other scope of works, our projects are implemented thru Contract, which were subject to another computation.	The preparation of agency estimates, irrespective of the mode of project implementation, should be guided by the same issuances and regulations of the DPWH and other Regulatory Bodies/Offices and not merely by the price quotations of any of the suppliers being cited by SMMDEO. It is presumed that the DPWH already considered such price quotations and all other related price data before issuing any standard or regulation. Moreover, if indeed the existing standards are no longer applicable to NCR, then the SMMDEO should make representations with the DPWH-CO to revisit the standards and to take appropriate actions based on the results of				

Managements' Comments	Team's R	lejoinder	
The 0.20 to 0.70 liter per sq. meters is found in DPWH Standard Specification issued in 2004. In 2007-2009 and to date, for NCR, we need to revise the system of application. Thus, we utilized two or more applications of Bituminous Tact Coat/Prime Coat. Metro Manila has a great volume of motor vehicles and we are not allowed to close a certain road section for 12 to 24 hours for tacking Prime Coat. Instead, our contactors/suppliers resort to double application in order to replenish the tack		ards, the SMMDEO is duly with. There is, therefore, no an 0.70 liter per sq. m. in the disclosed in the report, from etro Manila which are no d standard, SMMDEO is using	
coat/prime coat that has been removed or run over by	District	Rates Applied	
motorist as well as delivery trucks of Bituminous	DPWH-NCR	0.80	
materials.	FMMDEO	0.75-1.50	
	SMMDEO	1.00-1.50	
 The programming of cost of materials used in excavation and embankment in Metro Manila for some projects varies and sometimes higher as we have no direct source of the materials to be used in the project. Some materials came from nearby town or province. As we are prevented to stock pile materials in Metro Manila, there is double handling due to additional trip of equipment for hauling. This additional cost for hauling of materials for embankment is not reflected in the program of works but the programmers determine these needs. 	 project should be properly reflected in the estimates DEOs cannot just adopt higher cost for any work item justified later that the same is due to hauling costs. increase in cost should be supported with de computation. 		
Changes of the Unit cost of Materials vary from time to time due to increase or unstable price of Fuel and Oil and the head of office (District Engineer) determine the needs per project. The last ACEL rate was in year 2009. We utilized unit cost for rental rate distributed by NCR to all District Offices. For simplicity in computation, the operated rental rates are preferred over the bare rental rates. Each district office derived another computation for equipment rental as per site evaluation and actual needs. The observed increase in our programming is due to the location of our projects and the required double handling of materials to be used in the projects as contractors delivered only materials enough to be used for short period.	more practical than the bare rates. Thus, the team, likev used the operated rates prescribed in the ACEL in evaluation of estimates prepared by the DEOs. discussed in the report, it was provided under DF issuances that the rental rates to be used should exceed the ACEL rates. The ACEL considered rates both bare and operated equipment.		
Response provided by DPWH-RO V			
• The evaluated Asphalt Overlay Projects implemented by the Regional Office using the application rate of 0.74 liter/sq.m. resulted from applying wastage of 5%. The application of wastage of 5% is permitted in the DO No. 57, series of 2002, and DO No. 29, series of 2011 with the Subject: Preparation of Approved Budget for the Contract, copy attached.	from 0.20 to 0.70 liter per sq. meter. Such wide rar already included wastage allowance of not only 5%. It therefore not appropriate to still apply wastage allowance doing so would mean exceeding the prescribed DPN		
Response provided by Albay 1st DEO			
 Documents are attached hereto to justify the rate of application of asphalt materials for item 302. Please see attached Memo of the Project Engineer 	f There were no documents attached to justify the use excessive rate application.		

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder				
On application of indirect costs on asphalt					
Response provided by DPWH-NCR					
• The audit team's assertion that indirect costs were applied on the basic cost of asphalt is inaccurate. For although the DPWH prescribed the unit costs for items 301, 302 and 310, it did not add any cost on these items which can be appropriately described as indirect cost. If indirect costs may have been applied or included on these items, this is only insofar as the suppliers are concerned. The prices quoted by the suppliers or the prices prescribed in the Updated Direct Unit Cost for different items are always treated as direct costs and no additional cost of any nature is added to these costs.	It is very clear that under the Detailed Unit Price Analys (DUPA) as of December 2007 for Item 302, indirect cost included as illustrated below: Particulars Cost Furnished Materials Basic Cost P 7,396.10 3% OCM 221.88 Profit - 10% 739.61 Unit Cost P 8,357.59 Delivered (delivery per drum) Basic Cost P 181.60 3% OCM 5.45 Profit - 10% T39.61 Unit Cost P 205.21 Spraying Basic Cost P 205.21 Spraying BasicCost P 440.00 3% OCM 13.20 Profit - 10% 13.20 Profit - 10% P 497.20 The DPWH-NCR then should have used the basic cost instead if it intends to subject the unit costs to indirect costs It is also informed that for mere procurement of constructio materials, there are no indirect cost applied to Item 302 was intended for the supplier of the item and not the contractor.				
Response provided by SMMDEO	1				
• The price of asphalt materials as explained is being followed for projects to be undertaken thru Administration. But due to additional Scope of Works, the projects were implemented thru Contract, hence, the system of programming is different. The material cost that was adopted is the direct cost per Suppliers' Quotation.	u regardless of the mode of project implementation.				
On unit costs of excavation and embankment					
Response provided by DPWH-NCR					
• In the preparation of POW, it was anticipated that the materials to be excavated are hard materials. Accordingly, it is but proper to consider the highest unit cost for excavation in the preparation of POW. The determination of whether the items to be excavated are hard or soft materials are not always dependent on the location of the project. Unfortunately, the audit team based its finding on mere conjectures and surmise.	As provided under Annex A of the IRR of R.A. No.9184, r program of work for any project shall be approved witho detailed engineering. Detailed engineering includes (a survey, (b) site investigation, (c) soil and foundation investigation, (d) construction material investigation, amor others. Having gone through these processes, the type materials to be excavated should have already bee identified by the DPWH-NCR and not merely anticipated Moreover, the DPWH-NCR cannot provide the team ar document used as a basis for assuming that the materials be excavated is adobe and the filling materials actual needed were selected borrow. There were even r				

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
	documents to show that the actual materials excavated and used were adobe and selected borrow, respectively. It is also informed that as the POW is the basis for preparing ABC, which is the ceiling for any bid, the contract amount may be considered excessive. In fact, the contract amount of P38.505 million already exceeded the POW of P38.481 million which is still inclusive of the questioned amount of P4.699 million .
 In preparing the POW for excavation, it was deemed appropriate to consider the hauling distance as well. 	Apparently, however, the cost of hauling was not yet included in the questioned unit cost as a change order was still issued to cover hauling expenses. Had this been the case, there would have been no need for the issuance of change order.
 The team's observation that a higher unit cost cannot be used was based on the supposition that since the excavation cost for adobe was adopted in the preparation of the POW, there was no need to adopt the costing for "selected borrow" because the materials excavated should have been used for the embankment. This position is untenable because the fact that excavation cost of adobe does not preclude the DPWH from adopting the unit cost of selected borrow in costing the embankment. There is no guarantee that materials excavated are sufficient for embankment and sufficient to cover the required embankment. 	The adoption of higher unit costs for excavation and embankment per se is not the issue but the failure of the DPWH-NCR to support the computation with the results of investigation and documents showing that the type of materials excavated were adobe, the filling materials used were selected borrow and that the excavated materials are not suitable to be used for embankment.
 There were good and justifiable reasons in adopting the higher unit cost for embankment. There is no direct source of the required embankment materials. They usually come from nearby towns or provinces. Double handling of these materials is inescapable because of the prohibition to stock pile in the project site. 	As discussed earlier, the handling and hauling costs were covered by variation order. If indeed the higher cost is adopted to cover double handling, then, there is no need for issuing variation orders for handling costs. Besides, as discussed earlier, this should be supported with detailed computation.
• Significantly, D.O. No. 57, s. 2002 prescribed that in the preparation of ABC, the cost of materials shall include expenses for hauling, handling expenses and storage. These expenses can be covered if the higher unit cost is adopted in the preparation of the budget.	
 The rates prescribed by the ACEL are bare rental rates. They pertain only to the use of leased equipment. Pursuant to Department Order No. 219, s. 2003, DPWH officials were authorized to use the rental rates not exceeding those indicated in the ACEL Equipment Guide Book, Edition 22, dated January 23, 2003. The 2003 ACEL rates, however, have not coped up with the changing times, the increase of maintenance cost, and the increase in oil and fuel prices. 	The ACEL prescribed both bare and operated rental rates. In fact, the operated rental rates prescribed in the ACEL are the ones used by the team in the evaluation. Considering the instruction by the DPWH under DO No. 219 to use rental rates not exceeding those indicated in the ACEL Equipment Guide Book, the DPWH-NCR has no authority to use other rates other than those prescribed by ACEL.
• The ACEL Guidebook notwithstanding, the Department is not precluded from adopting the operated rental rates. Department Order No. 57, s. 2002 prescribed that in the preparation of the Approved Budget for the Contract, the operated rental rates are preferred over the bare rental rates.	The team is not questioning the use of operated rental rates as the team used the same but the use by DPWH-NCR of operated rental rates over and above the operated rental rates prescribed in the ACEL Guide Book. Such rates, over and above the ACEL are no longer authorized under DPWH DO No. 219.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
The Department has created a Price Monitoring Committee whose objective is to establish and provide a standard database for materials Price Data, Labor and Equipment Rental Rates information to be used in the preparation of POW and ABC. From time to time, the Price Monitoring Committee issues Updated Direct Unit for Different Items of Works for National Roads and Bridges (Updated Direct Unit Cost). The unit costs reflected in the Updated Direct Unit Cost had been adopted in the preparation of POW and ABC.	Considering DPWH DO No. 219, any operated rates established by the Committee should not exceed the operated rental rates prescribed in the ACEL GuideBook.
On splitting of contracts	
Response provided by DPWH-NCR	
• We did not resort to splitting of contracts. Section 54.1, Rule XVI of the IRR of R.A. No. 9184, prohibits splitting of contracts.	It is very clear that the project costing P38.505 million was split into eight contracts with each contract costing less than P5.000 million .
• The projects alleged to have been split were undeniably subjected to public bidding. These projects were not divided to smaller amounts to dispense with the requirement of public bidding. The segmentation of the project was beneficial because it was completed at a shorter time. Accordingly, there was no splitting of contracts.	These contracts, which cost below P5.000 million each, were only posted in DPWH website and PhilGEPS. These were not published in newspaper of general nationwide circulation which is required for infrastructure projects exceeding P5.000 million . It is very clear that it did not comply with the requirements of public bidding. Besides, compliance with public bidding is just one of the provisions circumvented as a result of splitting. Splitting in general is, however prohibited, irrespective of whether it causes damage or not to the government. In this case, however, the damage caused to the government is very evident.
• The segmentation of the projects was done for no other purpose than to facilitate their completion. It made possible for the simultaneous implementation of the projects by at least four different contractors. The fact that the contractors appeared to have similar surnames is inconsequential because the projects were completed expeditiously.	The period within which to complete the project is included in the bidding documents. Thus, even if it is undertaken by only one contractor, it will still be completed within the period prescribed, otherwise, the contractor will be penalized.
Response provided by the City Government of La	s Piñas
Construction of 2-storey 4-CSB and Renovation	of Treasurer's Office at City Hall Main Bldg.
• Since CY 1995, indirect cost applied / used for the project is fixed to 15% and 12% for OCM and profit, respectively. The revised guidelines on the preparation of ABC were only implemented last August 2011 when a copy of DPWH DO No. 29 was presented to our office. Since then, we have followed the said guidelines specifically on the third quarter of 2011. Please take note that the unit cost that we are using is lower than the cost being used by other LGUs within Metro Manila.	The maximum indirect costs of 12 percent and 13 percent for OCM and profit, respectively, for projects between P5.00 million to P10.00 million were already prescribed under DPWH DO No. 57, series of 2002, and not only under DPWH DO No. 29 dated August 2011.

Annex J

Schedule of Projects Either Unutilized or Not Fully Utilized, Or Not Properly Maintained and in the State of Deterioration Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Project Description	Amount	Da	ate	Remarks
	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Nonidi K3
DPWH - RO V				
Cagraray Eco-Park Rd-Bacacay, Albay	28.502	7/25/09	12/9/10	The start of Phase III – road opening – leading to eco park was not passable/ deteriorated/eroded.
MPB - Cagraray Eco-Park, Bacacay (Phase 1), Albay	14.253 4.795	11/7/09	12/9/10	This project, which is adjacent to Misibis Bay Resort, a private 5-star resort, could even be considered luxurious. While the scope of work under this contract was already 100 percent accomplished, the entire building is not yet complete, with on-going construction activities under two other contracts amounting to P40.0 Million implemented on phases by Albay 1 st DEO in 2010. About one-third of this building is occasionally used as an airconditioned chapel. The design of the structure may even be considered inappropriate to the condition of the area which is not yet fully developed. Although open to the public, the park and other structures are not accessible as it is far from the City and barangay proper. Moreover, the barangay may not even be able to sustain maintenance of the building in case the same will be eventually turned over to the barangay.
Mariawa-Mayon Road, Legaspi City	42.745	5/5/09	12/3/10	The project as opened/cleared was approximately 1.76 kms. starting from Sta 2+840 to Sta 4+600 with width of approximately 20 meters or a total area of 35,200 sq.m. Of the total area opened, only the end portion near the national highway, with a width of 6.10m and a length of 297 meters or approximately 1,811.70 sq.m., was concreted, of which 7 blocks are already scaling. Thus, only the middle part is passable to vehicle with the rest being used as solar dryer by farmers residing nearby. The entire stretch of the road was not maintained and in the state of deterioration with erosion on some portion.

Project Description	Amount	Da	ate	Remarks
	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks
Mariawa-Anislag Road, Legaspi City	28.494	5/4/09	12/3/10	This project covered 1,280 meters with a width of 20 meters or approximately 25,600 sq.m. Of the total road opened, only 918.66 (6.10 x 150.60) sq.m., the mid-portion was concreted. At the time of inspection, the team noted minor scaling, transverse crack and permanent obstructions on approximately 600 meters road length. The road was blocked by an on-going government housing/resettlement project with two units reinforced concrete single-storey building, concrete line canal, septic vaults and concrete road network constructed at the middle of the road. Portions of road were not passable even to 4-wheel drive vehicle. The concrete paved road was being used as solar dryer by the nearby residents.
Manawa-Namantao Rd Legaspi City	37.989	5/6/09	12/3/10	This project covered 1,560 meters with 20 meters width or approximately 31,200 sq.m. Of the total road opened, only the start of the project, with approximately 1,847.46 (6.15 x 300.40) sq.m., was concreted. The road was not maintained with full vegetation, with only the center as the remaining cleared area.
Banquerohan-Bariis-Sogoy-Sorsogon Bdry. Road, Albay	12.500	10/13/09	12/3/10	With transverse cracks and scalings.
Brgy. Anislag to Resettlement Site Road, Daraga	2.847	Not indicated	12/3/10	With scaling and transverse cracks.
Albay 1st DEO MPB, San Jose Community College (Phase VIII), San Jose Community College	7.406	3/18/09	12/8/10	Not well maintained, with missing door and locksets, cracks on the ground floor and unleveled stairs. The comfort rooms were closed at the time of inspection.

Project Description	Amount	Da	ate	Remarks
	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Kenidiks
MPB, Malinao Community College (Phase VIII)	6.913	8/7/09	12/7/10	The building was not being used and not properly maintained. Part of the ground floor was claimed to have been used by TESDA in short courses while the second and third floors have not been used since its completion in August 2009. Cracks on the flooring of the ground and third floors were noted. This project is located in the middle of a field with no right of way or access road. There were already existing community colleges in nearby municipalities.
MPB, Regional Site, DOT Building	2.962	10/8/09	12/6/10	The building is not yet usable/utilized as this needs additional works such as walls, flooring and finishing. Apparently, there were no additional funds allocated for the completion of the project.
MPB, Tigbi, Tiwi	5.000	7/14/10	12/7/10	Not fully utilized and being used only as storage area or "bodega". At the time of inspection, the building, with roll-up door, was closed. As viewed from the opened window, there were no floor tiles.
Camarines Sur 1st DEO		· ·		
MPB (2-S Brgy. Hall/Evacuation Cntr), Del Carmen/Del Rosario, Minalabac	0.965	6/18/09	11/23/10	The ground floor is being used as bunkhouse of workers. The area is not properly maintained with exposed RSB and water system problems.
MPB (2-storey Brgy. Hall/ Evacuation Center), Mataoroc, Minalabac	0.965	1/21/09	11/23/10	The building was not yet used since completion in January 2009 with locked windows and padlocked doors.
MPB, Daculang Tubig, San Fernando	0.483	5/24/09	11/23/10	The building was not well maintained with the presence of cobwebs. The team was informed that this was not being used regularly.
Balogo Road, Pasacao	0.500	10/3/09	11/24/10	The constructed PCCP with length of 56.1 meters and width of 4.1 meters was constructed at the end of the road leading to the field.

Project Description	Amount (M P)		ate Inspected	Remarks
		Completeu	Inspected	
MPB, Bonifacio, San Fernando	1.000	12/10/09	11/24/10	The building was closed during inspection and there were no available barangay officials. As seen from the outside, the building is being used as storage area and not maintained at all. The 2nd floor downspout was not connected to the main downspout.
Gawad Kalinga Road, Bahay, Libmanan	0.483	5/27/07	11/25/10	With transverse cracks and longitudinal cracks of about 2 inches wide.
Improv. MPB (Cov. Court), Libmanan	0.482	4/9/09	11/25/10	One unit bleacher was already defective. The movable bleachers were made of GI pipes and plywood.
Conc. Rds, Sagrada Familia Minalabac	0.965	4/7/08	11/23/10	With longitudinal cracks covering 4 blocks across Santan and Acacia streets
MPB (2-storey Brgy. Hall/ vacuation Ctr), San Juan/San Lorenzo Minalabac	0.955	1/21/09	11/23/10	The CR was not yet being used due to lack of water source. The Brgy. Capt. also claimed problems in the ceiling.
MPB (with Stage), Calawat Pamplona	1.000	6/18/09	11/23/10	The Brgy. Chairman complained that the gutter was not properly fixed causing overflowing of rain water. The team also noted missing clear window glasses.

Drainat Description	Amount	Da	ate	Dowerke
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks
FMR, Caranan Pasacao	1.930	10/3/09	11/24/10	With longitudinal cracks.
Davao City 1st DEO				
MPB, Ecoland Phase 2, Brgy. 76-A, Davao City	0.377	10/15/08	12/1/10	This project covered only the skeletal concrete structure of the proposed multi- purpose building composed of the foundation, column, slab on fill, beams and portion of CHB wall. As it is, the MPB is not yet usable. This is presently used as open storage for used lumbers and is somewhat abandoned.
MPPs (2) - Brgy. Matina Aplaya, Davao City	0.375 0.375	3/18/08	11/30/10	With major scaling and poke marks and transverse cracks in some portions of the completed road.
MPP, Purok 7, Brgy. 76-A	0.472	10/15/08	12/1/10	With minor scaling in some portions of the concreted 1.0m width pathways.
MPP, Sir 11, Brgy. 76-A	0.472	10/15/08	12/1/10	With minor scaling and rain marks in some portions of the concreted road.
Const'n of MPP, Holy Trinity, Brgy. 76-A	0.754	10/15/08	12/1/10	With transverse cracks and potholes in various locations of the paved road. There were also minor scaling and rain marks in 13 blocks of the said road.
Construction of MPP, Talisay Road, Brgy. 76-A	0.472	10/15/08	12/1/10	With transverse cracks in the paved road. The team also noted minor scaling in three blocks of the projects.

Draiget Description	Amount	Da	ate	Remarks
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Kemarks
Concreting of various roads, Brgy. 76-A	2.125	11/20/08	12/3/10	With minor/major scaling, poke marks in
	0.395			some portions, longitudinal/transverse cracks in various locations.
diana H.	0.447			
	0.969			
	0.990			
	0.434			
Concreting of two roads, Brgy. Talomo	0.758	11/20/08	11/30/10	With transverse cracks and minor scaling in various location of the completed road.
	1.036			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Repair of Ecoland Roads, Brgy 76-A	2.833	6/20/09	12/1/10	With presence of rainfall and poke marks at the concreted road, two transverse cracks and one pothole in various locations of the completed road and peeled-off asphalt fillers at the construction joints of the pavement.
GSIS Roads, Brgy. Matina Crossing	2.831	6/29/09	12/1/10	With presence of poke marks at the concreted road, transverse cracks in one location of the completed road and peeled-off asphalt fillers at the construction joints of the pavement.
Davao City Diversion Road, Davao River Bridge Monteritz Section	9.633	6/29/09	12/2/10	Portions of concrete edges and corners of adjoining concrete blocks affected by reblocking works were chipped off or broken which may be due to the removal of damaged concrete blocks to be replaced/re- concreted. The team also noted presence of poke marks at the concreted area, minor discoloration or color fading of thermoplastic lane markings, and peeled-off asphalt fillers at the construction joints of the pavement.
Conc. of road, Coastal Road, Brgy. 76-A	0.943	7/20/09	12/3/10	With about 0.22m width x 0.05m depth canal ditch cutting through the pavement, allegedly upon request by the barangay. This then wasted concrete volume of around 1.46cum.
Conc. of road, Pogi St. SIR I, Brgy. 76-A	0.9433	7/20/09	12/3/10	With transverse cracks and minor scaling in some portions of the concrete road.

Draiget Description	Amount	Da	ate	Domotico
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks
Conc. of road, Times Beach, Brgy. 76-A	0.943	7/20/09	12/3/10	With minor scaling in some portions of the concreted road.
Conc. of road, Ecol & Ph 4, Brgy. 76-A	0.943	7/20/09	12/3/10	With minor scaling, rain and poke marks in some portions of the concreted roads
Rehab. along San Pedro St., Jacinto St., M. Roxas Ave. Extension Pob. Road, D.C.	19.287	2/12/10	11/15/10	With minor discoloration or color fading of thermoplastic lane markings and missing RPS and guardrail posts in various locations. A negative Variation Order was claimed to have been approved for the project but there was no copy furnished to the team during inspection. The approved as-built plan did not indicate the location of the installed 1,288 pcs. of RPS. The equipments pledged under this contract were also pledged for other projects simultaneously being undertaken by the contractor.
DPWH RO No. XI				
Padada Bridge along Digos-Makar Road	227.509	Not indicated	11/15/10	The installed pavement surfaces treatment applied in some portions of the detour road were starting to deteriorate with potholes and deformities. Likewise, hairline temperature cracks were noted at the main bridge deck.
Improv Surigao Sur - Davao Oriental Coastal Road, Manay - Caraga Section, Phase 1 Sta. 1643 + 630 - Sta. 1625 + 389.887	191.948	Not indicated	11/11/10	The project was not protected from possible landslides during unfavorable weather conditions.
Improv Surigao Sur-Davao Oriental Coastal Road, Manay - Caraga Section, Phase 11 Sta. 1625 + 389.887 - 1612 +928	178.613	12/15/09	11/11/10	Guardrails and road signs were affected by on-going shouldering/concreting works on unconcreted gaps covered by previous projects.
Rehab of Damaged Paved Nati'l Rds generated fr HDM-4 - Daang Maharlika Road, Davao del Norte	193.778	12/31/09	11/17/10	A number of thermoplastic pavement markings were damaged by on-going re- blocking works by the DPWH-Davao del Norte Engineering District within the project limits.
Rehab - Daang Maharlika, Davao del Norte	144.736	Not indicated	11/17/10	Portions of the reblocking works were no longer visible due to asphalt overlay undertaken within the project location. The

	Amount	Da	ate	Dure 1
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks
				team also noted on-going reblocking works within the project limits which damaged a number of installed RPS and thermoplastic pavement markings.
Davao del Norte DEO				
Rehab - Agusan-Davao Roadd Km. 1454 + 200 - Km. 1454 + 455 Km. 1454 + 200 - Km. 1454 + 455 Km. 1454 + 460 - Km. 1454 + 975 Km. 1454 + 980 - Km. 1455 + 235 Km. 1455 + 240 - Km. 1455 + 235 Km. 1455 + 500 - Km. 1455 + 495 Km. 1455 + 500 - Km. 1455 + 755 Km. 1455 + 760 - Km. 1455 + 035 Km. 1457 + 065 - Km. 1457 + 245 Km. 1457 + 250 - Km. 1457 + 430 Km. 1457 + 435 - Km. 1457 + 615 Km. 1457 + 805 - Km. 1457 + 800 Km. 1457 + 805 - Km. 1457 + 985 Km. 1457 + 990 - Km. 1458 + 170 Km. 1457 + 035 - Km. 1458 + 445 Rehab - Roads Km. 1455 + 035 - Km. 1455 + 215	0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750	Not indicated	12/9/10	These projects mainly involved procurement of white and yellow RPS (≤19mm thk x 4"x4" raised profile type, white & yellow) from October to November 2008. The team could no longer find all white RPS while there were more yellow RPS than the reported installation. This may indicate that there were either yellow RPS units previously installed prior to this project, or not installed, or white RPS units already replaced. Moreover, the status of the project could no longer be ascertained at the time of inspection as there were various works (reblocking and/or installation of RPS) implemented by the DPWH within the project limits of the completed project. Due to on-going project, a number of RPS were removed and thermoplastic pavement markings were damaged.
Km. 1455 +220 - Km.1455 + 400 Km.1455 + 405 - Km. 1455 + 585	0.750 0.750			
Km. 1455 + 590 - Km. 1455 + 770	0.750			
FMMDEO				
Construction of MPB, San Roque, Brgy. San Roque, Marikina	1.444	11/12/09	9/8/10	There was no approved funding for the completion of this project. It remained unused as of inspection date.
Completion of MPB - Greenhights Phases 2 and 3, Brgy. Nangka, Marikina	2.883	Not indicated	9/14/10	These MPBs remained unused and not yet turned over and fully paid.
Construction of MPB - Greenheights, Brgy. Nangka (Phases 3 & 4), Marikina	2.500			
Completion of MPB - Concepcion Uno, Marikina	3.344	Not indicated	9/9/10	Intended as a covered basketball court but the size is small for an ideal basketball

Droject Description	Amount	Date		Domestic	
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks	
				court. Thus, this is used as the Barangay and is maintained. The ring w installed.	not properly
Const. of MPB - Sanchez Comp., Fortune, Marikina	1.432	Not indicated	9/7/10	Reportedly not yet uti overflowing of water. It is a	also reported to
Completion of MPB - Sanchez Comp., Brgy. Fortune, Marikina Hts., Marikina	0.771			be with defective downspou	
				ANGHEZ VILLAGE	HOA, INC
Completion of MPB - Angelina Santos Comp., Fortune, Marikina	1.700	Not indicated	9/7/10	The building was not prop and the existing cabinets	removed and
	and the second			used by the Botika ng Bara temporarily housed at the There were no electrici plastering of exterior side w flooding. The building was b	e ground floor. ty, water and vall which cause
Electrical Works & Ventilation System of MPB – Signal Village, Taguig City	5.360	Not indicated	5/5/11	The project covered the items:	
				Items of Work	Amount (in M)
				Electrical Works & Ventilation System	₽ 4.385
				Asphalting/Leveling of Flr. Concreting of Perimeter &	0.738
				Equipment/Utility Room Total	0.237 ₽ 5.360
				As inpected by the team ar certification issued by the above work items were alre the projects undertaken Government of Taguig.	FMMDEO, the ady replaced by
Completion of Signal MPB	3.739	Not indicated	5/5/11	The project covered the items:	following work
				Items of Work	Amount (in M)
	1111			Steel Stairs Painting Works	₽ 0.513 1.239
	att			Comfort Room/Office	1.074
	And and a second se			Septic Vault Tile Works	0.285
	E			Doors Total	0.135 ₽ 3.739
	-			As inpected by the team ar certification issued by the above work items were alre	nd based on the FMMDEO, the

Project Description	Amount	Da	ate	Remarks
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks
				the projects undertaken by the City Government of Taguig.
City Government of Taguig				
Repair of Napindan Brgy. Hall	0.998	3/20/07	2/9/11	The building is not being used at the time of
				inspection as the office of the barangay transferred to another building.
Tarlac 2 nd DEO				
Completion of MPB - Brgy. Lourdes, Tarlac	• 0.802	1/13/10	11/10/10	The scope of work was not sufficient to complete the building. As inspected, the project is a two-storey building. The second floor has rough walls and partitions and thus, not yet utilized/used.
Construction of Water System Dalayap	1.129	6/25/10	11/10/10	The water is rusty and only 12 household
				can be served by the system.
Improvement of Road, Cadulculaoan- Botbotones-Pilpila	3.761	2/13/09	11/10/10	Generally in good condition except for longitudinal cracks, noted during inspection
				of about 18 meters (4 spans), and one destroyed RC pipes which was no longer functioning as intended.
MPB, Tarlac College of Agriculture, Camiling	9.875	12/7/09	11/10/10	The building, with perimeter fence, was not properly maintained.
	1			

	Amount	Da	ate	During
Project Description	(M P)	Completed	Inspected	Remarks
Nueva Ecija 2 nd DEO				
Repair of bridge structure, Brgy. Gomez	1.315	7/15/08	12/9/10	With rusty railings that need repainting.
MPB, Brgy San Mariano	1.872	3/18/08	12/9/10	With pipelines needing repair
	A EE			
MPB, Brgy San Gregorio, Sta. Rosa	1.404	7/29/08	12/9/10	With rusty handrail and leaking downspout.
MPB, Cabanatuan	0.953	2/19/09	12/9/10	Bathrooms roof is leaking, door knobs are
				defective, door unfit to the door jamb, and windows cannot be opened. The project was not yet accepted by the end-user.
MPB - Brgy. Padre Crisostomo, Nueva	0.378	1/30/09	12/9/10	Upon inspection, the dental clinic is not being
Ecija				used due to leaking roof and defective doors and windows. Interview with the end-user disclosed that they did not accept the project and her signature in the certificate of acceptance was even forged.
Various Barangays of Quezon City				
Rehabilitation of drainage system, Brgy. San Isidro Galas	2.000	10/10/08	3/29/12	This project cannot be fully validated due to the absence of detailed plans. Moreover, the

Project Description	Amount (M P)	Da Completed	ate Inspected	Remarks
				line canals and manholes were already covered with concrete while the perimeter fence with missing grill bars is already dilapidated.
Renovaton of Public Toilet (material only), Brgy. South Triangle	0.300	Not indicated	Not indicated	The toilet was not properly maintained, with no water and light.
	141			
Total – 90 projects	1,250.485			

Annex K

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Projects Either Unutilized/Not Fully Utilized or Not Properly Maintained Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Mana	gements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided	d by DPWH-NCR	
based on the amou PDAF and the req cannot be faulted i MPBs will not utilize their upkeep and deficiencies noted I	ted by the audit team were programmed int allocated by the lawmakers from their uest of the end-users. The Department if the end-users who requested for these the no the fullest or will not provide for sufficient maintenance. The minor by the audit team on the following MPBs City were already rectified, viz:	The results of the audit only manifest that the projects constructed were not actually that necessary and crucial in the operation of the government. While it is true that the projects were identified by the lawmakers, it is incumbent upon the DPWH to secure the commitment of the end-users to maintain the project. As it is, these deficiencies were rectified only and some projects put to use as a result of the audit. The team, though, appreciates
Project	Remarks	the actions taken by the DPWH-NCR to address the
4 MPB (tennis court) - Monte Vista Subd., Brgy. Industrial Valley Complex MPB - Conception Uno MPB - Sanchez Compound, Phases 1 & 2, Fortune	Completed Already turned over after it was fully paid. The barangay is now enjoying the use of the MPB. The City Government provided the required funds to complete these works. The alleged deficiencies were already rectified. Exclusive for the member of the tennis club. Membership of the tennis club is open to the public. Use is dependent on the needs of the end- users as approved by the proponent. It was requested by the barangay that the MPB be used as a motor pool, thus, no basketball rings were installed. The defective downspouts were already repaired by the concerned contractor. Water no longer overflows.	noted deficiencies.
	The alleged deficiencies were already corrected and repaired. We already requested the proponent to allocate funds for the maintenance of the building. Since the MPB was already turned over by the Engineering District, the proper care and maintenance of the MPB rest not with the Department but with the end-users.	
Response provided	l by Nueva Ecija 2 nd DEO	-
• •	Brgy. Gomez and MPB, Brgy Sa	n Gregorio, Sta. Rosa
The minor deficie attributed to natural been turned-over to	ncies observed by the team can be wear and tear. Said projects has already the end user upon completion, therefore, structure is already on the care of the end	As discussed earlier, it would be of help if the DPWH would secure the commitment of the end users to maintain the project even before constructing one.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
MPB, Cabanatuan and Constn of MPB - Brgy. Pa	dre Crisostomo, Nueva Ecija
• For Projects No. 4 & 5 with alleged forged signature of the end-user in the Certificate of Acceptance, the signature of end-user is usually secured by the contractor for submission to our office. Said acceptance was received by this office in good faith as we haven't heard any comments or complaints from the end user. When notified, the contractor claimed that they assigned one of their personnel to have the acceptance signed by the end user. This is only an isolated case and the contractor was already notified and warned. Rest assured that this will not happen again.	This only manifests that the DEO did not validate the accomplishment of the contractor before paying. It is incumbent upon the DEO to at least validate from the end-users the completion and acceptance of the project.
Response provided by Tarlac 1 st DEO	
MPB - Brgy. Lourdes	
• The projects were reported completed because it is indeed completed as per project contract. As of date, the second floor is being utilized. The project was accepted by the end user on January 27, 2009.	Again, the team is not questioning the reporting of the project as completed but the apparent insufficient project scope to put the building to complete use, the non-provision of additional fund to complete the project, and the absence of
Water System Dalayap	maintenance which may result in undue deterioration. The DEO should secure the
• The supplementary pipes needed to extend water service to more homes, according to Barangay Officials shall be requested from the Tarlac Provincial Government. Maintenance of the water tank should be done by the end-users so as not to generate rust in the tank.	commitment of the end-users to maintain the facilities before constructing any structure.
MPB, Tarlac College of Agriculture	
• MPB was turned over to and accepted by the Tarlac College of Agriculture. Maintenance of the building perimeter fence is now their responsibility.	This only manifests the lack of interest of the intended end-users.
Cadulculaon-Botbotones-Pilpila Road	
• The longitudinal cracks were already sealed by the contractor concerned and it is being monitored.	We appreciate the actions taken by the DEO.
Response provided by DPWH-RO V	
MPB-Cagraray Eco-Park, Bacacay (Phase I), Alba	ay
 The building was designed to attract tourists to come and see the place for its beautiful scenery as well as the facilities around it. The LGU of Bacacay may help in the maintenance of the building as the end-user. At present, said MPB and Eco Park is accessible with the completion of Sula Bridge. 	Considering the cost incurred for the construction of this structure, it is very unlikely that the LGU of Bacacay and the concerned barangay would be able to maintain the structure. There were two other on-going contracts at the time of inspection amounting to P40.0 million . It is also unlikely that the construction of building alone would attract that much tourist to recoup such investment or even generate sufficient funds to maintain the structure.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder				
Cagraray Eco-Park Road, Bacacay, Albay					
• The deteriorated opening of the access road was caused by series of typhoons and heavy rains in the locality but already required/made passable.	The team appreciates the actions taken by the RO to address this concern.				
Mariawa-Mayon, Mariawa-Namantao and Mariawa-Anislag Roads, Legazpi City					
 The Project was for the road opening and portion or the critical section was concreted. The seven blocks/minor scaling and transverse cracks are being monitored and if further deteriorated, the contractor will be notified/directed to remove and replace at his own expense. Maintenance of the road is the responsibility of the LGU as they are the end- user of the project. 	If the project is not yet turned over, the responsibility remained with the DPWH. As discussed in the report, the middle part of Mariawa-Mayon is not passable and being used merely as solar dryer by farmers. The opening of the road, therefore, is not serving the purpose. If this is indeed necessary, the LGU would be maintaining the project. On the other hand, the Mariawa-Anislag Road was obstructed by another government project making the road totally unpassable.				
Response provided by Albay 1 st DEO					
MPB, San Jose Community (Phase VIII) San Jose	Community College				
• It appears that after the completion of the project since 2009, the building was noted to be not well maintained by the beneficiary of the project. This office had requested the contractor to rectify/correct the observed defects as soon as possible despite the expiration of the guarantee period. Actual inspection by this office was done last August 13, 2012. The building is well maintained, with doors and locksets intact. There are also no cracks on flooring. Stairs are also well-leveled. Comfort rooms are now usable as shown in the attached photos.	The team appreciates the repair undertaken by the contractor. Maintenance is, however, a continuing concern to be addressed by the end-user.				
MPB, Malinao Community College (Phase VIII)					
• This project is now used as school building teaming with students and teachers and the building is well maintained. The Bascaran to Poblacion concreted road pass through the vicinity of the school site. Hence, this school location is very strategic due to this highly passable road. Please see attached photos.	The team appreciates the actions taken to put to use the constructed structure.				
MPB Regional Site DOT Building					
• This project was 100% completed last October 8, 2009 per allotment received, however, the proponent of this project has yet to release additional funds for the completion of wall and flooring per attached audit report dated August 2, 2012.	Projects undertaken by phase should be given priority in the allocation of funds to ensure its completion and eventual utilization, if indeed it is needed.				
MPB, Tigbi, Tiwi					
This project was reported completed last July 14, 2010. This Office has informed the recipient school to fully utilize the MPB and not as storage or just a bodega. Attached is a picture of the	This manifests that there was indeed no need for this project.				

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
MPB with complete floor tiles installed indicating that this project is 100% completed. However, as of this date, the MPB is being utilized temporarily as bodega despite of the reminders to fully utilize it.	
Response provided by Camarines Sur 1 st DEO	
MPB (2-storey Brgy. Hall/Evacuation Center), De	l Carmen/Rosario, Minalabac
• The proper maintenance and operation of the structure is the responsibility of the end-user once accepted by and turned- over to them. The exposed RSB was provided for, as per request by the end user as dowels for future expansion and the problem on water system is existing in most barangays in poblacion area. The barangay council has constructed a Level 1 water system for use in comfort room.	This only manifests the inability of the end-users to maintain the project and the absence of actual need for the same.
MPB (2-storey Brgy. Hall/ Evacuation Center), Ma	ataoroc, Minalabac
• The evacuation center was constructed for the use of residents during calamities. Prior to project inspection, no damaging disturbances occurred which require the use of the building.	The absence of maintenance of the building since its completion in 2009 would result in its untimely deterioration.
MPB, Daculang Tubig, San Fernando	
• The primary purpose of the covered court is for use during meetings, events participated in by big crowd and other functions requiring wider venue. And once the project is accepted by and turned-over to the end-user, its maintenance and operation is under their responsibility.	Apparently then, the end-user is not in a position to maintain the building and the demand is not that great.
Balogo Road, Pasacao	
• The site of the constructed PCCP was identified and prioritized by the end-users. The completed PCCP is being utilized by residents living in the upland.	There were no documents submitted on the number of residents living in the upland. Besides, if the need is only for walkway, construction of a road is misplaced.
MPB, Bonifacio, San Fernando	
• The ground floor of the building is used as storage of properties and documents of the barangay while the second floor is used as venue for various functions/events and as evacuation center during calamities.	If the barangay's request is a mere storage, then a simple storage room should have been constructed and not an MPB costing P1.000 million .
Gawad Kalinga Road, Bahay, Libmanan	
• This was included in the Quality Assurance Assessment by the QUA, Central Office and the noted defects have already been rectified.	The team appreciates the actions taken by the DEO.
MPB (Covered Court), Libmanan	
The movable bleacher was already defective due to constant	The durability of the items to be constructed should

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder	
use. It was fabricated as per approved plans and specifications, highly recommended by LGU for proper and easy storage.	be considered in planning. In such case, there wil again be a need to appropriate fund for the replacement of defective bleachers.	
Sagrada Familia Minalabac Road		
 Pavement was frequently utilized as parking bay by overloaded trucks. 	The DEO should as much as possible maintain the roads constructed to maximize the life span of the project.	
MPB (2-storey Brgy. Hall/Evacuation Center), Sar	n Juan/Lorenzo Minalabac	
• There is no existing water supply system in the barangay. The barangay council has constructed a Level 1 water supply system for use in the comfort room. The minor defect in the ceiling has been addressed to accordingly.	The team appreciates the actions taken to put to use the building constructed.	
MPB (with Stage), Calawat Pamplona and FMR, G	Caranan Pasacao	
 The contractor has initiated the corrective measures on the noted defects, and noted longitudal cracks on the FMR were already corrected. To date, no further deterioration occurred on the defective areas. 	The team appreciates the actions taken by the DE	
Response provided by DPWH-RO XI	2	
Padada Bridge along Digos-Makar Road		
 The noted deterioration with potholes and deformities on the installed pavement surfaces treatment were rectified and turned-over to Local Government upon completion. Also for main bridge, hairline cracks are subject for monitoring. 	The team appreciates the actions taken by the RO.	
Surigao Sur-Davao Oriental Coastal Road, Manay	-Caraga Section, Phase I and II	
 For Phase I – Noted. This will be considered in the future program. For Phase II – The said guardrails and road signs were turned-over to Maintenance Section, Davao Oriental 1st 	In such case, the items should have been presented to the team at the time of inspection.	
District Engineering Office.		
Daang Maharlika Road, Davao del Norte		
 The noted installed RPS and thermoplastic pavement markings damaged by the on-going reblocking works within the project limit were restored. 	These should be restored by the contractor at nu cost to the DPWH. There was no certification attached to this effect.	
Response provided by Davao City 1 st DEO		
San Pedro St., M. Roxas Ave. Extension Poblacion	Road, Davao City	
 Discoloration was due to the bleeding of asphalt sealant of concrete joints and the effect of storm water which submerged the thermoplastic for a long period of time. This 	The location of the RPS should have been indicated in the as-built plans. As it is, the as-built plan did no indicate the location of these items and the DEC	

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder				
occurred on the month of June to August 2010 when there was a continuous rain. RPS were broken when heavy trucks and trailers passed on it.	representative cannot even pinpoint the location of some of these RPS and guardrail posts.	of			
 Guardrail posts were not missing. Attached is a copy of negative Variation Order. 	In the submitted negative Variation Order, guardrails were not deleted. The Variation Or merely covered the increase in quantities pedestrian lane, centerline and laneline markin and decrease in quantities of edgeline markings a pavement studs.				
 Locations of installed 1,288 pcs RPS were clearly indicated in the as built plan attached. 	As per submitted as-built plan, there are RPS, lan markings, road signs and guardrails but the specifi stations where these items were installed were no indicated. The as-built plan also reflected only 1,04 RPS which is still less than the reported 1,228 RPS considering the last Variation Order. These ar reflected in the as-built plan as installed in the following streets:	fic iot 42 PS re			
	Street/Location Quantity				
	San Pedro St. 99 Jacinto St. 214	_			
	Roxas Avenue 729	_			
	Total 1,042	_			
• The projects do not require full time use of equipment. Therefore, the activities were scheduled in a manner that the use of equipment does not fall at the same time.	Among the purposes of post evaluation are t validate the existence of the equipment and t ensure that the equipment committed by th contractor for a specific project is not committed t another project at the same time.	to ne			
MPB, Ecoland Phase 2, Brgy. 76-A, Davao City					
• This project was designed to be a progressive type. Release of additional fund to complete the project was already requested.	Unless fund is allocated for its completion, the MPI cannot be used and is exposed to bad elements.	Ъ			
Coastal Road, Brgy. 76-A, Davao City					
• There was a need to provide ditch in order to allow the flow of storm water away from the houses nearby. This was made after the project was completed.	Field Study should have been undertaken befor constructing the road. The need for a ditch shoul have been determined prior to the construction of the road to avoid waste of government resources.	ıld			
MPP/Roads, Holy Trinity, 76-A, Talisay, Talomo Roads, Purok 7, SIR II, Times Beach, Ecoland Pha	ase 4, GSIS Roads, Matina Crossing, Pog				
St, SIR I, and Davao City Diversion Road, Davao I	River Bridge Monteritz Section				
 Cracks and potholes/joint sealings/ hipped off edge and corners were already repaired. Repair of the major scaling is now on-going. Minor scaling was monitored but there was no further scaling noticed up to this date. Minor discoloration of thermoplastic lane markings was due to the bleeding of asphalt sealants at construction joints of the concrete pavement. 	s The DEO then should continuously monitor condition of the road and undertake the nee f corrections to prevent undue deterioration of f road.				

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Response provided by Davao del Norte DEO	
Rehab-Agusan-Davao Road	
 From June 30, 2009 to March 26, 2010, the DPWH R.O. XI, Davao City implemented the Rehab of National Road along Daang Maharlika, Davao del Norte amounting to P150.0 All white RPS installed by this Office at the sub-centers of the 6-lane national road were replaced with yellow, resulting to more quantity of yellow RPS than the quantity indicated in each POW. We had fully completed the implementation of these projects prior to the implementation of the P150M project by the Regional Office. 	Thorough study on the road requirements should have been undertaken before construction. In this case, the white RPS which were procured only between October and November 2008 were totally replaced with yellow RPS a few months later as the DPWH RO XI rehabilitation works started in June 2009. This totally put to waste the cost of the white RPS. This also manifests non-coordination among DPWH Offices. The implementation of another project within the project limits just completed by another agency within a period of only seven months is in effect a waste of government resources.
Response provided by Taguig City	
 The repair of the barangay hall at Napindan was implemented upon the request and representation of the barangay officials. The City Government gave in to the request noting at the time that the barangay hall necessitated the repairs made. However, the decision to remain and continue holding office in the same barangay hall rests with the barangay officials. It is not within the power and discretion of the City Government to insist that the barangay officials keep occupying the premises on the ground that it spent repair costs of P998,200. 	This illustrates that government resources are not prudently spent as some projects constructed were actually not at all needed.

Annex L

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Special Items Included in the Contract Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

Managements' Comments	7	Fea	m's I	Rejoinder		
Response provided by DPWH-NCR						
The items branded by the audit team as a non- project related are components of preliminary items which are separate pay items needed for the implementation of the projects. These items are not components of Overhead, Contingencies, Miscellaneous and Profit (OCMP).	These items should as required under e these items were inc without supporting d 14.141 percent of the	xistir clude letail	ng reg ed in th ed cor	ulations. In this ca ne POW in lump so mputation, in amou	se, hov um am	wever, ounts,
The Standard Specifications for Public Works and Highways stipulates the provision of bunkhouse and field office for engineers. The fact that this Standard	Project/ Location	CD	Amt (M ₽)	Misc. Items	SWA (inM) Total Cost	%
Specifications requires the construction of the	SMMDEO					
Specifications requires the construction of the bunkhouse and field office in the project site cannot be any clearer than that they are components of an infrastructure project. Naturally, they had to be furnished with furniture and equipment in order to be useful and able to serve their purpose. They cannot	Mckinley Rd., Makati City	15	4.80	Signboards & EWD Billboard Permits Barricades Lighting Equipment	0.015 0.028 0.046 0.020 0.083 0.192	4 012
be classified as ingredients of OCMP. It is incorrect to hold, then, that the bunkhouse and field office are not related to the project. The furniture and equipment use in the bunkhouse and field office are	Osmena St. and F. Zobel St., Makati City France St. Barangay Don Bosco,Paque City		6.44	Sub-total Signboards & EWD Billboard & Signage Permits Barricades Lighting Equipment	0.019 0.020 0.062 0.027 0.171	4.012
turned over to the department after the completion of the project. The DPWH SMMDEO offered the same or similar comments.			6.23	Sub-total Field Office Engineers Facility Billboard & Signages Road Signages/EWD Permits Barricades Lighting Equipment Traffic	0.299 0.068 0.042 0.034 0.065 0.041 0.062 0.178 0.391	
	Tawaraw Court, Brgy. Tambo Paranaque City	15	0.95	Sub-total Field Office/Billboard Signboard w/ EWD Permit Barricades Sub-total	0.881 0.032 0.007 0.033 0.004 0.076	8.000
	Kalayaan Ave. from Luzon St. to Lawton Ave. Makati City	30	2.85	Signboards & EWD Permits Barricades Lighting Equipment Sub-total	0.072 0.014 0.085 0.109 0.280	9.825
	Armstrong Ave. & Drainage Improv of Sunset St., Pque	45	3.30	Renl Container Van Billboard Signage Signboard w/ EWD Barricades Lighting Equipment Sub-total	0.042 0.033 0.019 0.028 0.209 0.331	10.044

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoinder					
	Project/ Location	CD	Amt (M ₽)	Misc. Items	SWA (inM) Total Cost	%
	SMMDEO					
	Moonwalk-Merville Access Road, Moonwalk-Merville, Pque	60	2.87	Barricade Lightning Permit Traffic Sub-total	0.043 0.207 0.045 0.086 0.381	13.253
	SB at Dr. Arcadio Santos NHS, San Martin de Porres, Pque	30	0.28	Billboards	0.021	7.399
	Antonio Arnaiz Ave., Pasong Tamo, Makati	30	9.59	Safety	0.662	6.908
	19th Avenue, Sitio 4, Brgy East Rembo, Mkti	45		Billboards	0.024	11.429
	EDSA, Makati	45	9.59	Safety	0.699	7.282
	Garcia Ave, Mkti	60	9.54	Safety	0.617	6.468
	South SH Mkt	30	2.40	Traffic	0.135	5.607
	Osmena HW, Mkt.	45		Safety	0.672	7.005
	JP Rizal Ave, Mkt.	20	3.33	Traffic	0.175	5.259
	Armstrong Ave, Sunset St .Moonwalk & San Antonio, Pque	45	3.30	Lightning	0.209	6.309
	Fisherman's Wharf Brgy. La Huerta, Pque	75	0.95	Safety	0.049	5.128
	MPB/ Fence Pavement, Valley 8, Brgy. San Antonio	45	0.57	Safety	0.037	6.524
	FMMDEO					
	Rehab Drain-age Martinez, Pateros	45		Billboards	0.032	6.596
	various road networks - Marikina	90	2.03	Billboard	0.107	5.269

- Billboards are part and parcel of the projects. They are not deemed irrelevant as they in fact serve a specific purpose - to encourage public participation in the promotion of public accountability in order to diminish opportunities to graft in government. This is required under COA Memorandum No. 87-492, DPWH D.O.s No. 83, s. 2005, and No. 5, s. 2009.
- The provision for Safety and Health is not without basis. This finds support from D.O. No. 56, s. 2005 which sought to implement DOLE D.O. No. 13, s. 1998. The implementation of construction safety shall be considered in all stages of the project and its cost shall be under the Pay Item "SPL-Construction Safety and Health".
- In Metro Manila, Lighting and Traffic Equipment

Again, this item was included in the contract in lump sum amounts ranging from **P2,366.36** to as high as **P429,017.84** which may no longer be considered reasonable. The cost of billboards were included in the estimates in lump sum amounts as follows:

IA	No. of	Perc	entage	Amount (i	n Pesos)	
IA	Proj.	From	То	From	To	
Tarlac 1 st DEO	4	0.266	2.235	15,000.00	22,076.30	
FMMDEO	51	0.017	6.596	5,565.69	152,500.00	
SMMDEO	110	0.035	11.405	2.366.36	71,529.85	
Albay 1st DEO	22	0.014	0.187	6,500.00	26,700.00	
RO V	31	0.013	1.959	5,000.00	429,017.84	
Cam.Sur 1st DEO	77	0.106	1.247	2,000.00	11,650.00	

The team agrees that this item is covered by DPWH DO No. 56, series of 2005. The RO, however, did not observe the costing requirements for this item. Instead, amounts ranging from **P26,000** to **P1.495 Million** were included in lump sum amounts as summarized below:

Managements' Comments

are indispensable because we are allowed to do road construction during night time only so as not to aggravate the terrible traffic. This is definitely necessary to prevent road accidents in the project site. Traffic enforcers had to be employed to direct the flow of traffic in the project site. It is rather absurd to consider these items not related to the project.

 All the items included are project related and nothing was put to waste. The Department has always worked on the approved budget. There was never an instance where the cost estimates exceed the approved budget. The availability of funds depends entirely on the release by the Department of Budget and Management.

The DPWH-RO V, Tarlac 1st DEO and SMMDEO also offered similar comment for Billboard and Health and Safety Equipment.

Team's Rejoinder

IA	No. of	Perce	entage	Amount	t (in Pesos)
IA	Proj.	From	From To		То
Tarlac 1st DEO	7	0.117	2.462	4,482.00	232,802.50
FMMDEO	27	0.013	3.186	10,924.41	178,562.00
SMMDEO	30	0.197	7.282	13,235.47	698,600.00
Albay 1st DEO	7	0.206	2.348	19,860.00	337,492.52
RO V	11	0.052	4.831	6,901.30	1,495,000.00
Cam. Sur 1 st DEO	13	0.114	2.134	1,350.00	26,000.00

As presented in the tabulation, not all DEOs are including these items in the POW. The tabulation of different charges of IAs is illustrated below:

IA	Bunk- house FO	Bill- boards	Safety and Health	Permits, Barricades, Others	Traffic Mgt.	Transpo. Equip	
Tarlac 1 st DEO	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х	Х	
FMMDEO	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	х	х	х	
SMMDEO	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	Х	
Albay 1 st DEO	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	\checkmark		
DPWH-RO V	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	Х	Х	
Cam. Sur 1 st DEO	х	\checkmark	\checkmark	х	х	х	
Legend: √ included x not included							

As clearly illustrated, only SMMDEO is including permits, barricade, lighting equipment and electric consumption in the POW. On the other hand, only SMMDEO and Albay 1st DEO included traffic management while only Albay 1st DEO included transportation equipment.

Response provided by Tarlac 1st DEO

 The facilities for the engineers such as bunkhouses and field offices are likewise included as pay items as per DPWH standard specifications for Highways Bridges and Airport Volume 2004. These are installed whenever there is necessity to do so such as when the project is in a far flung area and equipments and other apparatus had to be secured. This is also used as laboratory for the materials quality control activities. The team is not questioning the provision of field office for as long as the same is properly quantified.

Response provided by DPWH-RO V

 Procurement of service vehicle was initiated to facilitate close supervision in the implementation of projects. The agency lacks service vehicle, thus fleet of vehicles is increased with the purchase of the equipment incorporated in the POW, Deed of Conveyance and the registration of the vehicle for the agency was made after the completion of the project. Such procurement of service vehicle was already stopped. As discussed earlier, vehicles are included in a number of projects of the RO V with a number assigned to the RO with one not even accounted for. Besides, these were billed by the contractor in lump sum amounts ranging from P1.300 million to P1.995 million.

Managements' Comments	Team's Rejoind	Team's Rejoinder					
	Project	Contract Vehicle Amt Cost (in M ₽)		%			
	Concr. of Aroroy-Baleno -Lagta Masbate Jct. Buenavista Road						
	Malinta Section	110.420	1.995	1.81			
	•Gangao-Gangcahorao Mapina Secti.	112.335	1.510	1.34			
	Asphalt Overlay Andaya Highway, Cam Sur • Ragay-Sipocot Sec.	161.800	1.351	0.83			
	• Nagay-Sipocol Sec. • Del Gallego Ragay Sec (Sta. 297+989- Sta. 310+838)	171.400	1.351	0.83			
	•Del Gallego-Ragay Sec (Sta. 281+084-Sta. 319+000 w/ excep)	143.550	1.300	0.91			
	Lupi-Sipocot Section	143.500	1.385	0.97			
	Const. of seawall at Brgy. Sabang,	57.610	1.303	2.29			
	Calabanga, Naga						
	Const. of Revetment w/ Steel sheet piles	95.329	1.440	1.51			
Response provided by Albay 1 st DEO	<u></u>						
enjoining all concerned personnel particularly the Construction and Maintenance Chiefs and project engineer to observe and comply strictly to the safety and cost saving measures, and the prohibition in incorporating all items which was considered irrelevant and uneconomical/ ineffective. The arrangement required all concerned contractors to turn over all facilities such as field offices, billboards, safety and health traffic devices including transportation equipment for safe keeping after the completion of affected projects. For future projects to be implemented by this office, the existing inventory of safety, health and other traffic devices turned over by the contractors shall be considered in the preparation of POW and detailed estimates without incurring additional cost.							
 The five units of vehicles charged to four projects have been fully utilized for the timely completion of the four projects plus other projects of this office. Our office has only two (2) delapitated services vehicles and without these newly procured five (5) service vehicles, our operations and, administrative functions could have been greately derailed. Further, the transportation equipment were considered by this Office as vital and necessary in the implementation of the projects funded by the PDAF funds. 	We understand that vehicles are vital in the monitoring o implementation of projects and other activities of the DEOs However, this item is not properly chargeable against the projec cost and should be acquired by the DEOs upon approval by the President and in accordance with R.A. 9184.						

Annex M

Analysis of Transactions/Expenses of LGUs Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007-2009

I. Releases and Utilization

	Deficiencies		Utilization					
LGU	Noted	Financial Assistance	Procurement	Operating Expenses				
	(in Million Pesos)							
Mandaluyong City	₽ 515.406	₽ 88.879	₽ 426.527	-				
Tabaco City	159.364	29.645	129.719	-				
Iriga City	85.794	41.236	44.558	-				
Nueva Ecija	23.747	0.500	23.247	-				
Tarlac	78.935	0.700	78.235	-				
Bataan	7.490	6.768	0.722	-				
Taguig City	181.477	-	181.477	-				
Barangays of Taguig City	2.698	-	2.698	-				
Manila	38.817	-	38.817	-				
Barangays of Manila	11.535	-	11.535	-				
Quezon City	2.000	-	2.000	-				
Barangays of Quezon City	70.620	-	70.620	-				
Las Pinas	158.137	7.630	150.507	-				
Davao Oriental	125.283	12.745	112.538	-				
Panabo City	10.870	8.675	1.990	₽ 0.205				
Compostela Valley	15.301	1.143	14.158	-				
Total	₽ 1,487.474	₽ 197.921	₽ 1,289.348	₽ 0.205				

II. Nature of Expenses and Analysis of Expenditures

1. Mandaluyong City

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions:

Purpose /	Logialator	Amount (in M P)		Observations				
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Total	Observations				
Financial Assistance								
Burial / Funeral / Educ	cational / Financial / Medi	cal release	d to:					
Individuals	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	5.056	82.712	These expenses were not supported with DSWD				
	Florencio G Noel	1.321		evaluation/study as to indigency, medical abstract,				
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	58.794		health records, and hospital bills and doctor's prescription for medical assistance, school records				
	Not specified	17.174		and scholarship contracts for educational				
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.367		assistance, death certificate for burial assistance				

Purpose /	Logiclator	Amount	(in M P)	Observations			
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Total	Observations			
				or any proof to establish emergency situation and to assess the amount to be granted. There was even no request for assistance from the beneficiaries.			
Livelihood assistance	e released to:						
Individuals	Florencio G. Noel	0.286	2.174	These were not supported with project proposal			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.003		request from the beneficiaries and evaluation			
	Not specified	1.885		report on the need to grant assistance and assess eligibility under PDAF. As discussed earlier			
Mandaluyong Multi- Purpose Coop. (MPC)	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.183	0.183	financial assistance for the operation o cooperatives is not in line with the principle of the			
Hawak Kamay Producers Coop.	Edgardo J. Angara	0.500	0.500	government of releasing funds strictly for public purpose.			
Green Ladies Coop.	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	1.000	1.000	1.1.1.1			
Sports activities & ev							
Individuals	Prospero C. Nograles	2.310	2.310	These were also not supported with request from the beneficiaries, evaluation report on the need to provide assistance and assess eligibility under the program, approved sports program/proof of sport activities and events conducted and/or invitation to attend or participate in any sports events.			
Sut	p-total		88.879				
Procurement				These were not supported with duly acknowledge			
Miscellaneous items	intended for			distribution list, justifications for the procurement of			
Private Organizations	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.157	1.454	items and/or proof of necessity or urgency such a			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Not specified	0.297		declaration of calamity, request from the end users/beneficiaries, proof of posting in the			
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.925	4.870	PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication			
5	Not specified	0.945		of advertisement in newspaper of genera			
National Government Agencies (NGAs)	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.014	0.014	nationwide circulation for those within the threshold. In addition, the following requirement for each type of expense were not provided:			
Barangays	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.197	0.251	to each type of expense were not provided.			
	Not specified	0.035		• For meetings/events/trainings and simila			
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.019		activities:			
Day Care Center	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.328	1.770	 Invitations / plans / Office Order 			
(DCC) and schools	Not specified	0.442		authorizing the conduct of seminars			
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.123	6.888	trainings / meetings / events;			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.998		 List of attendees/participants for lakbage and the second s			
	Not specified	2.767		aral/trainings / event;Agenda / program for trainings / seminars			
Clothing / bags / capa events intended for:	s for cleanliness campaig	n, training a	and other	 events; Reports / minutes of meeting indicating the 			
Private Organizations	Florencio G. Noel	0.411	1.659	results of meetings / events; and			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.109		 Summary of expenses / items distributed during the conduct of each activity. 			
	Not specified	0.139		during the conduct of each activity.			
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.562	0.793	• For advertisement / publication stickers an			
-	Not specified	0.231		the like:			
NGAs	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.147	0.147	- Converted working ment (
Barangays	Florencio G. Noel	0.991	11.824	 Copy of advertisement / publication; Specifications, layout, cost estimates for 			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	7.215		poster, advertisement;			
	Not specified	1.751		 List of streets, barangays, recipients of th 			

Purpose /	Logislatar	Amount	(in M P)	Observations		
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge Total		Observations		
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.867		procured markers, stickers and simila		
DCC / schools	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.662	0.981	items procured;		
	Not specified	0.319	01701	 Request from the beneficiaries and 		
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.382	18.142	evaluation report as to necessity an		
	Florencio G. Noel	0.225		eligibility under the program.		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	8.867		• For equipment / vehicle repair / rental an		
	Not specified	8.488		pakyaw contracts:		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.180		- Chapitia aquinment or uphiala renaired		
Office / school / comi supplies intended for	munication / sound syste	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ng eqpt. /	 Specific equipment or vehicle repaired or rented supported with contract indicating the plate number, owner, period of rent 		
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.788	7.067	repair, contract amount, and projec		
g	Not specified	2.279		covered, among others;		
Health Centers (HC) & lying in clinics	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.291	0.291	 Approved trip tickets, list of specific projec undertaken, POW, scope of work, plan and specifications, detailed cost estimat 		
Schools and DCCs	Florencio G. Noel	0.249	14.383	accomplishment reports to support th		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	8.948		utilization of equipment rented and fuel, and		
	Not specified	4.569		activities undertaken under pakya		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.617		contract; Document supporting that "pakyaw" lab		
Barangays	Florencio G. Noel	0.764	6.796	contractors were drawn from within th		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.310		vicinity of the project.		
	Not specified	1.486		- For operto potivition		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.236		For sports activities:		
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.643	13.052	 Sports program / Office Order authorizing 		
	Florencio G. Noel	0.832		the conduct of sports activities/events;		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	7.041		 Reports indicating the dates, venue participants, activities undertaken includir 		
	Not specified	3.686		the items distributed and recipients, a		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.850		results of the programs / activities;		
Groceries / foods for sponsorship / concer	meetings / events and fir t fee intended for:	eworks / gift	s /	 Request from the users and evaluation at assessment report as to necessity at 		
Private Organizations	Florencio G. Noel	0.539	8.299	eligibility under the program.		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5.681		The validity of a number of these transaction		
	Not specified	2.079		may even be considered questionable for the		
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.075	3.098	following reasons:		
	Not specified	0.023		 Fourteen beneficiaries/recipients 		
Barangays	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.233	61.041	assistance amounting to P74,000 denie		
	Florencio G. Noel	5.949		receiving any assistance from the C		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	37.149		Government; and Transactions amounting to P29 744 Milli		
	Not specified	8.204		 Transactions amounting to #28.744 Millio were, likewise, questionable as these we 		
	Prospero C. Nograles	9.506		denied by the purported suppliers.		
DCC and schools	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.784	1.122	 Transactions worth P263.676 Million we 		
	Not specified	0.338		considered questionable as the purporte suppliers were not legally and/or physica		
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	3.415	23.387	existing as they were either not issue		
	Florencio G. Noel	0.400		business permits to operate, or cannot l		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	12.508		located, or of questionable capability		
	Not specified	6.056		meet the requirements of the projects, issued guestionable receipts.		

Purpose /	Landalatan	Amount (in M P)		Observations					
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge Total		Observations					
Mountain bikes / mot	orcycle intended for:			recipients of assistance amounting					
Mandaluyong Cycling Federation	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.034	0.034	₽1.687 Million cannot also be locate unknown at their given addresses.					
Mandaluyong Biker's Association		0.058	0.058	unlocated beneficiaries were oftentimes r registered voters. Information gather from the ITD – Commission on Election					
Barangay Burol, Mandaluyong City		0.066	0.066	Central Office disclosed that out 25,401 listed beneficiaries of the Ci					
Advertisement, poste for the use of or for th	r and other information on the benefit of:	disseminatior	n items	only 5,180 are registered voters. T identities then of 20,221 recipier					
Congressman's Office	Not specified	0.035	0.035	cannot even be established.					
Barangays	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.072	0.072	 Six suppliers did not confirm the second seco					
Schools	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.163	0.275	transactions with the City Government Mandaluyong. They were, though, issu					
	Not specified	0.112		business permits.					
Manpower Dev't. Training Center (MDTC)	Neptali M. Gonzales	0.181	0.181	 Two suppliers, though issued busine permits, already moved out from their giv 					
LGU Operations	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.449	3.978	addresses, hence, transactions can					
	Not specified	0.529		longer be confirmed.One item was not used and found stored					
	ental and/or repair / hard ibricants for the benefit of		ito	 One item was not used and round stored the Supply Room while a number oth were no longer functional as found in 					
Green Ladies Coop.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.210	0.234	compound of the supplier. There were					
	Not specified	0.024		documents provided that such items w					
Mandaluyong Post Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.126	0.126	 even used by the City Government. The reported multiple recipients monetary assistance from the C 					
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.124	1.208	Government were also reported by					
	Not specified	0.084		other IAs as their beneficiaries. T					
Barangays	Florencio G. Noel	0.576	16.510	beneficiaries were, however, also us					
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	14.235		different signatures which is a considered questionable.					
	Not specified	1.366		considered questionable.					
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.333		A number of transactions in substantial amou					
Schools /DCC/ HC	Florencio G. Noel	1.285	34.374	were paid using cash advances in further violat					
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	25.474		of existing regulations, as manifested below:					
	Not specified	7.615		 Cash advances amounting to P37 					
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.668	22.232	Million were granted to 20 SDOs/SCO					
	Florencio G. Noel	0.108		without specific purpose in violation					
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	15.311		 the provisions of COA Circular No. 97-00 Amounts of cash advances granted to 					
	Not specified	2.251		SDOs/SCOs either exceeded th					
	Prospero C. Nograles	3.894		maximum bond coverage or not covered					
Pakyaw contracts for	declogging for:			any bond at all. These SDOs with bo					
LGU Operations	Florencio G. Noel	3.670	42.924	coverage ranging from only P75,000 P3.50 Million were granted cash advance					
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	22.366		ranging from ₽1.50 Million to ₽10					
	Not specified	3.629		Million.					
	Prospero C. Nograles	13.259		 Cash advances granted in the total amo 					
Medals / trophies for	special / sports activities		nts for:	of P111.5 Million were not covered w appropriate risk bond in further violation					
Private Organizations	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.212	0.212	Sections 313-335, Chapter 15, of the Pul					
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.485	0.967	Bonding Law and pertinent Bureau					
igi cooman o omoo	Not specified	0.482	3.707	Treasury Circulars.					
Barangays	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.206	1.658	 Cash advances were used to set obligations exceeding the prescrib 					
balanga ju	Not specified	0.325	1.000	limit under COA Circular No. 97-002					

Purpose /	Logislator	Amount	(in M ₽)	Observations
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Total	Observations
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.127		₽15,000 per transaction. In 470 sample
DCC / schools	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.767	0.767	cases, payments ranged from ₽15,300
LGU operations	Florencio G. Noel	0.375	2.711	as high as #4.490 Million which ma
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.731		already be considered high improbable.
	Not specified	0.147		 Cash advances amounting to #69.1
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.458		Million were used to pay purchases
Training / cleaning a	nd gardening supplies ar	d materials	for:	construction materials including renta of heavy equipment/machineries
Barangays	Florencio G. Noel	0.498	10.698	violation of the provisions of COA Circul
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	6.689		No. 97-002 prohibiting the grant of car
	Not specified	2.648		advances on account of infrastructu
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.863		projects or other undertaking on a proje basis. The projects undertaken out of the
HC / schools	Florencio G. Noel	0.890	12.845	materials and equipment rental were al
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	8.146		not disclosed.
	Not specified	2.390		 Cash advances amounting to P43.1
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.419		Million were, likewise, used in settlin obligations to 151 "pakyaw" contraction
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.653	9.693	for the dredging/declogging/excavatio
	Florencio G. Noel	0.685		clearing of various streets/rivers/cana
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.691		and repairs/ rehabilitation of school
	Not specified	1.183		and barangay buildings. These contract were likewise not supported with a
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.481		completion/accomplishment reports.
Medical supplies and	equipment for:			 Additional cash advances were granted
Congressman's Office	Not specified	0.275	0.275	without liquidation of previous cases advances in further violation of CC
Barangays	Florencio G. Noel	1.894	15.683	Circular No. 97-002.
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	9.603		 Cash advances were used to liquidate
	Not specified	1.730		expenses incurred by the SDOs prior
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.456		 the granting of cash advances. The submitted liquidation documents a
LGU Operations	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	2.926	8.476	either over or under the amount of ca
	Florencio G. Noel	0.975		advances granted which further casts dou
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.650		on the validity of the reported transactions
	Not specified	1.019		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.904		
Mandaluyong City MC	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	10.956	24.558	
	Florencio G. Noel	1.771		
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	9.826		
	Not specified	1.392		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.613		
HC / lying in clinics	Florencio G. Noel	0.599	8.600	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5.561		
	Not specified	1.875		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.565		
Reagents for pest co	ntrol treatment for:			
NGAs	Florencio G. Noel	0.258	2.949	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.219		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.472		
Barangays	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.106	3.396	
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.290		

Purpose /	Logiclator	Amount	(in M P)
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Total
HC / clinics / schools	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.613	7.510
	Not specified	5.254	
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.643	
LGU Operations	Neptali M. Gonzales II,	0.358	3.579
	Not specified	0.180	
	Prospero C. Nograles	3.041	
Stickers / fancy board special activities for:	d / lamp sets / tray box / te	ent / tapete	for
Schools	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.432	0.432
Barangays	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.371	0.595
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.224	
Congressman's Office	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.517	0.542
	Not specified	0.025	
LGU Operations	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.573	0.745
Not specified		0.172	
Sub	o-total		426.527
Т	otal		515.406

B. Transactions denied by the purported suppliers:

Cumplian / Contropter	Nature	L a sialatan	Total (in M P)		
Supplier / Contractor	Nature	Legislator	Charge	Amount	
AGMP Trading	Pest control solutions,	Florencio G. Noel	1.817	7.347	
	medicines, medical supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.893		
		Not specified	0.423		
		Prospero C. Nograles	0.214		
Aysee Catering	Food	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.372	0.862	
		Not specified	0.490		
Bonus Merchandising	Office supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.307 0.42		
		Not specified	0.113		
Charmalene Marketing	Construction & repair materials,	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.248 1.4		
	uniforms, linoleum & canvas, T- shirts, textile, medical supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.044		
		Not specified	0.112		
Copyer Ent Corp.	Office supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.225	0.225	
De Luxe Canvas and	Office supplies, furniture &		0.135	0.334	
Upholstery	fixture repair	Not specified	0.199		
Elachem Industrial Sales	Cleaning materials	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.413	0.413	
Gameline Marketing Corp.	Office supplies, computer	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.240	0.482	
	design & layout, indoor photogloss	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.242		
Grist Chem Corporation	Cleaning materials & medical		0.285	0.527	
	supplies	Not specified	0.242		
INCA Plastics Phils., Inc.	Office supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.228	0.453	

Supplier / Contractor	Naturo	Logislator	Total (i	n M P)	
Supplier / Contractor	Nature	Legislator	Charge	Amount	
		Not specified	0.225		
Interclean Marketing &	Cleaning, repair & painting	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.534	0.85	
Services	materials		0.323		
JRS Hat Store	Caps, sports uniforms	aterials Not specified aps, sports uniforms Neptali M. Gonzales II not specified Not specified ports uniforms Neptali M. Gonzales II not specified Not specified ports & various materials, T- nirts, garments Neptali M. Gonzales II opties & medals Neptali M. Gonzales II edical supplies & medicines Not specified oco lumber, repair & anitenance of various Day are Centers Neptali M. Gonzales II edals & trophies Not specified chool supplies Neptali M. Gonzales II eals for food & nutrition ssistance Neptali M. Gonzales II leaning of drainage & canals, edging & desilting of steros/waterways Florencio G. Noel Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified not specified Prospero C. Nograles leaning & repair materials, nti-dengue chemicals Neptali M. Gonzales II not specified Not specified prospero C. Nograles Not specified not specified Not specified not specified Not specified not specified Not specified not specified Not specified not specif	0.334	0.484	
		Not specified	0.150		
Kian Tay Trading	Sports uniforms	Sports uniforms Neptali M. Gonzales II 0.223		0.43	
		Not specified	0.210		
Kok Tay Trading Corp.	Sports & various materials, T-	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.549	0.87	
	shirts, garments	Not specified	0.325		
LMS Industries	Trophies & medals	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.029	1.02	
Obyped Drugstore Co.	Medical supplies & medicines		0.198	0.21	
<u>,</u>		Not specified Iting Not specified Not specified Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified Not specified Not specified Not specified Not specified ials, T- Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified ials, T- Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified S Day Neptali M. Gonzales II S Day Neptali M. Gonzales II S Day Florencio G. Noel Not specified Prospero C. Nograles Interials Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Prospero C. Nograles Interials Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified Interials Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified Interials Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified Interials Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified Interials Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified Not specified	0.014		
Pangan Coco Lumber	maintenance of various Day		0.464	0.46	
Rivera Mastercraft, Inc.	Medals & trophies		0.242	0.24	
Weston Grocery	School supplies		0.203	0.20	
Perfectbuilt Trade Corp. (Perfect Resource Trading)	Meals for food & nutrition assistance		0.320	0.32	
Mr. Roberto Reyes ("Pakyaw"	Cleaning of drainage & canals,	Florencio G. Noel	0.310	5.11	
contractor)	dredging & desilting of	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.935		
	esteros/waterways	Not specified	0.111		
		Prospero C. Nograles	2.761		
A & P Leisure Products Corp.	Cleaning & repair materials,	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.461	0.549	
	anti-dengue chemicals	Not specified	0.088		
Addition Lumber	Construction & repair materials	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.706	0.70	
Carpa Ind'l Corp.	Construction supplies, repair		0.598	0.85	
	materials	Not specified	0.260		
New Jereno Hardware &	Auto & construction supplies,	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.737	0.91	
Electrical Supply	electrical & repair materials	Not specified	0.181		
Polyfoam-RGC Int'l. Corp.	Foams	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.124	0.50	
		Not specified	0.379		
Quest Paint Trdg Corp.	Electrical, painting & repair materials, construction supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.653	1.65	
PC Options Comm'l	Office equipment & supplies, IT	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.177	0.85	
	supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.410		
		Not specified	0.267		
	Total			28.74	

The confirmation letter for Mr. Roberto Reyes, a "pakyaw" contractor was delivered at his address but was not received by his spouse. The spouse, in her letter given to the Team, declared that Mr. Roberto Reyes is not a contractor but working in Camella Homes as a helper to the contractor and did not enter into

contract with the City Government. Likewise, she claimed that the signature in the "Pakyaw" Agreement, attached to the confirmation letter is fictitious.

On the other hand, seven suppliers denied issuing a number of receipts tabulated as follows:

Supplier	No. of R	eceipts	Total	Amount Denied
Supplier	Issued	Denied	(in M P)	(in M P)
A & P Leisure Products Corp.	5	4	0.551	0.549
Addition Lumber	6	4	0.707	0.706
Carpa Ind'l Corp.	20	14	0.867	0.858
New Jereno Hardware & Electrical Supply	15	6	1.052	0.918
Polyfoam-RGC Int'l. Corp.	6	3	0.509	0.503
Quest Paint Trdg Corp.	13	8	1.657	1.653
PC Options Comm'l	36	24	0.906	0.854
Total	101	63	6.249	6.041

C. Transactions with suppliers of questionable existence:

Supplier / Contractor	Legislator	Amount (in M P)		Nature	Remarks		
Contractor		Charges	Total				
Grendel	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.099	4.354	Cleaning, painting,	These suppliers ha		
Trading	Not specified	0.255		repair, electrical & construction materials, medical supplies,	permits to operate located at their given have given fictitious	en addresses, or	
2MEX Ent.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.951	3.979	Pest control	addresses.		
	Not specified	1.172		treatment, dredging, clearing, cleaning,			
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.856		excavation			
CQ-DX	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.830	1.117	Battery packs,	Supplier	Remarks	
Repair Center	Not specified	0.287		electrical supplies, repairs of battery packs	CQ-DX Repair Center Eastern Aluminum	Receipts/SIs bearing numbers outside the series	
ARJT Trdg	Florencio G. Noel	0.499	8.001	Food, office & sports	Trinity Mgt. Solutions BIR to be printer Closed sir 2005. The prin ARJT Trading Center of the receipts!	purportedly authorized by the	
Center	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5.384		supplies, groceries, trophies, cleaning		BIR to be printed.	
	Not specified	0.985		materials		2005. The printer	
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.133				of the receipts/SIs issued did not also	
Benrex Med. Supplies & Eqpt.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.408	1.408	Medical equipment, supplies & medicines	BRCY Trading W2RS Trading Macky's Tailoring	reply. Receipts/SIs bearing ATPs being used by other suppliers.	
AN C.V.D.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.230	4.866	Repair, electrical,		The printer of the	
Trading	Not specified	0.636		painting, cleaning & various materials, construction supplies	Elipt Trading	receipts / SIs of Elipt Trading even denied printing the receipts/SIs.	
BRCY	Florencio G. Noel	0.333	3.400	Medicines, medical &		Printer of the receipts / SIs	
Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.632		dental supplies, soap- making materials	Expo Tours Philippines	cannot be located	
	Not specified	0.862		making materials		due to insufficient address.	
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.573					

Supplier /	Amount Legislator (in M P)			Nature	Remarks		
Contractor	Logiolator	Charges Total					
CRBR	Gonzales II, Neptali M.	1.613	2.013	Construction,			
Trading	Not specified	0.400		electrical, cleaning & repair materials	Supplier Mariz 4:19	Remarks Printer of	
D' Rivera's	Florencio G. Noel	0.450	1.349	Auto supplies, water	Commercial	receipts /	
Motor Shop	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.370	1.347	tank parts & materials		either der printing the rec	
	Not specified	0.450			MDLJ Enterprises	or unlocated of to insuffici	
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.079				address	
Eastern Aluminum & Glass Supply	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.578	0.578	Construction & repair materials			
Ed Varona Enterprises	Prospero C. Nograles	0.250	0.250	Uniforms			
Elipt Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.988	1.505	Rice, groceries,			
	Not specified	0.517		training materials, sports materials, Oplan Dengue materials			
EMCee	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.964	0.965	T-shirts, photo name			
Design	Not specified	0.001		plate, plastic frames, office & various supplies			
Expo Tours	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.200	0.384	Bus rental			
Philippines	Not specified	0.184					
Femia David Dry Good	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.602	0.602	T-shirts, supplies, sports uniforms			
Macky's	Florencio G. Noel	0.225	1.744	Sports uniform &			
Tailoring & Sports Wear	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.744		materials, food			
	Not specified	0.595					
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.180					
Maripolo Enterprises	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	3.962	3.962	Medical equipment & supplies			
Mariz 4:19 Comm'l	Not specified	1.056	1.056	T-shirts, uniforms, medicines			
MDLJ	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.425	3.420	Medical Supplies/			
Enterprises	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.704		Equip-ment/Vehicles, Tournaments, Misc.			
	Not specified	1.291		Expenses			
Meditrade	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.240	2.859	Dental, medical &			
Mktg. & Gen. Mdse.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.665		various supplies, medicines			
	Not specified	0.954					
One-Eight Antenna Cntr	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.268	0.268	Radio & communication equipment			
Peter Pan	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.408	2.305	Garments, uniforms,			
General Merch.	Not specified	0.897		T-shirts, vest, sports uniforms, trophies & sports materials, battery packs			
Ronor's	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.277	1.471	Const'n, electrical &			
General Merch.	Not specified	0.194		ofc supplies, repair & sports materials, traffic coats			

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in N		Nature	R	emarks
Contractor		Charges	Total			
Trinity Mgt.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.827	1.335	Office supplies, IT		
Solutions	Not specified	0.508		hardware & supplies,		
W2RS	Florencio G. Noel	0.990	6.032	Medical & school		
Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.328		supplies, medicines,		
	Not specified		cleaning materials, fogging solution, drain			
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.978		clog remover, training materials, eyeglasses, labor for pest control treatment		
Zurewell	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	6.402	7.660	Medical equipment &		
Health Ventures	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.258		supplies		
HVB Gen.	Not specified	0.025	0.253	T-shirts & training		s have no business
Merch.	Prospero C. Nograles	0.228		materials	permits to opera their transaction	ite and did not confirm ons. A number o
MA2G	Florencio G. Noel	0.249	3.585	Training materials and	suppliers also is	sued receipts with the
Bloom/ Foliage	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.356		Supplies, Plants/ Flowers/flower Arrange-	following deficier	ncies:
Flower Shop	Not specified	0.538		ments, Garden Plants/	Supplier	Remarks
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.442		Soil/Flowers- Land- scaping/Greening/Beau- tification, Grocery Items	HVB Gen. Merchandising Leonard's	Printer of the receipts / SIs moved out or unlocated due to
MA2G	Florencio G. Noel	0.625	5.354	Groceries, cleaning	Native Lechon Pameroivy	insufficient address.
Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.181		materials, trophies, schools supplies	Construction &	
	Not specified	0.503		schools supplies	Trading Parosia	
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.045			Enterprise	Dessints/Cla bassing
Atlas Screen Printing Supplies	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.128	0.128	Board with print	BGWM Enterprises Lieutenant Construction & Supply	Receipts/SIs bearing numbers outside the series purportedly authorized by the BIR to be printed, and
B Valencerina Trdg & Cons't.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.999	1.999	Electrical & const'n. materials, IT supplies	First	printer of the receipts / SIs of BGWM Enterprise unknown at its given address.
Balloon City	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.071	0.178	Balloons, chair rental,	Commercial	Printer of the receipts / SIs denied printing the
,	Not specified	0.088		party poppers		SIs. Confirmation letter was
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.019			Enterprises	not received by the
BGWM	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.015	1.451	Groceries, T-shirts,		father of the proprietor who informed the Team
Enterprises	Not specified 0.220		vest, tray box, sports		that his daughter has no such business.	
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.216		uniform	R.A.S.	Receipts/SIs bearing
BPR Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.463	2.103	Various supplies,	Enterprises	ATPs being used by other suppliers.
Dirtridanig	Not specified	0.640	2.100	groceries, vest jacket, sports uniform, T-shirts		
Chembs Trading Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.152	0.152	Meals		
Clemencia M.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.135	0.199	Newspaper, office &		
Sibayan News Paper Dealer	Not specified	0.064		computer supplies		
First Comm'l.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.446	0.658	Garments		
	Not specified	0.212				
Four JB	Florencio G. Noel	1.114	11.813	Food		
Canteen	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5.899				
Services	Not specified	2.982				

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in N		Nature	Remarks		
Contractor	J	Charges	Total				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.818					
FP Raymundo's Tour Services	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.150	0.150	Bus rental			
Healthcare Medical Corp.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.728	0.728	Medical supplies			
Izakaya	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.130	0.167	Meals			
Kappo Tsukiji Rest. – Arnaiz Ave., Mkti.	Not specified	0.037					
Javisevi	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.098	4.007	Electrical, ofc & repair,			
Trading	Not specified	0.909		sports supplies, const'n, cleaning materials			
JEA Mktg.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.185	0.185	Construction materials			
JER Printing	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.238	0.350	Poster			
Services	Not specified	0.112					
Kalentong	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.086	0.095	Construction Supplies			
Plastic Center	Not specified	0.009		and Materials, Office Supplies			
Lander Ent.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.146	0.146	Electrical supplies			
Leonard's	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.257	0.355	Food Served and			
Native Lechon – San Juan	Not specified	0.098		Accommodations			
Lieutenant	Florencio G. Noel	0.701	4.812	Const'n Supplies and			
Cons't. & Supply	Neptali M. Gonzales II			3.489	leptali M. Gonzales II 3.489	Materials, Rentals of Heavy Equipment/	
ouppiy	Not specified	0.622		Machineries			
M1B2S Trdg.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.369	0.369	Clothing			
Mert Trdg.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.062	0.116	Auto supplies			
Co.	Not specified	0.054					
MJVA	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.579	3.090	Trophies, painting,			
Enterprises	Not specified	0.511		const'n, repair, cleaning & sports materials, cork board, brass medallion, security supplies			
MS Aguilar Gen. Mdse.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.230	0.230	Office supplies			
New Mla Stationery	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.145	0.145	Office supplies			
Oakwen Mktg.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.737	0.737	Medical supplies			
Pameroivy	Florencio G. Noel	0.388	4.646	Painting, electrical,			
Const'n & Trdg.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.270		constn materials, labor for cleaning & clearing,			
5	Not specified	0.923		dreding/ excavation,			
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.065		pest control treatment			
Parosia Ent.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.480	0.655	Sports uniforms,			
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.175	1.100	groceries & school supplies			
R.A.S. Enterprises	Florencio G. Noel	0.405	1.439	Groceries, medical supplies			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.034					

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in M		Nature	Remarks
Contractor	5	Charges	Total		
Robert	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.173	0.244	Flower arrangements	
Flower Shop	Not specified	0.071			
VF Bag	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.230	0.455	Bags	
Manufacturer	Not specified	0.225			
Abbie Lynn Ent.	Not specified	0.290	0.290	Clothing	
A & J	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.089	0.091	Streamer	
Advertising	Not specified	0.002			
Beverly Tailor	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.023	0.023	Uniforms	
Chelsea	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.020	0.023	Meals	
Market & Café	Not specified	0.003			
Citytires	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.056	0.073	Auto supplies &	
Sales and Services	Not specified	0.017		repairs	
Co-Green	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.061	0.090	Office supplies	
Trading	Not specified	0.029			
Comlan, Inc.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.024	0.024	Service charge fee, Siemens Euroset Basic Phone, repairs	
E Global	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.047	0.049	Office supplies,	
Structure Tech. Corp.	Not specified	0.002		computer parts	
Floor Center	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.018	0.018	Repairs	
Forsc Sounds and Lights	Not specified	0.050	0.050	Soundsystem rental	
Joyfulight Marketing	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.074	0.074	Various items for sound system	
KJJR Const'n.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.019	0.019	Repairs of Brgy. Day Care & Health Centers & Hardin ng Kabataan Bldg.	
Loppets- Puppet Prod'n.	Not specified	0.045	0.045	Puppet show	
Lucky Dumpling Plastic Mktg.	Not specified	0.039	0.039	Misc. expenses	
Mary Ann	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.064	0.080	Catering	
Tantay Catering Svcs.	Not specified	0.016			
Meylin's Pot	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.013	0.015	Meals	
and Noodle	Not specified	0.002			
Motortrade Nationwide Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.066	0.066	Motorcycle	
New Vergara	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.008	0.013	Const'n. materials,	
Trading	Not specified	0.005		auto supplies	
Orchard Road	Not specified	0.001	0.001	Meals	

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in N		Nature	Rem	arks
Contractor		Charges	Total			
Puregold –	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.034	0.059	Meals & groceries		
Kalentong Br., Manila	Not specified	0.025				
R De Guzman Auto Repair Shop	Not specified	0.034	0.034	Auto supplies		
Radian Cons'n Materials	Not specified	0.093	0.093	Construction supplies		
Robar Const'n Supplies	Not specified	0.083	0.083	Repair materials		
Silicon Valley Computers	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.062	0.062	Office & IT supplies		
SENJU	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.013	0.013	Meals		
Tammy's Balloons & Party Needs	Florencio G. Noel	0.047	0.047	Misc. expense (balloons & party needs)		
The Clean	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.016	0.020	Cleaning service		
and Clear Co.	Not specified	0.004				
The Steak	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.049	0.064	Meals		
Factory	Not specified	0.015				
Work- systems, Inc.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.022	0.022	Construction supplies, cable service		
Action Agad	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.251	0.745	Aluminum & glass	These suppliers	confirmed their
Aluminum and Glass Specialist	Not specified	0.494		, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	transactions with the However, they have permit to ope	e either no business
Double "D"	Florencio G. Noel	0.556	4.216	Food	questionable receip	s/SIs:
Catering	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.369			Supplier	Remarks
Services	Not specified	0.474			Action Agad	Issued receipts/SIs
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.817			Aluminum Her Sun Marketing	which were no longer within the
EMJ3 Ent.	Florencio G. Noel	1.431	8.549	Tournaments, Cleaning	Lamps JST Enterprises	series purportedly authorized by the
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.545		Supplies and Materials,	KIKC Enterprises	BIR to be printed,
	Not specified	1.276		Equipment	MMPC	and/or using ATP and TIN being used
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.297			New Joy Garments	by other suppliers. MMPC has also no
FL Brion Rice	Florencio G. Noel	0.895	3.119	Rice & groceries	Int. Co. Double "D"	permit to operate
Dealer	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.090		U U	Catering Services	while the printers of KIKC, JST and New
	Not specified	0.024			EMJ3 Enterprises Kamuzta Garden	Joy either denied printing the receipt
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.110			Florecita Canteen	or unknown at their
Florecita	Florencio G. Noel	0.122	2.427	Food		given addresses or closed.
Canteen	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.561			FL Brion Rice Dealer	Printer of the receipts/SIs has no
	Not specified	0.679			Dealei	business permit and
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.065				unlocated due to insufficient address.
Her Sun Mktg	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.422	1.041	Electrical, repair &	JAS and FAT	Printer of the
Lamps and Electrical Supply	Not specified	0.619		hardware materials	General Mdse	receipts / SIs denied printing the receipts and is using two (2) different TIN.

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in N		Nature	Rer	narks
Contractor		Charges	Total			
JAS and FAT	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.409	0.497	Cleaning supplies &		
General Mdse.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.088		construction materials	Supplier Richard Barrio's	Remarks Printers of the
JST Ent.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	3.591	3.591	Food	General Mdse Swissmate	receipts / SIs unknown at their
Kamuzta	Florencio G. Noel	0.684	2.106	Plants & gardening	Enterprises	given address.
Garden	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.835		materials	Zedd's Drygoods	No business permi to operate.
	Not specified	0.587			Paul's Apparel Botika ng	-
KIKC Ent.	Florencio G. Noel	0.472	4.382	Garments, T-shirts &	Mandaluyong	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.269		sports materials, groceries, gala	Consumer Cooperative	
	Not specified	1.391		uniform		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.250				
Mandaluyong	Florencio G. Noel	1.746	8.529	Educational Supplies/		
Multi-Purpose Coop.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.010		Material Assistance, Medical Supplies/		
C00p.	Prospero C. Nograles	2.773		Equipment/Vehicle, Rice and Sugar Assistance		
New Joy	Florencio G. Noel	0.990	3.904	Uniforms, jacket,		
Garments Int'l. Co.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.133		garments, T-shirts, sports uniforms		
Inti. Co.	Not specified	0.781		sports uniforms		
Richard	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.352	0.362	Repair, const'n. & repair		
Barrio's General Mdse.	Not specified	0.010		materials, office supplies		
Zedd's Drygoods	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.019	0.019	Towels, blankets, mats		
Paul's	Florencio G. Noel	0.723	2.583	T-shirts, sports		
Apparel	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.811		uniform, garments		
	Not specified	0.799				
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.250				
Botika ng	Florencio G. Noel	1.363	9.394	Medical Supplies/		
Mandaluyong Consumer	Neptali M. Gonzales II	6.376		Equipment/Vehicle, Medical/Dental Mission,		
Coop.	Not specified	0.239		Misc. Expenses		
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.416				
Swissmate Enterprises	Not specified	0.439	0.439	Medical supplies		
AF Sison	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.205	0.235	Auto & construction		vere issued busines
Marketing	Not specified	0.030		supplies, repair	permits by the concerned LGI However, they cannot be located their given addresses or address	
All Good	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.655	1.228	Uniforms, T-shirts,		s or insufficient. The
Traders	Not specified	0.573		vest, raincoat, janitorial supplies	found deficient as	ipts/SIs which wer discussed below:
All-Aces	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.,	0.175	1.104	Garments, vest,	Supplier	Remarks
Shirts Mfg.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	iackat T chirts	jacket, T-shirts		Printer unknown at its	
	Not specified	0.444			Marketing Densan	given address.
Chay's Kaiba	Florencio G. Noel	0.248	3.344	Food	Enterprises All Good	Printer denied printing
Restaurant	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.039				receipts/SIs.
N	Not specified	1.448				

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in N		Nature	R	emarks
Contractor	3	Charges	Total			
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.609				
Clinton	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.485	0.579	Construction & repair	Supplier	Remarks
Comm'l Corp.	Not specified	0.094		materials	All-Aces Shirts Mfg.	Receipts/SIs bearin numbers no longe
Densan Ent. /	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.495	0.495	Groceries	Equine-Power Trading Co.	within the authorize series to be printed
E Copy III	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.557	0.712	Office supplies	Han-Gang	The printer of th
Corp.	Not specified	0.155			Foam and Chemical Corp.	receipts / SIs of Melbo Ent. even denie
Equine	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.479	1.743	Various materials,	Melbon	printing the receipts/SI while that of Stewell
Power Trading Co.	Not specified	0.264		const'n. & fireman supplies, handcuffs, caps, baton, cleaning & electrical materials	Enterprises Stewell Kleen Janitorial Supplies & Services	unlocated due t incomplete address.
Eved Four	Florencio G. Noel	0.712	5.533	Tournaments,	Chay's Kaiba Restaurant	Receipts/SIs bearin ATPs being used b
General	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.038		Educational	Eved Four	other suppliers. Printe
Mdse.	Not specified	0.452		Supplies/Materials Assistance, Cleaning	General Mdse M.A.T. Five	of the receipts of Chay's Kaiba Rest.
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.331		Materials and Supplies, Pest Contol/Materials/ Labor, Const'n.	Trading Morex-Media Pharma Corp. Praisetron	even unknown at it given address. Printer unlocated du
	Nextell M. C	1 700	2.002	Supplies	Electronics	to incomplete address Some of the item
GENEQ Enterprises	Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified	1.799 1.084	2.883	83 Groceries, rice, fireman's supplies, cleaning materials		from this supplier such as XLR and Speker
GRX Malolos Cement Cntr.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.669	0.669	Construction & repair materials	were also u for. These	were also unaccounte for. These units wer reportedly distributed t
Komorebi	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.478	0.868	Food		schools but the school
Foods Corp.	Not specified	0.390				officials denied receip of the same.
Han-Gang Foam and Chemical Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.459	0.459	Foam insulator		
Liana Trdg	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.969	1.209	Cleaning & various		
Corporation	Not specified	0.240		materials		
LT Dizon Ent.	Prospero C. Nograles	0.250	0.250	Uniforms		
M.A.T. Five	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.375	4.316	Tournaments,		
Trading	Not specified	0.466		Cleaning Supplies and Materials, Educ'l		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.475		Supplies/Mat'ls Assistance, Const'n Supplies, Med'l Supplies /Equipment/ Vehicle, training Supplies/Mat'ls, Office Supplies		
MCT Photo	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.212	0.259	Photo printing, IT, office		
Center	Not specified	0.047		& school supplies		
Melbon	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	0.244	0.842	Cleaning & repair		
Enterprises	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.222		mat'ls, construction supplies		
	Not specified	0.376		PT ···		
Morex-Media	Florencio G. Noel	0.245	4.366	Wheelchair, medical		
Pharma Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.471		supplies, soap-making materials, &		
- 5·P.	Not specified	0.663		medicines		
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.987				
MTO Int'l.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.125	0.374	Communication		
Product Mobilizer	Not specified	0.249		equipment, supplies		

Supplier /	Legislator	Amo (in N		Nature	F	Remarks
Contractor	, j	Charges	Total			
Praisetron Electronics	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.333	0.333	Soundsystem, auto & electronic supplies		
Premier Ready-Mix, Inc.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.185	0.185	Construction materials		
Saltaq	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.403	0.718	Medical & janitorial		
Marketing Corporation	Not specified	0.315		supplies		
Stewell Kleen	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.997	1.203	Cleaning materials &		
Janitorial Supplies & Services	Not specified	0.206		school supplies		
FMR Corporation	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.210	0.210	Bus rental		
Dracopower	Florencio G. Noel	0.258	9.498	Pest control treatment		s were issued busines
Hardware and General	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.060				concerned LGUs but di e transactions. Moreover
Merchandise	Not specified	1.354				g Cely's Seafoods an
	Prospero C. Nograles	3.826				have issued receipts/SI
First Team	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.803	2.503	Sports uniforms,		rs no longer within th s to be printed in additio
Sports Marketing	Not specified	0.700		garments, T-shirts, uniforms	to the following o	
Sabile	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.030	1.437	Electrical, painting &	Supplier	Remarks
Construction Supply, Inc.	Not specified	0.407		repair materials, auto & construction supplies	Dracopower Hardware and	Used ATPs being used by other suppliers.
St. Dominic	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.216	0.442	Fireman's & medical	General Mdse. Uni-Asia	Used ATPs being used by
Industrial, Inc.	Not specified	0.226		supplies	Healthcare Medical Corp.	other suppliers and printer of the receipts / SI
Uni-Asia	Florencio G. Noel	0.490	3.602	Medical & dental		unlocated at its given
Healthcare Medical Corp.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2.418		supplies	First Team Sports	address. Printing of receipts/SIs
moulour o orp:	Not specified	0.328				denied by the printer. Printer unsure of their
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.366			Bazaar Sporting	authenticity.
New Supreme	Florencio G. Noel	0.582	5.983	Medals, trophies sports	Goods Corp	Drinting of receipto/Clo
Bazaar Sporting	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.643		supplies, T-shirts	Aling Cely's Seafoods	Printing of receipts/SIs denied by the printer.
Goods	Not specified	0.906				
Corporation	Prospero C. Nograles	0.852				
Aling Cely's	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.618	1.083	Food		
Seafoods and Restaurant	Not specified	0.465				
City Chain	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.945	1.216	Chain, sports materials		pts/SIs unlocated at thei
Trading	Not specified	0.271			given addresse existing address	es or have given non
Firstfood Food	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.689	0.724	Food Served and	existing address	
Services, Inc.	Not specified	0.035		Accommodation		
PVL Food	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.182	0.266	Meals		
Center Assoc.	Not specified	0.084				
Cabrene	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.766	1.104	Construction, water		as last registered in 200
Hardware & Industrial Supply	Not specified	0.338		tank, repair & various materials	and did not confirm these transaction. The issued receipts and SIs may b considered questionable as these are n longer within the series purported authorized by the BIR to be printed.	
Ynzal	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.284	0.557	Communication		did not confirm these
Marketing Corp.	Not specified	0.273		equipment, office supplies, Apple TV,	transactions and	d issued receipts and SIs longer within the series

Supplier / Contractor	Legislator	Amo (in l	ount VI P)	Nature	Remarks
Contractor		Charges	Total		
				computer & printer, Macbook Laptop, LCD, IT supplies	purportedly authorized by the BIR to be printed.
Rhoko's Food	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.042	2.298	Food	The supplier cannot be found at its given
Catering	Not specified	1.864			address and no confirmed permit yet from the LGU.
	Prospero C. Nograles	0.392			
Mr. Conrado	Florencio G. Noel	0.275	5.845	Cleaning of drainage &	These contractors cannot be located at
Neri	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.302		canals, dredging & desilting of esteros/	their given addresses and unknown within the neighborhood.
	Not specified	0.179		waterways	within the neighborhood.
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.089			
Mr. Allan Bulanade	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.356	0.356	Labor on pakyaw contract	
Mr. Michael Fernandez	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.418	0.418	Rehabilitation of waterlines	
Mr. Roel Mariano	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.841	0.841	Repair & replacement of dilapidated roof & repainting	
Mr. Jing	Florencio G. Noel	0.725	7.430	Declogging,	
Martinez	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.018		clearing/cleaning of waterways/drainages/	
	Not specified	1.047		canals, collection of	
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.640		garbage	
Mr. Buen	Florencio G. Noel	0.890	7.243	Declogging,	
Jerusalem	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.395		clearing/cleaning of waterways/drainages/c	
	Not specified	0.778		anals, collection of	
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.180		garbage	
Mr. Amado Preztoza	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.525	0.525	Rehabilitation of waterlines	
Mr. Leopoldo Francisco	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.828	0.828	Labor on pakyaw contract	
	Fotal (151)	263.676	263.676		

D. Suppliers that did not confirm transactions:

Supplier / Contractor	Legislator	Charge	Total	No. of Trans- actions
JTN Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	₽0.607	₽ 0.889	12
	Not specified	0.283		
M.I. Enterprises	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.059	1.563	6
	Not specified	0.504		
Victory Upholstery & Canvas Store	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.283	0.421	5
	Not specified	0.139		
Pen-Pen Shirt Corporation	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.815	2.036	11
	Not specified	0.221		
Mr. Carmelo Serna	Florencio G. Noel	0.740	7.938	25
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.587		
	Not specified	0.822		

Supplier / Contractor	Legislator	Charge	Total	No. of Trans- actions
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.789		
Mr. Generato Rodriguez	Florencio G. Noel	0.730	7.416	25
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.195		
	Not specified	0.691		
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.800		
	₽ 20.263	84		

E. Suppliers that moved out from their given address:

Supplier	Legislator	Charge	Total (in M P)	No. of Transactions	Nature
New Kalentong Lumber	Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified	0.500	0.891	52	Construction Supplies, Misc. expenses
PC Click Computer Center	Neptali M. Gonzales II Not specified	0.545	1.023	5	Computer parts, office supplies, repair materials

F. Equipment which were unlikely used and no longer functional:

			Items		
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amt. (in M P)	Qty. / Units	Remarks / Location
Joyful-Lights Marketing	Neptali M. Gonzales II	PS-15TAS80 Speaker	₽ 0.037	1	Not fully used, stored in Supply Room.
Hawak Kamay Foundation	Edgardo J. Angara	Dehydrator Machine Stainless trays & racks LPG (Tank) big	0.500	1 2 1 1	Non-functional / unserviceable as found in the compound of the supplier, Hawak Kamay Foundation. Stainless trays & racks Non functional in the form of former
		Dehydrator Machine		1	Non-functional in the farm of former Vice-Mayor Renato Sta. Maria of Morong, Rizal

			Items		
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amt. (in M P)	Qty. / Units	Remarks / Location
		Stainless Trays & Racks (Fabricated)		1	
Proex Trading Int.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	Anoflex double jacket fire house (2 ½ x 100 ft., made in France)	0.084	6	Non-functional/unserviceable found at Brgy. San Jose, Mandaluyong City

G. Schedule of multiple recipients of financial assistance from different IAs:

No. of Times as a Recipient	No. of Benef.	IA	NGO
4	244	Mandaluyong City	
		Quezon City, DSWD, NABCOR, ZREC	D2MK, GPF, JSAFI, NE4, Inc., PFI, TFI, MCDSFI, SPFI
5	115	Mandaluyong City	
		DSWD, Quezon City, NABCOR	D2MK, GPF, JSAFI, NE4, Inc., PFI, TFI, MCDSFI, SPFI
6	53	Mandaluyong City	
		DSWD, Quezon City	D2MK, JSAFI, NE4, Inc., PFI, TFI, MCDSFI, SPFI
7	24	Mandaluyong City, Quezon City, DSWD	JSAFI, MCDSFI, NE4, Inc., PFI, TFI
8	14	DSWD, Quezon City	JSAFI, NE4, Inc., PFI, TFI, MCDSFI
9	10	Mandaluyong City	
		DSWD	D2MK, GPF, JSAFI, NE4, Inc., PFI, TFI
10	6	Mandaluyong City	
11	17	Mandaluyong City	
		DSWD	JSAFI, TFI
12	11	Mandaluyong City	
13	7		
14	1		
15	2		
16	1		
Total	505		

Name of Accountable Officer	Legislator	Charge	Total Amount	No. of Transactions
Anna Marie P. Miranda	Not specified	₽5,000,000	₽ 5,000,000	1
Christian Harris F. Bautista	Neptali M. Gonzales II	10,000,000	10,000,000	2
Concepcion J. Marcelino		14,000,000	14,000,000	3
Crispin A. Malicdem		5,000,000	5,000,000	1
Crispina D. Espedido	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,000,000	10,000,000	2
	Florencio G. Noel	5,000,000		
Elizabeth P. Mampo	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	1,500,000	45,000,000	10
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	43,500,000		
Ernesto E. Victorino	Neptali M. Gonzales II	15,000,000	15,000,000	3
Ernesto J. Vergel	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	4,000,000	11,500,000	4
-	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,000,000		
	Not specified	2,500,000		
Jeffrey Z. Sison	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,000,000	5,000,000	1
Joy M. Obregon	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	4,500,000	18,000,000	7
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	9,500,000		
	Not specified	4,000,000		
Liza V. Santiago	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	3,000,000	34,500,000	8
-	Neptali M. Gonzales II	19,000,000		
	Not specified	12,500,000		
Ma. Corazon DG. Rodrigo	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,000,000	10,000,000	2
	Florencio G. Noel	5,000,000		
Ma. Lalaine B. Buenaventura	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,000,000	5,000,000	1
Magdalena G. Lim		10,000,000	10,000,000	2
Pablo D. Garcia	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	10,000,000	48,000,000	10
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	25,500,000		
	Not specified	12,500,000		
Renato B. Sta. Maria	Edgardo J. Angara	500,000	500,000	1
Ronaldo T. Ison	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	2,000,000	53,000,000	13
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	41,000,000		
	Not specified	10,000,000		
Sue J. Vazquez	Neptali M. Gonzales II	32,000,000	47,000,000	10
	Not specified	15,000,000		
Teresita A. Abing	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,000,000	5,000,000	1
Teresita G. Miranda	Neptali M. Gonzales II	25,500,000	26,500,000	6
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	1,000,000		
Total			₽378,000,000	88

H. Cash advances granted without specific purpose:

SDO	Legislator	CI	neck (in M 🗜)	Bond (in M P)		
300	Legisiator	No.	Date	Amt.	Amt.	Effective Date	
Christian Harris F.	Prospero C. Nograles	172575	9/8/09	7.50	1.500	8/18/09-8/17/10	
Bautista	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57518	10/29/09	5.00			
		57525	12/1/09	5.00			
Concepcion J.		172551	9/2/08	4.00	0.225	11/27/07-11/26/08	
Marcelino		172566	7/28/09	5.00	0.225	2/23/09-2/22/10	
Crispina D. Espedido	Florencio G. Noel	172569	8/18/09	5.00	3.500	8/18/09-8/17/10	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57508	10/23/09	5.00			
		57519	12/1/09	5.00			
	Florencio G. Noel	57527	1/10/10	5.00			
	Not specified	57535	2/5/10	5.00			
Elizabeth P.Mampo	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172522	3/29/07	1.50	0.075	3/14/07-3/13/08	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172524	12/12/07	2.50			
		172532	12/21/07	4.00			
		172548	9/2/08	4.00	0.075	7/11/08-7/10/09	
		172557	1/9/09	5.00			
		172561	5/31/09	5.00			
		57505	10/20/09	5.00	1.500	8/18/09-8/17/10	
		57534	1/13/10	10.00			
Elsa D. dela Cruz	Prospero C. Nograles	172572	9/8/09	5.00	0.500	2/23/09-2/22/10	
Joy M. Obregon	Not specified	172520	3/28/07	2.50	0.075	3/14/07-3/13/08	
	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172521	3/28/07	1.50			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172525	12/12/07	2.50			
		172530	12/21/07	4.00			
Ma. Corazon G.	Prospero C. Nograles	172579	9/18/09	10.00	1.500	9/17/09-9/16/10	
Rodrigo	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57506	10/23/09	5.00			
		57522	12/1/09	5.00			
	Florencio G. Noel	57526	1/12/10	5.00			
	Not specified	57541	2/5/10	5.00			
Ronaldo T. Ison	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172510	3/12/07	2.00	0.500	3/14/07-3/13/08	
	Not specified	172519	3/28/07	2.50			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172526	12/12/07	2.50			
		172531	12/21/07	4.00			
		172549	9/2/08	4.00	0.500	7/11/08-7/10/09	
	Not specified	172553	12/5/08	2.50			
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172560	1/7/09	5.00			
		172563	5/27/09	5.00			
		57502	10/20/09	5.00	1.500	8/18/09-8/17/10	
		57532	1/13/10	7.50			
Sue J. Varquez	Not specified	172509	3/5/07	5.00	0.100	8/24/06-8/23/07	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172565	7/28/09	5.00	0.100	2/23/09-2/22/10	

I. Cash advances granted exceeding the maximum bond coverage:

SDO	Logiclator	CI	Check (in M P)			Bond (in M P)		
300	Legislator	No.	Date	Amt.	Amt.	Effective Date		
		57504	10/20/09	5.00				
		57530	1/13/10	7.50				
Teresita G. Miranda		172567	7/28/09	5.00	0.225	2/23/09-2/22/10		
		57501	10/20/09	5.00				
		57533	1/13/10	7.50				

J. Cash advances not covered by bond:

Name of Accountable	Logialatar		Check	
Officer	Legislator	No.	Date	Amount
Anna Marie P. Miranda	Not specified	172546	7/9/2008	₽5,000,000
Concepcion J. Marcelino	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172554	1/7/2009	5,000,000
Elizabeth P.Mampo		172537	4/25/2008	3,000,000
		172542	7/8/2008	5,000,000
Ernesto J. Vergel	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172513	3/12/2007	2,000,000
Joy M. Obregon		172514	3/12/2007	3,000,000
	Not specified	172533	3/25/2008	1,500,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172534	4/25/2008	3,000,000
Liza V. Santiago	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172512	3/12/2007	3,000,000
	Not specified	172543	7/9/2008	5,000,000
		57545	2/9/2010	5,000,000
Ma Lalaine B. Buenaventura	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172556	1/7/2009	5,000,000
Pablo D. Garcia		172564	5/29/2008	5,000,000
		172550	7/2/2008	4,000,000
	Not specified	172545	7/9/2008	5,000,000
		57542	2/9/2010	5,000,000
Renato Sta. Maria	Edgardo J. Angara	172539	4/29/2008	500,000
Ronaldo T. Ison	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172535	4/25/2008	3,000,000
		172541	7/8/2008	5,000,000
	Not specified	57543	2/9/2010	5,000,000
Sue J. Varquez	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172527	12/12/2007	2,500,000
		172529	12/21/2007	4,000,000
		172538	4/25/2008	3,000,000
	Not specified	172544	7/9/2008	5,000,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172555	1/7/2009	5,000,000
	Not specified	57544	2/9/2010	5,000,000
Teresita G. Miranda	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172536	4/25/2008	3,000,000
		172540	7/8/2008	5,000,000
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	172523	undated	1,000,000
	Total		₽	111,500,000

Name of Establishment	Legislator	Amount	Tran	saction above ₽15,000	Range of	Transaction
Establishment			No.	Amount	From	То
National Food Authority (NFA) (MC)	Neptali M. Gonzales II	₽ 11,973,750	23	₽ 11,973,750	P 20,000	₽1,000,000
Four JB Canteen	Florencio G. Noel	1,113,655	52	11,812,717	60,000	499,800
Services	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,899,002				
	Not specified	2,982,160				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1,817,900				
CR5 Construction	Florencio G. Noel	430,755	29	9,552,413	112,992	682,575
and Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	6,029,703				
	Not specified	911,400				
	Prospero C. Nograles	2,180,555				
Dracopower-	Florencio G. Noel	258,188	27	9,497,708	116,503	499,100
Hardware & Gen.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4,060,094				
Mdse.	Not specified	1,354,080				
	Prospero C. Nograles	3,825,347				
Botika ng	Florencio G. Noel	1,363,129	37	9,392,208	29,370	498,340
Mandaluyong	Neptali M. Gonzales II	6,374,879				
Consumer Cooperative	Not specified	238,000				
oooporativo	Prospero C. Nograles	1,416,200				
Jollibee	Neptali M. Gonzales II	6,682,569	196	8,726,220	15,300	272,800
	Not specified	2,043,651				
Mandaluyong Muti-	Florencio G. Noel	1,745,356	23	8,528,626	248,840	499,301
Purpose Coop.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4,010,206				
	Prospero C. Nograles	2,773,064				
EMJ3 Enterprises	Florencio G. Noel	1,430,506	27	8,548,873	126,730	498,940
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4,544,519				
	Not specified	1,276,800				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1,297,048				
ARJT Trading	Florencio G. Noel	499,313	19	8,000,560	200,910	499,313
Center	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,384,067				
	Not specified	984,860				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1,132,320				
Zurewell Health	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	6,402,051	17	7,660,052	45,251	4,490,000
Ventures	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1,258,001			10,201	1,170,000
AGMP Trading	Florencio G. Noel	1,817,017	20	7,347,322	141,000	499,740
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4,892,955			,,	
	Not specified	423,150				
	Prospero C. Nograles	214,200				

K. Payments out of cash advances exceeding the limitation:

Davias/Complian	Lexislator	Americant	No. of	0	Ran	ige
Payee/Supplier	Legislator	Amount	Trans.	Amount	From	То
CR5 Construction	Florencio G. Noel	P 430,755	25	₽8,146,852	P 112,992	₽682,575
and Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	5,773,476				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1,942,621				
Liutenant	Florencio G. Noel	700,728	18	4,811,536	71,190	466,428
Construction &	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3,488,826				
Supply	Not specified	621,982				
A N-C.V.D.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3,995,050	19	4,404,670	100,800	483,620
Trading	Not specified	409,620				
Franzcor Trading & Const'n.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3,762,184	11	3,762,184	16,525	620,563
Grendel Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3,446,743	15	3,575,243	128,500	429,275
	Not specified	128,500				
Pameroivy	Florencio G. Noel	388,000	13	3,722,755	109,640	405,760
Construction & Trdg.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	2,269,635				
nug.	Prospero C. Nograles	1,065,120				
Buencasa	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1,923,805	50	2,721,350	225	269,550
Construction Supply, Inc.	Not specified	542,894				
Supply, Inc.	Prospero C. Nograles	254,651				
Javisevi Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1,887,295	11	2,341,695	118,800	326,450
	Not specified	454,400				
2MEX Enterprises	/EX Enterprises Neptali M. Gonzales II 951,244 8	1,837,259	120,460	326,510		
	Prospero C. Nograles	886,015				
CRBR Trading	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1,429,780	10	1,829,830	131,350	243,000
	Not specified	400,050				
	Total	37,153,374	180			

L. Cash advances used for infrastructure projects:

M. Cash advances used to settle "pakyaw" contracts:

Contractor	Legislator	Amount	No. of	Amount	Range	
	Legisiator	Amount	Trans.	Amount	From	То
Allan Bulanade	Neptali M. Gonzales II	₽0.356	3	₽ 0.356	₽ 55,730	₽204,773
Amado Preztoza		0.525	4	0.525	91,595	244,949
Buen Jerusalem	Florencio G. Noel	0.890	23	7.243	168,300	480,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.395				
	Not specified	0.778				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.180				
Carmelo Serna	Florencio G. Noel	0.740	25	7.938	165,600	450,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.587				
	Not specified	0.822				
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.789				

Contractor	Legislator	Amount	No. of	Amount	Ra	ange
CONTRACTOR	Legisiator	Amount	Trans.	Amount	From	То
Conrado Neri	Florencio G. Noel	0.275	19	5.845	169,200	400,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.302				
	Not specified	0.179				
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.089				
Generato Rodriguez	Florencio G. Noel	0.730	24	7.416	147,600	450,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	4.195				
	Not specified	0.691				
	Prospero C. Nograles	1.800				
Jing Martinez	Florencio G. Noel	0.725	22	7.431	147,600	450,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	3.018				
	Not specified	1.048				
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.640				
Leopoldo Francisco	Neptali M. Gonzales II	0.828	6	0.828	88,825	126,652
Michael Fernandez		0.418	3	0.418	60,713	181,920
Roberto Reyes	Florencio G. Noel	0.310	19	5.117	111,000	420,000
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	1.935				
	Not specified	0.111				
	Prospero C. Nograles	2.761				
	Total		148	₽43.117		

N. Cash advances granted without liquidation of previous cash advances:

Name of Accountable	Legislator		Check		Inclusive Date of Charges	
Officer		No.	Date	Amount	From	То
Christian	Prospero C. Nograles	172575	09/08/09	₽7,500,000	09/17/09	12/28/09
Harris F. Bautista	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57518	10/29/09	5,000,000	03/12/09	02/07/10
Daulisia		57525	12/01/09	5,000,000	12/07/09	03/22/10
Crispina D.	Florencio G. Noel	172569	08/18/09	5,000,000	08/27/09	09/26/10
Espedido	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57508	10/23/09	5,000,000	10/29/09	12/02/09
		57519	12/01/09	5,000,000	03/08/09	03/08/10
	Florencio G. Noel	57527	01/10/10	5,000,000	01/08/10	03/16/10
	Not specified	57535	02/05/10	5,000,000	02/05/10	07/13/10
Elizabeth P.		172522	03/29/07	1,500,000	03/23/07	05/12/07
Mampo	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172524	12/12/07	2,500,000	01/02/07	03/26/08
		172532	12/21/07	4,000,000	12/26/07	03/25/08
		172537	04/25/08	3,000,000	05/16/08	10/06/08
		172542	07/08/08	5,000,000	05/16/08	09/29/08
		172548	09/02/08	4,000,000	07/16/08	10/07/08
		172557	01/09/09	5,000,000	01/04/08	06/01/09
		172561	05/31/09	5,000,000	07/23/07	09/23/09
		57505	10/20/09	5,000,000	08/01/09	12/21/09

Name of Accountable	Legislator		Check			e Date of rges
Officer		No.	Date	Amount	From	То
		57534	01/13/10	10,000,000	01/18/09	07/30/10
Ernesto E.	Florencio G. Noel	172570	08/18/09	5,000,000	08/21/09	11/05/0
Victorino	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57507	10/23/09	5,000,000	10/06/09	11/19/0
		57520	12/01/09	5,000,000	01/14/09	04/06/1
		57528	01/13/10	5,000,000	12/02/09	03/24/1
	Not specified	57536	02/05/10	5,000,000	02/11/10	06/04/1
Pablo D.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172515	03/29/07	5,000,000	04/12/07	06/16/0
Garcia		172511	08/12/07	5,000,000	03/14/07	05/04/0
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172528	12/21/07	4,000,000	12/22/07	02/29/0
		172550	07/02/08	4,000,000	08/21/08	11/25/0
	Not specified	172545	07/09/08	5,000,000	02/06/08	11/08/0
		172552	12/05/08	2,500,000	11/18/08	12/19/0
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172559	01/07/09	5,000,000	11/24/08	12/23/0
		172564	05/27/09	5,000,000	05/23/09	09/28/0
		57531	01/13/10	7,500,000	11/25/09	02/06/1
	Not specified	57542	02/09/10	5,000,000	01/02/10	03/12/1
Ronaldo T.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172510	03/12/07	2,000,000	04/23/07	04/30/0
Ison	Not specified	172519	03/28/07	2,500,000	03/08/07	02/27/0
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172526	12/12/07	2,500,000	01/08/07	02/12/0
		172531	12/21/07	4,000,000	08/28/07	12/21/0
		172535	04/25/08	3,000,000	02/11/08	04/08/1
		172541	07/08/08	5,000,000	07/09/08	10/06/0
		172549	09/02/08	4,000,000	09/26/06	10/22/0
	Not specified	172553	12/05/08	2,500,000	01/26/08	12/12/0
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172560	01/07/09	5,000,000	02/12/08	12/22/0
		172563	05/27/09	5,000,000	06/28/07	09/29/0
		57502	10/20/09	5,000,000	04/24/09	12/17/0
		57532	01/13/10	7,500,000	08/31/09	07/27/1
	Not specified	57543	02/09/10	5,000,000	02/10/10	07/09/1
Sue J.		172509	03/05/07	5,000,000	03/22/06	03/15/1
Varquez	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172527	12/12/07	2,500,000	07/09/07	02/13/0
		172529	12/21/07	4,000,000	01/08/08	06/24/0
		172538	04/25/08	3,000,000	04/28/08	08/05/0
	Not specified	172544	07/09/08	5,000,000	02/20/08	11/03/0
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172555	01/07/09	5,000,000	01/21/09	05/30/0
	.	172565	07/28/09	5,000,000	01/06/09	10/26/0
		57504	10/21/09	5,000,000	10/29/08	12/21/0
		57530	01/13/10	7,500,000	05/01/09	05/31/1
	Not specified	57544	02/09/10	5,000,000	03/04/10	06/30/1
	Total			₽ 260,500,000		

Name of		C	heck (in M	P)	Inclusiv	ve Dates	No. of	Total
Account- able Officer	Legislator	No.	Date	Amt	From	То	Trans.	Amt (in M P)
Elizabeth P.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172522	03/29/07	1.500	03/23/07		2	1.171
Mampo	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172524	12/12/07	2.500	01/02/07	11/27/07	7	0.010
		172542	07/08/08	5.000	05/16/08		1	0.210
		172548	09/02/08	4.000	07/16/08	08/28/08	8	1.020
		172557	01/09/09	5.000	01/04/08	01/08/09	62	0.239
		172561	05/31/09	5.000	07/23/07	05/30/09	73	0.565
		57505	10/20/09	5.000	08/01/09	10/17/09	16	0.003
Liza V.	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172512	03/12/07	3.000	01/06/06	10/08/07	22	2.990
Santiago	Not specified	172517	03/28/07	2.500	01/14/07	03/27/07	31	0.120
		172543	07/09/08	5.000	03/31/08	07/08/08	21	0.043
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172547	09/02/08	4.000	11/26/07	08/21/08	6	0.025
		172562	05/31/09	5.000	05/06/08	05/30/09	294	1.855
Ronaldo T.	Not specified	172519	03/28/07	2.500	03/08/07	03/14/07	3	0.390
Ison	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172526	12/12/07	2.500	01/08/07	12/10/07	726	1.467
		172531	12/21/07	4.000	08/28/07		1	0.123
		172535	04/25/08	3.000	02/11/08	04/24/08	136	0.416
		172549	09/02/08	4.000	09/26/06	09/01/08	35	0.039
	Not specified	172553	12/05/08	2.500	01/26/08	02/23/08	3	0.011
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172560	01/07/09	5.000	02/12/08	01/06/09	20	0.069
		172563	05/27/09	5.000	06/28/07	05/26/09	42	0.038
		57502	10/20/09	5.000	04/24/09	09/13/09	2	0.025
		57532	01/13/10	7.500	08/31/09	01/12/10	109	3.547
Sue J.	Not specified	172509	03/05/07	5.000	03/22/06	02/27/07	13	0.009
Vazquez	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172527	12/12/07	2.500	07/09/07	12/11/07	30	0.092
	Not specified	172544	07/09/08	5.000	02/20/08	07/08/08	10	0.052
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172565	07/28/09	5.000	01/06/09	07/25/09	85	1.352
	57530 01/13/			7.500	05/10/09		1	0.010
	Total							15.891

O. Cash advances used to settle expenses incurred prior to the grant of cash advances:

P. Liquidation in excess of cash advances:

Name of		Check (in M P)			Amt Disb.	Liquidatio	on (in M P)
Disbursing Officer	Legislator	No.	Date	Amt.	(in M P)	Over	Under
Elizabeth	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57505	10/20/09	5.000	4.985		(15,349.32)
Mampo		57534	1/13/210	1.000	10.154	153,554.17	
	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172522	3/29/07	1.500	1.500	2.40	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172524	12/12/07	2.500	2.498		(1,759.23)
		172532	12/21/07	4.000	4.001	901.40	
		172537	4/25/08	3.000	3.002	2,277.30	

Name of		C	heck (in M P)	Amt Disb.	Liquidati	on (in M P)
Disbursing Officer	Legislator	No.	Date	Amt.	(in M P)	Over	Under
		172542	7/8/08	5.000	5.003	2,760.50	
		172548	9/2/08	4.000	3.990		(9,679.75)
		172557	1/9/09	5.000	4.984		(15,506.20)
		172561	05/31/09	5.000	5.001	1,307.31	
Sub-Total					45.118	160,803.08	(42,294.50)
Ernesto E.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57507	10/23/09	5.000	4.892		(107,574.23)
Victorino		57520	12/01/09	5.000	4.998		(1,777)
		57528	1/13/10	5.000	4.994		(5,685.32)
	Not specified	57536	02/05/10	5.000	5.002	1,760	
	Florencio G. Noel	172570	08/18/09	5.000	5.000	41.71	
	Sub-Total			25.000	24.886	1,801.71	(115,036.55)
Ma.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57506	10/23/09	5.000	4.945		(55,011.34)
Corazon		57522	12/1/09	5.000	5.000	14.00	
DG. Rodrigo	Florencio G. Noel	57526	1/12/10	5.000	4.998		(1,720)
	Not specified	57541	2/5/10	5.000	5.385	385,006.15	
	Prospero C. Nograles	172579	09/18/09	10.000	10.000		(1.63)
Sub-Total				30.000	30.328	385,020.15	(56,732.97)
Pablo D.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57531	1/13/10	7.500	7.502	1,716.00	
Garcia	Not specified	57542	2/9/10	5.000	5.000		(331.61)
	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172511	8/12/07	5.000	5.000	86.00	
		172515	3/29/07	5.000	5.000	150.00	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172528	12/21/07	4.000	4.001	699.20	
	Not specified	172545	07/09/08	5.000	5.000	167.75	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172550	7/2/08	4.000	3.850		(150,049.85)
	Not specified	172552	12/5/08	2.500	2.543	43,218.86	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172559	1/7/09	5.000	4.993		(7,339.44)
		172564	5/27/09	5.000	4.996		(4,358.64)
	Sub-Total			48.000	47.885	46,037.81	(162,079.54)
Ronaldo T.	Neptali M. Gonzales II	57502	10/20/09	5.000	5.000	412.80	
Ison		57532	1/13/10	7.500	7.408		(91,927.05)
	Not specified	57543	2/9/10	5.000	5.001	525.66	
	Benjamin C. Abalos, Jr.	172510	none	2.000	2.000	25.50	
	Not specified	172519	3/28/07	2.500	2.499		(954.68)
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172526	12/12/07	2.500	2.495		(4,930.29)
		172531	none	4.000	4.005	4,641.41	
		172535	4/25/08	3.000	3.001	1,427.47	
		172541	07/08/08	5.000	5.009	9,070.60	
		172549	9/2/08	4.000	4.000	167.17	
	Not specified	172553	12/05/08	2.500	2.521	20,776	
	Neptali M. Gonzales II	172560	01/07/09	5.000	5.000	243.37	
		172563	5/27/09	5.000	5.025	24,514.15	

Name of			Amt Disb.	Liquidation (in M P)			
Disbursing Officer	Legislator	No.	Date	Amt.	(in M P)	Over	Under
Sub-Total				53.000	52.964	61,804.13	(97,812.02)
Total				192.000	201.181	655,466.88	(473,955.58)

2. Tabaco City

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions charged against the PDAF of Representative Edcel C. Lagman:

Purpose	Beneficiary	Amount (in M P)	Observations
Financial Assistance			
Loan Program	San Carlos Agro- Industrial Multi- Purpose Coop. (SACAMICO)	1.500	These were not supported with project proposal, request from the beneficiaries, and evaluation reports on the need to grant assistance and assess eligibility under the program. As discussed earlier, financial assistance for
	Bacolod-Tayhi Homeworks Ass'n., Inc.	0.134	the regular operating activities of the cooperatives/ associations is not in line with the principle of the government of releasing funds strictly for public purposes.
Scholarships	Individuals	26.749	These were not supported with request from beneficiaries and proof of enrollment/school records and scholarship contracts. The name of the school was not even indicated.
No specific purpose	Individuals, schools, municipalities, brgys.	1.262	These were granted without request from individual beneficiaries and for no specific purposes at all.
Sub-tot	al	29.645	
Procurement			
Computers	Gov't Offices	0.254	These were not supported with request from the end
	Ofc. of the Mayor	0.100	users, proof of evaluation on the need to provide assistance and assess eligibility under the program,
Xerox machine / musical instrument	Tabaco National HS	0.390	receipts for procurement of furnitures amounting to P124 ,100, Office Order/approved sports program or any
Text / reference books	Schools	7.485	document authorizing the conduct of any event or
Furniture & equipment	City Library of Tabaco	0.605	activity, proof of distribution to the end-users of tokens, medals, trophies, foods subsidy and other items procured, reports on the conduct of any activity
Trophies / medals	Barangays	0.384	indicating the date, venue, agenda and results, and
Food subsidy	LGU Operations	1.924	printout copy of posting in the PhilGEPS. These items
Tokens, meals, sound system, etc. for various activities		0.447	were also no longer within the menu of eligible projects under PDAF.
Infrastructure (building / market / roads / drainage / rechanneling of rivers)		118.130	There were no proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold.
Sub-tot	al	129.719	
Total		159.364	

B. Transactions with suppliers of questionable existence charged against the PDAF of Representative Edcel C. Lagman:

	Items		nsaction	
Supplier	Procured	No.	Amt. (M ₽)	Remarks
St. Mary's Publishing Corporation	Books	5	7.485	These suppliers issued receipts not strictly compliant with BIR regulation as these did not indicate the name
Paxxis Distributors	Foodstuff	2	0.943	of the printer of the receipts. They were, however, issued permit until 2011.
Uno Sporting Goods	Medals/ trophies	3	0.384	This supplier confirmed these transactions. However, it has no business permit to operate from the concerned LGU. The printer of the receipt cannot also be located due to insufficient/incorrect address.
CPQ Computer Center Millenium/Maguindanao Distribution Systems, Inc.	Computers	3	0.519	Two transactions of these suppliers were not covered with receipt.
Total (4)		13	9.331	

C. Unsubmitted disbursement vouchers:

SARG	C		Check	
ROCS No.	Amount	No.	Date	Amount
07-07193	200,000	217416	6/12/08	₽ 200,000.00
07-09366	5,000,000	217407	5/23/08	5,000.00
	5,000,000	217558	11/24/08	60,000.00
		145498	4/22/08	20,000.00
		145499	2/28/08	100,000.00
		217497	9/1/08	6,000.00
		217847	11/25/09	4,924.00
		217416	6/12/08	24,579.38
		217509	9/26/08	500,000.00
		(JEV No.) 300-09-03-026	3/30/09	500,000.00
		217402	5/16/08	16,200.00
		217403	5/14/08	6,000.00
		217404	5/23/08	10,000.00
		217405	5/23/08	3,000.00
		-	10/19/09	5,000.00
		217815	10/12/09	5,000.00
		217492	8/27/08	2,999,775.00
		217539	10/30/08	190,000.00
		(JEV No.) 300-2008-11-027	11/30/08	96,750.00
08-00121	3,728,000	(JEV No.) 30-08-05-002N	5/23/08	3,657,000.00
		(JEV No.) 300-08-11-032N	11/18/08	71,000.00
08-01471	28,000,000	(JEV No.) 300-08-12-034N	12/31/08	134,000.00
		(JEV No.) 300-08-12-035N	12/31/08	30,000.00

SAO Report No. 2012-03 Annex M

SARO		Check				
ROCS No.	Amount	No.	Date	Amount		
		217657	3/24/09	2,988,187.2		
		217663	4/17/09	2,647,187.0		
		217709	7/9/09	934,995.1		
		217802	9/18/09	124,100.0		
		-	12/8/09	10,000.0		
		217859	12/15/09	3,000.0		
		217860	12/16/09	7,000.0		
		217886	1/13/10	3,171,967.4		
		251013	3/10/10	437,190.0		
		217897	2/9/10	5,000.0		
		251004	2/18/10	10,000.0		
		251014	3/10/10	557,760.0		
		251011	3/5/10	3,000.0		
		251027	3/29/10	822,678.5		
08-01587	12,300,000	217437	6/20/08	2,683,291.6		
		217438	6/20/08	1,740,182.2		
		217439	6/20/08	1,835,930.0		
		217445	6/27/08	1,035,295.9		
		217487	8/21/08	300,987.5		
		217488	8/21/08	658,622.4		
		217489	8/22/08	690,197.2		
		217490	8/22/08	193,353.5		
		(JEV No.) 300-08-11-032N	11/18/08	147,000.0		
		(JEV No.) 300-2008-12-044	12/31/08	2,990,000.0		
		251015	3/15/10	3,000.0		
		251018	3/15/10	3,000.0		
		251022	3/25/10	2,000.0		
		251024	3/29/10	5,000.0		
		251023	3/25/10	5,000.0		
		251173	9/28/10	2,500.0		
08-01929	9,250,000	(JEV No.) 300-2008-12-041	12/24/08	1,409,657.6		
		(JEV No.) 300-2008-12-041	12/24/08	657,952.0		
		217608	1/9/09	1,383,876.1		
		217645	3/2/09	86,988.3		
		217647	3/2/09	277,543.5		
		217648	3/2/09	412,304.7		
		251044	4/20/10	2,778,901.2		
		251099	7/21/10	1,244,155.1		
		251097	7/19/10	2,000.0		
		251098	7/19/10	5,000.0		
		251096	7/19/10	2,000.0		
		251102	7/23/10	5,000.0		
		251172	9/28/10	3,000.0		
	<u> </u>	251175	9/30/10	2,000.0		
08-02291	2,477,491	217410	6/3/08	2,477,491.0		
08-03724	4,200,000	(JEV No.) 300-08-11-032N	11/18/08	4,200,000.0		

SAO Report No. 2012-03 Annex M

SARO		Check					
ROCS No.	Amount	No.	Date	Amount			
08-05267	21,000,000	217572	12/31/08	2,686,678.6			
		217835	10/28/09	1,988,009.3			
		217581	12/8/08	1,659,850.7			
		217578	12/8/08	1,890,312.0			
		217574	11/28/08	1,776,864.3			
		217579	12/8/08	2,116,704.0			
		217636	2/6/09	819,788.2			
		217634	2/6/09	103,024.9			
		217635	2/6/09	602,290.0			
		217632	2/6/09	298,519.8			
		217633	2/6/09	1,182,430.4			
		217637	2/6/09	2,248,591.4			
		217702	6/22/09	2,812,377.0			
		251055	6/4/10	3,000.0			
		251053	6/2/10	5,000.0			
		251087	7/1/10	5,000.0			
		251088	7/1/10	5,000.0			
		251093	7/9/10	2,500.0			
		251140	8/26/10	5,000.0			
		251146	9/1/10	2,000.0			
		251144	8/27/10	3,000.			
		251151	9/7/10	5,000.0			
		251153	9/9/10	2,000.0			
		251156	9/17/10	2,000.0			
		251159	9/20/10	2,000.0			
08-06035	25,000,000	217656	3/24/09	2,798,988.			
	20,000,000	217655	3/24/09	2,988,042.			
		217665	4/21/09	1,917,250.			
		217671	4/27/09	1,255,025.			
		217743	7/31/09	1,474,070.			
		217744	7/31/09	2,990,001.			
		217745	7/31/09	2,954,029.			
		217746	7/31/09	2,987,961.			
		217747	7/31/09	2,982,041.			
		217754	8/12/09	2,468,074.			
		251021	3/23/10	102,000.0			
		251020	3/23/10	60,034.0			
08-06312	10,500,000	217599	12/24/08	2,094,282.			
00 00012		217601	12/24/08	747,692.8			
		217609	1/9/09	1,326,010.			
		217644	2/27/09	393,527.6			
		217646	3/2/09	257,606.3			
		217701	6/2/09	648,990.2			
		251042					
			4/30/10	2,715,851.6			
		251091 251095	7/7/10	20,000.0			

SAR	0	Check					
ROCS No. Amount		No.	Date	Amount			
		251094	7/14/10	10,000.00			
		251168	9/24/10	248,006.83			
08-09703	17,945,000	217782	8/26/09	831,040.00			
		(JEV No.) 300-09-09-009	9/30/09	18,000.00			
		217840	11/3/09	2,539,594.13			
		251017	3/17/10	2,439,405.87			
		251043	4/30/10	1,517,431.09			
09-04179	10,000,000	217864	12/22/09	1,060,087.84			
		217885	1/13/10	1,762,088.60			
		217884	1/13/10	142,500.00			
		217887	1/13/10	403,025.00			
G-09-07621	11,160,000	217895	2/3/10	1,000,000.00			
		251009	3/9/10	5,787,000.00			
		251058	6/4/10	87,000.00			
		251123	8/10/10	2,384,446.41			
		251177	10/1/10	1,602,635.81			
G-09-07960	12,500,000	251060	6/4/10	3,808,783.53			
(ROCS-09-07960		251059	6/4/10	3,985,090.56			
per LGU Tabaco)		251148	9/6/10	996,272.64			
		251147	9/6/10	672,138.27			
Total	178,260,491			134,315,343.86			

3. Iriga City

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions:

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amount (in M P)	Observations		
Financial Assistance						
Monetary assistance	Individuals	Emmanuel Joel J. Villanueva	0.030	These were not supported with request for assistance from the beneficiaries, DSWD evaluation report on indigency, medical abstract, health record, and hospital bills or doctor's prescription for medical assistance, death certificate for burial assistance or any proof to establish emergency situation and assess the amount to be granted.		
Scholarship		Felix R. Alfelor, Jr.	41.182	These were not supported with request for assistance from the beneficiaries, proof of enrollment / school records and scholarship contracts.		
	Sub-total		41.236			
Procurement	!					
Agricultural supplies and others	Barangays	Felix R. Alfelor, Jr.	10.248	These were not supported with request from the beneficiaries, evaluation of request, project profile, Office Order or any document approving the conduct of any		
Food packs and medicines	Indigent constituents		33.293	event or activity, distribution lists of agricultural supplies, foods and medicines, terminal reports for each of the activities undertaken indicating date/venue, items		

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amount (in M P)	Observations
CP-BAC Honorarium	A. Turiano, et.al.	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	0.032	indicating the equipment rented, duration, equipment
Equipment rental	LGU Operations	Mariano U. Piamonte, Jr.	0.050	rate, and the project to be undertaken, among others, proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of
Construct SB, roads, drainage, canals, etc.	Schools and barangays	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	0.935	general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold. The payment of BAC honorarium may not even be considered eligible under PDAF. The validity of transactions worth P33.082 Million cannot also be established as the concerned suppliers are yet to confirm the authenticity of their respective transactions and they have no confirmed permit yet from their respective LGUs. A number of these suppliers also issued receipts of questionable validity.
	Sub-total		44.558	
	Total		85.794	

B. Suppliers of questionable existence:

		Items	Transaction		
Supplier	Legislator	Procured	No.	Amt. (M ₽)	Remarks
Winco Const.	Felix R. Alfelor, Jr.	Construction materials	5	7.419	This supplier has no confirmed business permit yet and, likewise, receipts issued do not bear the names of the proprietor and the printer of the receipts.
Jomed Gen. Mdse.		Groceries and medicines	5	8.544	This supplier has, likewise, no confirmed business permit and issued receipts not compliant with BIR regulation as the printer and proprietor were not indicated therein. It also confirmed some transactions and did not comment on others.
Lucky Grocery		Groceries	7	16.855	This supplier has business permit to operate but did not confirm these transactions and issued questionable receipts.
Ngo & Sons Trading	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	Construction materials	5	0.264	This supplier issued receipt not compliant with BIR regulation as the name of the proprietor was not indicated therein. This supplier did not also confirm the validity of these transactions. It has, however, business permit to operate.
	Total (4)			33.082	

C. Unsubmitted disbursement vouchers:

	Re	eferences	Dertiquiero			
Check No.	Date	Payroll No.	Amount	Particulars		
-	7/10	106-18	₽ 17,600.00	LP-J. Mirando, 7/13-24/2010		
-	1/10	12-2	18,000.00	LP-CEO, J. Flores, 1/15-16/2010		
-		12-1	33,000.00	LP-CEO, F. Real, 1/15-16/2010		
-		12-3	22,000.00	LP-CEO, S. Ramos, 1/15-16/ 2010		

Dertieulere	References				
Particulars	Amount	Payroll No.	Check No. Date Payroll		
LP-CEO, S. Ramos, 1/18-24/2010	14,000.00	11-1	- 11-1		
LP-CEO, J. Flores , 1/18-24/2010	14,000.00	11-3		-	
LP-CEO, F. Real , 1/18-24/2010	19,400.00	10-2		-	
LP-CEO, S. Ramos, 1/25-31/2010	14,000.00	10-1		-	
LP-CEO, F. Real, 1/25/-31/2010	9,800.00	11-2		-	
LP-CEO, J. Flores, 1/25/-31/2010	14,000.00	10-3		-	
LP-CEO, S. Ramos, 2/1-6/2010	12,000.00	14-13		-	
LP-CEO, J. Flores, 2/1-6/2010	9,600.00	18-19	2/10	-	
LP-CEO, F. Real, 2/1-6/2010	8,400.00	18-18		-	
LP-CEO, S. Ramos, 2/7-9/2010	7,200.00	024-4	2/10	-	
UNEP Scholars-Meriam Gonzales	5,726,960.00	-	8/09	475563	
CCDI Naga-Angelina Bardonada	91,000.00	-	8/09	475562	
Alfelor Polytechnic Colleges – T. Martinez	1,903,000.00	-	8/09	475561	
Buhi Lyceum-Joyce Aquilino	177,000.00	-	8/09	475558	
Cielita Abano – CA Buhi Lyceum Scholars	1,744,264.90	142	9/09	-	
Jomed Gen. Maerchandise – food supplies	999,805.00	-	10/09	475906	
BM Orcine Agri Supply- farm inputs	956,440.00	-	10/09	475909	
BM Orcine Agri Supply – palay seeds	981,900.00			475910	
Jomed Gen. Merchandise - medicines	1,989,700.00	-	10/09	475923	
Scholars	761,447.00	40	3/08	-	
Scholars			-		
UNEP Scholars – M. Morada, et. al	86,017.95	63	4/7	-	
FRA Scholars	848,472.88 FRA Scholars		4/7	-	
UNEP Scholars – S. Taduran, et. al	844,710.31	76	4/7	-	
CCDI – Scholars Pili	627,464.00	39	3/7	-	
Maria Jean B. Nacario – CA UNEP Scholars	1,000,000.00	36	2/7	-	
Maria Jean B. Nacario - CA UNEP Scholars	581,817.00	36	2/7	-	
Eleanor C. Bon – CA Balatan Scholars	456,000.00			-	
Cielita O. Abano – CA Buhi Lyceum Scholars	762,183.00	- 3/7 56 762,183.		-	
Eleanor C. Bon - CA CCDI Iriga Scholars	- 3/7 54 500,000.00		-		
B. Shot Trading – medicines	3,185,500.00	342426 3/7 3,185,50		342426	
Jomed Gen. Merchandise – medicines	2,218,200.00		3/7	322427	
EA-EC Const. & Supply - aggregates	1,800,000.00		3/7	342430	
	₽30,583,882.04		Total		

4. Nueva Ecija

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions:

Purpose /	l a statetar	Amount (in M P)		Observations					
Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Total	Observations					
Financial Assistance									
No specific purpos	se								
LGU Gabaldon	Czarina D. Umali	0.500	0.500	The assistance was released for no specific purpose and without request from the beneficiaries. The eligibility of the project under PDAF cannot, therefore, be evaluated.					
	Sub-total		0.500						
Procurement									
Computer Equipm	ent intended for:								
Different Police Stations within the 2 nd Cong District	Joseph Gilbert F. Violago	0.486	0.486	The transactions were not supported with request from end-users, evaluation report as to necessity of the itu proposed to be procured, assessment as to eligibility ur the program, proof of posting in the PhilGEPS					
School Bags and I	Philippine Map intended for:			conspicuous places, publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those					
Schools Czarina D. Umali 1.156			1.156	within the threshold. Inspection on December 8, 2010					
Heavy Equipment	intended for:			disclosed that one of the three backhoe units procured from HCK Motors & Trucks in the amount of P2.350 Million was					
LGU Operations	Czarina D. Umali	2.350	2.350	The Notion's & Tracks in the another repair since November 2010. This equipment was delivered only in August 2008. The other two units have different chassis/engine numbers when compared with the numbers indicated in the delivery receipts. All these procurements were also no longer within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. The Team could not also validate the authenticity of procurement from Alexander A. Vargas Trading in the amount of P0.512 Million as the supplier did not confirm					
Const'n mat'ls for	various infrastructure activities	intended for:		the transaction. It also issued receipt purportedly printed by					
DILG	Czarina D. Umali	0.496	0.496	a printer that cannot be located at its given address. On the other hand, confirmation from the concerned LGUs					
Barangays	Joseph Gilbert F. Violago	0.650	18.759	disclosed that three suppliers did not fully report their					
	Czarina D. Umali	18.109		transactions with the LGU.					
	Sub-total		23.247						
	Total		23.747						

B. Suppliers that did not fully report their transactions to LGUs:

	Legislator	Items	Tran	sactions	Reported Sales	
Supplier		Procured	No.	Amount (in M P)	Amount	Period
EGP Merchandise	Czarina	Const'n. materials	3	7.940	0.050	2009
SOB Const'n. & Supply	D. Umali	Const'n. of MPB	4	9.486	0.435	2007-2009
HCK Motors & Trucks Ent.		Heavy equipment	1	2.350	1.800	2008
	Total	19.776	2.285			

The Team also noted that disbursement voucher amounting to P4,933,600 for the procurement of multicab vehicle from HCK Motors and Trucks, was not

submitted to the Team for audit purposes in violation of Section 107 of P.D. No. 1445 requiring all Accountable Officers to render their accounts and submit vouchers as prescribed under COA regulations.

5. Tarlac

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions:

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amount (in M P)	Observations						
Financial As:	sistance									
Cooperative operation	Tarlac Press and Radio Club Multi Purpose Coop.	Jose V. Yap	0.500	These were not supported with request from the beneficiaries, project proposal and evaluation report as to eligibility of the project from PDAF. As						
Loan	Federation of Senior Citizens Association		0.200	discussed earlier, financial assistance for the regular activities of the coops/associations should not be funded by the government.						
	Sub-total		0.700							
Procurement	t									
Wooden Chairs	Different schools	Jose V. Yap	0.130	These were not supported with request from the end-users, assessment on the need to provide						
Computer sets	Other Gov't Offices		0.069	assistance and eligibility under PDAF, distribution list, certificate of acceptance by end-user for wooden chairs, proof of posting in the PhilGEPS						
Motorcycle	Pagsasanay ng Trabaho sa Segunda Distrito								0.095	and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwid circulation for those within the threshold. These
Vehicle	LGU Operations		4.425	procurements were also no longer within the menu						
Repair of vehicles			0.839	of programs eligible for funding under PDAF. The validity of a number of these transactions may						
Const'n.	Schools		0.910	also be considered questionable for the following						
materials	Tarlac Nat'l HS	Miriam	1.814	reasons:						
	TSAT Bldg, Tarlac	Defensor- Santiago	35.447	 Transactions worth P11.321 Million were entered into with suppliers of questionable 						
	Police Station	Jose V. Yap	0.164	legal and physical existence as they cannot be						
	Barangays		10.291	located at their given addresses or issued						
	Tarlac Sports Complex		24.051	quesitionable receipts.Three suppliers did not also fully report their transactions with the concerned LGU.						
	Sub-total		78.235							
	Total		78.935							

B. Suppliers of questionable existence:

		Items	Trans	saction		
Supplier	Leg.	Procured	No.	Amt. (M P)	Remarks	
RMG Elect. Power Supply	Jose V. Yap	Elect. supplies	1	0.361	This supplier has no business permit to operate in 2009 and did not confirm this transaction. The printer of receipt	

		Items	Tran	saction	
Supplier	Leg.	Procured	No.	Amt. (M P)	Remarks
					cannot also be located and unknown at its given address.
Strong Hold Trading		Const'n. materials	38	10.960	This supplier was issued business permit and confirmed these transactions. However, the issued receipt No. 357 is not within the authorized series to be printed of 3501-4500. It has reported sales of only P3.916 Million in CY 2009 to the City Government of Tarlac when its transactions with the Provincial Government alone during this year already amounted to P7.778 Million .
	Total		39	11.321	

C. Suppliers that did not fully report their transactions with LGUs:

Cumpliar	Log	Items	No. of	Amount	Repor	ted Sales
Supplier	Leg.	Procured	Trans.	(in M P)	Amt.	Period
Jake and Joy Enterprise	Jose	Sports equipment	2	0.438	0.348	2007-2009
Jerah Auto Supply	V. Yap	Auto supply materials	25	0.839	0.237	2007-2009
SGU Construction		Construction materials	8	33.043	3.453	2007-2009
	34.320	4.038				

6. Bataan

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (M ₽)	Observations
Financial Assistan	се			
Administrative	Various Private Organizations	Albert	0.648	These were not supported with request
and various activities, anniversary /	Barangays and other agencies	Raymond S. Garcia	2.670	from the beneficiaries and assessment / evaluation reports on the need to provide assistance and eligibility under PDAF.
sports / cultural / and other activities	Schools and DepEd–Balanga City		0.149	These may also be considered no longer eligible under PDAF. The expenses of the cooperative and associations should
Welfare/advocacy	Dept. of Trade and Industry		0.103	ot also be funded out of government unds.
Grp. Life Insurance	Bataan Polytechnic State College Faculty Association		0.117	iunus.
Const'n. of projects	1530 MPCoop, Inc.		0.100	
Seedbags	Bataan Seed Grower MPC		0.030	
E-acctg program	PCDO-PGB		0.073	
Additional capital	I capital Brgy. Camacho Consumer Coop.		0.020	
Handheld radio/ Mobilization	Liga ng barangay		0.490	
Repair of vehicles	Barangays		0.050	

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (M P)	Observations
Social dev't projects	Barangay Captains	Prospero C. Nograles	2.318	This was released to Barangay Chairmen at P19,000 each without request, project proposal, evaluation report on the need to provide assistance and assessment as to eligibility under PDAF. These were also not covered by receipt acknowledging the fund and not reflected in barangay books. This was claimed utilized during meetings with their constituents with expenses, likewise, not documented.
	Sub-total		6.768	
Procurement				
Office/tech., audio-visual equipment, TV, mountain bike,	Provincial Crime Laboratory Office, Bataan Criminal Investigation and Detection Team	Albert Raymond S. Garcia	0.085	All these expenses were not supported with request from the beneficiaries, project proposal, evaluation on the need to provide assistance and assessment
and grasscutter	4 th Municipal Circuit Trial Court, Bagac, Morong		0.019	as to eligibility under PDAF, Office Order or any document authorizing conduct of event/activity. The items procured and
	Philippine Councilor's League, PENRO and CENRO, and other Organizations		0.243	recipients may also be considered not eligible under PDAF.
Aircon/Drug test kit	Schools		0.015	
Meals	LGU Operations		0.222	
Sound system – Gen. Assembly	Council of Personnel Officers		0.112	
Tokens for Get- Together Activity	LGU Operations		0.026	
	Sub-total		0.722	
	Total		7.490	

7. Taguig City

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (M P)	Observations
Procurement				
Aircon, sewing machine, videoke machines, tent, camera, Office equipt., furnitures	Brgy. Signal Village Congressman's Office LGU Operations	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	0.243 1.403 1.786	 These were not supported with request from the end-users, evaluation reports on the need to provide assistance and assessment as to eligibility under PDAF, specifications of the items procured, duly acknowledged distribution list, plans / programs / Office Orders authorizing the conduct of different
Blinds / chairs / clock / tent for diff. activities	Congressman's Office		1.728	activities such as sports, and other events, terminal report on the conduct of activities indicating the items distributed, date, venue,

Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (M P)	Observations
Clothing, painting mat'ls	Congressman's Office		2.017	beneficiaries, and receipts for some items procured. All these may not also be
and sporting goods for diff.	Individuals		0.785	considered wihin the menu of programs
activities/ events	FM's Signal Vill. Elem. School		0.034	 eligible for funding under PDAF. The infrastructure projects were also no
Computer / printer	Congressman's Office		0.349	supported with plans and specifications, POW detailed quantity estimates, inspection and acceptance report and official receipt
Groceries for Pamaskong	Congressman's Office		3.463	acknowledging receipt of payment for infrastructure project, proof of posting in the
Handog	Individuals		5.957	PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and
Firearms and handcuffs	Congressman's Office		0.137	publication of advertisement in newspaper or general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold.
	PNP Taguig		0.760	
Hardware	Various brgys.		0.677	Disbursement vouchers amounting to D17 325 010 together with supportion
supplies	Congressman's Office		0.151	P17,355,910, together with supporting documents representing payments fo medicines and medical supplies to Theraco
Multicab	Various barangays		2.731	Generics, Phils. under Check Nos. 20873
	LGU Operations		3.896	and 208772, were not also submitted to the Team. Non-submission of these documents is
Various infrastructure project	Brgy. Western Bicutan	Juan Ponce Enrile	4.995	in violation of Section 107 of PD 144 requiring all Accountable Officers to render the accounts and submit their vouchers as
	Brgy. PalingonTipas	Not specified	27.279	prescribed under COA regulations. Only photocopy of the DV was submitted to the
	Brgy. Ususan	Prospero C. Nograles	24.980	 Team. A number of other items amounting to P8.965
	Brgy. Signal Village	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	19.929	Million procured by the City Governmen cannot also be presented to the Team o remained unaccounted for despite repeated demand to present them for inspection.
	Brgy. Hagonoy	Not specified	20.631	A number of items procured remained unused
	Brgy. Napindan	Juan M. Flavier	0.998	 or already unserviceable. Four suppliers did not fully report to the
Reconditioned Ambulance	LGU Operations	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	4.403	 Transactions worth P15.623 Million were considered guestionable as the purporter
Medical equipment,		Not specified	34.790	suppliers are not legally and physically existin as they were not issued business permits, c
medicines and supplies		Miriam Defensor- Santiago	17.355	 cannot be located at their given addresses, or item procured cannot be presented despit request. Medicines and medical supplies amounting t P17.355 Million purchased from Theracc Generics, Phils. was not at all documented
				The Team was only provided with photocopie disbursement vouchers and purchase order.
	Total		181.477	

		Tran	sactions	
Supplier	Legislator	No.	Amt. (M P)	Remarks
Advan Trading	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	1	0.156	This supplier has no confirmed business permit to operate and unknown at its given address. Likewise, the printer of the receipts cannot be located. The 16 units Hi-Speed Sewing Machine reportedly delivered by the supplier cannot also be presented to the Team despite repeated demand. This was reportedly issued to the staff of the Office of the Congressman.
Augustinian Family Grocery		1	5.957	This supplier has business permit to operate but did not confirm this transaction. The issued receipt No. 1115 is also no longer within the purportedly authorized series of numbers to be printed of 1 to 250. The printer of the receipt is also unknown at its given address.
Every Motors Corp.		2	5.809	This supplier was last registered in 2008. Both supplier and printer of the receipts did not also confirm these transactions. The issued Invoice No. 9915 is, however, no longer within the series authorized to be printed of 4001-6500. The two units reconditioned Mitsubishi Coaster reportedly issued to the Office of the Congressman and one unit ambulance reportedly issued to the GSO cannot also be presented to the Team despite repeated demands while another Ford Ambulance was no longer functional and may be considered unserviceable.
F-50 Enterprises		1	0.243	This supplier did not confirm this transaction and issued Invoice No. 424 which is not within the series authorized to be printed of 1001-1500. The LGU did not also confirm the issuance of business permit to this supplier. The items purportedly procured from the supplier consisting of 1 Mini DV Handycam and digital camera cannot also be presented to the Team despite repeated demand from the requisitioning Office. These items were reportedly issued to the staff of the Office of the Congressman.
DPMJ Ent.		1	0.246	The printer of the receipt issued by this supplier is also unknown at its given address. While the supplier was issued business permit to operate, it did not confirm this transaction.
Acer Industrial and Contractors Corp.		5	1.910	These suppliers confirmed their transactions but the printers of the receipts issued by these suppliers cannot be located at their given addresses, or addresses given were non-existent or unknown. Moreover, all procurements from Acer Industrial amounting to
AJACCER Engineering		1	0.542	P1.910 Million, Federal Gun Exchange amounting to P0.760 Million and two units digital shot clock from AJACCER Engineering worth
Federal Gun Exchange		1	0.760	P0.361 Million cannot be presented to the Team despite repeated demands. All these items were also issued to the staff of the Office of the Congressman.
Total	(8)	13	15.623	

B. Suppliers with questionable transactions:

		Items			
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amt. (M P)	Qty/ Units	Issued To
JBG Enterprises	Henry M. Dueñas,	Monoblock Chairs and Table 36x36	0.535	1,100	Ms. Kharla Pagapulan – Office of the Congressman
RYHZ	Jr.	Executive Table	0.084	1	
Trading		Executive Chair		1	
		Conference Table	0.171	1	
		Conference Chair		8	
		Visitor Chair	0.026	2	
		Airport Gang Chair	0.079	3	
		Staff Desk	0.086	5	
		Swivel chair		5	
		Mobile Pedestal	0.019	5	
		2/3-Seater Sofas	0.301	9	
		Reception Counter	0.045	1	
		High Chair w/ gas lift	0.025	2	
Sta. Ana	Not	Oxygen Regulator	0.432	94	Taguig City Hospitals
Enterprises	specified	Stretcher - Stainless	0.358	6	
Elejans Enterprises	Henry M. Dueñas,	Personal Computers with tables & chairs	0.350	10	Ms. Kharla Pagapulan – Office of the Congressman
RYHZ Trading	Jr.	Medium size multi-function massage chair	0.068	1	
DHJM		40" Flat LCD Television set	0.274	2	
Enterprises		Cable TV Signal Amp	0.003	1	
		DVD Home Theater System	0.038	2	
		Multi-Functional DVD Recorder	0.015	1	
		Deskject Colored Printer	0.021	5	GSO (Day Care Center)
RYHZ Trading		Tarpaulin- Fabricated Tarpaulin Tent 12x12+6x12	0.230	7	Ms. Kharla Pagapulan – Office of the Congressman
Kurmat Enterprises		Multi-cabs (14 seater)	3.869	32	
Federal Gun Exchange		Cal. 45 Springfield armory	0.760	10	GSO (PC/INP Eufronio L. Obong, Jr.)
Every Motors Corp.		Ambulance Ford (E-350)	1.180	1	GSO
÷	Т	otal	8.969		

C. Procurements that cannot be presented to the Team:

Supplier	Legislator	Description/ Status	Amount (in M)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
Sta. Ana Ent.	Not specified	Cataract Set - unused	0.711	1	
		Phototheraphy - unused	0.199	1	PHOTOLOGY
		Spirometer - unused	0.818	1	The second secon
		Ceasarian Set - unused	0.172	1	
		Laureant Phaco Machine Alcon - unused	4.620	1	
		Autoclave Sterilizer with Safety Lock - unused	1.016	1	
		Mobile Operating Light - unused	0.652	1	
		Fetal Monitor – non-functional / unserviceable	0.770	1	Found at Taguig-Pateros District Hospital
AATI Advanced Technologies	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	Videoke Machines – non-functional / unserviceable	0.278	10	As disclosed in the reply of Ms. Grace Pagkatipunan, upon demand of the Team to submit status of equipment which was not presented to the Team during inspection, the units were located at Barangay Central, Signal Village and are all unserviceable. The Team was no longer able to validate such statement.
Sta. Ana Enterprises	Not specified	Infant Incubator – non-functional / unserviceable	0.897	1	BERNAD - HOL REPART D FRANKLAN OFFICIAL
		Patient Monitor/ Cardiac Monitor – non-functional / unserviceable	0.325	1	Bistos Co, List menter and an

D. Unused and unserviceable items:

.....

			Items		
Supplier	Legislator	Description/ Status	Amount (in M)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
Kurmat Enterprise	Henry M. Dueňas, Jr.	Multi-cabs (14 seater) – non- functional / unserviceable	1.366	6	Found at Taguig-Pateros District Hospital
RYHZ Trading		Multi-function Massage Chair – non-functional / unserviceable	0.110	1	
AATI Advanced Technologies		Videoke Machines – non-functional / unserviceable	0.119	3	

E. Suppliers that did not fully report their transactions to the LGUs:

		Tra		Penorte	d Sales	
Supplier	Legislator	No.	Amt.	Reported Sales		
		NO.	(M P)	Amount	Period	
ABN Const. Corp.	Henry M. Dueñas, Jr.	1	9.953	0.750	2008-2009	
DHJM Enterprises		5	2.989	0.780	2008-2009	
Grandline Engr. Srvcs.		1	9.977	0.180	2009	
Jaylot Trdg. & Const.	Juan Ponce Enrile	2	4.994	0.666	2009	
	Total	27.913	2.376			

8. Barangays of Taguig City

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions charged against the PDAF of Senator Allan Peter Cayetano:

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary	Amt. (M P)	Observations							
Barangay Ligid,	Barangay Ligid, Tipas, Taguig City									
Procurement										
Uniform of Brgy. Council	Barangay Operations	0.150	These were not supported with request from the users, evaluation report on the need to procure such items and assess eligibility under the program, receipt and Sales Invoice, list of distribution, proof of							
Hand-held radios		0.200	posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places. The uniform of the Brgy. Council may not even be considered within the menu of project eligibility under PDAF.							
Mini-ambulance	LGU Operation	0.400								
Sub-Total 0.750		0.750								

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary	Amt. (M P)	Observations						
Barangay Calzad	da, Tipas, Tagui	g City							
Procurement									
House numbering plate	Barangay Operations	0.248	These procurements were not supported with request from the end- users, evaluation report on the need to procure and assess eligibility under PDAF, or proof of posting in the PhilGEPs. The house						
Brgy firebuster		0.400							
Motorcycle with sidecar		0.100	numbering plate and motorcycle with sidecar may also be considered no longer within the menu of programs under PDAF. On the other hand, confirmation disclosed that the suppliers of firebuster, Pinoy Inventions Ent. with transactions amounting to P400,000 , has no business permit to operate during CYs 2007-2009. This supplier did not also confirm its transactions with this LGU.						
Sub-T	otal	0.748							
Barangay Mahar	lika, Taguig City	1							
Procurement									
Motorcycle with sidecar	Barangay Operations	0.550	report		ure the item	ns and ass	sess eligibi	lity under	
Ambulance	LGU Operations	0.650	 report on the need to procure the items and assess eligibility under PDAF, and proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places. The motorcycle with sidecar may also be considered not within the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF. Confirmation from the concerned LGUs also disclosed that the two suppliers did not fully report their transactions to the LGU, as tabulated below: 						
			Supplier Trans. Reported Sales						
				••	(in M P)	Amt	Period		
				Sankapa Ent Civi Trdg. & Const'n	0.650	0.200	2009 2009		
				Total	1.200	0.560	2007		
Sub-T	otal	1.200							
Tot	al	2.698							

9. Manila

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (M P)	Observations
Procurement				
Community Defense Handbook with CD	Barangays	Manuel M. Lapid	5.000	These were not supported with request from the end-users, evaluation report on the need to procure these items and assess eligibility under PDAF, project proposals, inspection
Trashcans, tents, clothing, raincoats	Not identified	Not identified	5.784	and acceptance report, distribution lists duly acknowledged by the recipients of various items procured, proof of posting in the
Motorcycles,	City Mayor	Benjamin D. Asilo	10.117	PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and
multicabs, service vans	Division of City School	Amado S. Bagatsing	2.858	publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within
Medical Equipt./ Supplies	Ospital ng Maynila		1.500	the threshold. These items may even be considered no longer within the menu of

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (M P)	Observations
	Ospital ng Sampaloc	Ma. Theresa B. Bonoan-David	1.478	programs eligible for funding under PDAF. There were also no bid documents for water
Water pipe laying	Isla Putting Bato, Parola, Tondo	Benjamin D. Asilo	6.899	pipe laying. Further evaluation and validation also disclosed that one supplier, Dynamics
Improvement	Metropolitan Theater	Monica Louise Prieto-Teodoro	0.081	Educational System, with transactions amounting to P5,000,000 while with issued
Cremation System / New Vertical Wall Niches P I & II	Manila North Cemetary	Benjamin D. Asilo	5.100	permits to operate from CYs 2007 to 2008, can no longer be located at its given address. In addition, a number of items procured from the suppliers either cannot be presented to the Team or found not at all used or already unserviceable.
	Total		38.817	

B. Items of which cannot be presented or unused:

Legislator				Remarks / Location					
	Description	Amount (in M P)	Qty/ Units						
Amado S. Bagatsing	Nebulizer	0.004	1	Cannot be pre	Cannot be presented				
Maria Zenaida B. Angpin	Day Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package	2.780	10	These units were reportedly issued to 13 barangays Of the total 1,027 pcs., only 648 were found by the Team in the custody of the users, 236 of which were not at all used. The unaccounted items consisting of 379 pcs. are summarized below:				ound by the which were onsisting of	
				Reported	Ν	lo. of Iter	ns in Packa	ges	
				Recipient (Barangay)	Issued	Account -ed	Unutilized	Un- accounted	
				272, Zone 25	79	8		71	
				285, Zone 26	79	27		52	
				310, Zone 31	79	71		8	
				330, Zone 33	79	33		46	
				334, Zone 33	79	71		8	
				343, Zone 34	79	56		23	
				389, Zone 40	79	57		22	
				391, Zone 40	79	70		9	
				394, Zone 40	79	13		66	
				364, Zone 37	79	5	1	74	
				275, Zone 25	79	79	78		
				283, Zone 26	79	79	78		
				319, Zone 32	79	79	79		
				Total	1,027	648	236	379	
Maria Zenaida B. Angping	DCCL Dev't Package	0.834	3	were found un Issued to 275, Zone	nused: Brgy. e 25	to the	No. of CDs	78	
	Bagalsing Maria Zenaida B. Angpin	BagatsingMaria Zenaida B. AngpinDay Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't PackageMaria Zenaida B.Day Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package	Bagatsing Day Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package 2.780 Maria Zenaida B. Day Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package 2.780 Maria Zenaida B. Day Care Center Dev't Package 2.780	BagatsingDay Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010Maria Zenaida B.Day Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010Maria Zenaida B.Day Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010	BagatsingDay Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010These units w Of the total 1 Team in the c not at all used 379 pcs. are sReported Recipient (Barangay)Reported Recipient (Barangay)Reported Recipient (Barangay)277, Zone 25, Zone 26, 310, Zone 31, 330, Zone 33, 334, Zone 34, 389, Zone 40, 391, Zone 40, 394, Zone 40, 	BagatsingDay Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010These units were repo Of the total 1,027 pcs Team in the custody on not at all used. The ur 379 pcs. are summarizReported Recipient (Barangay)NPackage272, Zone 2579 285, Zone 26272, Zone 257930, Zone 3379334, Zone 3379343, Zone 4079399, Zone 4079391, Zone 4079394, Zone 4079394, Zone 4079394, Zone 2679319, Zone 3279Total1,027	BagatsingDay Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010These units were reportedly is Of the total 1,027 pcs., only 6 Team in the custody of the use not at all used. The unaccount 379 pcs. are summarized belowReported Work PackageNo. of Iter Recipient (Barangay)No. of Iter Issued Account -edReported 272, Zone 25798285, Zone 267927310, Zone 317971330, Zone 337933334, Zone 347956389, Zone 407957391, Zone 407970394, Zone 257979283, Zone 267979319, Zone 327979319, Zone 327979319, Zone 327979319, Zone 327979319, Zone 257979319, Zone 257979310, Zone 317979310, Zone 327979310, Zone	BagatsingDay Care Center Learning (DCCL) Dev't Package2.78010These units were reportedly issued to 13 Of the total 1,027 pcs., only 648 were for Team in the custody of the users, 236 of not at all used. The unaccounted items or 379 pcs. are summarized below:Reported Recipient (Barangay)No. of Items in Packa Recipient (Barangay)No. of Items in Packa Recipient (Barangay)Reported PackageNo. of Items in Packa PackageNo. of Items in Packa PackageMaria Zenaida B. AngpingDCCL Dev't Package0.834Maria Zenaida B. AngpingDCCL Dev't Package0.834DCCL Dev't PackageDCCL Dev't Package0.834DCCL Dev't PackageDCCL Dev't PackageDCCL Dev't PackageDCCL Dev't PackageDCCL Dev't Package <t< td=""></t<>	

			Items		
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amount (in M P)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
					Issued to Brgy.No. of CDs319, Zone 3278Total234
Pisces Publishing, Inc.	Jaime C. Lopez	E. Library Hub Package - unused	10.5	3	These units were issued to Cayetano Arellano, Ramon Avancera, Dona Teodora and Raja Soliman Science and Technology, F. Torres and Jose P. Laurel, Lakanndula High Schools. The automation
	Maria Zenaida B. Angping		14	4	systems are not at all being used in these schools as the supplier did not conduct training, or the package is not at all applicable, or these items were not installed by the supplier. Almost all educational CDs delivered remained unused as of date of inspection. Most of its contents are not even suitable for high school students as these were intended for elementary students. There are no services provided by the suppliers on the facilities after delivery of the items.
Roman Instructional Media Resources, Inc.	Jaime C. Lopez	Multi-Media Instructional System - unused	4.177	13	These items were issued to 13 schools but remained unused/uninstalled or used only once or twice. Some equipment delivered were defective or not presented to the Team during validation.
		Science Laboratory Package	2.570	8	The items were delivered to schools. The CDs were unutilized/stored in Supply Office / in the custody of Property Custodian. The Teachers are not aware that there are available equipment and CDs delivered.
Panamed Phils, Inc.	Amado S. Bagatsing	Various Medical Eqpt.	0.485	1	These were issued to Health Centers with the following status:

			Items				
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amount (in M P)	Qty/ Units		Rema	arks / Location
					Eqpt.	# of Units	Status
					Nebulizer Stethoscope BP Apparatus Fetal Doppler Weighing	1 2 7 2 1	Unutilized Prohibited by the DOH to be used due to mercury component. For condemnation. Unutilized as there are existing units.
		까			Netbulizer	N. N.	
Roman Instructional Media Resources, Inc.	Jaime C. Lopez	Science Laboratory Package	0.964	3			ndula, G. Del Pilar and Centex ut most CDs are unused.
PZA Trading	Amado S. Bagatsing	Computer with printer	0.489	3	These were iss status:	sued to	three schools with the following
					School		Status
					Araullo H/S Margarita Roxas Ayala E/S Celedonio Salvad	de Pr	PU, monitor and printer for ondemnation. rinter still in the box, unutilized. ne monitor is for repair.
Roman Istructional Media Resources, Inc.	Jaime C. Lopez	Digital Language Laboratory – not fully used	9.643	4	are being use	d, the	igh Schools. Although the units rooms are not suitable for the so not conducive to learning.
Medilines Distributor	Amado S. Bagatsing	Dialysis Machine	1.500	1	For replaceme Ospital ng May		motherboard. It was issued to alysis Center.
Porta Coeli Industrial Co.	Benjamin D. Asilo	Multicab- Jeepney	3.727	7	These vehicles	are no	o longer functional / serviceable

			Items		
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amount (in M P)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
					Atom Asia

C. Unsubmitted disbursement vouchers and supporting documents:

Davias	C	heck	
Payee	No.	Amount	
ESN Dyamond General Merchandise	270554	₽ 7,890,500	
Medilines Distributors	270104	1,500,000	
Total		₽ 9,390,500	

10. Barangays of Manila

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions and unsubmitted disbursement vouchers:

Purpose	Barangay	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (in M P)	Observations
Donation and procurement of	Bgry. 693, Zone 75	Barangay operations	Amado S. Bagatsing	1.972	These were not supported with disbursement vouchers, the nature
sports, food and relief good	Bgry. 755, Zone 84			0.500	of expenses only based on submitted schedule of fund utilization and receipts.
Donation and procurement of sports items and renovation of brgy hall	Bgry. 791, Zone 86			0.485	
Donation	Bgry. 711 Zone 78			0.500	
	Bgry. 805, Zone 87			0.490	
	Bgry. 649, Zone 68			0.500	These were not covered with DVs, nature of expenses indicated only in
	Bgry. 674, Zone 73			1.998	the Summary of Fund Utilization, without any supporting documents.
	Bgry. 810, Zone 88			2.487	
Procurement of shirts/ vests and donation	Bgry. 823, Zone 89			0.414	
Supplies and labor for constructrion of MPH	Bgry. 310, Zone 31		Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	1.500	This was not covered with DV but submitted receipt and other project documents.

Purpose	Barangay	Beneficiary	Legislator	Amt. (in M P)	Observations
Procurement of multicab	Bgry. 315, Zone 32			0.189	This was not covered with DV but submitted receipt/SI, Deed of Sale, MR and Acceptance and Inspection Report.
No submitted documents	Bgry. 704, Zone 77		Amado S. Bagatsing	0.500	Did not submit any documents.
Total					

B. Suppliers of questionable existence:

	Supplier/	Items		Tran	saction	
Brgy.	Contractor	Procured	Legislator	No.	Amt. (M ₽)	Remarks
315	Lydimel Ent.	Multicab	Juan Miguel F. Zubiri	1	0.200	This supplier was last registered in 2011 but did not confirm this transaction. It also issued receipt and SI bearing Nos. 915 and 944, respectively, which are both outside the series of 001A-500A, claimed to have been authorized by the BIR to be printed.
	Sul	b-Total		1	0.200	
693	Agila Rice Mill	Rice	Amado S. Bagatsing	1	0.100	This supplier cannot be located at its given address and issued SI No. 10413 which is beyond the authorized series to be printed of 0501-0550.
	La Trinidad Café and Catering Specialist	Food		3	0.117	This supplier reportedly moved out from its given address and issued SI No. 0561 which is beyond the series of numbers authorized to be printed of 001-200. Both supplier and printer have no business permits to operate.
	RD Toledo Marketing	Donation (T-shirt)		2	0.116	This supplier was not issued permit during CYs 2007 to 2009 with last permit issued for CY 2005. This supplier did not also confirm these transactions while the printer of the receipt is unknown at its given address.
	Cabasal Trading	Donation		1	0.039	This supplier was issued business permit but did not reply to the Team. The printer of the receipt issued by this supplier has no business permit to operate. Confirmation letter sent to the printer of the receipt was also returned due to incomplete address.
	Almega Trading	Donation (Youth Seminar)		2	0.394	The supplier did not reply to the Team but was issued business permit. The printer of the receipt issued by the suppliers, however, has no permit to operate.
	Blue Line	Donation (Info Drive)		2	0.106	This supplier issued only provisional receipts but was issued business permit. It did not, however, reply to the Team.
	Consolidated Packaging Ent.	Relief Goods (not indicated in receipt)		1	0.104	The printer of the receipt cannot be located at its given address. The supplier was, however, issued permit to operate though did not reply to the Team.
	Sul	o-Total		12	0.976	
755	LGR Athletic Wear	Donation (T-shirt)	Amado S. Bagatsing	1	0.152	This supplier did not confirm this transaction and issued receipt bearing serial number not within the authorized series to be printed. The printer also denied printing this receipt and claimed that this supplier was not their client-to-date.
	Sul	b-Total		1	0.152	

	Supplier/	Items		Tran	saction	
Brgy.	Contractor	Procured	Legislator	No.	Amt. (M P)	Remarks
791	Pennipersonali zed Graphic Design & Printing Services	Not indicated	Amado S. Bagatsing	1	0.055	This supplier was newly registered in 2010 and issued receipt No. 0015 on October 2, 2009 bearing ATP issued only on September 24, 2010.
	Ron Jay General Mdse.	Tent		1	0.097	This supplier issued receipt No. 1236 not within the authorized series to be printed of 501-1000. Both supplier and printer of the receipts have no business permits to operate and did not confirm this transaction.
	Sul	b-Total		2	0.152	
	Tot	tal (11)		16	1.480	

11. Quezon City

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions:

Purpose / Beneficiary	Legislator	Amount	. ,	Remarks
Deficicially		Charge	Total	
Meals and sn	acks			
Barangay Operation	Juan Ponce Enrile	1.000	2.000	These are not supported with printout copy of posting in the PhilGEPs and conspicuous places and publication of
	Aquilino Q. Pimentel, Jr.	1.000		advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide publication. These were not supported with list of attendees and post evaluation report on the success of the program.
				It was apparent that legal and physical existence of MYMYTE Catering Services with transactions amounting to P1 Million were not evaluated. Confirmation from the City Government disclosed that this supplier was not issued business permit to operate. This supplier cannot also be located at its given address.
T	otal	2.000		

The Team also noted that disbursement voucher amounting to $\mathbf{P500,000}$, representing cash advance of Mr. Antonio Enrile Inton, was not submitted to the Team for audit purposes in violation of Section 107 of P.D. No. 1445 requiring all Accountable Officers to render their accounts and submit vouchers as prescribed under COA regulations.

12. Barangays of Quezon City

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary / Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations
Triangle, Teach	er's Village East, UP Villag	ge, Immac	ulate Cond	di Capitol Site, Botocan, Paligsahan, Sikatuna, South ception, Don Manuel, Horseshoe, Sacred Heart, San nagkaisahan, Kamuning, Talayan
Asphalt Overlay / Health Center / B		ls / Pathwa	iys / Covere	ed Court / Drainage / MPB / Public Toilets / SK Building /
Barangay Operations	Edcel C. Lagman Juan Ponce Enrile	39.460 0.598	43.379	These are not supported with printout copy of posting in the PhilGEPs and conspicuous places and publication
	Francisco N. Pangilinan	2.000		of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide publication. These were also not supported with any or
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	0.637		a combination of project documents such as Invitation to
	Not specified	0.684		Bid, Bid Proposals of Participating Bidders, BAC Resolution awarding the Contract, Approved Budget for the Contract, Detailed Quantity Estimates, As-Built Plan, Inspection Report, Certificate of Appearance, Contractor's Statement of Work Accomplished, Approved Plans & Specifications, Contract Agreement, and Official Receipts. There were even no disbursement vouchers submitted by Brgys. South Triangle, UP Village, Old Capitol Site Don Manuel, Kamuning and Talayan.
Barangays Sout	h Triangle, Bahay Toro, Bal	on Bato, U	nang Siga	N
Feeding Program	n / Medicines			
Barangay Operations	Edcel C. Lagman	0.283	0.882	These were not supported with printout copies of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, other bid documents, project plans or any document
	Francisco N. Pangilinan	0.599		authorizing the conduct of activities and proof of implementation of the program indicating the venue, activities undertaken, beneficiaries, pictures of activities, participating partners, date conducted, item procured and distributed to each beneficiary. There were even no DVs submitted by Brgy. Balon Bato. The nature of expense was traced only from the submitted Fund Utilization Report.
Manresa, Marib PhilAm, Project	lo, Masambong, N.S. Amor	anto, Nay vacion, Sa	ong Kanlu n Antonio	gad, Damar, Damayan, Del Monte, Lourdes, Maharlika, ran, Katipu-nan, Pag-ibig sa Nayon, Paltok, Paraiso, , San Isidro Labrador, San Jose, St. Peter, Sta. Cruz, s Vill, West Triangle
Procurement of N	Notorcycles			
Barangay Operations	Vincent Crisologo	0.612	0.612	These procurements were not supported with printoul copy of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and other procurement documents such as Abstract of Bids, BAC Resolution awarding contracts, among others. This was claimed to be procured through direct contracting without complying with the requirements under R.A. No. 9184. These were also not supported with certification from the concerned barangay captains that there are no sub-dealers offering lower prices or suitable substitutes in the market at a price advantageous to the government. This item may not also be considered eligible under PDAF. There were even no DVs submitted by Brgys. Proj. 6, Maharlika, Damayang Lagi, Vasra, Mariblo and Katipunan. The

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary / Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations			
				nature of expense was merely based on the Fund Utilization Reports submitted to the Team or the NCA.			
Barangay Payat	as						
Payment of Insu	rance Policies of Tricycle Drive	rs to Fede	rale 1st Mkt	g. and Services			
Barangay Operations	Mary Ann L. Susano	0.999	0.999	This expense may not even be considered eligible under PDAF and has no legal basis. This was not also supported with project plan indicating the selection criteria of beneficiaries, request from the beneficiaries and proof of evaluation on the selection of the Insurance Company.			
Barangays Pase	ong Tamo, Kaunlaran, San V	icente, So	uth Triang	le			
Purchase of Edu	c'l / Instructional and Const'n N	Aat'ls, Ves	ts and Tents	s for TODA			
Barangay	Edcel C. Lagman	4.275	4.912	This procurement was not covered by DVs and required			
Operations	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	0.637		supporting documents. The nature of expense was only based on the submitted Fund Utilization Report.			
	ia, Baesa, Bahay Toro, Baya mo, San Isidro, Galas, Sanga			el, ESCOPA II, Libis Mangga, Marilag, Masagana, New Jle, Tandang Sora, Vasra			
Purchase of Office	ce Equipment						
Barangay Operations	Francisco N. Pangilinan	7.300	8.304	These procurement were not supported with printou copy of posting in PhilGEPS and conspicuous places request from the end-users, and at times, other			
	Edcel C. Lagman	0.904		procurement documents such as Abstract of Bids, BAC			
	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	0.100		Resolution Awarding the Contract, Price Quotations distribution list of items procured and Official Receipt The office equipment may also be considered no among those eligible for funding under PDAF. There were even no DVs submitted by Brgys. Masagana Libis, D. Zobel and Sangandaan. The nature of expense was merely based on NCA or Fund Utilization Reports.			
Barangay Balin	gasa						
Purchase of Offic	ce Equipment and Various Med	dicines					
Barangay Operations	Francisco N. Pangilinan	1.000	1.000	These were not covered with DVs or any document. The nature of procurement was merely based on the letter of Punong Barangay.			
Barangay South	n Triangle			These were not supported with printout copy of posting			
	ol Bikes (Mountain Bikes)			of advertisement in the PhilGEPs and conspicuous			
Barangay Operations	Edcel C. Lagman	0.070	0.070	places and other procurement documents, request from the end-user, proof of distribution of sports equipment These were even no longer within the menu of projects			
Barangay Paso	ng Tamo			eligible for funding under PDAF.			
	rts Equipment and Supplies						
Barangay Operations	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	0.262	0.262				
Barangay Batas							
Purchase of Sup	plies materials for livelihood pr	oject					
Barangay Operations	Francisco N. Pangilinan	0.200	0.200	This was not supported with printout copies of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, price quotation from the purported bidder and other pro-curemen documents, distribution list, request from the end-users project plan or any document authorizing the conduct o such activity and proof of implementation of the project.			

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary / Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations
	nihan, D. Zobel, E. Rodrig Socorro, Tagumpay, Villa			, III, IV), Ma-rilag, Milagrosa, Old Balara, Pansol, San
Purchase, Repair	and Services and change of	spareparts	of Utility Ve	hicle
Brgy. Operations	Matias V. Defensor, Jr.	10.000	10.000	These were not supported with invitation to bid, printout copy of posting in PhilGEPs and conspicuous places, other procurement documents such as Price Quotations, Abstract of Bids, BAC Resolution Awarding the Contract, PR, Notice of Award, PO, Certificate of Delivery and Acceptance, Inspection Report. There were even no disbursement vouchers submitted by Brgys. D. Zobel, Pansol, San Roque, ESCOPA III, Socorro, Tagumpay, A. Samson, and Silangan. These procurements were no longer within the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF.
	Total		70.620	

Further evaluation disclosed that substantial amount of procurement is questionable for the following reasons:

• Two suppliers of these barangays were not legally and physically existing as they were either not issued business permits to operate or cannot be located at their given addresses, as discussed below:

			Trans	actions	
Barangay	Legislator	Supplier	No.	Amt. (M P)	Remarks
New Era	Francisco N. Pangilinan	Superjie Trading	2	0.186	This supplier was registered in 2008 to 2009 but cannot be located at its given address.
Don Manuel	Edcel C.	M.S.	1	1.649	This supplier has no business permits
Pinagkaisahan	Lagman	Garrido Const'n	1	1.999	from the City Government of Quezon and did not confirm these transactions.
	Total (2)			3.834	

B. Equipment purchased by barangays that cannot be presented/unaccounted/ unserviceable:

			Iten	าร		
Barangay	Legislator	Supplier	Description	Amt (in M P)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
Vasra	Francisco N. Pangilinan	ENOA Ent.	Laptop Apple Macbook	0.186	1	None of the items were found within the Barangay Hall and
			Nikkon DSLR Cam	0.095	1	were claimed to be unserviceable.
			Laminating Mach.	0.021	1	unseiviceable.
			Sharp DVD	0.010	1	
South Triangle	Edcel C. Lagman	Arcian Ent.	Portable address system	0.028	1	Claimed to have been borrowed by constituents.

			Ite	ms		
Barangay	Legislator	Supplier	Description	Amt (in M P)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
			Public address system	0.148	1	Claimed to be for repair and replacement of electrical wiring but not presented to the Team.
Tandang Sora	Francisco N. Pangilinan	ENOA Ent.	Laptop computer	0.105	1	Not presented to the Team during validation; claimed to
	-		Sony Digital Cam "Cybershot"	0.038	1	be not turned-over by the former Brgy. Secretary.
			19" LCD (TFT) monitor-Samsung	0.028	1	
Bahay Toro	Francisco N. Pangilinan		Sony Cybershot Digicam DSC W Series	0.039	1	Not found during validation on June 24, 2011 and claimed to be not included in
	Vincent P. Crisologo	Phil. Beijing Motors	Motorcycle	0.018	1	equipment turned-over by the previous administration
Masagana	Not specified	ENOA Ent.	Digital camera	0.033	1	Not found during validation.
	Su	b-Total		0.749	12	
Escopa II	Francisco N. Pangilinan	ENOA Ent.	Desktop computer with table & chair	0.100	1	Unserviceable
Marilag	Francisco N. Pangilinan	ENOA Ent.	Desktop computer with table & chair	0.180	2	Unserviceable
South Triangle	Edcel C. Lagman	Enthel Trading and Const'n.	CCTV equipment	0.904	1	Unserviceable
		Arcian Ent.	Desktop computer	0.060	1	Unserviceable
			Mountain bike	0.070	5	Unserviceable
		ENOA Ent.	Colored TV	0.028	1	Unserviceable
Brgy. Bahay Toro	Francisco N. Pangilinan		RISO KS Copier – Unserviceable	0.261		Non-functional since assumption of the newly elected officials; no toner and with defective film

			Iten	าร		
Barangay	Legislator	Supplier	Description	Amt (in M P)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
Brgy. Pasong Tamo	Ramon B. Magsaysay, Jr.	Alelen Enterprises	HP dx2300 Micro-tower (EU/AP)	0.100	1	Unserviceable. CPU already replaced; HP printers transferred to Annex II, unserviceable.
	Sul	b-Total		1.703	13	
]	Total		2.452	25	

C. Unsubmitted disbursement vouchers:

Demonstrati	Cł	neck	Amount Channed to Fund
Barangay	No.	Date	Amount Charged to Fund
Brgy. Apolonio Samson			3,200,000.00
Brgy. Balingasa			1,000,000.00
Brgy. Central			2,000,000.00
Brgy. Culiat			200,000.00
Brgy. Damayang Lagi			2,000,000.00
Brgy. Dioquino Zobel			500,000.00
Brgy. Don Manuel			351,000.00
Brgy. ESCOPA III			666,666.67
Brgy. Kamuning			2,000,000.00
Brgy. Katipunan			18,000.00
Brgy. Kaunlaran	223856	12/19/2008	2,000,000.00
Brgy. Libis			500,000.00
Brgy. Maharlika			18,000.00
Brgy. Manresa			200,000.00
Brgy. Obrero			2,000,000.00
Brgy. Pansol			666,666.67
Brgy. Project 6			218,000.00
Brgy. Ramon Magsaysay			200,000.00
Brgy. San Roque			666,666.67
Brgy. Sangandaan			200,000.00
Brgy. Sto. Cristo			200,000.00
Brgy. Vasra			1,018,000.00
Tota	I		19,823,000.01

13. Las Piñas City

Purpose /	Legislator		ount M P)	Observations
Beneficiary	Ĵ	Per Leg.	Total	
Procurement				
Plants, trees, see for:	dlings, soil, plastic bags,	planter boxes	s, coco cloth	, nylon rope, and basket weaving supplies intended
Barangays	Cynthia A. Villar	0.035	0.035	D. These were either not supported with
Schools	Cynthia A. Villar	0.014	0.014	request from the end-users or evaluation
Homeowners Ass.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.030	0.036	reports on the need to provide assistance
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.006		and eligibility under PDAF or other documents such as Office Order, project
LGU Operations	Cynthia A. Villar	0.620	0.721	plans or any document authorizing the conduct of any event or activity, duly
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.101		acknowledged distribution list indicating the
Congressman's Office	Cynthia A. Villar	0.426	0.426	quantity and items distributed, complete name and address of recipients; reports on
	equipment and spare part nboos intended for:	s, garbage ba	ags, office	actual activities undertaken indicating the venue, date, participants, beneficiaries and items received by each beneficiary, partner
Congressman's Office	Cynthia A. Villar	0.910	0.910	agencies; lay-out for ads / streamers/ billboards/ stickers/ tarpaulin; equipment to
LGU Operations	Cynthia A. Villar	10.758	13.062	be repaired and scope of repairs to be undertaken, proof of posting in the
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	2.304		PhilGEPS, among others. In the absence of
	ng solution, vehicles / bo Technical assistance inte		niture /	documents, the eligibility of these expenses under PDAF cannot be assessed, some of which were no longer considered eligible
LGU Operations	Cynthia A. Villar	15.225	26.750	under PDAF.
		44.505		
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	11.525		
Pangasinan Federation of NGOs	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.015	0.015	cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of
Federation of			0.015	cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF.
Federation of NGOs	Cynthia A. Villar	0.015		cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with
Federation of NGOs	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar	0.015		cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF.
Federation of NGOs Schools	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.015 0.018 0.018	0.036	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was
Federation of NGOs Schools	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018	0.036	 cooperatives and foundations, as financia assistance, are not within the menu o projects eligible for funding under PDAF These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the
Federation of NGOs Schools Barangays RTC Branch 200 Food / grocery ite streamers, Tarpa basketball, chairs toothpaste, clock:	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 kers, billboard books, buttor cates, toothbo	0.036 0.033 0.018 ds, n pins, rush,	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold.
Federation of NGOs Schools Barangays RTC Branch 200 Food / grocery ite streamers, Tarpa basketball, chairs toothpaste, clocks intended for:	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar s, school supplies, stic ulin, lanterns, newsletter s, clothing, medals, certifi s, umbrellas, glass enclo	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 kers, billboard books, buttor cates, toothbusure, and dior	0.036 0.033 0.018 ds, n pins, rush, ama	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold. G. Transactions worth P407,000 are considered
Federation of NGOs Schools Barangays RTC Branch 200 Food / grocery ite streamers, Tarpa basketball, chairs toothpaste, clock:	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar ms, school supplies, stic ulin, lanterns, newsletter , clothing, medals, certifi s, umbrellas, glass enclo Cynthia A. Villar	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 kers, billboarc books, buttor books, buttor books, buttor backs, toothbis sure, and dior	0.036 0.033 0.018 ds, n pins, rush,	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold. G. Transactions worth P407,000 are considered questionable as 66 purported recipients of
Federation of NGOs Schools Barangays RTC Branch 200 Food / grocery ite streamers, Tarpa basketball, chairs toothpaste, clock: intended for: Individuals	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar ms, school supplies, stic ulin, lanterns, newsletter s, clothing, medals, certifi s, umbrellas, glass enclo Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.015	0.036 0.033 0.018 ds, n pins, rush, ama 2.071	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those
Federation of NGOs Schools Barangays RTC Branch 200 Food / grocery ite streamers, Tarpa basketball, chairs toothpaste, clocks intended for:	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar ms, school supplies, stic ulin, lanterns, newsletter s, clothing, medals, certifi s, umbrellas, glass enclo Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.018 0.015 0.018	0.036 0.033 0.018 ds, n pins, rush, ama	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold. G. Transactions worth P407,000 are considered questionable as 66 purported recipients of various types of assistance cannot be located or unknown at their given address.
Federation of NGOs Schools Barangays RTC Branch 200 Food / grocery ite streamers, Tarpa basketball, chairs toothpaste, clock: intended for: Individuals	Cynthia A. Villar Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr. Cynthia A. Villar ms, school supplies, stic ulin, lanterns, newsletter s, clothing, medals, certifi s, umbrellas, glass enclo Cynthia A. Villar Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.015 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.015	0.036 0.033 0.018 ds, n pins, rush, ama 2.071	 cooperatives and foundations, as financial assistance, are not within the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF. These payments were merely supported with request for sponsorship. F. Procurement of infrastructure projects was not supported with proof of posting in the PhilGEPS and conspicuous places, and publication of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold. G. Transactions worth P407,000 are considered questionable as 66 purported recipients of various types of assistance cannot be

Purpose /	Legislator		ount M P)	Observations
Beneficiary	Ĵ	Per Leg.	Total	
Ofc of the Senator	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.462	0.462	existing. They were either not issued permits
Day Care Centers	Cynthia A. Villar	0.111		to operate, or cannot be located, or c
Balikatan sa Kaun- Iaran (BSK) Nat'l Foundation	Cynthia A. Villar	1.764		questionable capability to meet the requirements of the projects or issued questionable receipts.
Las Pineros and various solicitors	Cynthia A. Villar	0.206	0.206	I. The validity of transactions with Absolut
Congressman's Office	Cynthia A. Villar	1.138	1.138	Sales Corporation amounting to P121,68 cannot also be established as the supplie did not confirm its transactions with the LGU
Barangays	Cynthia A. Villar	0.640	0.640	It was, however, issued business permit t
Private org'ns	Cynthia A. Villar	1.042	1.210	operate.
nationwide	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.168		
Schools	Cynthia A. Villar	0.932	2.420	J. The necessity of a number of items procure is also questionable as these remaine
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	1.488		unused as of audit date or alread
Medicines / medic donations intende	al / dental supplies for r d for:	nedical missic	on /	unserviceable.
LGU Operations	Cynthia A. Villar	6.207	6.290	K. Six vouchers in the aggregate amount of P96.866 were not submitted to the Team for
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.083		audit purposes in violation of Section 107
Congressman's Office	Cynthia A. Villar	11.557	11.557	P.D. No. 1445 requiring all Accountable Officers to render their accounts and subm
Ofc of the Senator	Cynthia A. Villar	6.162	34.160	vouchers as prescribed under CO
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	27.998		regulations.
Various Infrastruc	ture intended for:			L. A number of suppliers failed to fully repo
LGU Operations	Cynthia A. Villar	15.211	26.193	their transactions to the City Government
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	10.982		Las Piñas during CYs 2007 to 2009.
	Sub-total		150.507	
Financial Assista	ance			
Various sponsors	hips released to:			
Various private	Cynthia A. Villar	1.648	4.984	
assoc'ns, coops, found'ns, org'ns.	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	3.336		
Schools	Cynthia A. Villar	0.129	0.483	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.355		
LGUs	Cynthia A. Villar	0.046	1.605	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	1.559		
Barangays	Cynthia A. Villar	0.160	0.393	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.234		
Other Agencies	Cynthia A. Villar	0.119	0.165	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.046		
	Sub-total		7.630	
	Total		158.137	

			Transactions		Items		
Supplier	Legislator	Charge	No.	Amt. (M ₽)	Procured	Remarks	
Jawoprint Ent.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.175	3	0.299	Medicines & other	These suppliers have no business	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.124			supplies	permits to operate and unknown or cannot be located at their given	
Silveran Gen. Merch.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.164	1	0.164	Materials for weaving	addresses. The printer of the receipts/SIs issued by Vesta Industries	
Vesta Industries	Cynthia A. Villar	0.401	3	0.401	Wall clock & button pins	cannot also be located at its given address.	
Seandre Ent.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.057	5	0.087	Boxes		
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.030					
Gelo's Advertising Services	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.065	1	0.065	Streams	These suppliers have no business permits to operate and did not confirm these transactions. As confirmed by	
Dynamic DA Trading	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.991	1	0.991	Furnitures	the LGU, Denles Marketing was closed as of June 2004 while its transactions transpired in CYs 2008 and 2009. This	
Morseco Mktg Corp.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.248	6	1.677	Repair of backhoe and other	supplier and its printer of the receipts reportedly moved out from their given	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	1.429			equipment	addresses.	
NEN Const & Trading, Inc.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.656	3	0.656	Construction materials		
Polo Blue Paint Center	Cynthia A. Villar	0.247	3	0.247	Paints		
RCG	Cynthia A. Villar	0.055	1	0.055	Medals & certificates		
Nilco Diversified	Cynthia A. Villar	6.517	2	12.315	Dental supplies		
Trdg.	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	6.518			and fogging solution		
Denles	Cynthia A. Villar	4.950	3	13.232	Chemical supplies		
Marketing	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	8.282					
RH Excelcrafts General Merchandise Ent.	Cynthia A. Villar	0.588	11	0.781	Plant boxes and ST bags	This supplier has business permits to operate but did not confirm these transactions and issued receipts bearing ATP being used by another supplier. The printer of receipt cannot also be located due to insufficient	
	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.193				address.	
Marilyn Commercial	Cynthia A. Villar	0.040	1	0.040	Monoblock chairs	This supplier was last registered in 2011 but unknown at its given address.	
Kayle's ATM- Panay Mineral Water	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.084	2	0.084	Mineral water	This supplier confirmed its transactions but has no business permit to operate.	
Arcel Sign	Cynthia A. Villar	0.777	8	1.210	Streamers	This supplier cannot be located due to	
Service	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	0.433				insufficient address and was issued business permit only for CY 2007.	
Mate-Aire Refrigeration & Aircon Services	Cynthia A. Villar	0.540	1	0.540	Aircon	This establishment is a newly registered business in 2009 when this transaction transpired in December 17, 2008. The supplier did not reply to the Team.	
	Total (17)		55	32.844		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

B. Suppliers of questionable existence:

	Iter				
Supplier	Legislator	Description	Amt (in M P)	Qty/ Units	Remarks / Location
Dynamic DA Trading	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	Gangchairs	0.170	12	The 12 sets were found in a storage room. There were already 58 sets installed in the City Hall Lobby and the 2nd floor Reception area. There were 9 additional sets stored in the bodega which are not part of this procurement.
Solanda Enterprise	Cynthia A. Villar	Boat	0.544	1	As inspected, the unit is no longer used and may be considered unserviceable.
	Total		0.714	13	

C. Items which remained unused:

D. Unsubmitted disbursement vouchers:

Davias	Refere	nces
Payee	JEV No.	Amount (in M P)
Municipality of San Ildefonso, Bulacan	86	5,000
Sigma Alpha Epsilon Fraternity-Phls	838	5,000
Las Piñas (Hosp) Lions Club, Inc.	644	5,000
Las Pinas (Host) Lions Club	645	5,000
Maria Cresilda Celon	646	10,000
*Wendell Esteban	754	66,866
Total		96,866

E. Suppliers that did not fully report their transactions to LGUs:

Supplier	Items Procured	Legislator	Charge	Transactions Re		Repor	oorted Sales	
Supplier	items riocureu	Legislator	Charge	No.	Amount ((in M ₽)	Period	
Diane Pharma	Medicines	Cynthia A. Villar	23.870	9	45.711	2.396	2007-2009	
		Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	21.841					
MyBasic Graphics Ent	School supplies, groceries and other	Cynthia A. Villar	2.766	8	4.354	1.052	2007-2009	
	supplies	Manuel B. Villar, Jr.	1.588					
	To		50.065	3.448				

14. Davao Oriental

Purpose / Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations
Financial assistance				
Financial assistance re	eleased to:			
Private assoc'ns	Thelma Z. Almario	0.250	0.250	
Municipalities		3.911	3.911	from the end-users, DSWD evaluation/study as to indigency, medical
Individual		0.009	0.009	abstract, health records and hospital bills
Other Gov't. Agencies		7.200	7.200	for livelihood assistance, or any proof to
Barangays		0.550	0.850	establish emergency situation and assess the amount to be granted.
	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	0.300		
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.056	0.056	
LGU Operations		0.225	0.225	
Medical assistance rele	eased to:			
Individuals	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	0.070	0.070	
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.018	0.018	
Livelihood assistance r	released to:			
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.156	0.156	
	Sub-total		12.745	
Procurement				
Vehicles				
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	2.784	2.784	These were not supported with request from the end-users,
Auto supplies / fuel, re	pairs of vehicles			evaluation report on necessity of undertaking the project and eligibility
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	2.125	2.125	under the PDAF, duly acknowledged distribution list; Office Order, project
Rice and other foodstu	ffs			plans / proposals for the conduct of
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.285	0.285	any event, terminal report for medical missions and likelihood projects and other activities indicating the date,
Indigent constituents		0.270	0.270	venue, participants, beneficiaries and
LGU Operations		2.250	2.250	the items and quantity received, proof
Medicines and Med. S	upplies			of posting in the PhilGEPS, and conspicuous places, and publication
LGU Operations	Prospero C. Nograles	79.007	79.007	of advertisement in newspaper of
Lakbay-aral and T-shir	ts			general nationwide circulation for those within the threshold, reports on
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.076	0.076	activities undertaken indicating the date, venue, participants/ beneficiaries, and results of
Military supplies / peac	e and order			undertaking, among others. The
LGU Operations	Prospero C. Nograles	0.600	0.600	procurement of medicines were also

Purpose / Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations
Aircon / office equip. /	supplies	of any documents, the eligibility of		
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.363	0.363	medicines and food under PDAF cannot be assessed, while all others items do not even fall within the menu
LGU Operations	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	0.988	0.988	of programs eligible for funding under
Radio program fee				PDAF.
LGU Operations	Thelma Z. Almario	0.064	0.064	Further evaluation of these
Various supplies for live	elihood projects			transactions disclosed that
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.466	0.466	procurement amounting to P11.235 Million were considered questionable
Construction materials	5			as the suppliers are either not legally and physically existing. They cannot
DepEd Dahican	Thelma Z. Almario	0.031	0.031	be located at their given addresses or
Congressman's Office		0.486	0.486	were not issued business permits or issued questionable receipts.
SP Hostel		3.361	3.361	
		Infrastruct	ure projects	• In addition, a number of vouchers in
LGU operations	Prospero C. Nograles	10.617	16.808	the aggregate amount of #22,843,131 were not submitted to the Team in
	Thelma Z. Almario	6.191		violation of Section 107 of P.D. No.
Red Cross]	0.932	0.932	1445 requiring all Accountable
Schools		0.801	0.801	Officers to render the accounts and submit vouchers as prescribed under COA regulations.
Miscellaneous expense	s			
Congressman's Office	Thelma Z. Almario	0.142	0.142	
Per diem and honorari	ia			
LGU Operations	Thelma Z. Almario	0.074	0.074	
Overtime services				
LGU Operations	Thelma Z. Almario	0.047	0.047	
Wages				
LGU Operations	Thelma Z. Almario	0.578	0.578	
	Sub-total		112.538	
	Total		125.283	

B. Suppliers of questionable existence:

		Items	Tra	nsactions	
Supplier	Legislator	Procured	No.	Amt (in M P)	Remarks
Bistro La 'Mian	Thelma Z. Almario	Food stuffs	2	0.060	This supplier was issued business permit but unknown at its given address. The printer of the receipts did not also confirm these transactions.
DM Marketing		Construction materials	9	0.186	This supplier confirmed these transactions and was issued business permit. However, the receipts issued may be considered of questionable validity as these receipts

		Itomo	Items Procured No. Amt (in M P)		
Supplier	Legislator	Procured			Remarks
					reflected two ATPs, which is unlikely.
L.A. Concrete Products		Construction materials	11	0.520	This supplier is unknown at its given address and was not issued business permit. The printer of the receipts cannot also be located at its given address.
Tielsa Development Corporation	Nelson L. Dayanghirang	Office equipment	4	0.744	This supplier has no business permit to operate, did not confirm these transactions and issued receipts not in the form prescribed by the BIR. ATP number, series of numbers authorized to be printed and proprietor were not indicated therein.
MC DJ Pharma Generic Distributor	Prospero C. Nograles	Medicines	38	7.314	This supplier is only registered in 2009 but confirmed these transactions. The printer of the receipts/SIs issued by this supplier cannot, however, be located at its given address.
ECE Marketing		Medicines	28	2.411	This supplier has business permit to operate and confirmed these transactions. However, the printer of the receipts/SIs issued by the supplier cannot be located at its given address.
	Total (6)		92	11.235	

C. Unsubmitted disbursement vouchers:

	Refer	ences		
Payee	No.	Amount (in P)	Project / Program	
Disbursement Voucher				
AB Mascardo Lumber Yard	62	27,744	C/S DSWO	
Alitarose Gregorio	217(2)	43,498	Medicines	
Congresswoman Thelma Z.	351	4,400	Fuels	
Almario	235	230,800	Supplies for training	
	701	8,878	Fuels	
	851	28,000	Radio program	
Davao SVN Mktg.	593	993,910	Medicines	
	596	990,000		
	595	999,000		
	596	993,840		
Dr. R. Malintad		200,000	Medical mission assistance	
Dupa Petron SVC Station	129	57,960		
Freddie Bendulo	64(6)	1,000,000	Exp. for annual forum	
FTODA	234	131,500	Financial Assistance	
Ma. Victoria Rodriguez	23(7)	48,033	Meals/Snacks	
	64(4)	700,000	Supplies for military operation	

	Refe	rences		
Payee	No.	Amount (in P)	Project / Program	
	823(7)	94,123	Meals/Snacks	
Nenita Maturan	237	5,077	0.T.	
PT replenish CA PTV # 581	719	94,123		
PT replenish TF PTV # 257	28	1,000,000		
PT-replenish PTV 581	719	48,033		
RC Trocio Bldrs & C/S	31	480,429	Final billing	
Rhodwill P/H	34	45,400	Repair of GSP covered court	
	238	44,049	Gov. Gen covered court	
TESDA Mati	239	10,000,000	Transfer of fund	
Toyota D.C.	58	1,961,534	1 unit Toyota Hi-ace	
Wingold Mktg.	231	896,000	Construction materials	
	232	716,800		
Sub-Total		21,843,131		
Liquidation report				
Dr. R. Malintad	048(4)	6,652	Medicines	
	123(2)	6,745		
Dr. R. Malintad	123(3)	10,745	Medicines	
Daniel S. Con-el	131(2)	100,000		
	178(1)	50,000		
	178(3)	50,000		
	178(4)	100,000		
Sub-Total		324,142		
Total		22,167,273		

15. Panabo City

A. Nature and deficiencies of transactions charged against the PDAF of Representative Antonio F. Lagdameo, Jr.:

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary	Amt. (M ₽)	Observations				
Financial Assistance							
Financial Assistance	Barangayanihan Day Care Workshop & TV program	0.429	profile, request from the end-users, assessment report on the necessity to provide assistance and				
Various activities: liga / trainings / conf. / festivals / anniversaries	Other LGUs and Gov't Agencies	1.996	eligibility under PDAF or any document to authorize attendance to any training/conference. These transactions may even be considered no longer within the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF.				
No specific purpose	Private Assoc'ns	0.550					
	Barangays and Municipalities	5.700	as the purpose was not even specified.				
	Sub-total	8.675					

IA/Purpose	Beneficiary	Amt. (M P)	Observations
Procurement			
Rental of vehicle, chairs, sounds, and lights	LGU Operations	0.075	These were not supported with request from the end-users, evaluation report on the necessity of providing assistance, project profile, duly
Office / schools /	Barangay Una	0.083	acknowledged distribution list, report on the activities undertaken, Office Order or any authority
sports equipt. / supplies	Barangayanihan TV Program	0.014	for the conduct of trainings / seminars / events,
supplies	New Visayas Elementary School	0.100	proof of posting in the PhilGEPS. These expenses were even no longer within the menu of projects
	PNP, 8th PCAS	0.035	eligible for funding under PDAF.
	LGU Operations	0.350	
Meals / accom.	Various Assoc'ns	0.020	
During mtg / trainings / seminars	Barangayanihan TV Program	0.018	
/ Seminals	LGU Operations	0.396	
Med. Supplies		0.094	
Electronic Brgy. Information System	Brgys. New Pandan, Sto. Nino, San Francisco & Credu	0.805	
	Sub-total	1.990	
Personal Service			
GSIS Premiums	City officials and employees	0.035	These are LGU expenses which are not eligible to
Salaries and wages	Grace Joy G. Bitos	0.007	be charged against PDAF
	Cresencia A. Reyes, et.al	0.163	
Sub-total		0.205	
	Total	10.870	

16. Compostela Valley

Purpose / Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations			
Financial Assis	Financial Assistance						
Indigent constituents	Manuel E. Zamora	0.072	0.072	These were not supported with request from the end- users, evaluation as to necessity of granting financial			
Schools	Manuel E. Zamora	0.025	0.060	assistance to military, police and various league /associations and eligibility under PDAF, project profile, case evaluation/ study as to indigency of the beneficiaries			
	Rommel C. Amatong	0.035		or any document to establish the condition of the			
Various league,	Prospero C. Nograles	0.800	1.011	recipients. These expenses except for those given to the			
association, and federation	Manuel E. Zamora	0.175		validated indigent constituents were not even eligible under PDAF.			
	Rommel C. Amatong	0.036					
	Sub-total		1.143				
Procurement							
Chairs, musical instruments, and furmiture intended for:							
Various schools	Prospero C. Nograles	7.462	7.462	• These were not supported with request from the end-			

Purpose / Beneficiary	Legislator	Charge	Amount (in M P)	Observations
Calendars, T-shirts for the environmental awareness campaign and rice for AFP, PNP			SS	users, evaluation report as to necessity of providing assistance and eligibility under PDAF, project profile,
LGU Prospero C. Nograles 6.696 Operations 6.696		6.696	 Office Order approving the conduct of any event or activity, and procurement and distribution of various items, reports on the actual activities/events undertaken indicating the date, venue, participants and results of undertaking and proof of posting in the PhilGEPS. These expenses may not even be considered eligible under PDAF. The validity of procurement from the following suppliers may even be considered questionable as these suppliers did not fully report their transactions to the Provincial Government of Compostela Valley. 	
	Sub-total		14.158	
Total 15			15.301	

B. Suppliers that did not fully report their transactions with the LGUs:

Cumplion	Items Procured	Lagislator	Transactions Reported Sales			ted Sales
Supplier		Legislator	No.	Amount (i	in M ₽)	Period
TSR Furniture Shop and Mktg.	Furnitures	Prospero C.	2	6.402	1.500	2007-2008
Davao ERJV Enterprises	Rice	Nograles	1	3.296	1.435	2007-2009
Woolrich Enterprises	T-shirts with pants		1	2.400	0.175	2009
			12.098	3.110		

Annex N

Managements' Comments and Team's Rejoinder Fund Utilization by LGUs Audit of Priority Development Assistance Fund Covering CYs 2007 to 2009

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder		
Response provided by Panabo City			
• Some of the expenditures were fund transfers to other agencies and LGUs within the district upon the written request of the Office of the 2 nd Congressional District for projects and programs approved and identified by that Office. The City merely acted as initial depository and conduit of the funds. These recipient agencies and LGU's became the implementing agencies for these projects/programs and are therefore the agencies accountable for the implementation of the projects.	The Team is not questioning fund transfers to other agencies identified by the legislators for as long as the purpose of transfer is within the menu prescribed in the GAA. In this case, however, funds were transferred for purposes such as festivals and anniversaries which are not eligible for funding under PDAF.		
 The NCA released was intended "to cover the cash requirements for financial assistance to Panabo City for the implementation of priority development programs and projects". This was transferred to barangays for the "implementation of priority development programs of the said barangays". The releases were properly receipted by the beneficiary barangays. 	As the funds were released to Panabo City for the implementation of priority projects, it is incumbent upon the City Government to determine which of the priority projects identified in the GAA should be implemented by the barangays. The fund was not actually intended to address the priority programs of the barangays but for programs and projects included in the menu identified in the GAA.		
• Expenses directly undertaken by the City were for Pro- Poor programs which fall under the menu of programs prescribed under the GAA.	The expenses implemented by the City Government were not supported with project profile defining the objective of the program, criteria for selection of beneficiaries and strategies for implementation and reports on the implementation of such program. Thus, its relevance to any pro-poor program of the government cannot be assessed. Moreover, expenses such as personal services, festivals, foundations and anniversaries cannot be linked to any specific pro-poor program of the government.		
	The pro-poor programs such as payment of PhilHealth Insurance of indigent constituents was not questioned by the Team.		
 Other projects were part of the livelihood, water supply, rural electrification, health and socio-cultural programs. All these programs were utilized and implemented in accordance with laws and existing accounting and auditing rules. The disbursement vouchers of some of 	The Team is not questioning the use of PDAF for eligible projects such as water supply and rural electrification and other infrastructure projects. However, the implementation of these projects was not compliant with existing rules and regulations.		
the expenditures even passed through pre-audit procedures and were authorized.	Likewise, the relevance of procurement of office/ school/sports equipment and supplies and expenses for meals and accommodation to any pro-poor program of the government cannot also be assessed as these were not supported with program profile and reports on project implementation.		
• Project was subjected to public bidding in accordance with R.A. No. 9184. The legal requirements and procedures for the procurement of Consultancy for the Electronic Barangay Information System were substantially complied and undertaken by the Bids and Awards Committee.	All procurements, including this one were not supported with print out copy of posting of advertisement in the PhilGEPS.		

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
With respect to the requirement for posting of notices in the LGU, the City's ITS was only operationalized in 2009 and still in the process of completing and designing the Clty website. Discussion on posting of notices and data pertaining to procurement activities in the website were still on-going around that period.	The posting of advertisement in the agency website is required only for agencies with existing website. However, the requirement to post the procurement requirements in the PhilGEPS is mandatory. In the absence of agency website, they can post requirements in the PhilGEPS using the GPPB or DBM website.
Response provided by Las Piñas City	
 The SARO released to the City of Las Piñas did not indicate any of the categorized programs/ projects of said menu. Its stated purpose is "Financial assistance to Las Piñas City for priority development programs and projects". The City considered the stated "purpose" as an indicative guide in the implementation of various programs and projects identified and spelled out by the proponent legislators in the letter-advice and enabling MOA entered into by and between the City of Las Piñas and the proponents. 	As the priority development programs and projects were not indicated in the SARO, the concerned Officials should have inquired from the DBM the eligible priority projects. The eligible priority projects and programs are also clearly discussed in the GAA for the year. The City Officials are also responsible in ensuring that the projects spelled out by the proponents are among the projects enumerated therein. It is also informed that any provisions in the MOA which did not conform with the provisions of the GAA cannot be considered valid. The City's actions, then, should be primarily guided by existing laws, rules and regulations.
 Financial assistance released to various civic and cultural activities of various individuals, NGOs/POs and LGUs/NGAs were granted, not at the instance and direction of the City Government/IA, but solely at the discretion and in accordance with the instructions of the proponent legislators, being the grantor of the said Trust Fund. This is to set the record straight the limited role of the City Government who merely acted as a conduit of the entrusted PDAF as per City Resolution No. 2109-07, series of 2007. 	As discussed earlier, under Section 2 of P.D. No. 1445, the responsibility to ensure that all funds are managed, expended and utilized in accordance with existing laws and regulations, and safeguarded against loss or wastage rest on the head of the agency. This provision applies to all funds received by the City Government including those out of PDAF. It cannot, therefore, be said that the City Government's participation is limited and is merely acting as a conduit of fund. There were no laws or regulations limiting the liability and responsibility of the City Officials in the disbursement of PDAF.
• The utilization of funds totaling P11.779 Million, out of the PDAF entrusted to the City Government, acting as a conduit falls well within the purview of financial assistance for specific programs and projects designed to address the pro-poor or poverty alleviation programs of the government, akin to the ongoing 4Ps undertaken by the DSWD as well as various calamity /disaster mitigation programs/projects of the City such as flood control health enhancing and disease control, relief and disaster preparedness, environmental protection and sanitation. These are all in line with the proposed programs and projects as mandated by their Executive Assistant and Legislative Staffs.	The activities undertaken by the City Government is not comparable to 4Ps with defined objectives, strategies for implementation, scope or program coverage, intended beneficiaries, budget requirements and expected results, among others. In contrast, the City Government's expenses were not based on well-defined objectives and established selection criteria. The relevance of expenses then such as foods, stickers, medals, certificates, office equipment, grocery items, vehicles, boat, streamers, billboards and tarpaulin, among others, to any pro-poor program of the government cannot be assessed.
 Since the above fund is treated as Trust Fund in the LGU's books, the City Government has faithfully fulfilled its obligations, having complied with the specific purposes of the fund as directed by the proponents in Section 4(3) of P.D. No. 1445, which pertinently stipulates that, "Trust funds shall be available and may be spent only for the specific purpose for which the trust was created or the funds received." 	PDAF is a government fund entrusted by the National Government, and not by the proponent legislators, to the City Government. It is considered Trust Fund since it is intended to address specific programs defined in the GAA. As there are wide selection of projects eligible for funding under PDAF, the proponent has the prerogative to identify which of the eligible projects should be given priority. The selection, however, should be confined to projects defined in the GAA. Since the funds were not used for the purpose defined in the GAA, it cannot be said that the funds were used for the purpose intended.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder		
	It cannot also be said that compliance with the directive of the proponents, even if the same is no longer in line with the governing law, is still in compliance with the fundamental principles of P.D. No. 1445 and other existing laws, rules and regulations. In addition, as discussed in the report, even the basic requirement of complete documentation and conduct of public bidding were not observed by the City Government.		
 The subject fund was managed, expended and utilized in accordance with law and regulations, the City safeguarded it against loss or wastage, thereby ensuring efficiency, economy and effectiveness in the handling and disposition of the fund. 	The absence of publication of advertisement alone is already an indication of violation of existing laws, rules and regulations. It cannot, therefore, be claimed that funds were properly expended and utilized. In addition, the absence of distribution list indicating the quantities and items received by each beneficiary during medical mission, fogging and similar activities is also an indication that the programs are not efficiently handled.		
• The Letters of Advice dated January 4, 2007 and January 5, 2007 and the enabling Memorandum of Agreement dated March 8, 2007 of the project proponents categorizing the various programs/projects to be funded by their PDAF allocation for CYs 2007 to 2009 are hereunto attached xxx.	The Team is not questioning the authority of the City Government to enter into MOA. However, as discussed earlier, any provisions in the MOA not compliant with existing laws, rules and regulations are considered void and non-executory.		
 The use of PDAF for various financial assistance, for infra projects, for the purpose of gangchairs and rubber boat and tugboat for the Sagip Ilog Project as well as those used to support the City's various disaster mitigation programs/projects is justified and well spent as determined by the proponents. 	Again, the Team is not questioning the utilization of these funds for these projects as long as they are based on specific pro-poor program with defined objective, strategy and mechanics for implementation. In this case, however, there were no plans and profile to warrant procurement and there were no accomplishment reports to support the implementation of the projects. In addition, disaster-mitigating programs of the government are not among those defined in the GAA to be charged against PDAF. It is reiterated that utilization of funds for purposes other than those defined in the GAA and other relevant rules and regulations cannot be considered proper.		
• The City's BAC has been continuously updating its Masterlist of Accredited Suppliers, properly screened on the basis of the eligibility criteria prescribed under Section 23.5 of the IRR, same Act.	Apparently, however, there are lapses in the accreditation process as the City Government was transacting with a number of suppliers whose legal and physical existence are questionable. They have no business permits to operate and unknown or cannot be located at their given addresses.		
 Through the passage of time and events, such as a change in business climate or in the ownership of the business, there could have been a change in the name, closure or transfer or relocation to other localities of suppliers in search of greener pastures. Such changes or decisions made by the supplier/dealers are beyond the control of the City Government. The legal existence of a contractor or supplier does not lie solely on his authority or business permit issued for and in his behalf. In a decided case again by the Supreme Court, it was held that: 	As discussed above, the questioned suppliers, in addition to being unknown at their given addresses, have also no permit to operate. Had they merely moved out from their given addresses, their legal existence could still be validated. Besides, these suppliers, had they existed at their given addresses should have been categorized by the Post Office as "moved out" from their given addresses and not "unknown" at their given addresses. In the case decided by the Supreme Court, the supplier's previous legal existence was established as the license merely expired and the establishment is physically existing. In the case of the City Government's transactions, however, the very existence of the suppliers is questionable as, in addition to the absence of		
"The wordings of R.A. No. 4566 are clear. It does not declare, expressly or impliedly, as void contracts entered into by a contractor whose license had	business permit, they cannot also be located at their given addresses. These transactions also formed part of transactions which were not subjected to public bidding.		

Team's Rejoinder			
Likewise, this principle applies to transactions found valid.			
As discussed in the report, in addition to questionable legal and physical existence of the suppliers, their full compliance with the terms and conditions of the POs cannot also be established as the transactions were not completely documented with list of recipients partly submitted only after the issuance of audit highlights.			
In the questioned transactions, however, even the track records of the suppliers cannot be established as they cannot be located, in the first place, and have no permits to operate.			
As discussed in the report, these were not among the program eligible for funding under PDAF. These units were even found an confirmed by the City Government's representative to be n longer serviceable.			
These gangchairs were paid in December 21, 2010 and were found stored during inspection on February 4, 2011. It follows then, that these were not urgently needed. The Team also noted that the 58 sets gangchairs installed at the City Hall lobby and the 2 nd floor Reception Area may already be considered sufficient to serve the City Hall's clients.			
Name / Address Form Amt. (P) Denied receipt of the financial assistance 5,000 Mun. of Balingoan, Misamis Or. 5,000 Mun. of Balingoan, Misamis Or. 5,000 Mun. of Ling South Center 20,000			

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder			
	Name / Address	Form	Amt. (₽)	
	Denied receipt of the financial assistance			
	Mun. of Villaverde, Nueva Vizcaya		5,000	
	Federated Women's Club of Rosales, Inc., Pangasinan		10,000	
	Div. of City Schools, Palayan City, N. Ecija		5,000	
	Mr. Julius T. Acosta, Sr., Zambo. Sibugay		5,000	
	Eduardo Yu, Guiguinto, Bulacan	Cash	3,500	
	Clarita Napoles, Cabucgayan, Biliran		10,000	
	Alex Enriquez, Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro		5,000	
	Reynaldo Ouano, Purok-1 Tambagan Medina, Mis. Or.		2,000	
	Remedios Cuevas, Kawit, Cavite		4,000	
	Raul Palino, Teresa, Rizal		3,000	
	Michele Roaya, Talakag, Bukidnon		2,000	
	Melinda Mabagos, Bagong Silang, Caloocan		6,000	
	Melinda Arcega, Malete, Aklan		1,000	
	Maxilindo Pamisa, Sr, Kinoquitan, Mis. Or		2,000	
	Macario Alejo, Sr., Chico, Zam City		2,000	
	Lolita De Guzman, Bongabong, NE		2,000	
	Mariveles, Bataan c/o Leonardo R. Mallar		5,000	
	Kristine Formoso, Aparri, Cagayan		2,000	
	Juanito Andres, Itogon, Benguet		2,000	
	Jay Gestosani, New Matina, Davao City		3,000	
	Roel Aurelio Taeza, Bayombong, N. Vizcaya		2,000	
	Mun. of Balbalan, Kalinga		2,000	
	Mun. of Natividad, Pangasinan		2,000	
	Marilou Macaraig, Rosario, Batangas		2,000	
	Ass. of Tiringbanay Coop., San Jose de Buenavista, Antique		10,000	
	Phil. Merchant Marine School – Las Piñas		3,500	
	Leopoldo Tenedero, Jr., Catbalogan City		3,000	
	Infanta Credit and Dev't Coop		5,000	
	Teofilo Santiago, San Roque, Cabiao		2,000	
	Romulo Duran, Mabuhay, Gen. Santos City		2,000	
	Raylene Salvana, Pob., Davao Or.		2,000	
	Marilou Roman, Marilao, Bulacan		4,000	
	Franciso Yambao, Malolos City, Bul.		2,000	
	Isabela Bueno, Jones, Isabela		2,000	
	Isidro Fernandez, Umingan, Panga.		5,000	
	Amor A. Pobre, Mercedes, Cam. Norte Enrico M. Alvarez, Noveleta, Cavite		3,000	
	Total		191,000	
	Cannot be located at their given addresses		171,000	
	Mely Medina, Chairperson 15th Garden Show,	Cash	5,000	
	Pamplona 2, Las Pinas City			
	The President, Las Pinas Garden Club	Check	10,000	
	Olga Severino Martel, President Zonta Club of Mkti. And Environs Foundation, Inc., Western Bicutan, Taguig City	Cash	5,000	
	Bernardo R. Corella, Jr., Chairman Guardians Philippines Int'l, Inc., Bayombong, N. Vizcaya		5,000	
	VP and Editor-in-Chief, Justice Advocates Society, Inc., Para. City	Check	9,000	
	Zenaida P. Dumlao, Las Piñas Garden Club, Pamplona 2, Las Pinas City	Cash & Check	14,000	
	Lucena Almogela, BHW Treasurer, Rosales, Panga.	Cash	2,000	
	Lope Quijano, San Jose City, NE		2,000	
	Laureta Canaveral, Dist. President, Balungao,		8,000	
	Pangasinan Jon Oscar Lois Spider Rodas, Director Pink Revo 3, Dasmarinas, Cavite		5,000	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder		
	Cannot be located at their given addresses		
			2,000
	Landlee Quiwa, President Original Kapampangan Music Composers and Artists,		2,000
	Inc., San Fernando City, Pam.		
	Lolita Reyes, Calumpit, Bulacan	-	4,000
	President, Gumaras State College, Sta.	-	5,000
	Barbara, Pangasinan		0,000
	JJ D. Billman, President Sangguniang	-	5,000
	Kabataan Prov'l Fed. of Zambales,		
	Marlyn Aveñon, Puerto Princesa City		2,000
	Chief Resident, Dep't. of Anesthesiology,	Check	7,500
	Medical Center, East Ave., QC		
	Daisy Avance-Fuentes, Sto. Nino, South Cot.		10,000
	Michelle Madrid, Student Services	Cash	1,000
	Coordinator, Alabang-Zapote Rd., Las Pinas		
	Lorena R. Devilla, President Save a Life for the	Check	6,000
	Hydrocephalus Children, Inc., Pasay City	Cash	4.000
	Maxima Binondo, Tondo, Manila	Cash	4,000
	Virginia N. Orogo, Schl. Directress Achievers		6,500
	Professional Cyberschool, Aliaga, N. Ecija	-	2 000
	Zenaida M. Illescas, Meycauayan City President, MMIa CCI, Pasay City	Check	3,000
	Chairman, Kadugong Bisaya Foundn, Inc. /	CHECK	10,000
	Kalayaan College, Riverbanks Center, Mkna		10,000
	Instructor, Hwarang-Do, Taek-wondo Chapter,	-	5,000
	Olympia, Makati		0,000
	Robert L. Gopez, VP Justice Advocates	Cash	14,000
	Society, Inc., Pque City	Check	
	Rema Manzano, Acting President Aliw Awards	Cash	2,000
	Foundation, Inc., Intramuros, Manila		
	Luz Bello, Catarman, N. Samar		2,000
	Eusebio Advincula, Town Fiesta Chairman,		5,000
	Corcuera, Romblon		
	Ernesto Levanza, Philippine Air Force, Colonel		5,000
	Jesus Villamor Air Base, Pasay City	-	1.000
	Emmanuel Sabrine, President National Muaythai Kick-boxing Council of the Phils.,		1,000
	Pamplona II, Las Pinas City		
	Eduardo Ponce, Pres., The Phil. Karatedo	-	5,000
	Fed., Philsports Complex (ULTRA), Pasig		0,000
	Cristy Velasquez, Director Pilar Village		1,000
	Homeowners' Assocn, Inc., Las Pinas		
	Vergel Ulanday, Head Brgy. Alabang Youth		5,000
	Desk, League Comm., Alabang, Muntinlupa		
	Johnny T. Castillo, Chair, Unifictn of Mankind		2,000
	in 21st Century, Inc., Aglipay, Quirino		<u> </u>
	Mr. Rudy E. Garcia, Brgy. Chairman, Elias	Check	5,000
	Aldana, Las Pinas City	Cach	7 000
	President, Samahang Kabuhayan sa Calamba, Laguna, Inc., Cal. City, Laguna	Cash Check	7,000
		Check	5.000
	Chairman, Las Pinas Lions Club, Talon 1, Chair, Sorophtimist Int'l. of the Phils., Zambo.	ONGUN	<u>5,000</u> 5,000
	Felicita Francisco, Pangulo ng Samahan,	Cash	3,000
	Samahan ng mga Nasasanay ng Massage	ouon	0,000
	Theraphy, San Rafael, Bulacan		
	Regional Director, Regional Cooperative Dev't.	Check	5,000
	Council IX, Zamboanga City		
	President, Ladies in Action, Las Piñas City		10,000
	Lieutenant Governor, Kiwanis Int't., Division		10,000
	IV-A, Philippine Luzon District		
	Pres, BetaSigmaFrat Alumni Assocn, Zambo		20,000
	Director, Bacolod Young Bike Riders BMX		5,000
	Circuit, Bacolod City		0.500
	Teresa Gofredo, Activity Coordinator, Our	Cash	3,500
	Lady of Loreto Catholic School, Las Piñas	1	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinde	r	
	Cannot be located at their given addresses		
	Sylvia Chacon, Brgy. Kagawad, R. Santos		3,500
	Homeowners Association, Las Piñas City Ruel Carballo, Chairman Souvenir Programs	-	2,500
	Comm., Las Piñas Classic Lions Club,		2,500
	Lina Patrocinio, Chair Raffle Comm, Metro-cor-	-	7,000
	B HO's Assocn, Talon V, Las Pinas City		.,
	Leonila Rubias, Panacan, Davao City	-	2,000
	Shelmira De Leon, Rep-Office of the Chair,	Check	5,000
	2008 Nat'l Fund Campaign BSP, Makati		
	President, Amuse, Inc., Buguias, Benguet		5,000
	Bernard C. Alindayao, Chieftain, Ayangan Tribe, Bayombong, N. Vizcaya		25,000
	Ludivina Casanas, Mariveles, Bataan	Cash	2,000
	Mercy Reyes Castillo, Lucena City, Quezon	Cush	2,000
	Roberto Cardino, Sta. Mesa Heights, QC		3,000
	Lalaine Santos, Sipocot, Camarines Sur		2,000
	Wilfredo P. Munsayac, Board Member,		1,000
	Palayan City, Nueva Ecija		2.000
	Julieta Nuguid, Tungawan, Zambo Sibugay Rolando Bucog, Taytay El Savador, Mis Or	-	3,000 2,000
	Rey Pangan, Gen. Tinio, Nueva Ecija	-	2,000
	Marjorie Reyes-Orina, Pres, HO Assoc'n, Inc.,	-	3,500
	Pamplona 3, Las Pinas City		
	Rico Riguera, Chairman, Talon 1, Las Piñas	Check	10,000
	Total		387,000
 The foregoing financial assistance could be part of the outstanding and stale/ cancelled checks sourced from the PDAF in the total amount of P336,000 inclusive of the P10,000 cancelled check which was credited back to its corresponding SARO per JEV No. 401-1-02-00505 dated February 28, 2011. 	not receive assistance from the City Gover received #1,000 worth of Guarantee Letter #300 out of the #1,000 Guarantee I remainder was returned to the Senator's C The questioned transactions are not pa outstanding and stale/cancelled checks Team.	er from a Letter wa office. art of the	Senator. Only as used. The e schedule of
• It is significant to note that these DVs covering procurement of various items in the total amount of \blacksquare 16,066,097.98 have been passed in a pre-audit and approved for payment by the City Auditor's Office thus signifying compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations. There can be no dispute, therefore, that these consummated transactions are proper, valid and legal in all respects.	f audit. Thus, what has been previously allowed during pre-audit, if indeed these were pre-audited, can still be disallowed during post- audit.		
 In view of all the foregoing submissions, we maintain that subject PDAF entrusted by its proponent/lawmakers to the City Government of Las Piñas acting as mere conduit and IA, was properly utilized: For its Purpose as "Financial Assistance for 	Again, it is emphasized that PDAF are Government, not by the proponent, Government. In addition, it is very clear funds were intended for priority devel projects. If indeed the City Government	but by in the S opment	the National SARO that the programs and

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 Procurement was done thru competitive public bidding and/or such other allowable alternative methods of procurement; All needed items including equipment and infra projects were completely delivered and then turned over to/and received by the proponents for proper disposition and/ or distributed to the intended end-users including the IA; All paid DVs and its supporting documents were properly authorized and approved. Completed programs/projects have benefited the constituents of both proponents and IA; The Government did not suffer any loss, damage or injury; and We invoke the legal presumption of regularity in the performance of our official duties and functions in meeting the demands of good public service. 	disbursed funds without apparent clear knowledge of its purpose. It cannot also be claimed that procurements were compliant with the IRR of RA 9184 and that all items procured were completely delivered as the procurement requirements were not even posted in the PhilGEPS and were not covered by duly acknowledged distribution list. The BAC Chair merely certified that the invitation to bid was published in three conspicuous places. This is just one of the publication requirements under R.A. No. 9184. While it is also true that proponents have the prerogative to select or identify projects, it is incumbent upon the City Government to assess the eligibility of such projects taking into consideration the menu prescribed in the GAA. It is also clearly discussed in the report that these transactions were not fully documented, thus cannot be considered compliant with the provisions of Section 4(5) and (6) of P.D. No. 1445. Considering that procurements were not published in newspapers of general nationwide circulation and not posted in the PhilGEPS, the requirement of public bidding cannot be considered complied with.
 These invitations were published in ALPPA Times which is a newspaper of general circulation. The Publishers Association of the Philippines, Inc. (PAPI) through its President, Juan P. Dayang certified that ALPPA Times, represented by Mr. Joseph Lazarr Punay, is a weekly newspaper of general/national circulation. 	As per submitted affidavit by the publisher, ALPPA Times News is a weekly newspaper of general circulation in Metro Manila, CALABARZON and some selected provinces and municipalities. The requirement under R.A. No. 9184 is advertisement at least once, in newspaper of general nationwide circulation which has been regularly published for at least two years before the date of issue of the advertisement. It is very clear from the submitted affidavit by the publisher that ALPPA Times is being published only in Metro Manila, CALABARZON and some selected provinces and municipalities which were not even identified. It is, therefore, not circulating nationwide which is the requirement under R.A. No. 9184. To be candid, this newspaper, which was certified to have been published weekly and circulating within Metro Manila is unknown to the Team and presumably to all other interested bidders.
• Due to time constraints, select copies were only retrieved by the City to support that the projects and programs identified by the proponents and funded by the PDAF were indeed published on various dates at a newspaper of general circulation.	There is practically no need to submit all publications to the abovementioned newspaper, if indeed there are others, as such newspaper is not in any way considered compliant.
• Also, it was due to technical problems encountered in our website application that the City only started posting its procurement processes in the PhilGEPS on November 4, 2009. It has continued doing so thereafter up to present in compliance with Section 21.2.1(b) of the IRR, same Act.	The City Government should have used the facilities of the GPPB and DBM in posting in the PhilGEPS its procurement requirements if indeed its website is not working as this is a requirement under the law.
 In some isolated cases, alternative methods of procurement of goods such as direct contracting or shopping method or personal canvass which is allowed under Section 50 and 52 of the IRR of the same RA were resorted to by the City and the proponents in response to the "prevailing conditions" or "emergency situations" such as when the staff of the Senator went to Iloilo and 	The Team is not questioning the award of contract through alternative mode for as long as the requirements under alternative modes are complied with, which were not under these cases. Besides, irrespective of the mode applied by the City Government, the invitation to bid should still be posted in the PhilGEPS. Again, expenses for relief operations are not among the menu of programs defined in the GAA as this is covered by calamity fund.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoind	ler		
General Santos Cities to conduct urgent relief operations in areas severely affected by typhoon and flooding. Relief goods and items were repacked for immediate distribution to the calamity stricken residents.				
 The list of beneficiaries for the relief operations/ assistance conducted were not made on account of the prevailing conditions that time. The pictures herein attached readily shows the relief operations/assistance conducted by the proponents alongside with the city officials. 	The Team cannot assess the validity of the transactions on the basis alone of pictures of the activities undertaken. The pictures should have been supported with accomplishment/ terminal repor of each activity indicating, among others, the programs being addressed, the venue, the dates undertaken, the beneficiaries, the items and quantities of goods distributed, the partners in the distribution activities and distribution list duly signed by the recipients indicating the items and quantity received.			
 All suppliers are required by the City Government to post not only Bidders Bond but Performance Bonds as well. 	The Team is not questioning the posting of performance bonds by the selected contractors but the awarding of contracts to these contractors without complying with the requirements of R.A. No. 9184.			
 With respect to transactions not supported by the necessary documents such as bid documents, evaluations and accomplishments reports, and other relevant documents, we express our appreciation to the Special Audits Office for allowing the City's Team of Accountants to check on the paid disbursement vouchers and its supporting documents. Upon careful perusal of these documents, it was noted that a fairly good number of transactions involved were in fact substantially supported with the necessary or required documents. As of this writing, most, if not all of the required documents to support and establish the validity of said transactions have been transmitted to the Special Audits Office. The subject 14 paid disbursements vouchers, supporting documents and liquidation reports amounting to #26 Million have been transmitted to/and received by the local City Auditor's Office for safekeeping and custody. 	9184. It is not true that most of the missing documents were found attached to the vouchers. Except for a few POs and PRs, most o the requested documents remained unsubmitted as of audit date These include distribution lists indicating the quantity and items			
	JEV Payee	SARO	Amt (₽)	
	86 02/17/09 San Idelfonso, Bulacan 838 10/16/09 Sigma Alpha Epsilon Frat-Phils.	08-04844 08-09021	5,000 5,000	
	644 11/19/08 Las Pinas (Hosp.) Lions Club, 645 11/19/08 Inc.	08-03375	5,000	
	646 11/19/08 Maria Cresilda Celon	08-04844 08-04844	5,000 10,000	
	754 12/28/07 Wendel Esteban Total	07-02034	66,866 96,866	
Response provided by the Taguig City	ı			
The cudit finding that funds were stilled and the d	The Audit Team cannot eccepted and	of these a	whomeos 1	to a:
The audit finding that funds were utilized outside the menu defined in the GAA may be inaccurate. The Audit Team considered the individual items or objects of the	The Audit Team cannot associate any or specific pro-poor program of the govern expenses were not supported with pro	ment. This ject propo	s is so as	thes

expenditures and grouped them according to their physical classification rather than associate each and every object of the disbursements to form a harmonious

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 whole. By excessively focusing on detached components, the Audit Team might have missed out the entire program they represent. PDAF released in favour of the City Government were primarily utilized to implement various programs and projects supportive of the pro-poor programs of the government. 	indicating the correlation of each disbursement and the selection criteria of beneficiaries, all the questioned expenses cannot be considered. As discussed in the report, the items procured include office equipment and furniture, grocery items, firearms and handcuffs, clothing and sporting goods, multicab, among others, some of which cannot even be accounted for or presented to the Team.
• The financial assistance program included in the PDAF menu is broad enough to encompass every program, project or activity that directly addresses poverty alleviation and enhances or results in better service for the poor.	It is true that the programs covered by PDAF are broad. This being the case, the concerned LGU is given the opportunity to plan and conceptualize a specific pro-poor program that will address the needs of its constituents. In this case, however, the City Government did not base its disbursement on duly approved project covered with plans and strategies for implementation.
• The procurement of multi-cab, mini ambulance, motorcycle, I.T. and other equipment were made as a form of assistance to the recipients. The multi-cabs were distributed to barangay as patrol cars and to transport patients to the nearest hospital. The mini-ambulance is maintained and made available for use by indigent patients; while the motorcycle provides support to peace and order activities. All these transport and equipment support directly respond to the needs of the City's constituents and help alleviate the condition of the less fortunate brethren.	Procurement of all these transportation and I.T. equipment were not among those included in the menu of programs intended to be addressed by PDAF, except probably for mini ambulance and when such were used to address the needs of the poor. As discussed in the report, a number of these items cannot even be presented to the Team.
• The I.T. and other equipment were distributed to assist the various public schools within the City.	All I.T. equipment distributed to public schools were not questioned by the Team as this is one of the eligible expenses under PDAF. Only I.T. equipment distributed to Offices other than the schools are included in the report.
 The City Government only had in mind the interest and welfare of its constituents especially the marginalized sector. Accordingly, requests for assistance for priority infrastructure supports were favourable responded to knowing fully-well that said infrastructures translate into jobs, livelihood and better service when pursued and completed. 	The Team is not questioning infrastructure projects which were implemented in accordance with existing laws and regulations, plans and specifications and are actually being used.
 As an IA, the City Government may use the financial assistance allocation from PDAF to complement or expand its regular programs designed to ease the difficulties of its most needy citizens. 	Again, as this is a fund merely entrusted by the National Government to the City Government, its utilization is subject to existing rules and regulations. This fund is definitely not intended to support all types of programs of the LGUs as it is intended to address specific needs defined in the GAA.
 As regards the alleged regular operating expenses and other projects of the Congressional Office financed by PDAF, there is no basis of classifying the same as such. In the implementation of various projects funded by PDAF, administrative and supervision expenses are indispensably incurred. Naturally, these expenses are charged against the PDAF allocation as necessary incidents of their implementation. 	As discussed earlier, the relevance of the administrative expenses to any pro-poor program cannot also be assessed as there was no defined program in the first place.
• It should not be unusual to find requests for funding assistance coursed through or even directly addressed	It is clarified that the Audit covered the operations of the City Government. This being the case, the audited transactions were

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
by the congressional district's representative. These requests, when favourably recommended, are implemented by the City Government. The manner by which the Audit Team reported the expenses and projects, however, somehow carries a badge of malice as it suggests that the expenses and projects were directly disbursed or implemented by the congressional office itself.	disbursements of the City Government and not of the Office of the Congressman. Thus, the Office of the Congressman was merely categorized in the report as one of the beneficiaries of the programs as some of the items procured were issued to the staff of the Office of the Congressman. All items directly issued to the staff of the Congressman are accounted under the Office of the Congressman. As disclosed in the report, a number of these items cannot even be presented despite repeated request.
• The procurements of various items subject of the audit finding were made through public bidding whenever required and appropriate. Complete documentation of the biddings as well as the purchases was made and the same were promptly submitted to the City Resident Auditor's Office. These documents should be on file and available for examination at the Auditor's Office. Based on what actually transpired in relation to the questioned procurements, it is quite imprecise for the Audit Team to claim that the procurements "were not subjected to public bidding". The auditors' report itself state that the "procurements were not fully compliant with public bidding process". This indicates that public bidding were held but certain requirements may not have been fully complied with. In other words, the documents verified during the Audit but they do not conclusively point out to the absence of public bidding. As previously affirmed, public biddings were conducted and complete documentation were submitted to the Resident Auditor's Office.	The absence of advertisement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation, for those within the threshold, and posting in the PhilGEPS is tantamount to absence of public bidding. It is also informed that these were not among those turned over by the City Auditor's Office.
 All purchases made by the City Government during the period covered by the Audit were inspected by the COA resident auditor or his representative. Payments were duly supported with Reports of Inspection. Capital outlays as well as semi-expendables purchases were covered by Acknowledgment Receipts of Property and Equipment (ARPE). Hence, it is improbable that purchases of semi-expendable supplies, more so, capital expenditures are unaccounted for. 	The presence of documents is not sufficient to establish the existence of equipment and furniture. The persons responsible and accountable for such items should be able to present the items at any point in time these are being required to be presented. Unfortunately, however, despite repeated demand to accountable officials, the questioned equipment, furniture and vehicle cannot be presented.
• The 17 units multi-cabs, 2 units Mitsubishi coaster and 1 unit Ford E 350 ambulance cannot simply vanish without any trace. The Audit Team may need to employ alternative audit techniques instead of conveniently relying on the representation of the present administration who may not be interested in assisting the Audit Team in the validation and accounting of the past administration's purchases.	In addition to the demand to the incumbent officials to present these items, the Team sent demand letters both to the staff of former Congressman who received the items and to the former Congressman himself. Unfortunately, despite repeated request to submit status report for validation by the Team, no reports were submitted to the Team as of audit date.
• It may also be pointed out that the Audit was commenced several months after the previous administration had turned-over and relinquished the reign of power over the City Government. The responsibility to safely keep and maintain the property and preserve the records of the same was already transferred to the present administration.	In the absence of turn-over documents, the responsibility on the questioned items were not transferred to the incumbent officials.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder			
 In the case of unserviceable, unused or under repair assets amounting to P12.955 Million, it is somewhat perplexing that the Audit Team made an issue out of natural or expected occurrence. The assets purchased are depreciable and susceptible to ordinary wear and tear. Having been purchased several years after the 	purchased during th the responsible offic The items found ur	e period cove cials to safe nserviceable May 17 an	ered in the A guard and r during insp d July 22, 2	quality of equipment Audit and/or failure of naintain such items. ection conducted on 2011 were procured s tabulated below:
Audit was conducted, it is not uncommon that some may happen to have been rendered unserviceable or may need to undergo repairs. These are occurrences that are bound to happen and may not be totally controlled even with the exercise of extraordinary diligence.	Items Fetal monitor Infant incubator Patient monitor Multi-cab Multi-function massa Videoke machine Ambulance Total		Imount Image: Provide state sta	Date Purchased 1/22/10 10/17/08 12/2/08 10/28/08 9/19/08
 As to the unused medical equipment, the Audit Team must understand that purchases were made not only to respond to existing needs but also in anticipation of what may later on be needed. The City Government need not wait for the sickness to take its toll before procuring the equipment needed for its treatment or cure. 	items are already av constituents, the sa February 10 & 16	vailable and one remained of the metric of t	could alread uninstalled e questione	the Team. While the y serve the needs of upon inspection on ed equipment were 2010, as tabulated
	Items		Amt	Date Purchased
	Cataract Set		₽ 0.71	1 4/7/10
	Phototheraphy		0.19	
	Spirometer Ceasarian Set		0.81	
	Laureat Phaco Mach	ine Alcon	4.62	
	Autoclave Sterilizer v		1.01	
	Mobile Operating Lig Total	ht	0.65 ₽ 8.18	
 With the comments and justifications presented in the preceding paragraphs, there is clearly no basis for the Audit Team to conclude that the use of PDAF for other purposes adversely affected the implementation of other 	Under the GAA, the the implementation of Category	of the followin	g projects: Projects	GUs are intended for
programs intended to be addressed by the fund. No	Education	Purchase of I	Equipment	
portion of the PDAF was used for any purpose outside the menu identified in the GAA. The Audit Team also failed to identify any program or project which may have	Health	hospitals devo	lved to LGUs	
been neglected or ignored in favour of a selected few.	Rural Electrification	Barangay Rur		
	Water Supply Financial	Installation of Specific progr		ect to address
	Assistance	the pro-poor p		
	Housing			nits for eligible
	which include chairs television sets, DVD will not fall under an under PDAF. For su equipment requirem indigent patients at	s, tables, sof home theate y of the men re, scholarsh hents of sch the hospita	as, benches er system ar u of program ips of deserv ools, as we I devolved	the City Government c, videoke machines, d the like that these as eligible for funding ving students and I.T. ell, as assistance to to LGUs and RHUs gone a long way in

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
	uplifting the condition of the poor constituents had these been given at least a portion of the fund.
• It may need to be reminded that the power to identify and propose projects to be funded by PDAF rests with Congress. Neither the City Government nor the Auditors possess the power to substitute its choice over that of the legislature.	The Team upholds the power of the Congress which is manifested in the GAA. Thus, to ensure, that such power is fully exercised, the Auditors' duty is to ensure that the provisions of the GAA and other related laws and regulations are fully observed by the implementing agencies.
• Finally, the report of the Audit Team that the procurement of medicines and medical supplies amounting to P17.355 Million was not at all documented is unfounded. The report itself state that the Team was provided with photocopies of disbursement vouchers and purchase orders. These photocopies suggest that originals exist.	The Team was provided only with photocopy of disbursement vouchers supported only with Purchase Orders. The authenticity of the submitted photocopies cannot, however, be established in the absence of original documents.
Response provided by Iriga City	I
• We fully agree with your observation that "while the project/program to be implemented by the LGUs were specifically defined in the GAA for the year, releases to LGUs did not indicate the projects/programs for implementation."	As the projects intended to be funded were not defined in the SARO, the City Government should have considered the provisions of the GAA.
• The unbidded transactions probably refers to emergency purchases made by the Congressman on occasion of calamities.	Procurement for calamity activities is not among those included in the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF as this is separately covered by Calamity Fund Allocation of the LGUs.
Response provided by the Province of Davao Orient	tal
Our package of Health Care Initiatives (Consolidated Accomplishment Report) will substantiate/rationalize our serious prioritization on Social Services.	The Team is not questioning the Health Care Initiatives of the Province and its drive and intention to address its social problems with any pro-poor program but the absence of reports on the utilization of items procured under PDAF. It is informed that procurement of medicines were not subjected to public bidding and distribution were not documented. There were no distribution lists and reports on the date, venue and participants of the purportedly conducted medical missions.
• The leadership found it compelling to address the gaps with a sense of urgency. Since then, we embarked on aggressive actions and initiatives to cope with, level and keep up with confronting demands for more, better and faster interventions for our people.	The utilization of government funds is subject to rules and regulations, among which, is the requirement for complete documentation.
• Proof to our efforts, we were able to record a high Performance Score Card on Health; the PAG-ASA Awards, garnered in the years 2008-2011, for highest reduction in the prevalence of Malnutrition in Region XI, in addition to a number of other awards.	We commend that LGU for garnering a Regional Award and its efforts in implementing various programs and projects. However, in the absence of documents, the relevance of the items procured and other expenses incurred by the Provincial Government out of PDAF on the reported achievements and accomplishments cannot be assessed.
Response provided by the Province of Nueva Ecija	
 The PDAF released to the Provincial Government were made through SARO that did not indicate the projects/programs for implementation. However, the sponsor Senators and Congressman provided in their 	It is true that the SARO did not indicate the specific programs/projects for implementation. However, the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF is enumerated in the GAA for the year. The projects identified by the sponsoring Senators and Congressmen then should have been evaluated

Management's C	omments	Team's Rejoinder
respective letters the progr intended to support and for whi		taking into consideration such menu.
 At the LGU level, we are not programs for LGU that are pre- the year. Hence, we assu supported by the fund as co sponsor's letters were approve that DBM has addressed this 2011, SAROs from DBM programs/projects for implement 	cribed under the GAA for ned that the programs mmunicated to us in the d by DBM. It is observed issue because effective already indicate the	Considering that the SARO was released by the DBM, the Provincial Government should have requested clarifications and guidance from the DBM.
The Provincial Government st R.A. No. 9184, as amended. I procurements were made thi other alternative methods of contracting, shopping and repe 2009, and the small value proc in the IRR amendments of increased the threshold fo	the particular cases, the bugh public bidding and procurement like direct at order in 2008 and early urement method provided September 2009 which	The alternative mode of procurement can only be resorted to when the conditions called upon under R.A. No. 9184 are present. In the subject cases, however, the Provincial Government adopted the alternative method even in the absence of the required conditions. The Team is not questioning the application of shopping method for amounts eligible under this mode but the failure of the provincial of the provin
procurement. Specifically, the methods of p items subject of the inquiry wer		Provincial Government to post the procurement requirements in PhilGEPS. It is informed that irrespective of the mode of procurement applied, the advertisement should be posted in the PhilGEPS.
Supplier Items	Amt Mode of (M P) Procurement	On the other hand, repeat order is being applied only when the previous contracts were awarded through competitive biddings.
Jason Internet Shop Computer side HCK Motors and Trucks Heavy Eqpt ERGP Trading School bags A. Vargas Trading Philippine M Hanro Hardware Const. Mat Cabanatuan Main Tr. G. Japson Enterprises EGP Merchandise S.O.B. Construction	2.350 Bidding 0.561 Repeat Order aps 0.595 Dir. Contracting 0.149 1.089 Shopping 0.091 7.940 Bid/shopping	There were no documents provided that the previous contract was awarded through competitive bidding.
 Direct contracting can be resor conditions and the applicable procurement of the Philippir registration in favor of Ms. Ma issued by the National Comm Arts, National Library, as pre- the same IRR-A. 	ondition we used for the e maps in the copyright ina Alexandra A. Vargas ssion for Culture and the	 As provided under R.A. No. 9184, direct contracting can be entered into when the following conditions are present: Procurement of goods of proprietary nature, which can be obtained only from the proprietary source, when patents, trade seals and copyrights prohibit others from manufacturing the same item;
		• When the procurement of critical components from a specific manufacturer /supplier is a condition precedent to hold a contractor to guarantee its project performance, in accordance with the provisions of his contract; or,
		• Those sold by an exclusive dealer or manufacturer, which does not have sub-dealers selling at lower prices and for which no suitable substitute can be obtained at more advantageous terms to the Government.
		It is clear that this mode of procurement is applied only when the copyright prohibits others from manufacturing the same item. As a copy of the copyright registration was not provided, the Team

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
	could not validate whether there is indeed prohibition for all others to manufacture Philippine maps.
 Publication in newspaper of general circulation is required if the Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) is ₱5.0M for infrastructure and ₱2.0M for goods. All infrastructure projects and procurement of goods in the above tabulation were subjected to bidding but since their ABCs are below ₱5.0M and ₱2.0M, publication in newspaper of general circulation were not required. Posting in conspicuous places were made instead and the relevant certifications of posting by the BAC Secretary were attached to the disbursement vouchers. 	For procurement below the threshold, the requirement included posting of advertisement, notice to proceed, contract, among others, in the PhilGEPS. The questioned transactions were not supported with print out copies of advertisement in the PhilGEPS.
 The seat of the Provincial Government is located in Palayan City where there were no available Internet service providers during the time. In as much as we wanted to have a website and be able to post in the PhilGEPS, we could not do so for the said reason 	The Provincial Government could have posted their advertisements in the DBM website. Under Section 21.2 1(b) of the Revised IRR, the invitation to bid shall be posted continuously in the PhilGEPS website, the website of the procuring entity concerned, if available, and the website prescribed by the foreign government/ foreign or international financing institution, if applicable, for seven (7) calendar days starting on the date of advertisement.
 We cite the provisions of Sec. 21.1.1 of the revised guidelines of 2007, to wit: "21.1.1 xxx the Invitation to Apply for Eligibility and to Bid shall be: xxx b) Posted continuously in the website of the procuring entity concerned, if available, the website of the procuring entity's service provider, if any, as provided in Section 8 of this IRR-A, and the GEPS for seven (7) calendar days starting on date of advertisement, if applicable" (Italics for emphasis) 	The requirement for the posting of invitation to apply for eligibility and to bid in the PhilGEPS is, therefore, mandatory and not conditional.
In 2011, we finally gained access to the Internet and started posting in PhilGEPS. It can, likewise, be noted that the Provincial Government was awarded a Seal of Good Housekeeping by the DILG for transparency and good governance.	
 Since August 2009, the pre-audit function of COA was reinstated, hence, all payments made during that period which included SOB Construction and Supply were subjected to COA pre-audit. 	All pre-audited transactions are still subject to post audit.
 With respect to the two (2) heavy equipment with noted difference in chassis and engine numbers appearing in the DR, these were returned to the supplier HCK Motors & Trucks Enterprises for repair/replacement since the units were still covered by the warranty period. When the units were delivered back to us, we did not know and we were not informed that the engines/chassis/units were changed, and if ever the PGSO knew, it was unfortunate that then OIC-PGSO Engr. Roberto Leoncio failed to update their records and inventory reports. 	The changes in chassis/engine numbers should be documented as equipment is accounted based on its chassis/engine numbers.
• The backhoe, which was reported by the Team on December 8, 2010 as not operational and under repair, has been rendered operational not long after and been	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
servicing the needs of PGNE since then.	
Response provided by the Province of Tarlac	
 We utilized PDAF in accordance with the purpose for which they were intended to as indicated in the SARO, Notices of Funding Check Issued and NCA. All programs and projects funded out of PDAF had prior approval of the concerned lawmakers. 	As discussed in the report, the specific purpose was not indicated in the SARO and NCA. The fund was merely released by the DBM as financial assistance to LGUs. Thus, the Provincial Government shall be guided by the provision of GAA, R.A. No. 9184 and issuances of COA and the GPPB, among others. Mere approval of the legislators is not sufficient ground to consider the disbursement valid.
 DBM Circular No. 529 prescribing revised guidelines on the release and utilization of PDAF was only released last February 21, 2011. Prior releases to LGUs, sometimes are not specific or are broadly described, general programs/projects for the utilization and implementation of the PDAF. 	The menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF are regularly included in the GAA for the year.
 PGT implemented the projects and programs funded out of the PDAF "By administration". 	The Team is not questioning the manner of project implementation but the use of PDAF for projects not eligible for funding thereof and without full compliance with RA 9184.
 PGT ensures that procurement of goods and infrastructure projects had been done with transparency and in accordance with the Government Procurement Act. The administrative services and line departments vouched that they had substantially complied with the Government Procurement Act from its transitory changes until the current period. 	The requirement of R.A. No. 9184 is full compliance and not substantial compliance. While printout copies of advertisement in the PhilGEPS were provided to the Team, procurement amounting to P8.497 Million were not supported with print out copies of posting in the PhilGEPS.
 The projects and programs implemented are investment related that did not only create income for the PGT but also create economic impact like job generation and welfare improvement. These projects continue to be in sound condition and remain as economic icons of the Province for the simple reason that these were implemented in accordance with plans and specifications. 	The Team is not discounting the benefits to be derived from the different projects implemented by the Provincial Government but the charging of expenses, such as financial assistance to cooperatives, procurement of computer sets for other government offices, vehicles and other items to PDAF when these are not eligible to be charged thereon.
 We have already notified the suppliers and are checking with the BIR on their sale declarations, and that BAC and BAC Secretariat are made aware and will require tax clearances for any further awards. BIR established deficiencies will be prioritized from any accounts receivables they have from the Provincial Government of Tarlac. 	The Team is referring to the suppliers' declaration of receipts and revenues to the concerned LGUs in their application for renewal of business permits and not to the BIR. However, the Provincia Government can also coordinate with and submit the transactions of these suppliers to the BIR for the BIR's evaluation.
 We trust that we have shown some clarifications that the Special Audit for PDAF may have overlooked. But it seems obvious that the reference documents they used may be incomplete while some are with the provincial COA Office. 	All documents gathered from the Provincial Auditor's Office were already considered in the Audit.
Response provided by the Province of Compostela	Valley
 This Province was fortunate enough to have received such a large amount of funds since its 11-year existence in 2009 from the PDAF of a Congressman. Such subsidy 	The priority programs and projects eligible for funding under PDAF are defined in the GAA for the year.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
did not checify any projects and programs to be funded	
 did not specify any projects and programs to be funded. The implementation of PDAF subsidy passed through Pre-Audit. We humbly believe that the funds - received and implemented by the Provincial Government, were utilized in accordance with the established guidelines, rules and regulations and redound to the benefits of our constituents and responsive to their felt needs. 	Transactions found not in compliance with existing laws, rules and regulations, though pre-audited can still be questioned during post-audit.
• The Provincial Government has been steadfast in its commitment to promote good governance and ensure that the procurement of infrastructure projects and goods shall be competitive and transparent, and thus strictly observing the rules and provision of the R.A. No. 9184.	There were, however, no proof of publication of procurement in newspaper of general nationwide circulation for procurements of furnitures, rice and t-shirts which were all within the threshold.
The Provincial Government conducted public bidding on the procurement of various items mentioned on the draft report. Attached are copies of notice of award, abstract of bidding, minutes of bidding, invitation to apply for eligibility and to bid which was posted in the LGU conspicuous places, proof of posting in PHILGEPS and other documents to support the conduct of public bidding evaluation of bid offers of suppliers.	
 Of items noted unaccounted and not covered by distribution lists duly acknowledged by the recipients, the same lists were also given to our Provincial COA Auditors as part of the supporting documents in the disbursement vouchers. 	As the distribution list was submitted only to support the management comments, the Team is still in the process of evaluating the validity of the said list. Initial evaluation of the submitted list disclosed that the addresses of the purported recipients were not clearly indicated. In times only the street or sitio were indicated without indicating the barangay and municipality.
• Apart from the armchairs funded thru PDAF, we have also distributed armchairs procured out of other fund sources.	In view of different fund sources for the procurement of armchairs which were not properly disclosed in the distribution list, the recipients had a difficulty of determining which of the items they received were the subject of confirmation.
Response provided by the City Administrator of Mai	ndaluyong City
 The expenditures and disbursements charged against PDAF perfectly fall within the category of projects and programs identified in the GAA. 	As discussed in the report, all these disbursements cannot be associated to any specific pro-poor program of the government as these were not covered with duly approved project profile. Moreover, other items procured intended for cleanliness campaign, communication and sound system, gifts, sponsorship, concert fees, groceries, mountain bikes, advertisements and posters, medals and trophies, office supplies, and furnitures, among others, are totally not within the menu.
Financial assistance to various organizations for micro- lending program and acquisition of equipment is self- descriptive that it becomes odd not to consider it as falling under the category of "Financial Assistance". In extending said financial assistance, the City Government of Mandaluyong is permitted to carry out the program either by itself or through the intervention, collaboration or cooperation of private individuals, associations or organizations formed with the objective of pursuing livelihood activities for the benefit of its members. The fact that the program is being handled by a private	It is very clear that the purpose for granting financial assistance is to support the regular operations of the cooperative which is micro-lending. PDAF is not intended to finance the program of any cooperative or association.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
organization does not divest the program of its nature as a financial assistance program within the ambit of PDAF.	
 The monetary assistance for the burial, medical, educational, financial and livelihood directly given to individual recipients equally and must necessarily be categorized as financial assistance. These are undoubtedly pro-poor initiatives designed to address the most urgent needs of the City's marginalized constituents. 	The grant of these monetary assistance may indeed be classified as pro-poor program for as long as it was established that the beneficiaries are indeed marginalized and in need of assistance and qualify under the program. In these cases, however, the monetary assistance and/or financial assistance were granted without any documents to prove that the beneficiary is indeed an indigent and in need of assistance. There was no DSWD case study, medical results or abstract, death certificate, school records or any document to support the claim that the beneficiaries are marginalized constituents in need of assistance. There were even no requests for assistance from the beneficiaries. Assistance as much as $P250,000$ was merely given out in cash.
 Assistance given in the form of supplies, construction materials, food, labor, etc. were extended upon the representation of the intended beneficiaries and personal validation of the City's implementing agents. 	Similarly, all these items were procured without any basis. There were no requests from the beneficiaries, no programs or plans linking such procurement to any specific pro-poor program of the government. In most cases, the items procured were not even covered by distribution list.
 Construction materials, rental of equipment, medicines and supplies are assistance in kind given upon request by various beneficiaries to support community-based programs and facilities improvements. Likewise, meals and snacks were served on the occasion of meetings, seminars or gatherings held to disseminate, orient or launch programs, activities and initiatives supported and funded by PDAF. In all these instances, the centerpieces of the activities were the programs or projects so that the lists of recipients or beneficiaries were not deemed indispensable because it was inappropriate to allocate the costs among the individuals benefitted or to the attendees. 	As disclosed in the report, the specific projects to be constructed out of the construction materials procured and equipment rented were not identified. Even the types of equipment rented, plate number, and contract indicating the duration and rental rates were not submitted. Likewise, there were no Office Order, authorization, invitation or any document to support the conduct of seminar or gatherings. There were also no request from the beneficiaries for the procurement of such items, evaluation on the need to grant such requests and reports on the activities undertaken indicating, among others, the venue and date of the meetings / seminars / events, participants and other relevant information. All the activities purportedly undertaken which necessitated incurrence of such expenses were not documented. Thus, all expenses cannot be associated to any pro-poor program of the government on any project included in the menu.
• While the City Government acknowledges that the activities were not adequately documented in the manner that the auditors would have desired, the same were actually undertaken as represented in the liquidation documents.	The liquidation reports were merely supported with receipts/SIs indicating the items procured without accompanying program profile indicating the relevance of such expenses to any pro-poor program.
 The vehicle fuel, repairs and rental expenses were incurred in connection with the preparation, implementation and monitoring of PDAF projects. Incidentally, the employees responsible in operating the rented vehicles, initiating repairs and doing refueling are not familiar with the usual documentation and other information required to substantiate and support the charges. While the City Government believe that the deficiency cannot affect the validity of the charges, it shall, nonetheless, exert effort to fully document succeeding charges to forestall further creating suspicion on the propriety of the expenses. 	Again, the vehicles used, and/or for repair, extent of repairs undertaken, contract indicating the duration of the repair and corresponding cost were not included in the documents submitted. Unless, however, it is established that these vehicles were used for official purposes, and the vehicles repaired are government owned, repaired at reasonable cost and in compliance with existing rules and regulations, and that all these expenses were based on a specific pro-poor program of the government, all these expenses would remain questionable.
• Expenses for garden plants and landscaping were	Landscaping is not among the projects included in the menu.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
incurred as part of the assistance given to improve or repair pathways, barangay halls, school buildings and other public areas within the City. The charges for flowers were mostly made as part of the whole package for burial assistance and with a few other pieces utilized in the set up for seminars, trainings, meetings and related gatherings incident to, or as part of, PDAF implementation.	Moreover, these procurements were not covered with duly approved plans. Thus, any accomplishment out of these procurements cannot be validated.
 If indeed there were no formal plans, programs of work, detailed cost estimates, certificates of completion, acceptance, and inspection reports on projects implemented by supplying construction materials and rented equipment, and those undertaken through "pakyaw" contracts, they were principally due to the fact that all these projects were carried out based on informal quotations and estimates presented and discussed by the requesting parties to the City Government. Considering that the funding thereof are basically treated as financial assistance benefiting the City's constituents, documentations of the same did not strictly follow those required for infrastructure projects. 	All expenses for whatever purpose and reason out of funds from the government are required to be fully documented and be compliant with relevant laws, rules and regulations. Again, in the absence of any documents and proof to establish the completion of any project, these expenses will remain questionable.
 The funds allegedly disbursed for "regular operating expenses of the City Government and related expenses for regular projects" were actually used to finance necessary expenses connected or incidental to the preparation, orientation, launching, supervision, monitoring and inauguration of completed projects. Expenses for food, office supplies, repairs and rentals of equipment, fuel and such other expenditures associated with the programs being implemented are necessary costs properly chargeable against the fund. IA such as the DPWH utilize a certain percentage of the PDAF to cover engineering supervision and administrative overhead costs in the implementation of projects. 	Again, the City Government has to prove that these expenses were incurred in relation to the implementation of program eligible to be funded under PDAF. Incidentally, the City Government cannot even cite the specific program to where these questioned expenses can be associated with.
• No portion of the funds was ever used for operating expenses of the Congressional Office. All expenses mistakenly identified as such were actually connected with and/or necessarily disbursed as incidents to the implementation of the financial assistance programs designed to address the pro-poor programs of the government.	In the absence of any document to prove that the questioned expenses were incurred in relation to the implementation of eligible program under PDAF, the Team cannot reconsider its observation. Again, the City Government cannot even cite the programs implemented where the questioned expenses can be associated with.
 Concededly, projects of the same nature and funded from the regular funds of the City Government are also implemented from time to time as the City's finances permit. The Auditors must note, however, that the overlap of the programs and projects which may be carried out by LGU's under the local government code with the programs and projects specially funded by PDAF is not entirely discouraged. This overlap must be viewed with complementary benefit rather than be scrutinized with unnecessary strictness. 	There is no doubt that the projects for implementation from PDAF can also be funded under the regular appropriation of the City Government. However, not all projects of the City Government are eligible for funding under PDAF as this is intended for specific purpose.
• There is nothing in the law that prohibits the application of PDAF to projects similarly undertaken by the LGU's or	This provision that funds should be used only for the specific purpose intended in effect restricted and prohibited the use of

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
to complement those where the LGU's funds are inadequate especially when the latter act as implementing agencies of PDAF.	such funds for other purpose other than those specifically provided.
 As implementing agency, the City Government may validly use PDAF to complement or even expand existing programs of the City Government intended to attenuate the miserable plight of its most disadvantages of constituents. 	PDAF is not intended to complement or expand existing programs of the LGUs but to address specific projects enumerated in the GAA.
 The City always acts in coordination with the Office of the Congressman. Numerous requests for assistance were, likewise, directly submitted to the Congressional Office. This act of the Congressional Office, in coordinating the implementation of PDAF is consistent with the authority granted to the Members of Congress to propose and select projects consistent with the purpose of PDAF. Accordingly, it is inaccurate to report that the funds were used for the "other projects of the Congressional Office." 	The Team considered in the report the Office of the Congressman as one of the beneficiaries for items directly issued to such Office without any identified purpose and beneficiaries and not merely projects endorsed by the Office of the Congressman. We are aware that almost all projects are being endorsed by the Office of the Congressman.
The Auditors conveniently classified the expenses accordingly to the physical composition, description or appearance of the items paid instead of the purpose for which they were released or distributed. In doing so, the Auditors simply relied on the nature of the items, completely disregarding in the process their purpose as constitutive of the PDAF projects, like assistance in the form of clothing/uniform and seminars/trainings on livelihood activities; or necessary incidents thereto, like office supplies, auto supplies, repairs, etc. The Auditors were not able to see the forest from the trees and allowed their unnecessary focus to the details of the disbursement to obscure their understanding of the PDAF program as a whole.	The Team has no other alternative but to account the expenses individually as the purpose and programs being addressed by such procurement were not indicated in any of the documents supporting the disbursements. The relevance of the questioned expenses in any specific pro-poor program of the government cannot, therefore, be established. Incidentally, the City Government cannot even support their comment with specific document that would link these expenses to any pro-poor program of the government.
 It is said that poverty is a serious problem. It has led to breakdown of families and increased criminality even among juveniles. Consequently, any program, project or activity (e.g sports tournaments, festivals, concerts) that tend to prevent the breakdown of poor families or lure the family members away from criminality and other evil effects of poverty qualify as supportive of the pro-poor programs of the government and may be validly extended assistance from PDAF. 	Incidentally, there were no documents to support that the City Government's expenses have in one way or another been able to address any of these problems.
• As to observations in relation to the financial assistance granted, the Auditors might have failed to fully appreciate the circumstances under which said assistance were extended.	In the absence of the documents to manifest the circumstances being referred to by the City Government, the Team, in no way, will be able to assess the situation.
 There is no empirical data presented which convincingly demonstrate that the City Government neglected or sacrificed other programs in favor of few or selected undertakings. 	As defined in the GAA, releases to the LGUs were intended to address procurement of I.T. equipment for the use of students, and assistance to indigent patients at the hospitals devolved to LGUs and RHUs, among others. None of these programs were, however, addressed by the City Government. Instead, funds were released to various organizations and associations for purposes such as anniversaries, conventions, seminars, trainings and the like. While financial assistance were granted not to indigent patients at the hospitals but to various individuals, the needs of

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
	which were not at all documented.
 The projects which necessitated the procurement of a variety of items including construction materials were all in the general category of financial assistance. They were never programmed as infrastructure projects or procurement of goods and services. Instead, the funds were allocated as financial assistance to be utilized as such to respond to the countless requests for support. 	Whether the assistance given is in the form of cash or in kind is immaterial. Expenses are evaluated in relation to the purpose for which the funds were released. Again, PDAF was released by the DBM as financial assistance for the implementation of priority programs and projects. The priority projects eligible for funding are defined in the GAA. Any expenses not in accordance with the menu of programs defined therein is considered improper.
The City Government is not oblivious of the mandatory requirements under R.A. No. 9184 and its implementing rules and regulations. However, the frequency, urgency, and the predominantly small values involved in the procurements render the strict observance of the rules on public bidding difficult, if not impractical. Accordingly, emergency as well as small value purchases were employed in all the procurements. Consequently, the documentations demanded by the auditors consisting of bid documents, proofs of publication, notices of award, notices to proceed, printout copies of advertisements and certifications by the BAC secretariat are considered irrelevant and inapplicable.	It is emphasized that these requirements are not mandated by the Auditor but mandated under R.A. No. 9184. The auditors are merely assessing compliance by the City Government with the provisions of the law.
• The absence of these documents, notwithstanding, the City Government cannot subscribe to the idea that the validity of the payments cannot be established. Alternative audit procedures should have been employed to confirm whether the projects actually exist or the paid transactions have in fact occurred. Absent any direct proof or sufficient competent evidence pointing to the inexistence or non-occurrence of the projects and transactions funded, justice and fairness dictate that the auditors must refrain from making insinuations tending to project a color of invalidity on the payments made.	The validity of transactions is established on the basis of documents, absence of which is already an indication of irregularity. It is also informed that there is no way the auditors can validate the existence of the projects as the very projects purportedly undertaken by the City Government cannot even be specified. Moreover, as clearly discussed in the report, a number of suppliers either denied the transactions or cannot be located or practically unknown at their given addresses.
 Treating on the alleged absence of evaluation as to the legal and physical existence, as well as the capability of the suppliers to meet the requirements, the auditors have already noted that public bidding was not the primary mode adopted in procuring the goods and services. Having resorted to the alternative modes of emergency purchase and small value procurement, the rigid and non-discretionary method of pre and post-qualification of bidders was not strictly adopted. 	Regardless of the methods of procurement adopted by the City Government, the legal and physical existence of the suppliers cannot be affected if indeed they are existing.
• Price quotations were solicited from prospective suppliers. Their actual doing of business at the time the request for quotations were made was prima facie evidence of their legitimate trade and there was nothing that would have prodded the City Government to probe further whether said suppliers are duly registered and possess business permits to operate.	The results of confirmation, however, proved otherwise. The suppliers either denied the transactions or cannot be located and unknown at their given addresses.
 On another note, the City Government also pursued a variation of the traditional financial assistance program by encouraging economic stimulus through the creation of business or employment opportunities for individuals, 	The Team is not questioning the policy of the City Government of entering into "pakyaw" contract but the very existence of "pakyaw" contractors. The "pakyaw" contractors either cannot be located at their given addresses or have no indicated addresses. Payments

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
small players and even "pakyaw" workers or laborers to benefit out of the modest revenue they generate from the projects funded by PDAF. This strategy is projected to address and create a more concrete impact on the pro- poor program of the government.	were also not even supported with Certificate of Completion or Accomplishment Report and not even acknowledged received by the "pakyaw" contractors. The projects accomplished by the "pakyaw" contractors cannot also be presented.
 The directive that government funds or property shall be spent or used solely for public purpose is not breached by the simple reason that a portion of the PDAF is released to cooperatives composed of private individuals. It may be reminded that the target beneficiaries of PDAF implemented by LGUs are private individuals belonging to their respective constituencies. The fact that some or a number of said constituents associate or organize themselves into cooperatives does not disqualify them, individually or collectively, from becoming recipients of assistance which the PDAF offer. 	The funds were released to cooperatives to support their micro- lending program, which is tantamount to financing the operations of the cooperative. Microlending is not within the menu of projects enumerated under the GAA.
 The City Government is unable to categorically state the reasons why suppliers could not be located at their given addresses or why their addresses are incomplete. It is not aware of any such occurrence and can only speculate on possibilities, such as transfer or cessation of business; and inadvertent disclosure of incomplete business address. Whatever may be the cause for the failure to locate some of the suppliers, the City Government maintain that it is neither privy to nor had anything to do with the observed deficiencies. 	As discussed in the report, the unlocated suppliers have also no permits to operate business. These deficiencies could have been detected by the City Government had it complied with the procurement process prescribed under RA 9184. The validation of the legal and physical existence of the suppliers is one of the primary duties of the BAC.
• The City Government confirms, however, that all projects, programs and activities where the materials or items reported to have been procured from these suppliers were actually undertaken and duly completed.	As discussed in the report, a number of suppliers and recipients already denied the questioned transactions. Moreover, the specific projects undertaken on account of a number of procurement cannot also be validated as the City Government did not even identify the project.
 Relative to the issues on the receipts and SIs bearing numbers outside the authorized series to be printed; receipts bearing the printers' incomplete address; receipts and SIs bearing ATPs used by other suppliers; and suppliers having no business permits, the City Government submits that these details are not its responsibility to verify and guard against. While it does not tolerate or encourage transacting business with entities of questionable legitimacy, the deficiencies noted are beyond the control and responsibility of the city Government. 	Again, had the City Government complied with the procurement process prescribed under R.A. No. 9184, these cases could have been avoided. It is also emphasized that it is the responsibility of the City Government to ensure that it deals only with legitimate suppliers.
 Absent any verifiable participation or complicity of the City's implementing agents, the deficiencies should not be magnified to unduly extract a conclusion of impropriety on the disbursements. 	The confirmation from the suppliers and recipients denying their participation to the questioned transactions, in addition to insufficient documentation, and non-compliance with existing rules and regulations, are sufficient grounds to conclude that a number of transactions entered into by the City Government are questionable.
• With specific reference to Mr. Roberto Reyes spouse's confirmation that he is "not a contractor but working at Camella Homes as a helper to the contractor", the City Government maintains that said confirmation is hearsay	Unfortunately, however, Mr. Reyes did not also confirm this transaction.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
and is not binding insofar as the particular contract is concerned. Mr. Reyes is a "pakyaw" contractor as evidenced by the Pakyaw Agreement. The fact that he is a mere helper of the contractor of Camella Homes does not disqualify him as a "pakyaw" contractor. It is in fact his experience and association with a legitimate contractor that became the primary basis in engaging his services as a "pakyaw" contractor.	
 Unless Mr. Reyes personally repudiates the Pakyaw Agreement, the disbursements made pursuant thereto remain valid. His spouse's statements are irrelevant and incompetent evidence as to the existence and validity of the agreement. 	This transaction was also not documented. There were no reports to the effect that the subject of "Pakyaw" Agreement was even executed. There were even no project documents supporting such agreement.
• Some suppliers allegedly denied transacting with the City Government or its agents on their respective businesses and evade the prospect of having their gross receipts compared with their transactions related to the PDAF projects.	The very documents supporting these transactions are found deficient, procurement was not compliant with R.A. No. 9184 and other related rules and regulations with some items not even accounted for.
 Likewise, only P20,000 worth of equipment (shure, speaker wireless microphone, XLR-Mall and pioneer DVD player with lot value of P15,800; and XLR and Speaker-On valued at P3,000 and P1,200, respectively) were reported to have not been presented or received by the recipients. Nonetheless, the equipment valued at P15,800 were noted to be covered by Memorandum Recipient indicating that someone received and remains responsible for the equipment. 	The proof of receipt by the user is not sufficient to establish the existence of the items. The user should be able to present the items any time it is demanded to be presented.
• Consequently, only P4,200 worth of equipment is reportedly not received by the intended recipient.	The existence of such condition, no matter how small the amount involved manifests weakness in internal control.
 The Auditors also tended to unfavorably exaggerate when they reported that "equipment purchased in the total amount of P736,680 are not at all utilized or cannot be presented". The bulk of the equipment valued at P500,000 was returned to the supplier because it needed rehabilitation if not total replacement; while the other equipment is yet to be put in service. Notwithstanding these information, it is unwarranted to suggest that they will never be utilized. What is significant is the fact they exist and could be put to use in due time. 	The machine worth P500,000 which is no longer functional was found at the compound of the supplier. There were no documents submitted to the Team such as contracts/agreements manifesting that the equipment was returned to the supplier for repair. The requirements for the repair of government properties are, likewise, needed to be documented. There were also no documents presented that these equipment were at all used by the City Government.
 The recipients of the financial assistance belong to the poorest of the poor among the City's constituents. They are mostly composed of informal settlers who are not gainfully employed or whose employments relate to intermittent menial activities. More than the paper works documenting the condition of the recipients and the reason for granting the financial assistance, the recipients themselves and the conditions in which they live provide the living testimony of their dire needs. 	Unfortunately, under existing rules and regulations, all disbursement are required to be properly documented as any undocumented and unwritten conditions cannot be validated. Thus, even the worst condition of the recipients has to be documented to merit the grant of assistance. In this case, the very existence of the recipients is even questionable as they are unknown at their given addresses.
• The PDAF was conceptualized and incorporated in the GAA precisely to address what were previously identified as priority areas and projects where the Congressional District Representatives may specifically identify and	Only the type and category of projects are identified in the GAA. The specific project beneficiaries were not defined in the GAA. It is incumbent upon the IA to evaluate the documents presented by the beneficiaries to assess their eligibility under the program.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
recommend release of funding. With the prior identification, the City Government considered it duplicitous to undertake individual needs assessment and evaluation of recipients.	
 It is not uncommon that beneficiaries in the category described above may need assistance more than what others may have received. Cases of this nature are bound to happen especially when the need is urgently manifest. In such cases, repeated extensions of financial or cash assistance cannot be avoided. On this note, the auditors may need to pause for a moment and feel the realities which the City Government had to face in responding to the innumerable needs of its constituents. 	The Team agrees that the needs of beneficiaries may vary. Thus, such needs should be established before determining the amount to be released. In these cases, however, assistance were continuously granted even without request from the beneficiaries.
• The financial assistance only provides temporary relief to the recipients whose everyday life is a struggle in itself. With a greater majority of said recipients looking at the next opportunity to avail of the same relief, it has become impractical if not useless to perform "post evaluation studies to determine the impact of the program in the lives of the recipients".	Considering that the government is not in a position to sustain, through the grant of assistance, the needs of the greater majority, the program to be implemented should be one that will incapacitate them in due time. The program of granting cash assistance is not in any way uplifting the condition of the recipients and converted them to total dependency in the government.
 The financial assistance program was identified by Congress. Absent any requirement from the law itself, it may not be within the province of the City Government, as implementing agency, to post evaluate the programs identified, proposed and funded by Congress. Hence, the absence of post evaluation cannot invalidate or render questionable the financial assistance previously granted. 	It is informed that the Congress merely identified the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF. It did not in any way set the criteria for selection of beneficiaries. It is then incumbent upon the City Government, as the implementing agency, to establish the criteria for selection of beneficiaries and evaluate the impact of the project.
 With regard to the noted different strokes of signature of multiple recipients, the City Government consider the same as normal if not expected given the varying conditions during which said signatures were affixed. The auditors must not overlook that most of the recipients are wanting in, or barely had formal education. Maintaining consistent strokes in affixing their signatures to acknowledge receipt of the financial assistance granted would understandably be the least of their concerns at that moment. 	Unfortunately, however, a number of beneficiaries with different strokes have already denied their purported signatures.
• As regards beneficiaries who confirmed not receiving some release, the City Government affirms that the total number of recipients reflected on the lists actually received the financial assistance indicated. The City Government, though cannot disregard the possibility that the names of some of the recipients might have been used by unscrupulous and scheming fellows who exploit every opportunity to obtain financial gain deceitfully.	This is a clear effect of the failure of the City Government to establish the need of its beneficiaries and their identities before releasing assistance. As of audit date, there were 14 beneficiaries who denied receiving assistance in the amount of P74,000 .
• Tales about spouses or family members uncovering non- declaration or under declaration of financial assistance received also surfaced during the course of the confirmation process. This has likely contributed to the refusal or denial of some recipients to avoid getting into trouble for undeclared or under declared cash received. In any case, however, the deceit or dishonesty	This only manifests that the assistance reportedly given were, indeed, not needed. Had documents sufficient to establish the need for assistance were required, the grant of assistance to unscrupulous and scheming fellows could have been avoided.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 committed by the recipients should not be unduly charged as responsibility or liability of the City Government or its implementing agents. The auditor's observation that 295 recipients of ₽1.687 Million cannot be located does not support a conclusion that the releases were not actually received. As previously indicated, most recipients are informal settlers, some of whom may have moved or transferred residence. In the distribution of the financial assistance, the addresses supplied by the recipients are simply noted by the assistants who, in good faith, accepted the 	Again, this manifests lapses in the implementation of projects which resulted in loss of government resources.
 same as their true and correct addresses. While the City Government maintains that the amount of P1.687 was actually handed to the 295 recipients, it cannot also discount the possibility that some of said recipients may have purposely supplied incomplete or inaccurate addresses to take advantage of the financial assistance program. Recognizing the importance of the information to ensure that only bonafide recipients are benefited, the City Government shall endeavor to draw a master list of target beneficiaries. Pending the completion of said master list. The City Government has already instituted interim measures to guard against the repetition or recurrence of the observed deficiency. 	
 The amount of P503,205 confirmed by two recipients as representing payments for packed meals and snacks served during various occasions and relief operations are, to the City Government's belief, properly classified as financial assistance. The expenses paid are directly connected with the implementation of programs and activities funded by the PDAF. Whether the total amount is to be reported as the financial assistance or as meals and snacks expenses, the City Government maintain that they are proper charges against the PDAF. 	The purpose of ordering meals in such amount and the intended beneficiaries should have been declared. Moreover, the procurement of goods is subject to the provisions of R.A. No. 9184.
• The use by the Auditors of the City's list of registered voters obtained by the City Election Officer as a means of determining the existence of some 25,401 listed recipients is also inappropriate. The auditors must bear in mind that not all qualified voters are duly registered as such in their respective residences.	The Team resorted to the list of the City Election Officer as beneficiaries either did not indicate their complete addresses or otherwise unlocated and unknown at their given addresses.
• As in the case of the denied receipt of financial assistance, accounts of deliberate denial in bad faith have, likewise, reached the City Government.	This is again a problem in documentation. If the receipt of assistance is fully documented, the recipients cannot just deny what they have actually received.
Response provided by the City Mayor, Mandaluyong	ı City
• From July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2007, I served as Representative of the Lone Congressional District of Mandaluyong City. All PDAF related transactions of my office were properly liquidated in compliance with all the Commission's rules as records would show.	It being apparent that the City Government no longer monitor releases out of PDAF, it should request representation from the DBM to limit releases to those within the capability of the City Government to implement.
• From July 1, 2007 to April 3, 2008, I served as City	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Mayor of Mandaluyong. One of my duties included the signing of documents relating to PDAF release or liquidation. In connection therewith, and in coordination with the Office of the Congressman, I was informed that everything was in order and properly liquidated.	
 From April 4, 2008 up to present due to the workload involved as City Mayor of Mandaluyong City, I delegated the approval and release of all cash advances, the monitor of each release and the approval of all liquidations. The authority includes the signing of all checks and documents necessary to properly implement PDAF projects. This delegation of authority was made through the issuance of an Executive Order approved by our Sangguniang Panlungsod. 	
 Using these time frames, justice and fairness dictate that I be made only to explain those periods immediately prior to April 4, 2008, or the first and second periods. Please note that from April 4, 2008 until today, I no longer possess the authority to deal with matters relating to the allocation and release of the PDAF. However, I was informed that the delegated officer, in coordination with the Office of the Congressman, is submitting his explanation on the matter. 	
Response provided by Barangays of Manila	•
Barangay 315, Zone 32, District 3	
• Submitting all documents pertaining to the transaction including a letter from Senator Juan Miguel F. Zubiri signifying his desire to use this fund for the purchase of a multicab.	The submitted documents are still considered deficient with observation included in the request.
Barangay 649, Zone 68, District 5	
Submitted either certification of receipts of funds by various payees and cash vouchers.	The documents submitted were not covered by duly approved disbursement vouchers, receipts, approved PO, PR, and inspection and acceptance report, canvass documents, contracts,
Barangay 791, Zone 86, District 5	among others.
Submitted various certifications and cash vouchers without covering approved DVs.	
Response provided by Barangays of Quezon City	
Barangays of Alicia and Lourdes, District I	
• The SARO stated that the amount shall be used for the procurement of motorcycle. The DBM, not the barangay, is in a better position to justify the propriety of the procurement of the motorcycle out of the PDAF.	The alleged SARO indicating that the release was intended for the procurement of motorcycle was not attached. However, the SARO provided by the DBM covering releases to these barangays, and all releases to LGUs did not indicate the specific purpose. These were released as mere financial assistance to LGUs intended for
The following barangays offered the same or similar justification: Ramon Magsaysay Salvacion Sta. Cruz Talayan Sto. Domingo St. Peter	the implementation of priority development projects. As discussed earlier, the priority projects to be covered by such releases are enumerated in the GAA for the year.

	Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
 Veter Nayo Balin Brgy. B purchase 	Balingasa further commented that the items and from the generosity of Cong. Vincent b has been in accordance with the DBM	
Barangay	San Jose, District 1	
 thus ma Baranga On the r disappoin turned-ov including others. Thus, in therefore Sanggun unnecess for reaso Similar of Siena an 	assumed Office on December 01 of 2010, and nifestly explains that the current Sangguniang y has nothing to do with the subject transactions. ecords of procurements and disbursement, it is nting to report that our predecessors have not ver documents of their financial transactions, the subject procurement of motorcycle, among view of the foregoing, it is earnestly prayed e, that Barangay San Jose and its current niang Barangay, be spared from any sary findings of your Audit Team, if there is any, n/s stated above. comments were submitted by Brgys. Mariblo, id Sta. Teresita, all of District 1 and Brgy. Libis of . Some barangays further commented that:	
Brgy Siena	Further Comments Certifies and confirms that the Barangay utilized the fund and bought a motorcycle for the use of the Brqy.	
Sta. Teresita	The motorcycle unit is being used in the daily patrol of Brgy Public Safety Officers and acts as the first responder specially during peace-keeping and urgent situations as it can penetrate up to the smallest alley in the vicinity.	
Barangay	Paang Bundok, District 1	
project o from Phi amount o of taxes. faith and particula	ncial assistance was used for peace and order f the Barangay by acquiring a single motorcycle I. Beijing Motors Corp. last Dec 16, 2010 in the of Eighteen Thousand Pesos (₽18,000) inclusive The consummation of contract is done in good d within the priority needs of our constituents rly the marginalized ones as they are the most le to petty crimes such as snatching and hold-	Unfortunately, maintenance of peace and order is not included in the menu of programs eligible for funding under PDAF.
Barangay	Talayan, District 1	
and DV	ials of Brgy Talayan prepared PR and PO, ROA based on a letter of Philippine Beijing Motors ion detailing the Technical Specifications of	Only photocopies were submitted. The original documents were not submitted to the Team.

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
ZONGSHEN MOTORCYCLE. The said documents were	
supported with BAC Resolution No. 2010-03-1401-A Series of 2010 approved on March 1, 2010.	
Barangay Mariblo, District 1	
• The previous administration has not turned-over documents of the financial transactions, including the subject purchase of motorcycle, among other things.	
• Similar comments were submitted by Brgys. Libis, District 3, San Jose, District I, Balon-Bato, District 1, Immaculate Concepcion, District 4.	
Barangay Paraiso, District 1	
 Said motorcycle was not delivered to this Barangay for reasons unknown to us. Attached herewith are the bank statements of this Barangay from March to August 11, 2011 wherein Check Number 792007 amounting to Sixteen Thousand Seventy-One Pesos and 43/100 Only (P16,071.43) dated March 10, 2011 was not encashed and is already considered as a stale check to date. 	
Barangay Bungad, District 1	
 We were informed during that time that we were given PDAF for the purchase of motorcycle and we were just happy to receive it because it is very useful in our operation and we are still using it up to now. 	
Barangay Culiat, District 2	
• The desktops that were delivered to the Barangay Hall are initially and ultimately intended to be used in schools in the Barangay. Transfer of the said computers to schools in the community is being processed.	
Barangay Sangandaan, District 2	
• No established guidelines were given to us on the proper utilization of the PDAF. The amount was included in the Barangay 2007 annual Budget and approved by the Barangay Council for the purchase of one laptop, one projector and one projector screen.	
Barangay E. Rodriguez, Sr., District 3	1
• The documents were all prepared automatically for the procurement of vehicle and utilization of funds was likewise directed automatically for the procurement of the said vehicle. We, therefore, have no direct control in the utilization of such funds and the procurement of said vehicle was not our decision, disbursement vouchers were made and all other documents already prepared leading to such procurement.	As discussed earlier, under existing regulations, the head of the agency, in this case, the barangay chairman, is primarily responsible in ensuring that funds are properly managed and utilized. It is, therefore, not right for the barangay officials to merely sign documents without evaluation and assessment as to validity and legality of transactions.
• We reiterate our position that the procurement of such vehicle was automatically prepared and given to the	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Barangay without exercising its discretion and direct participation in the utilization of the funds. We are thankful of such delivery and assistance.	
Barangay Bayanihan, District 3	
 The procurement of said vehicle benefited Barangay operation. All documents pertaining to the purchase of Toyota Avanza vehicle were already forwarded to your special Audit Team. Similar comments were submitted by Brgy. Escopa IV, District 3. 	Procurement of vehicle is subject to the approval of the President. Besides, this is also not within the menu of projects eligible for funding as defined in the GAA.
Barangay ESCOPA II, District 3	
 During Saturdays and Sundays, our I.T. Equipment are also used by our constituents particularly the underprivileged students of our barangay. Similar comments were submitted by Brgys. Marilag and Masagana, both of District 3. 	As provided in the GAA, only IT equipment procured for the use of schools are eligible for funding under PDAF. Since these equipment are, basically, for the use of barangay officials, these are deemed not eligible.
Barangay Mangga, District 3	1
 LGUs are given ample discretion as regards specific priorities. The allotment of funds to be appropriated is for the PRIORITY PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS OF 3rd DISTRICT OF QUEZON CITY. All items and equipment purchased from the budget allocated substantially conform with the specific category requirement of the source of funding. 	The priority programs and projects eligible for funding under PDAF are enumerated in the GAA. Thus, the IAs' selection of projects should be limited to those enumerated therein.
Barangay Botocan, District 4	
 We requested from City Official for an infra project for addition floor of our existing Barangay Hall. I admit I had no idea as to the category where it should be programmed. Similar comments on lack of knowledge on the menu were offered by Brgys. Mariana, Pinagkaisahan, San Isidro Galas, Santol, Sacred Heart, all of District 4 and Brgy. 310 of District 3. They all claimed that their respective projects benefited their communities as these proved to be very useful. 	The Team is not questioning the charging of infrastructure projects associated to any pro-poor programs and all those eligible for funding under PDAF but the implementation of the same without fully complying with the requirements of R.A. No. 9184.
Barangay Kristong Hari, District 4	
 Kami po ay pinatawag xxx sa isang salo-alo at doon ipinakilala sa amin ang mga contractor na siyang mangangasiwa at gagawa nang anumang Infrastructura na aming gagawin gamit ang nasabing halaga na ipinagkaloob sa amin. 	Again, the agency head is primarily responsible in ensuring that funds are properly managed and utilized. The preparation of documentary requirements, should not be passed on to anybody as this is the responsibility of the barangay officials.
 At ang napagkasunduan nga po na Barangay Council ng Barangay Kristong Hari ay ipagawa nga ang aming Multi Purpose Hall sa Int. Tomas Morato na sa ngayon ay pinakikinabangan na ng marami, lalong lalo na nang mga 	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
taong taga roon sa lugar.	
 Ang nag-ayos po na lahat ng dokumento na may kinalaman dito ay ang contractor na naitalaga sa amin. 	
 Dahil sa ganito naman po lagi ang nangyayari na kapag galing sa request sa iba't ibang namumuno gaya ni Congressman, Councilor, at sa City Mayor, ang contractor po nila ang siyang nag-aayos ng lahat ng dokumento. Ang ginagawa lang po ng barangay ay siguraduhin na ang pagkakagawa ng proyekto ay naaayon sa aming kagustuhan. 	
 Sinabi po sa amin ng contractor na ayos na kaya inumpisahan na nila ang project kaya naman sa aming kabutihan, lingid po sa aming kaalaman na marami pa lang kaukulang papeles na dapat nilang gawin ayon sa inyo. Mula po ng matanggap naming ang inyong liham ginawa po naming ang lahat para kausapin ang contractor pero di pa po namin matagpuan hanggang sa ngayon. 	
 Akala po namin nasubmit na po nila ang mga kaukulang dokumento, pagdating po naman sa estimate o halaga ng nasabing project alam naman po naming na nandyan ang Engineering Department na siyang bubusisi at nag- aapruba kung tama ang halaga o hindi dito kaya kami ay kampante na ang lahat ay nagawa sa tama. 	
 Nakalulungkot man pong sabihin pero eto po ang katotohanan na kapag ang project na ibinababa galing sa kinauukulan, ang contractor po nila ang lahat ng bahala sa dokumento. 	
Barangay Sikatuna Village, District 4	
• The P2.0 Million Pesos financial assistance xxx was deposited to our account in Land Bank at Quezon City Hall. Per Verbal agreement with the Councilor, it was to be used for the renovation/improvement of the Barangay Hall.	
• Since our immediate need at that time was the renovation of the Barangay Hall as it was already deteriorating, we proceed with the project. We submitted documents and requirement for the project to the different agencies concerned and we received no opposition or objection to it.	
Barangay Don Manuel, District 4	
• The said PDAF was a project to us by the good sponsor xxx and was awarded to M.S. Garrido Construction and Developers. They were the two (2) parties involved and were responsible in all the paper works submitted to your office.	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
Barangay Sto. Nino, District 4	
 We have submitted documents to the City Accounting's Office for disbursement in which I am not sure if the documents were destroyed when the Accounting office caught fire. Rest assured that I am very much willing to cooperate 	
with your good office to present necessary documents, just give me ample time to also locate the contractor.	
Non-posting in the PhilGEPS	
Barangay Lourdes, District 1	
• When the City Accountant issued the Accountant's Advice and approved the payment, we were made to understand that the transaction was above board and all requirements for the procurement of the said motorcycle were already complied with.	All procurement requirements, irrespective of the mode adopted are required to be posted in the PhilGEPS. Posting in conspicuous places is just one of the posting requirements. The barangay officials should then be guided by the provisions of RA 9184 in its procurement activities.
• The Barangay Office doesn't have internet connection nor a website where we could post the procurement of the motorcycle. The procurement involved a small value and had passed through the City Accountant.	
• Similar comment on lack of internet were submitted by the following barangays:	
 Nayong Kanluran Ramon Magsaysay Salvacion Siena Sto. Cristo Sto. Domingo Talayan West Triangle St. Peter St. Peter Vullage Veterans Village Paltok Balingasa Alicia Bungad 	
Barangay Paang Bundok, District 1	
 Said transaction was not posted at PHILGEPS as our BAC Committee opted to use Alternative Method of Procurement Reform Act. 	
Barangay Sangandaan, District 2	
• The Barangay Sangandaan was not informed nor was advised that the purchased of the above mentioned items should be posted in PhilGEPS.	
 Similar comment on lack of information on the need to post in PhilGEPS was forwarded by Brgys Botocan, Pinagkaisahan, Sacred Heart and Sikatuna Village. 	
Brgy. Bayanihan, District 3	
 We have abided in the normal procedure of procurement of Toyota Avanza vehicle since this is a donation. 	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder	
Barangay ESCOPA II, District 3		
• We have exerted effort to make the bidding of I.T. Equipment transparent. We have posted the Invitation to Bid in three conspicuous places within our barangay		
Barangay Mariana, District 4		
• The lapses in procurement procedures were inadvertent and all our action was done in good faith.		
Barangay Mangga, District 3		
• The IRR of R.A. No. 9184 took effect only last 2011 while the subject matter of your evaluation was funded and implemented last 2009.	The IRR-A of R.A. No. 9184 took effect in 2003.	
Barangay Marilag, District 3		
• Strict adherence to PhilGEPS requirement is effective only in June 2012. The above transactions are not yet covered and probably other equally stringent measures were applied for these transactions.		
• Similar comment was submitted by Brgy. Masagana.		
Mode of procurement for motorcycle		
Response provided by Barangay Alicia, Distrit 1		
 The motorcycle was procured from Philippine Beijing Motors Corporation, an exclusive dealer. The contract amount of the motorcycle is P18,000. Under the IRR of R.A. No. 9184, public bidding is not required if the procurement involves small value procurement, it is less than (P50,000). The contract price for the motorcycle is fair and reasonable and it was beneficial for the barangay, for where can we find a dealer that the supplies a motorcycle at a price less than P18,000. The following barangays offered the same or similar justification: 	The requirement under IRR-A of RA 9184 is posting in the PhilGEPs irrespective of the mode of procurement. Considering that these procurements were not posted accordingly, it cannot be considered compliant with the requirements of the law. In addition, as discussed in the report, procurements thru exclusive distributor are subject to certain requirements which were not present in the case of procurement of motorcycle. It cannot also be claimed that the price is fair and reasonable considering that the procurement requirement was not published and posted as required by law.	
 Ramon Magsaysay Sto. Cristo Sto. Domingo St. Peter West Triangle Paltok Paltok Balingasa Veterans Village Lourdes Sta. Teresita Nayong Kanluran Salvacion <li< td=""><td></td></li<>		
Barangay Sta. Cruz, District 1		
R.A. No. 9184 does not limit the posting of all invitations to bid with the PHILGEPS Website. The said law also	Under R.A. No. 9184, the invitation to bid shall be posted in the PhilGEPS, published in newspaper of general nationwide	

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
allows alternative modes of posting. Among the alternative modes allowed by said law are publication with the newspapers of general circulation and posting in the procuring entity's premises.	circulation for those within the threshold and posted in conspicuous places. All these three should be complied with at the same time. It is wrong to assume that one is an alternative of the other.
 Under R.A. No. 9184, direct contracting (exclusive distributorship) may be resorted only if the goods being procured are sold by an exclusive dealer or manufacturer, which does not have sub-dealer, selling at lower prices and for which no suitable substitute can be obtained at more advantageous terms to the government. 	As discussed above, even under procurement through alternative mode, the invitation to bid is still mandated to be posted in the PhilGEPS.
• Although the motorcycle is of a generic kind as there are number of dealers and sub dealers of motorcycle in the market however, the amount, as specified in the SARO issued by the DBM, makes the motorcycle to be procured as one of a kind.	
 A survey from the market conducted by our barangay treasurer prior to the procurement reveals that the price of an ordinary brand new motorcycle ranges from P25,000 to P60,000. Assuming for the sake of argument that there exists in the market a motorcycle with the same specifications, there is no guarantee that it can be obtained at a lesser price. 	The requirement of the law is posting in the PhilGEPS and not market survey. The existence of the item in the market is also not a pre-requirement before posting in the PhilGEPS. As the procurement was not properly posted, there is no assurance that there are no other dealer offering lower prices.
 The barangay resorted to direct contracting (exclusive distributor) as there is no dealer of motorcycle available in the market that offers a motorcycle in the amount of PhP 18,000 other than that offered by Philippine Beijing Motor Corporation as evidenced by Certificate of Exclusive Dealership attached hereto. 	
Barangay Bayanihan, District 3	
• The purchase of the said vehicle was done by the Office of the Congressman. When we received the check in the amount of Six Hundred Sixty Six Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Six Pesos & 67/100 (Pe666,666.67), this amount was already intended for Toyota Pasig Branch.	As discussed earlier, the head of agency, in this case, the barangay chairman, is primarily responsible for ensuring that al funds are effectively and efficiently managed, and utilized ir accordance with existing rules and regulations. This being the case, the barangay officials should not merely approve checks
Barangay ESCOPA IV, District 3	without ensuring complete compliance with relevant rules and regulations.
 We all know, the Toyota Pasig was one who coordinated to the City Accounting and submitted all the necessary documents as a primary dealer of the Toyota Avanza. One of their agent called to assist us in processing all the documents. We follow all the instructions from the City Accounting department and submitted all the necessary documents in purchasing the Toyota Avanza 1.3 JMT. 	
Barangay Marilag, District 3	
• The purchase of Toyota Avanza in Toyota Pasig last July 5, 2010 was initiated by the donor.	
Response provided by Barangays of Taguig City	1

Management's Comments	Team's Rejoinder
• The Barangay acquired the firebuster vehicle, motorcycle with sidecar and house numbering plate as part of its priority development programs for the poor. Specially, the firebuster vehicle is primarily used to help extinguish fire to obviate damage to property and injury to persons. It also serves as a water tank to deliver clean/potable water to marginalized/poor areas who cannot afford to pay for water installation. The fire buster vehicle is also used to water the crops of underprivileged residents who are into agriculture.	As discussed in the report, these procurements were not included in the menu of programs enumerated in the GAA, not based on any approved pro-poor program of the government and not compliant with the requirements of R.A. No. 9184. These are also considered regular functions of the barangay.
• The motorcycle with sidecar is being used by the Barangay Security Force for roving especially at night to maintain peace and order in the Barangay. In the absence of the barangay ambulance, it also transports poor residents who need medical attention to nearby hospitals.	
 The house numbering plate was produced so that all houses in the Barangay be properly numbered for enhancing peace and order as the houses could now be properly and easily identified. 	
Barangay Maharlika	
• The Barangay acquired the ambulance to respond to medical emergencies and, in non-emergency situations, to be capable of transporting patients, especially the poor. On the other hand, the motorcycles were acquired to enhance the safety and security in the Barangay. The tricycle was also used in responding to victims of crimes, attending conferences and meetings.	The eligibility of the ambulance is not even included in the menu of projects eligible for funding under PDAF.
Barangay Ligid-Tipas	
• The mini-ambulance is being utilized for transportation of sick or injured people, especially the poor, to and from places of treatment for such illness or injury. The ten handheld radios enhance security, increase efficiency in communication and help reduce crime rates. The uniforms clearly distinguish our barangay officials, security force, staff and volunteers in times of need and are effective in improving the peace and order situation in the Barangay.	
 Incidentally, at the time of inspection by your good office of the handheld radios, two (2) of the ten (10) handheld radios were then being used outside. 	

Submitted in compliance with COA Office Order Nos. 2010-309, 2010-327, 2011-039 and 2011-428 dated May 13, 2010, May 18, 2010, January 19, 2011 and June 17, 2011, respectively.

CATHERINE B. PETRI Team Member

JENNIFER A. SANORJO Team Member

MA. CRISTINA IRENE P. FRANCO Team Member

GEORGE S. TA Team Member

JOSELJ SUCION **ON** Member ear

R. MORES ONOF Team Member

Team Member

KRIS **ORNIA**

Team Member

CRISTINA P. MERCADO Team Member

JOSE/LIT EZA Team

DON ON P. MARCOS Team Member

ROSEMARIE R. MAGTAAN Team Member

MANYS- MUZON LYDIAR. DUZON Team Member

Grace T. de Cartro

GRACE T. DE CASTRO Team Member

JOAN AGNES N.JALFAFARAS Co- Team Leader

A. AQUINO ANGELITA Co- Team Leader

CHERYL PALISOC Co-Team Leader

LEONA A **ANDRIANO** Co-Team Leader

LORIA D. SILVERIO Overall-Team Leader

Reviewed by:

EL ELSIELIN C. MASANGCAY7 Director III

Approved by:

SUSAN P. GARCIA Director IV