Part I

Executive Summary

INTRODUCTION

The fundings for the priority development programs and projects identified by the legislators are included in the General Appropriations Act (GAA) as a lump sum appropriation under the Priority Development Assistance Fund (PDAF) for soft projects and under Locally-Funded Projects - Various Infrastructure including Local Projects (VILP) of the Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH) for hard projects.

The practice of providing certain amount for the projects of the legislators started in 1989, upon the creation of the Mindanao Development Fund and Visayas Development Fund, with lump sum appropriations of **P480.0 Million** and **P240.0 Million**, respectively. These funds were intended to support development projects identified by Representatives from the Visayas and Mindanao areas. Subsequently, in 1990, the Countrywide Development Fund (CDF) was created with an initial funding of **P2.3 Billion** to cover the implementation of projects identified by the Senators and Representatives including those from the Luzon area. In 2000, the CDF was renamed as PDAF.

For CYs 2007 to 2009, the projects eligible for funding under PDAF are described as soft projects under the category of education, health, livelihood, comprehensive integrated delivery of social services, financial assistance to address specific propoor programs of the government, historical, arts and culture, peace and order; and small infrastructure projects such as irrigation, rural electrification, water supply, housing and forest management. Those under VILP are described as hard projects under the category of public works. The implementing agencies (IAs) for each category of project are also defined in the GAA for those years.

	Table 1. PDAF and VILP Appropriations for CYs 2007 to 2009										
In Billion											
Year	PDAF (Soft)	VILP (Hard)	Total								
2007	₽ 11.446	₽ 12.040	₽ 23.486								
2008	7.893	15.630	23.523								
2009	9.665	23.204	32.869								
Total	P 29.004	P 50.874	P 79.878								

The total appropriations included in CYs 2007 to 2009 GAAs for PDAF and VILP amounted to **₽79.878 Billion**, as tabulated below:

These funds were allocated for the priority programs and projects of the legislators in the amount of **P70.0 Million** for each congressional district and partylist

Representative, and **P200.0 Million** for each Senator. These allocations are broken down into soft and hard projects in the following amounts:

Table 2. Allocation for Soft and Hard Projects								
Legislator		Amount (in Mil	lion)					
	Soft	Hard	Total					
Congressional District and Partylist Representative	₽ 30.0	₽ 40.0	₽ 70.0					
Senator	100.0	100.0	200.0					

AUDIT OBJECTIVES

The Audit was conducted to determine:

- 1. the propriety of releases of PDAF and VILP by the Department of Budget and Management (DBM), and
- 2. the efficient utilization of funds and effective implementation of projects by the IAs,

taking into consideration the menu of programs defined in the GAA and pertinent laws, rules and regulations.

AUDIT SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

1. Scope

The Audit covered releases by the DBM Central Office (CO) and Regional Offices (ROs) Nos. III, V, XI and National Capital Region (NCR); and utilization of funds and implementation of projects during CYs 2007 to 2009 by the following IAs:

Table 3. I	Table 3. Implementing Agencies Covered in the Audit						
National Government Agencies (NGAs)							
Department of Agriculture (DA)	CO; Regional Field Units (RFUs) Nos. III, V and XI						
	CO; NCR; ROs Nos. V and XI						
Department of Public Works and Highways (DPWH)	District Engineering Offices (DEOs) – First Metro Manila DEO (FMMDEO), Second Metro Manila DEO (SMMDEO), Third Metro Manila DEO (TMMDEO); Tarlac 1 st ; Nueva Ecija 2 nd ; Albay 1 st ; Camarines Sur 1 st ; Davao City; and Davao del Norte						

Table 3. I	Table 3. Implementing Agencies Covered in the Audit							
Department of Social Welfare and Development (DSWD) CO, NCR, Regional Field Offices (RFOs) Nos. III, V, XI								
Government-Owned and Control	olled Corporations (GOCCs)							
Technology and Livelihood Res	ource Center (TLRC) / Technology Resource Center (TRC)							
National Livelihood Developmen	nt Corporation (NLDC)							
National Agribusiness Corporat	ion (NABCOR)							
Zamboanga del Norte Agricultu	ral College (ZNAC) Rubber Estate Corporation [ZREC]							
Local Government Units (LGUs	s)							
City Governments of Mandaluyong; Manila including 12 barangays; Quezon including 94 barangays; Taguig including 3 barangays; Las Piñas; Tabaco; Iriga; Naga; and Panabo								
Provincial Governments of Tarla	ac, Bataan, Nueva Ecija, Compostela Valley, and Davao Oriental							

The foregoing IAs were selected for the Audit based on materiality of amounts released to them using the National Expenditures Program (NEP) as the basis. The DA, DPWH and DSWD are the top three NGAs as to amounts released to them by the DBM. TLRC and NLDC are the top two GOCCs while NABCOR and ZREC are both wholly owned subsidiaries of DA. As for the LGUs, those covered in audit are the top five cities within Metro Manila and three LGUs located within the top three ROs of the NGA-IAs.

The Audit focused on the following audit areas:

- Allocation and Transfer of Funds and Monitoring of Releases
- Implementation of Livelihood and Other Projects
- Implementation of Infrastructure Projects
- Financial Assistance and Other Charges by the LGUs

2. Methodology

To achieve the Audit Objectives, the Team performed the following audit procedures, among others:

- Obtained and studied relevant laws, rules, regulations, policies and procedures pertinent to the allocation, releases, and utilization of funds and implementation of identified priority development programs and projects, including the roles and responsibilities of the DBM, and the IAs;
- Gathered from the DBM-CO and selected ROs, and DPWH-CO and ROs, schedule of releases to selected IAs and copy of Special Allotment Release Order (SAROs) issued for soft and hard projects and summarized releases by IAs;

- Obtained and reviewed selected transactions charged against PDAF, VILP and other sources, and assessed whether the funds were used for the purpose/s intended and disbursed in accordance with existing laws, rules and regulations;
- Interviewed concerned officials and staff of the auditees, administered questionnaires to selected beneficiaries, and confirmed authenticity of documents from concerned parties such as beneficiaries, suppliers, legislators and Regulatory Offices to determine the validity of reported transactions; and
- Inspected selected infrastructure projects and equipment, and conducted ocular inspection of selected Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) and suppliers to determine their existence, condition and status.

3. The Audit Team

The Audit was conducted by a Team composed of 18 members from the Special Audits Office, and one (1) Technical Audit Specialist from the Technical Services Office, both under the Special Services Sector, Commission on Audit (COA). The Audit was conducted pursuant to COA Office Order No. 2010-309 dated May 13, 2010 and subsequent issuances.

AUDIT FINDINGS

The Audit disclosed that PDAF and VILP were not properly released by the DBM and not appropriately, efficiently and effectively utilized by the IAs.

1. DBM

- a. The DBM could not provide the Team, despite repeated requests, with complete schedule of releases per legislator from PDAF for soft projects and VILP for hard projects. Only the schedule of releases from VILP for hard projects identified by the legislators named therein was provided, covering releases of **#32.347 Billion** (See Table 9) during CYs 2007 to 2009 which was found deficient.
- b. From data gathered by the Team from the different Offices of the DPWH, such schedule excluded around **P69.261 Billion** (See Table 9), released to different DPWH ROs and DEOs. The concerned legislators of such releases cannot, however, be identified. This manifests that total releases from VILP

for hard projects, amounted to at least **P101.608** Billion (See Table 9), thus, exceeding the DBM report by **P69.261** Billion and exceeded combined appropriation for the same period of **P50.874** Billion (See Table 1) by **P50.734** Billion.

- c. As gathered by the Team from the records of the audited agencies and the DBM-CO, ROs Nos. III, V, XI and NCR, total releases from PDAF to LGUs within the ROs covered in the audit, and to DA, DSWD, NLDC and TRC amounted to **P12.018 Billion** (See Table 4) while that of the VILP amounted to **P101.608 Billion**. In addition to releases out of PDAF and VILP, there were also releases of around **P2.362 Billion** (See Table 4) from "Other Sources" such as Financal Assistance (FAs) to LGUs and Budgetary Support to GOCCs, also for the implementation of projects identified by the legislators. These brought the total releases for the legislators' programs and projects to **P115.988 Billion** (See Table 4).
- d. As reflected in the summary of the releases from VILP provided to the team by the DBM, the **#32.347 Billion** were released out of the allocation of 356 legislators **and of a certain Luis Abalos who is not, however, a member of the 13th and 14th Congress**. On the other hand, releases from PDAF gathered by the Team were released out of the allocation from the same legislators and from 15 other legislators, tabulated below:

	Releases from PDA r Legislator as Prov						
			Hard VILP (in M P)		Total Hard		
Legislator	Legislative District	Region		PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
REPRESENTATIVES							
Abad, Henedina R.	Batanes - Lone	Ш		-	2.000	2.000	2.000
Abalos, Benjamin, Jr. C.	Mandaluyong - Lone	NCR	2.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	27.000
Abalos, Luis	Not included in the List of 13th & 14th Congress	NCR	20.000		•	•	20.000
Abante, Bienvenido, Jr. M.	Manila - 6 th	NCR	110.000	75.580	4.000	79.580	189.580
Abaya, Joseph Emilio A.	Cavite - 1st	IV-A	100.000	-	-		100.000
Abayon, Daryl Grace J.	Aangat Tayo Partylist				20.000	20.000	20.000
Abayon, Harlin C.	Northern Samar - 1st	VIII	20.000	-		•	20.000
Ablan, Roque, Jr., R.	Ilocos Norte - 1st	1	120.000	10.000		10.000	130.000
Agarao, Benjamin, Jr. C.	Laguna - 4 th	IV-A	20.000	-			20.000
Agbayani, Rodolfo Q.	N. Vizcaya - Lone	II	30.000	0.500	1.300	1.800	31.800
Agbayani, Victor Aguedo E.	Pangasinan - 2nd	1	90.000				90.000
Aggabao, Giorgidi B.	Isabela - 4th	II	100.000	-	6.000	6.000	106.000
Agyao, Manuel S.	Kalinga - Lone	CAR	100.000	20.500		20.500	120.500
Akbar, Wahab M.	Basilan- Lone	ARMM	81.000				81.000
Albano, Rodolfo III T.	Isabela - 1st	Ш	40.000	-	-	-	40.000
Alcala, Proceso J.	Quezon - 2nd	IV-A	110.000	16.334	6.500	22.834	132.834
Alfelor, Felix Jr. R.	Camarines Sur - 4th	V	120.000	100.300	-	100.300	220.300
Almario, Joel Mayo Z.	Davao Oriental - 2nd	XI	20.000	22.900		22.900	42.900
Almario, Thelma Z.]		90.100	65.500	11.000	76.500	166.600

			Hard		Soft (in M P)			
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M P)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)	
Alvarado, Wilhelmino Sy	Bulacan - 1st		20.000	10.000	-	10.000	30.000	
Alvarez, Antonio C.	Palawan - 1st	IV-B	119.250	12.000	5.000	17.000	136.250	
Alvarez, Genaro Jr. M.	Negros Occ 6th	VI	90.000	0.250	-	0.250	90.250	
Alvarez, Genaro Rafael III K.		VI	30.000				30.000	
Amante, Edelmiro A.	Agusan del N 2 nd	CARAGA	100.000	0.200	-	0.200	100.200	
Amante, Ma. Angelica Rosedell			15.000	-	•	•	15.000	
Amatong, Prospero S.	Compo. Valley - 2 nd	XI	20.000	20.600	10.000	30.600	50.600	
Amatong, Rommel C.	1		95.000	61.300	6.000	67.300	162.300	
Amin, Hussin U.	Sulu - 1 st	ARMM	15.000	5.000	-	5.000	20.000	
Andaya, Rolando Jr. G.	Camarines Sur - 1st	V	20.000	-	-	-	20.000	
Angara, Juan Edgardo M.	Aurora - Lone		110.000	31.250		31.250	141.250	
Angping, Maria Zenaida B.	Manila - 3rd	NCR	80.000	56.040	4.400	60.440	140.440	
Antonino, Rodolfo W.	Nueva Ecija - 4th		120.000	90.000	10.000	100.000	220.000	
Antonino-Custodio, Darlene R.	So. Cotabato - 1st	XII	105.000	2.000	-	2.000	107.000	
Apostol, Trinidad G.	Leyte - 2 nd	VIII	120.000				120.000	
Aquino, Jesus Reynaldo B.	Pampanga - 3rd		20.000	23.200		23.200	43.200	
Aquino, Jose II S.	Agusan del N 1st	CARAGA	95.000	1.400	2.000	3.400	98.400	
Arago, Ma. Evita R.	Laguna - 3rd	IV-A	66.100	1.120	-	1.120	67.220	
Arbison, Munir M.	Sulu - 2 nd	ARMM	45.000	1.120	-	1.120	45.000	
	Pangasinan - 3rd		80.000	28.550		28.550	108.550	
Arenas, Ma. Rachel J. Arnaiz, George P.	Negros Oriental - 2 nd	VII	100.000	3.200	1.200	4.400	108.550	
	Camarines Sur - 1st	V		11.200	1.200	11.200		
Arroyo, Diosdado M.		VI	80.000		- 10.000		91.200	
Arroyo, Ignacio Jr. T.	Negros Occ 5th		119.700	49.620	10.000	59.620	179.320	
Arroyo, Juan Miguel M.	Pampanga - 2 nd		115.000	105.500	-	105.500	220.500	
Asilo, Benjamin D.	Manila - 1st	NCR	84.000	44.500	21.421	65.921	149.921	
Assistio, Luis A.	Caloocan – 2 nd	NCR	20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.000	
Bacani, Rodolfo C.	Manila - 4th	NCR	-	15.000	•	15.000	15.000	
Baculio, Augusto H.	Misamis Or 2 nd	X	15.000	0.100	-	0.100	15.100	
Badelles, Alipio Cirilo V.	Lanao del Norte - 1st	X	15.000	11.300	0.450	11.750	26.750	
Bagatsing, Amado S.	Manila - 5th	NCR	100.000	31.800	25.000	56.800	156.800	
Balindong, Pangalian M.	Lanao del Sur - 2nd	ARMM	60.000	-	-	-	60.000	
Banaag, Leovigildo B.	Agusan del N 1st	CARAGA	20.000	19.000	•	19.000	39.000	
Barbers, Robert Ace S.	Sur. del Norte - 2nd	CARAGA	20.000	25.000	•	25.000	45.000	
Barinaga, Roseller L.	Zambo. del Norte -2nd	IX	20.000	1.000	-	1.000	21.000	
Barzaga, Elpidio Jr., F.	Cavite - 2 nd	IV-A	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.000	
Baterina, Salacnib F.	Ilocos Sur - 1st	1	20.000	25.000	10.000	35.000	55.000	
Bautista, Claude P.	Davao del Sur - 2nd	XI	25.000	0.550	-	0.550	25.550	
Bautista, Franklin P.		XI	90.000	9.850	-	9.850	99.850	
Belmonte, Vicente Jr. F.	Lanao del Norte - 1st	Х	99.620	15.000	3.500	18.500	118.120	
Biazon, Rozzano Rufino B.	Muntinlupa City-Lone	NCR	115.000	60.150	27.000	87.150	202.150	
Bichara, Al Francis C.	Albay - 2 nd	V	85.000	32.806	-	32.806	117.806	
Binay, Mar-Len Abigail S.	Makati City - 2nd	NCR	115.000	42.000	-	42.000	157.000	
Biron, Ferjenel G.	Iloilo - 4 th	VI	120.000	1.950	-	1.950	121.950	
Bondoc, Anna York P.	Pampanga - 4th	III	106.600	28.800	-	28.800	135.400	
Bonoan-David, Ma. Theresa B.	Manila - 4th	NCR	90.000	52.940	-	52.940	142.940	
Bravo, Narciso Jr. R.	Masbate - 1st	V	120.000	99.100	11.500	110.600	230.600	
Briones, Nicanor M.	AGAP Partylist		71.000	-	-		71.000	
Bueser, Danton Q.	Laguna - 3rd	IV-A	20.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.000	

	Legislative District		Hard		Total Hard		
Legislator		Region		PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Bulut, Elias Jr. C.	Apayao - Lone	CAR	100.000	20.000	8.000	28.000	128.00
Cabilao, Belma A.	Zambo. Sibugay – Lone 13 th Congress, 1 st - 14 th Congress	IX	115.000	18.850	6.000	24.850	139.85
Cagas, Douglas R.A.	Davao del Sur - 1st	XI	30.000	16.000		16.00	46.00
Cagas, Marc Douglas IV C.			85.000	53.700	26.500	80.200	165.20
Cajayon, Mary Mitzi L.	Caloocan - 2 nd	NCR	100.000	53.820	3.500	57.320	157.32
Cajes, Roberto C.	Bohol - 2nd	VII	100.000	46.815	21.300	68.115	168.11
Cari, Carmen L.	Leyte - 5th	VIII	120.000	-	-	-	120.00
Carlos, Jose Emmanuel Bobbit	Valenzuela City - 1st	NCR	20.000	25.000	•	25.000	45.00
Carmona, Tranquilino B.	Negros Occ 1st	VI	20.000			0.000	20.00
Castelo-Daza, Nanette C.	Quezon City - 4th	NCR	97.400	34.950	23.100	58.050	155.45
Castro , Fredenil H.	Capiz - 2 nd	VI	120.000	-	· ·	-	120.00
Cayetano, Ma. Laarni L.	Taguig City - Lone	NCR	88.500	30.500	5.500	36.000	124.50
Celeste, Arthur F.	Pangasinan - 1st		120.000	3.000	-	3.000	123.00
Cerilles, Antonio H.	Zambo. del Sur - 2nd	IX	115.000	-	-	-	115.00
Chatto, Edgardo M.	Bohol - 1 st	VII	119.884	45.300	9.000	54.300	174.18
Chavez, Leonila V.	BUTIL Partylist		103.010	59.500	8.000	67.500	170.51
Chiongbian, Erwin L.	Sarangani - Lone	XII	115.000	77.050	30.950	108.000	223.00
Chipeco, Justin Marc S.	Laguna - 2 nd	IV-A	100.000	6.000	5.000	11.000	111.00
Chong, Glenn A.	Biliran – Lone	VIII	80.000	6.400	0.420	6.820	86.82
Chungalao, Solomon R.	Ifugao – Lone	CAR	130.000				130.00
Clarete, Ernie Dulalas	Misamis Occ 1st	X	20.000	13.000	2.100	15.100	35.10
Clarete, Marina P.	-	X	95.000	47.200	18.000	65.200	160.20
Climaco, Maria Isabele G.	Zambo. City - 1st	IX	89.000	17.350	11.100	28.450	117.45
Codilla, Eufrocino Sr. M	Leyte - 4 th	VIII	120.000	35.000	14.500	49.500	169.50
Cojuangco, Carlos O.	Negros Occ 4th	VI	20.000				20.00
Cojuangco, Mark O.	Pangasinan - 5th		101.273	6.000	-	6.000	107.27
Coquilla, Teodolo M.	E. Samar - Lone	VIII	100.000	5.400		5.400	105.40
Coscolluela, Ma. Carissa O.	BUHAY Partylist		78.690	41.450		41.450	120.14
Crisologo, Vincent P.	Quezon City - 1st	NCR	120.000	49.234	9.016	58.250	178.25
Cruz-Gonzales, Cinchona C.	CIBAC Partylist		47.500	12.550	1.850	14.400	61.90
Cua, Guillermo P.	Coop-NATCCO		65.650	42.325	9.000	51.325	116.97
Cua, Junie E.	Quirino – Lone		88.500	131.810	28.600	160.410	248.91
Cuenco, Antonio V.	Cebu City - 2 nd	VII	120.000	58.000	11.000	69.000	189.00
Dadivas, Rodriguez D.	Capiz - 1st	VI	20.000	50.000	11.000	07.000	20.00
ů.	Benguet – Lone	CAR	119.750	47.000	·	47.000	166.75
Dangwa, Samuel M. Datumanong, Simeon A.	Maguindanao - 2 nd	ARMM	115.000	47.000	· ·	47.000	115.00
Davanghirang, Nelson L.	Davao Oriental - 1st	XI	140.000	48.640	6.000	54.640	194.64
Daza, Paul R.	Northern Samar - 1st	VIII	100.000	11.650	0.000	11.650	111.65
De Guzman, Del R.	Marikina City - 2 nd		100.000	48.900	12 200		162.20
De Venecia, Jose Jr. C.	Pangasinan - 4th	NCR	98.000	25.000	13.300	62.200	
		VI			7.000		130.00
Defensor, Arthur Sr. D.	Iloilo - 3rd		110.000	-	1.300	1.300	111.30
Defensor, Matias Jr. V.	Quezon City - 3rd	NCR	110.000	77.000	38.000	115.000	225.00
Del Mar, Raul V.	Cebu City - 1st	VII	120.000	72.500	10.000	82.500	202.50
Del Rosario, Antonio A.	Capiz - 1st	VI	100.000	-	•	-	100.00
Diasnes, Carlo Oliver D.	Batanes – Lone		120.000	28.600	•	28.600	148.60
Diaz, Antonio M. Dilangalen, Baisendig G.	Zambales - 2 nd Maguindanao - 1 st	ARMM	- 15.000	32.350	-	32.350	32.35

			Hard		Total Hard		
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Dilangalen, Didagen P.	1	l l l	80.000	42.500	· .	42.500	122.50
Dimaporo, Abdullah D.	Lanao del Norte - 2nd	Х	95.000	-	-		95.00
Dominguez, Victor S.	Mt. Province - Lone	CAR	120.000	-	-	-	120.00
Domogan, Mauricio G.	Mt. Province - Lone	CAR	117.000	5.000	-	5.000	122.00
Duavit, Michael John R.	Rizal - 1 st	IV-A	120.000		5.000	5.000	125.00
Dueñas, Henry Jr. M.	Taguig City - Lone	NCR	90.000	54.800	11.500	66.300	156.30
Dumarpa, Faysah M.	Lanao del Sur - 1st	ARMM	70.000		6.000	6.000	76.00
Dumpit, Thomas Jr. L.	La Union - 2nd	1	120.000	45.500	21.000	66.500	186.50
Durano, Ramon VI H.	Cebu - 5 th	VII	120.000	8.000	-	8.000	128.00
Dy, Consuelo A.	Pasay City – Lone	NCR	20.000	15.000	9.800	24.800	44.80
Dy, Faustino III G.	Isabela – 3rd		120.000		-		120.00
Ecleo, Glenda B.	Surigao del N 1st	CARAGA	115.000	0.200		0.200	115.20
Emano, Yevgeny Vicente B.	Misamis Or 2 nd	X	85.485	17.195	6.000	23.195	108.68
Enrile, Juan Ponce Jr.	Cagayan - 1st		20.000	-			20.00
Enrile, Salvacion S.]		100.000	0.100		0.100	100.10
Enverga, Wilfrido Mark C.	Quezon - 1st	IV-A	99.450	11.815	6.000	17.815	117.26
Ermita-Buhain, Eileen	Batangas - 1st	IV-A	120.000	6.400	1.000	7.400	127.40
Escudero, Salvador III H.	Sorsogon - 1st	V	70.000	12.707	1.000	12.707	82.70
Espina, Gerardo Jr. S.	Biliran - Lone	VIII	20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.00
	Pangasinan - 2 nd		20.000	- 15.000	10.000	23.000	20.00
Espino, Amado Jr. T.	Guimaras - Lone	VI			15 000		
Espinosa, Edgar T.			20.000	15.000	15.000	30.000	50.00
Espinosa, Emilio Jr. R.	Masbate - 2 nd	V	20.000	10.000	-	10.000	30.00
Estrella, Conrado III M.	Pangasinan - 6th	<u> </u>	120.000	62.000	20.000	82.000	202.00
Estrella, Robert Raymund M. Fabian, Erico Basilio A.	ABONO Partylist Zambo. City –Lone 13 th Congress, 2 nd 14 th Congress	IX	92.000	58.500 17.150	- 15.000	<u>58.500</u> 32.150	150.50
Falcon, Peter Paul Jed	Sur.del Sur-2nd	CARAGA	20.000				20.00
Fernandez, Danilo Ramon S.	Laguna-1st	IV-A	100.000	3.000		3.000	103.00
Ferrer, Jeffrey P.	Negros Occ4th	VI	100.000	3.000			100.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Western Samar-2 nd	VIII	20.000			•	20.00
Figueroa, Catalino V.							
Firmalo, Eduardo C.	Romblon-Lone	IV-B	20.000	0.300		0.300	20.30
Floirendo, Antonio Jr. B.	Davao del Norte-2nd	XI	20.000	9.500	-	9.500	29.50
Fua, Orlando Jr. A.	Siquijor - Lone	VII	120.000	7.500	6.000	13.500	133.50
Fuentebella, Arnulfo P.	Camarines Sur - 3rd	V	120.000	76.800	1.000	77.800	197.80
Garay, Florencio C.	Sur.del Sur - 2 nd	CARAGA	95.000	0.950	-	0.950	95.95
Garcia, Albert Raymond S.	Bataan - 2nd		113.000	55.650	-	55.650	168.65
Garcia, Pablo John F.	Cebu - 3rd	VII	82.500	-	-	•	82.50
Garcia, Pablo P.	Cebu - 2 nd	VII	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.00
Garcia, Vincent J.	Davao City - 2nd	XI	115.000	13.449	0.219	13.668	128.66
Garin, Janette L.	Iloilo - 1 st	VI	129.000		-	-	129.00
Gatchalian, Rex T.	Valenzuela City - 1st	NCR	100.000	26.059	10.950	37.009	137.00
Gatlabayan, Angelito C. Go, Arnulfo F.	Antipolo City - 2 nd Sultan Kudarat - 2 nd 14 th Congress	IV-A XII	100.000	- 5.000	- 6.000	- 11.000	100.00
Coloz Poilo S			100.000	11 000	2 700	10 700	113.70
Golez, Roilo S.	Paranaque City - 2 nd	NCR	100.000	11.000	2.700	13.700	
Gonzales, Aurelio Jr. D.	Pampanga - 3rd		100.000	21.700	-	21.700	121.70
Gonzales, Neptali II M.	Mandaluyong - Lone	NCR	80.000	130.000	185.000	315.000	395.00
Gonzales, Raul Jr. T.	Iloilo City - Lone	VI	100.000	-	-	-	100.00

			Hard			Total Hard	
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Gozos, Oscar L.	Batangas - 4th	IV-A	20.000	-	-	-	. 20.000
Guingona, Teofisto III L.	Bukidnon - 2nd	Х	55.000	11.633		11.633	66.633
Gunigundo, Magtanggol I T.	Valenzuela City - 2nd	NCR	100.000	46.780	-	46.780	146.780
Gullas, Eduardo R.	Cebu - 1st	VII	120.000	20.000		20.000	140.00
Hataman, Mujiv S.	AMIN Partylist		104.000	10.000		10.000	114.00
Hizon, Joey D.	Manila - 5th	NCR	20.000	18.500	10.000	28.500	48.50
Hofer, Dulce Anne K.	Zambo. Sibugay - 2nd	IX	100.000	0.150	6.000	6.150	106.15
Imperial, Carlos R.	Albay - 2nd	V	20.000	23.000	-	23.000	43.00
Ipong, Gregorio T.	N. Cotabato - 2nd	XII	15.000	15.000	9.400	24.400	39.40
Jaafar, Nur G.	Tawi-tawi - Lone	ARMM	105.000	10.000	25.000	35.000	140.00
Jala, Adam Relson L.	Bohol - 3rd	VII	100.000	28.200	6.000	34.200	134.20
Jala, Eladio M.	1		20.000	23.000	0.100	23.100	43.10
Jalosjos, Cesar G.	Zambo. del Norte -3rd	IX	114.650	-	-	-	114.65
Jalosjos-Carreon, Cecilia G.	Zambo. del Norte -1st	IX	115.000				115.00
Jaraula, Constantino G.	Cag.deOroCity -Lone 13th Congress	X	20.000	30.000	-	30.000	50.00
Javier, Exequiel B.	Antique - Lone	VI	115.000	0.600	-	0.600	115.60
Jaworski, Robert Vincent Jude B.	Pasig City - Lone	NCR	20.000	20.200	2.700	22.900	42.90
Jikiri, Yusop H.	Sulu - 1 st	ARMM	15.000	4.300	6.000	10.300	25.30
Joaquin, Uliran T.	Laguna - 1st	IV-A	20.000	18.610		18.610	38.61
Joson, Eduardo Nonato N.	Nueva Ecija - 1st		90.000	68.050		68.050	158.05
Joson, Josefina M.]		20.000	25.000		25.000	45.00
Kho, Antonio T.	Masbate - 2 nd	V	100.000	65.000		65.000	165.00
Kintanar, Simeon L.	Cebu - 2 nd	VII	20.000				20.00
Labadlabad, Rosendo S.	Zambo.del Norte -2nd		95.000	3.000		3.000	98.00
Lacson, Jose Carlos V.	Negros Occ 3rd	VI	120.000	32.000	2.000	34.000	154.00
Lagbas, Danilo P.	Misamis Or 1st	X	120.000	37.300	10.000	47.300	167.30
Lagdameo, Antonio Jr. F	Davao del Norte - 2nd Albay - 1st	XI V	95.000	34.500	9.000	43.500	138.50
Lagman, Edcel C.			120.000	136.461	90.500	226.961	346.96
Lapus, Jeci A.	Tarlac - 3rd		90.000	29.688	-	29.688	119.68
Lapus, Jesli A.	Demonstra 1st		10.000	11.100	0.800	11.900	21.90
Lazatin, Carmelo F.	Pampanga - 1 st		91.400	57.400	-	57.400	148.80
Ledesma, Julio IV A.	Negros Occ 1st	VI	70.000	53.000	6.000	59.000	129.00
Libanan, Marcelino C.	E. Samar - Lone	VIII	40.000	14.000	10.000	24.000	64.00
Lim, Reno G.	Albay - 3rd	V	90.000	82.035	-	82.035	172.03
Locsin, Teodoro Jr. L.	Makati City - 1st	NCR	120.000	14.000	5.000	19.000	139.00
Lopez, Carol Jayne B.	YACAP Partylist		70.000	49.000	-	49.000	119.00
Lopez, Jaime C.	Manila - 2 nd	NCR	120.000	39.500	19.500	59.000	179.00
Macarambon, Benasing Jr. O	Lanao del Sur - 2nd	ARMM	-		-	-	0.00
Macias, Emilio II C.	Negros Or 2 nd	VII	20.000	4.100	7.000	11.100	31.10
Madamba, Sunny Rose A.	APEC Partylist		20.000	-	-	-	20.00
Madrona, Eleandro Jesus F.	Romblon - Lone	IV-B	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.00
Magsaysay, Eulogio R.	AVE Partylist		20.000	7.073	0.100	7.173	27.17
Magsaysay, Ma. Milagros H.	Zambales - 1st	III	120.000	147.751	-	147.751	267.75
Malanyaon, Corazon N.	Davao Oriental - 1st	XI	5.000	33.000	-	33.000	38.00
Malapitan, Oscar G.	Caloocan City - 1st	NCR	110.000	59.138	8.500	67.638	177.63
Mamba, Manuel N.	Cagayan - 3rd	II	80.000	-	-		80.00
Mandanas, Hermilando I.	Batangas - 2nd	IV-A	110.000	6.100	1.000	7.100	117.10
Mangudadatu, Datu Pax S.	Sultan Kudarat - 1st	XII	75.000	-	· ·		75.00

			Hard		Total Hard		
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M P)	PDAF	Soft (in M P) Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Mangudadatu, Suharto T.	Sultan Kudarat –Lone 13 th Congress	XII	20.000	-	-	-	20.000
Marañon, Alfredo III D.	Negros Occ 2nd	VI	120.000		-		120.000
Marcoleta, Rodante D.	ALAGAD Partylist		30.000	23.500	3.750	27.250	57.250
Marcos, Ferdinand Jr. R.	Ilocos Norte - 2nd	1	80.000	-	-	-	80.000
Mariano, Rafael V.	Anakpawis Partylist			2.000	-	2.000	2.000
Martinez, Clavel A.	Cebu - 4th	VII	20.000	35.000	-	35.000	55.000
Matugas, Francisco T.	Sur. del Norte - 1st	CARAGA	79.900	8.510	13.500	22.010	101.910
Mendoza, Mark Llandro L.	Batangas - 4th	IV-A	92.000	6.500	1.200	7.700	99.700
Mercado, Roger G.	So. Leyte - Lone	VIII	120.000	1.100	-	1.100	121.100
Miraflores, Florencio T.	Aklan - Lone	VI	120.000	11.500		11.500	131.500
Miranda, Anthony C.	Isabela - 4th		20.000	21.000	-	21.000	41.000
Mitra, Abraham Kahlil B.	Palawan - 2 nd	IV-B	90.000	5.800	3.000	8.800	98.800
Nantes, Rafael P.	Quezon - 1st	IV-A	20.000				20.000
Nava, Joaquin Carlos Rahman A.	Guimaras - Lone	VI	100.000	1.450		1.450	101.450
Nepomuceno, Francis L.	Pampanga - 1 st		20.000	15.000		15.000	35.000
Nicolas, Reylina G.	Bulacan - 4th		120.000	110.440	0.350	110.790	230.790
Nieva, Ernesto A.	Manila - 1st	NCR	20.000	17.000	7.800	24.800	44.800
Noel, Florencio G.	An WARAY Partylist	Non	87.500	2.900	25.000	27.900	115.400
Nograles, Prospero C.	Davao City - 1st	XI	115.000	333.500	156.000	489.500	604.500
Olaño, Arrel R.	Davao del Norte - 1st	XI	115.000	57.100	6.000	63.100	178.100
Ong, Emil L.	N. Samar - 2 nd	VIII	90.000	71.500	0.000	71.500	161.500
Ortega, Manuel C.	La Union - 1st		20.000	20.000	10.000	30.000	50.000
Ortega, Victor Francisco C.			100.000	13.000	5.000	18.000	118.000
Pablo, Ernesto C.	APEC Partylist		96.500	22.200		22.200	
Padilla, Carlos M.			100.000	7.000		7.000	118.700
	N. Vizcaya - Lone						221.04
Pancho, Pedro M.	Bulacan - 2 nd		140.000	78.150	12.914	91.064	231.064
Pancrudo, Candido Jr. P.	Bukidnon - 1st	X	115.000	50.750	6.000	56.750	171.750
Paras, Jacinto V.	Negros Or 1st	VII	20.000	-			20.000
Petilla, Remedios L.	Leyte - 1st	VIII	20.000	-	-	-	20.000
Piamonte, Mariano U.	A TEACHER Partylist	CADACA	68.500	42.350	16.000	58.350	126.850
Pichay, Philip A.	Sur.del Sur - 1st	CARAGA	100.000	-	200.000	200.000	300.000
Pichay, Prospero Jr. A.			15.000	17.000	55.000	72.000	87.000
Ping-Ay, Jose R.	Coop-NATCCO Partylist		39.500	20.000	10.000	30.000	69.500
Pingoy, Arthur Jr. Y.	So. Cotabato - 2nd	XII	115.000	32.000	7.800	39.800	154.800
Piñol, Bernardo Jr. F.	N. Cotabato - 2nd	XII	100.000	15.000	-	15.000	115.000
Plaza, Rodolfo G.	Agus. del Sur - Lone	CARAGA	115.000	27.300	6.000	33.300	148.300
Prieto-Teodoro, Monica Louise	Tarlac - 1st		100.000	54.000	-	54.000	154.000
Puentevella, Monico O.	Bacolod City - Lone	VII	120.000	15.650	-	15.650	135.650
Puno, Roberto V.	Antipolo City - 1st	IV-A	100.000	-	6.000	6.000	106.000
Ramiro, Herminia M.	Misamis Occ 2nd	X	115.000	-	8.000	8.000	123.000
Real, Isidoro Jr. E.	Zambo. del Sur - 1st	IX	20.000	8.950		8.950	28.950
Remulla, Gilbert C.	Cavite - 2 nd	IV-A	20.000	-			20.000
Remulla, Jesus Crispin C.	Cavite - 3rd	IV-A	100.000		-		100.000
Reyes, Carmencita O.	Marinduque - Lone	IV-B	95.000	26.570	-	26.570	121.57
Reyes, Edmundo Jr. O.			20.000	0.100	-	0.100	20.100
Reyes, Victoria H.	Batangas - 3rd	IV-A	120.000	3.000		3.000	123.000
Robes, Arturo B.	San Jose del Monte City - Lone	III	100.000	60.400	-	60.400	160.400
Roces, Miles M.	Manila - 3rd	NCR	20.000	24.000	-	24.000	44.00

			Hard		Total Hard		
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Soft (in M P) Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M P)
Rodriguez, Isidro Jr. S.	Rizal - 2 nd	IV-A	20.000		-		20.000
Rodriguez, Rufus B.	Cag. de Oro City -2 nd 14 th Congress	X	100.000	15.200	-	15.200	115.200
Rodriguez-Zaldarriaga, Adelina S.	Rizal - 2 nd	IV-A	85.000	2.000	-	2.000	87.000
Roman, Antonino P.	Bataan - 1 st		20.000	-	-	-	20.000
Roman, Herminia B.			100.000	38.395	-	38.395	138.39
Romarate, Guillermo Jr. A.	Sur.del Norte - 2nd	CARAGA	95.000	-	-	-	95.00
Romualdez, Ferdinand Martin G.	Leyte - 1 st	VIII	100.000	5.250	-	5.250	105.25
Romualdo, Jurdin Jesus M.	Camiguin - Lone	X	20.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.00
Romualdo, Pedro P.	5		95.000		· ·		95.00
Romulo, Roman T.	Pasig City - Lone	NCR	100.000	39.960	18.460	58.420	158.42
Roquero, Eduardo V.	San Jose Del Monte City -Lone		20.000	15.000	20.000	35.000	55.00
Roxas, Jose Antonio F.	Pasay City - Lone	NCR	100.000	42.000	24.000	66.000	166.00
Salapuddin, Gerry A.	Basilan - Lone	ARMM	20.000		-	-	20.00
Salceda, Jose Clemente S.	Albay - 3rd	V	35.000	15.000	15.000	30.000	65.00
Salimbangon, Benhur L.	Cebu - 4th	VII	85.000	11.800	-	11.800	96.80
Salvacion, Andreas Jr. D.	Leyte - 3rd	VIII	100.000	0.250		0.250	100.25
San Luis, Edgar S.	Laguna - 4th	IV-A	100.000	7.150		7.150	107.15
Sandoval, Alvin S.	Malabon/Navotas -	NCR	100.000	85.950	45.000	130.950	230.95
Sandoval, Federico II S.	Lone		20.000	22.000	20.000	42.000	62.00
Santiago, Joseph A.	Catanduanes - Lone	V	120.000	79.000	15.000	94.000	214.00
Santiago, Narciso III D.	ARC Partylist		95.000	17.000		-	95.00
Santos, Estrella DL.	VFP Partylist		18.000	9.000		9.000	27.00
Seachon-Lanete, Rizalina L.	Masbate - 3rd	V	120.000	113.550		113.550	233.55
Seares-Luna, Cecilia S.	Abra - Lone	CAR	100.000	113.330		113.330	100.00
Señeres, Hans Christian	BUHAY Partylist		20.000	15.000		15.000	35.00
Serapio, Antonio M.	Valenzuela City - 2 nd	NCR	19.500	15.000	7.750	22.750	42.25
Silverio, Lorna C.	Bulacan - 3rd		120.000	82.120		82.120	202.12
Singson, Eric D.	Ilocos Sur - 2 nd		82.500		•	•	82.50
Singson, Ronald V.	Ilocos Sur - 1st		90.000	-			90.00
Solis, Jose G.	Sorsogon - 2nd	V	105.000	84.000	10.000	94.000	199.00
Soon-Ruiz, Nerissa Corazon	Cebu - 6 th	VII	120.000	33.400	11.250	44.650	164.65
Suarez, Danilo E.	Quezon - 3rd	IV-A	120.000	3.000	-	3.000	123.00
Sumulong, Victor R.	Antipolo City - 2 nd	IV-A	40.000	10.000	•	10.000	50.00
Suplico, Rolex T.	Iloilo - 5 th	VI	-	15.000	10.000	25.000	25.00
Susano, Mary Ann L.	Quezon City - 2 nd	NCR	120.000	5.600	3.500	9.100	129.10
Sy-Alvarado, Ma. Victoria R.	Bulacan - 1st		100.000	73.000	-	73.000	173.00
Syjuco, Judy J.	Iloilo - 2 nd	VI	100.000	1.000	-	1.000	101.00
Sy-Limkaichong, Jocelyn	Negros Or 1st	VII	91.000	0.500	-	0.500	91.50
Taliño-Mendoza, Emmylou J.	N. Cotabato - 1st	XII	115.000	7.400	-	7.400	122.40
Tan, Sharee Ann T.	Western Samar - 2 nd	VIII	100.000	5.460		5.460	105.46
Tañada, Lorenzo III R.	Quezon - 4th	IV-A	100.000	3.900	0.500	4.400	104.40
Teodoro, Gilberto Jr. C.	Tarlac - 1st		40.000	25.000	-	25.000	65.00
Teodoro, Marcelino R.	Marikina City- 1st	NCR	100.000	47.424	5.800	53.224	153.22
Teves, Herminio G.	Negros Or 3rd	VII	20.000	10.000	-	10.000	30.00
Teves, Pryde Henry A.			100.000	-	-	-	100.00
Tieng, William Irwin	BUHAY Partylist		79.500	7.150	-	7.150	86.65
Tomawis, Acmad M.	ALIF Partylist		5.000		-		5.00

			Hard		Soft (in M P)		Total Hard
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	VILP (in M ₽)	PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M ₽)
Tupas, Niel Jr. C.	lloilo - 5 th	VI	160.000	12.000	6.000	18.000	. 178.000
Umali, Alfonso Jr. V.	Or. Mindoro – 2 nd	IV-B	100.000	8.925	1.000	9.925	109.925
Umali, Aurelio M.	Nueva Ecija - 3rd		20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.000
Umali, Czarina D.	Nueva Ecija - 3rd		120.000	61.200		61.200	181.200
Ungab, Isidro T.	Davao City - 3rd	XI	115.000	76.500	6.000	82.500	197.500
Unico, Renato Jr. J.	Cam. Norte - Lone	V	20.000	28.000		28.000	48.00
Uy, Edwin C.	Isabela - 2 nd		100.000			-	100.000
Uy, Reynaldo S.	Western Samar - 1st	VIII	120.000				120.000
Uy, Rolando A.	Cag. de Oro City -2 nd 14 th Congress	X	75.000	18.700	16.000	34.700	109.700
Valdez, Edgar L.	APEC Partylist		123.850	92.100	-	92.100	215.950
Valencia, Rodolfo G.	Or. Mindoro - 1st	IV-B	120.000	11.150	-	11.150	131.150
Vargas, Florencio L.	Cagayan - 2nd		107.500				107.50
Velarde, Rene M.	BUHAY Partylist		110.450	27.650	5.000	32.650	143.10
Veloso, Eduardo K.	Leyte - 3rd	VIII	20.000	15.000	10.000	25.000	45.00
Villafuerte, Luis R.	Camarines Sur - 2 nd	V	120.000	69.870	2.000	71.870	191.870
Villanueva, Emmanuel Joel J.	CIBAC Partylist		102.300	51.950	2.000	51.950	154.25
Villar, Cynthia A.	Las Pinas City - Lone	NCR	1102.300	60.000	30.000	90.000	200.00
Villarosa, Ma. Amelita C.	Occ. Mindoro - Lone	IV-B	120.000	0.200	- 30.000	0.200	120.20
Vinzons-Chato, Liwayway	Cam. Norte - Lone	V	100.000	40.600		40.600	140.60
Violago, Eleuterio R.	Nueva Ecija - 2 nd		20.000	25.000		25.000	45.00
Violago, Joseph Gilbert F.			100.000	38.143		38.143	138.14
· ·	Kalinga Long	CAR			2.914		
Wacnang, Laurence B.	Kalinga - Lone		20.000	15.000		17.914	37.91
Yap, Jose V.	Tarlac - 2nd		100.000	75.500	10.000	85.500	185.50
Yapha, Antonio Jr. P.	Cebu - 3rd	VII	20.000	10.000	10.000	20.000	40.00
Yu, Victor J.	Zambo. del Sur - 1st	IX	95.000	0.350	6.000	6.350	101.35
Zamora, Manuel E.	Compo. Valley - 1st	XI	3,114.500	88.100	6.500	94.600	3,209.100
Zamora, Ronaldo B.	San Juan - Lone	NCR	100.000	56.250	12.800	69.050	169.050
Zialcita, Eduardo C.	Paranaque City - 1st	NCR	120.000	43.750	11.250	55.000	175.000
Zubiri, Jose Maria III F.	Bukidnon - 3rd	X	100.000	-	-	•	100.000
Sub-Total SENATORS			28,783.012	7,945.294	2,050.113	9,994.407	38,777.419
Angara, Edgardo J.	1		288.375	553.770	20.500	574.270	862.64
Aquino, Benigno III S.	1		40.000	333.110	- 20.300	374.270	40.00
Biazon, Rodolfo G.	1		192.000	53.000		53.000	245.00
Cayetano, Allan Peter S.	1		267.000	49.500	34.500	84.000	351.000
Cayetano, Pia S.	1			15.150	34.300	15.150	
			108.100	480.650	50.000		123.25
Ejercito-Estarada, Jinggoy Ejercito-Estrada, Luisa			294.500	400.000	- 50.000	530.650	825.15
Enrile, Juan Ponce			110.800 252.000		41.000		
			252.000	611.500		652.500	904.50
Flavier, Juan M.			-	1.000	•	1.000	1.00
Gordon, Richard J.			142.200	104.100	-	104.100	246.30
Honasan, Gregorio B.			240.000	93.000	15.000	108.000	348.00
Lapid, Manuel M.			260.000	86.700	20.000	106.700	366.70
Legarda, Loren B.			93.500	29.700	0.000	29.700	123.20
Magsaysay, Ramon Jr. B.			10.000	12.500	-	12.500	22.50
Pangilinan, Francisco N.			130.000	61.050	•	61.050	191.05
Pimentel, Aquilino Jr. Q.			55.560	5.000	1.000	6.000	61.56
Revilla, Ramon Jr. B.			300.000	473.000	80.000	553.000	853.00

Table 4. Releases from PDAF, VILP and Other Sources from CYs 2007 to 2009 Per Legislator as Provided by the DBM and Gathered by the Team							
Legislator	Legislative District	Region	Hard VILP (in M P)	Soft (in M P)			Total Hard
				PDAF	Other Sources	Total Soft	and Soft (in M P)
Roxas, Manuel II A.			-	-	5.000	5.000	5.000
Santiago, Miriam D.			400.000	151.850	-	151.850	551.850
Trillanes, Antonio F.			-	3.000	-	3.000	3.000
Recto, Ralph G.			99.000	30.000	-	30.000	129.000
Villar, Manuel Jr. B.			20.500	77.100	37.900	115.000	135.500
Zubiri, Juan Miguel F.			260.000	56.400	-	56.400	316.400
Sub-Total			3,563.535	2,942.979	304.900	3,252.870	6,816.405
Total			32,346.547	10,892.264	2,355.513	13,247.277	45,593.824
Unidentified Solons			69.261.256	1,126.045	6.500	1,132.545	70,393.802
Grand Total			101,607.803	12,018.309	2,361.513	14,379.822	115,987.626

- e. Out of the total releases gathered by the Team, **₽8.374 Billion** and **₽32.664 Billion** (See Table 7) out of PDAF and VILP, respectively, were covered in the Audit. These represent 58 percent and 32 percent of the total PDAF and VILP, respectively, accounted for in Table 4.
- f. As tabulated by the Team from available SAROs, releases for projects identified by 74 legislators exceeded their respective allocations. (See Table 10)
- g. Funds were released by the DBM even for projects outside the legislative districts of the sponsoring congressmen. (See Table 11)
- h. Funds were released by the DBM to IAs without administrative and technical capabilities to implement the project or for no specific purpose at all, or for projects outside the IAs' mandated functions. Thus, around **P35.865 Million** (See Table 13) released to eight IAs from October 2006 to October 2009 remained unused as of audit date.

2. IAs

The IAs, in turn, used the funds without due regard to existing rules and regulations. Substantial amounts were transferred to NGOs, without any appropriation law or ordinance authorizing such transfer and were used for projects not eligible under the program. Worse, the reported projects were supported with questionable and/or spurious documents. Infrastructure projects were not effectively implemented as a number were found deficient or implemented in private lots, among others, which is prohibited under the law. Specifically:

a. Livelihood and Other Projects

- The IAs continuously released funds amounting to **P6.156 Billion** (See Table 15) to NGOs for the implementation of various projects despite the absence of an appropriation law or ordinance earmarking certain amounts to be contracted out to NGOs, in violation of Government Procurement Policy Board (GPPB) Resolution No. 12-2007, adopted and approved as Section 53(j) of the Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR)-A of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 9184 and incorporated in the Revised IRR as Section 53.11. This Section provides that the procuring entity may enter into Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with an NGO when an appropriation law or ordinance earmarked an amount to be specifically contracted out to NGOs. In the absence of any appropriation, then, such transfers or releases are not legally authorized.
- The NGOs were selected on the basis alone of the purported endorsement by the sponsoring legislators and not through public bidding, as also required under GPPB Resolution No. 12-2007.
- The various MOA entered into with the NGOs by a number of IAs did not comply with the requirements of COA Circular No. 2007-001 dated October 25, 2007. They did not indicate, among others, the time schedules for the periodic inspection/evaluation, reporting and monitoring requirements, visitorial audit by the officials and personnel of COA, equity requirement equivalent to 20 percent of the total contract cost, brief project description, and intended beneficiaries.
- The implementation of 772 projects by the selected 82 NGOs out of funds transferred by 10 IAs amounting to **P6.156 Billion** (See Table 15) was not proper and highly irregular. There were no bases for the selection of suppliers as they did not conduct biddings while substantial transactions and distributions of items purportedly procured were not documented. The implementation is particularly questionable for the following reasons:
 - A number of NGOs, along with their suppliers turned out to be unknown or unlocated at their given addresses, or have given nonexistent addresses, or addresses traced in a mere shanty or high-end residential units without any NGO signages and of which, some turned out to be the residences of their owner/officer (See Tables 18 and 23);
 - A number of NGOs and suppliers, which cannot be located at their given addresses, were found to have no permits to operate business

or not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC);

- A number of NGOs were charging their operating expenses such as salaries and administrative expenses against PDAF (See Table 19);
- A number of these NGOs were incorporated and/or managed or have been managed by the same persons with a number of them connected or have been connected to as many as six NGOs (See Table 20);
- Around P1.531 Billion transferred to 55 NGOs remained completely unliquidated as of audit date (See Annex B). On the other hand, almost all of those for which liquidation documents were submitted were found in audit to be deficient or otherwise irregular. These included expenses of P28.605 Million for the conduct of three studies which were not at all used (See Annex C pages 266 and 267);
- An NGO submitted the same list of beneficiaries to two different agencies, and/or list of beneficiaries taken from the published list of board/bar examination passers for various professions (See Table 21);
- A number of suppliers and recipients including the sponsoring legislators denied their participation in the implementation of the purported projects (See Tables 22 and 27);
- A number of establishments which confirmed their transactions with the selected NGOs issued questionable receipts (See Table 24). They were either using different Authority to Print (ATP) receipts/invoices purportedly issued by the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) but covering the same series of receipt numbers, or using ATPs and Tax Identification Numbers (TINs) being used by other suppliers. They did not also report such transactions to the concerned LGUs; they declared in their application for renewal of business permits relatively insignificant amounts of gross sales in relation to their transactions with the covered IAs alone;
- Six NGOs were incorporated by the legislators themselves or their relatives (See Table 26);
- The same or similar trainings have been repeatedly attended by almost the same beneficiaries and/or conducted in the same

barangays. This is neither effective nor realistic (See Tables 29, 30 and 31);

- Reported but unlocated beneficiaries were oftentimes confirmed by the LGU officials or the Commission on Election (COMELEC) Registrars as non-residents or non-registered voters within their purported residences/districts;
- The 82 NGOs with releases from 10 IAs ranging from **₽0.300** Million to **₽585.359** Million in the total amount of **₽6.156** Billion are presented in *Annex C*.
- The details of these Findings are discussed in Part III, Chapter 2 of this Report.
- The implementation of various livelihood projects by the IAs themselves, specifically the three DA-RFUs, in the total amount of **₽152.408 Million** (See Table 33) was not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184. These were not properly advertised and, in several instances, awarded to suppliers identified by the legislator and/or of questionable legal and physical existence. Moreover, a number of items procured are no longer eligible under PDAF and were mostly not supported with distribution list. In many cases, a number of recipients denied receipt of the items or cannot be located at their given addresses. The furnitures and equipment worth **₽2.025 Million** procured by DSWD-RFO III for Day Care Center were also not eligible for funding under PDAF and not a priority need of the recipients.
- Funds amounting to **P107.024 Million** (See Table 36) were released by six IAs to 33 cooperatives and associations to finance the latter's respective micro financing activities and/or procure various equipment and supplies for their own operations, while DPWH-Tarlac 1st DEO constructed project worth **P1.0 Million** for the Cooperative Bank of Tarlac. The cooperatives are private institutions composed of private individuals. Hence, such use of fund is questionable as it does not come within the purview of public purpose. Moreover, the implementation of some of these projects is questionable as a number of recipients denied receiving the items purportedly distributed.

b. Infrastructure Projects

• Forty-one projects costing **₽1.393 Billion**, implemented by selected DPWH ROs and DEOs, and LGUs were found deficient by **₽46.262** Million. These were not constructed strictly in accordance with plans

and specifications or included excessive quantities of reflective pavement studs (RPS) and other construction materials (See *Annex F*).

- Fifty-four other projects costing **P161.498 Million** were constructed in private lots without any document to support the turn over of such properties to the government (See Table 43). The prohibition on the use of government funds for the development of private properties was already decided by the Supreme Court in various cases, among which is "Pascual vs. Secretary of Public Works," G.R. No. L-10405 dated December 29, 1960.
- Contract costs of a number of projects were excessive by **P100.989 Million** due to erroneous application of rates on various items and splitting of contracts (See Tables 45, 48, 51 and 53).
- Around 90 projects were either not utilized/fully utilized indicating that the funds could have been used for more urgently needed projects, or not properly maintained and in a state of deterioration, or construction not properly planned and thereupon replaced, or already in the process of replacement (See Table 55).
- The estimates for safety, health and other miscellaneous items were not supported with detailed computations as required under DPWH Department Order (DO) No. 56, series of 2005. These were quoted in lump sum amounts in percentages ranging from 0.013 percent to 11.405 percent of the total contract cost for each item and billed as such by the contractors (See Table 56). The estimates even included vehicles which are required under existing regulations to be approved by the President (See Table 57).

c. Financial Assistance and Other Charges by the LGUs

- Releases to LGUs for soft projects were not properly utilized. Disbursements amounting to **P1.289 Billion** were not compliant with existing rules and regulations particularly R.A. No. 9184 (See Table 61). These included substantial procurements which were no longer within the menu of projects eligible to be funded under PDAF and/or supported with questionable documents. Specifically:
 - Procurements were not compliant with the provisions of R.A. No. 9184 with a number of contracts awarded to suppliers not legally existing;

- Twenty-eight suppliers of the City Government of Mandaluyong denied 167 transactions amounting to ₽28.744 Million (See Table 62);
- Twelve IAs transacted with 208 suppliers of questionable existence. These suppliers are either unknown at their given addresses, or issued receipts of questionable validity, or have no permit to operate (See Tables 63 and 64);
- A number of items reportedly procured were even unaccounted for and cannot be presented despite demand (See Table 65);
- The funds were used for the regular operations of the LGUs and other government offices including the Offices of the Congressional Districts and/or to grant financial assistance to various organizations, associations and individuals without establishing the need and for purposes not covered by the GAA menu (See Tables 67 and 68);
- Disbursement Vouchers (DVs) and supporting documents amounting to **₽250.377 Million** were not submitted to the Team for evaluation and audit (See Table 69).

MANAGEMENTS' COMMENTS

The audit highlights summarizing the deficiencies noted during the Audit were forwarded to the concerned IAs from July 9 to September 13, 2012. Initially, the comments were requested to be submitted from July 30 to September 24, 2012. In view of a number of requests for extension of time within which to submit comments, the Team extended the submission of managements' comments to October 10, 2012. Still, not all IAs submitted comments. All comments received by the Team as of December 3, 2012 were considered in the report.

In general, the IAs claimed that:

a. On livelihood projects

• Intervention in the implementation of livelihood projects tended to be more recommendatory in nature or was merely to facilitate the transfer of funds, as the projects and NGOs were endorsed by the sponsoring legislators.

- NLDC has fully relied on the Office of the Legislators to supervise and ascertain project implementation.
- TRC has few staff assigned to the task of validation and had been requiring NGOs to present original receipts to account utilization of funds.
- NLDC has been looking into interlocking personalities, establishing the legal and physical existence of NGOs endorsed by the legislators, blacklisted a number of NGOs, and has initially expressed hesitance in being part of the PDAF implementation as early as November 2008 since it runs counter to its mandate to provide credit to micro-enterpreneurs which remains to be its foremost priority.
- The incumbent President of NABCOR assumed office only on October 9, 2011 and, therefore, cannot comment on the observations. The former NABCOR and ZREC Presidents were also furnished copy of the audit highlights but did not submit any comment.
- The project implementation is directly participated by the proponent legislators.

b. On infrastructure projects

- The missing RPS are either stolen, or dislodged, or replaced by another District Office and the projects as reported were completed and all items were installed as planned. The installation of RPS, in short interval of only 3 m. to 4 m., was intended to ensure visibility during night time.
- DPWH-NCR is using the updated costing in the Detailed Unit Price Analysis (DUPA) prescribing the application ratio of 0.75 to 1.5 liters/sq.m. for Item 302, Bituminous Tack Coat, and that for Metro Manila, the prescribed Standard Specification of 0.20 to 0.70/sq. m. had to be revised as the same is no longer applicable.
- Barangay Officials relied on the DPWH and City Engineering Office for the preparation of plans, Program of Works (POW) and even in determining the extent of project completion.
- DPWH-DEO posited that it is the responsibility of the end-users to use and maintain the structures upon turned-over.

c. On financial assistance and other projects

- Fund transfers or financial assistance were granted upon the request or direction of the sponsoring legislators. The LGUs merely acted as initial depository and conduit of the funds.
- Expenses were intended to address pro-poor programs and as financial assistance to various individuals and associations.
- The IAs may use PDAF to complement or expand their regular programs designed to ease the difficulty of its most needy citizens.
- Procurements were not posted in the PhilGEPs as the LGUs IT Section was operationalized only towards the end of 2009.
- Most LGU officials claimed they were not aware of the menu of programs in the GAA.
- Barangay Officials claimed they have no direct control and participation in the utilization of such funds.

TEAM'S REJOINDER

Under Section 2 of P.D. No. 1445, the responsibility to ensure that government funds are utilized in accordance with laws and regulations and safeguarded against loss or wastage through illegal or improper disposition rests on the head of the concerned government agency. Such fiscal responsibility pertains to all funds received by the agency including those sourced from PDAF and VILP. The IAs, being government agencies, cannot relinquish this responsibility and claim minimal participation and responsibilities as mere conduits of funds or documents, for that matter. They cannot also plead to be unaware of the menu prescribed in the GAA. The GAA is the most vital legislation on the national budget and prescribes when and how the people's money should be spent. It behooves the IAs to know and comply with the GAA.

It is also incumbent upon the IAs to assess their mandate and technical and administrative capability to implement, manage, and monitor projects for implementation under PDAF before accepting any fund transfers. More than simply receiving any and all documents submitted by NGOs, a large part of the IA's responsibility is to look into the feasibility of the project proposals and the qualifications of the NGOs proposed to be involved in the projects. This is proper exercise of due diligence and care to ensure that legal and regulatory requirements

for the release and utilization of funds are duly complied with, and that the people's money is not wasted or misused. As noted during the Audit, a number of NGOs are either non-existent or could not be located or lack legal personality to transact business. Worse, a number of them were found to have submitted questionable documents.

In respect of infrastructure projects, there is a need for DPWH to revisit certain policies and practices, e.g., the applicability of a number of existing standards to determine if the same are actually suitable or appropriate given present conditions. For instance, in the case of the missing RPS, considering that the RPS installed on asphalt paved road can easily be stolen or dislodged as claimed, then, the matter of propriety of installing this item, under such condition, must be re-studied. Likewise, there is a need to examine the practice of including a number of miscellaneous items in lump sum amounts in the project costs. Similarly, before approving a project for implementation, DPWH should consider the capability of end-users to maintain multi-purpose buildings, and secure their commitment to use and maintain the same accordingly.

The financial assistance granted to various beneficiaries and items procured by the LGUs either for donation or, otherwise, for its own operations cannot be ascertained or validated to have been for any pro-poor program of the government as these were released and/or procured without any supporting documents. These were not supported with project profile, evaluation reports on the necessity of providing assistance and eligibility under PDAF, or any document to manifest the need to release assistance. In most cases, these were not even supported with distribution list and/or proof of receipt by the beneficiaries. There were also no selection criteria of beneficiaries.

PDAF is not intended to complement and expand the regular programs of the LGUs; it is intended to address specific menu of projects and programs defined in the GAA.

The absence of IT Section of the LGUs cannot also be used as an excuse in its failure to post procurement requirements in the PhilGEPS as the LGUs can post their procurement requirements at the DBM website.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In view of the noted deficiencies in the implementation of the priority projects and programs of the legislators, the Team recommended courses of actions for the DBM, Legislators and IAs, which are presented under Part IV of the report.

In general, it is recommended that:

1. DBM

- Maintain an accurate record and accounts of each legislator and ensure that releases for the projects of each legislator does not exceed their respective appropriation;
- Determine if the request for allocation from a legislator is properly within the GAA menu; if not, to advise the legislator of ineligibility and hold the issuance of SARO;
- Expressly state in the SARO that the amounts covered thereby shall not be transferred by the IAs to an NGO when there is no appropriation or ordinance authorizing the same;
- Consider issuing more specific guidelines on the utilization of PDAF indicating, among others, the specific projects earmarked for the implementation by the NGOs, should there be any, and the specific propoor programs of the government that should be addressed under PDAF;
- Secure the commitment of the IAs to implement the project before releasing any amount to them and closely monitor project implementation; and
- Blacklist all NGOs found submitting questionable documents, not liquidating fund transfers on time and not capable of implementing the projects, and take other actions appropriate under the circumstances.

2. IAs

- Accept funds only for projects within their mandate, administrative and technical capabilities to manage, implement and monitor;
- Ensure that only projects eligible for funding under PDAF are implemented;
- Release funds to the NGOs only for projects earmarked under the appropriation law or specific ordinance for their implementation;
- Select NGOs in the manner required under existing rules and regulations;
- Require NGOs to comply with R.A. No. 9184 and its IRR in all their procurements as funds transferred to them retained their character as public funds;
- Support all transactions with valid and complete documents;

- Blacklist NGOs and their officers for submitting questionable documents, failure to effectively implement the project, or fully document their disbursements, or liquidate funds transferred to them within the prescribed period, and take other actions appropriate under the circumstances;
- Submit status report on the implementation of the PDAF project to the concerned legislator.
- Comply with existing laws, rules and regulations at all times;
- Return expired SAROs/unused funds;
- Require the NGOs to submit to the auditorial authority of the COA; and
- Immediately investigate and determine accountable officers and employees responsible in the inefficient management and implementation of PDAF projects and file appropriate charges for gross dereliction of duty, among others.
- Require compliance by the NGOs with the Procurement Act and other applicable laws and regulations in the disbursement of funds.

3. For Legislators

- Limit participation in the implementation of PDAF project to identification of projects and IAs;
- Ensure that the identified projects are eligible to be funded under PDAF and that IAs have the mandate, technical and administrative capabilities and willingness to implement the projects;
- Require the identified IAs to submit progress and financial reports on the implementation of project;
- Ensure that projects identified are the projects most beneficial to the constituents;
- For Congressmen, to limit the project coverage within their congressional districts; and
- For Partylist Representatives, to limit the project coverage within their respective Sectors.